

Presented to the Court by the foreman of the
Grand Jury in open Court, in the presence of
the Grand Jury and FILED in the U.S.
DISTRICT COURT at Seattle, Washington.

The Honorable Lauren King

November 29 2023
Ravi Subramanian, Clerk
By [Signature] Deputy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

NO. CR22-0073-LK

Plaintiff

v.

**SECOND SUPERSEDING
INDICTMENT**

DONTE JAMAL MCCLELLON,

Defendant.

The Grand Jury charges that:

The Paycheck Protection Program

1. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security ("CARES") Act was a federal law enacted in or around March 2020 and designed to provide emergency financial assistance to the millions of Americans suffering the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. One source of relief provided by the CARES Act was the authorization of up to \$349 billion in forgivable loans to small businesses for job retention and certain other expenses through a program referred to as the Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP"). In or around April 2020, Congress authorized over \$300 billion in additional PPP funding.

2. In order to obtain a PPP loan, a qualifying business was required to submit a PPP loan application signed by an authorized representative of the business. The PPP loan application required the business (through its authorized representative) to acknowledge the program rules and make certain affirmative certifications in order to be eligible to obtain a PPP loan. In the PPP loan application, the small business (through its authorized representative) was required to state, among other things, its: (a) average monthly payroll expenses; and (b) number of employees. These figures were used to calculate the amount of money the small business was eligible to receive under the PPP. In addition, businesses applying for a PPP loan were required to provide documentation showing their payroll expenses.

3. PPP loan applications were processed by a participating lender. If a PPP loan application was approved, the participating lender funded the PPP loan using its own money, which the U.S. Small Business Administration (“SBA”) guaranteed in its entirety. The lender transmitted to the SBA, in the course of processing the loan, data from the application, including information about the borrower, the total amount of the loan, and the listed number of employees.

4. The business receiving the PPP loan proceeds was required to spend the funds on certain permissible expenses, such as payroll costs, interest on mortgages, rent, and utilities. The PPP allowed the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the business spent the loan proceeds on these allowable expense items within a designated period of time and used a specified portion of the PPP loan proceeds on payroll expenses.

The Defendant and Relevant Entities

5. The defendant, DONTE JAMAL MCCLELLON, resided within the Western District of Washington through at least the end of June 2020.

1 6. MCCLELLON owned and controlled various corporate entities registered
2 in Washington State, including Frostlake, LLC (“Frostlake”), Skylake, LLC (“Skylake”),
3 and Cannonlake, LLC (“Cannonlake”) (collectively, the “McClellon Entities”). None of
4 the McClellon Entities had employees or payroll expenses of its own.

5 7. Frostlake was a limited liability company that was registered with
6 Washington State in or around December 2016. Frostlake purportedly engaged in retail
7 and wholesale trade. Its principal place of business was MCCLELLON’s residential
8 address in Seattle, Washington. MCCLELLON was listed as its sole governor.

9 a. Frostlake was administratively dissolved on or around May 3, 2018.
10 On or about February 5, 2021, MCCLELLON filed documentation with Washington
11 State to reinstate Frostlake.

12 b. From at least on or around January 1, 2018 through at least
13 December 31, 2020, Frostlake had no employees for which it paid federal payroll taxes.

14 8. Skylake was a limited liability company that was registered with
15 Washington State in or around April 2016. Skylake purportedly engaged in finance and
16 real estate, and its principal place of business was MCCLELLON’s residential address in
17 Seattle, Washington. MCCLELLON was listed as its sole managing partner and
18 governor.

19 a. Skylake was administratively dissolved on or around September 1,
20 2017. On or about December 27, 2017, MCCLELLON filed documentation with
21 Washington State to reinstate Skylake.

22 b. Skylake was administratively dissolved again on or around
23 September 3, 2019. On or around June 13, 2020, MCCLELLON filed documentation
24 with Washington State to reinstate Skylake.

25 c. From at least on or around January 1, 2018 through at least
26 December 31, 2020, Skylake had no employees for which federal payroll taxes were paid.

1 9. Cannonlake was a limited liability company that was registered with
2 Washington State in or around December 2016. Cannonlake purportedly engaged in real
3 estate, retail, and wholesale trade. Its principal place of business was MCCLELLON's
4 residential address in Seattle, Washington. MCCLELLON was listed as its sole governor.

5 a. Cannonlake was administratively dissolved on or about May 3,
6 2018. On or about June 17, 2020, MCCLELLON filed documentation with Washington
7 State to reinstate Cannonlake.

8 b. From at least on or around January 1, 2018 through at least
9 December 31, 2020, Cannonlake had no employees for which federal payroll taxes were
10 paid.

11 ***Relevant PPP Lenders***

12 10. Company One was a financial technology company headquartered in the
13 State of Georgia. Company One participated in the PPP program as an SBA-approved
14 lender. Small businesses seeking PPP loans could apply through Company One for PPP
15 loans. Company One would review the loan applications and if the loan was approved for
16 funding, Company One would disburse the loan directly to the borrower.

17 11. Bank One was a financial institution based in the State of Florida whose
18 deposits were insured by the FDIC. Bank One participated as an SBA-approved PPP
19 lender to small businesses.

20 12. Bank Two was a financial institution based in Utah whose deposits were
21 insured by the FDIC. Bank Two participated as an SBA-approved PPP lender to small
22 businesses.

23 **COUNTS 1-3**

24 **(Wire Fraud)**

25 13. The allegations in Paragraphs 1-12 are realleged and incorporated by
26 reference as if set forth in full herein.

1 14. Beginning at a time unknown but not later than in or about May 2020 and
2 continuing through at least February 2021, in King County, within the Western District of
3 Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, DONTE JAMAL MCCLELLON, knowingly
4 and with intent to defraud, devised and executed and attempted to execute a scheme and
5 artifice to defraud Company One to obtain money and property in the custody and control
6 of Company One by means of material false and fraudulent pretenses, representations,
7 and promises, and the concealment of material facts, all by filing false and fraudulent
8 applications for PPP funds.

9 ***The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud***

10 15. The essence of the scheme and artifice to defraud was for MCCLELLON to
11 unjustly enrich himself by obtaining PPP loan proceeds through false and misleading
12 pretenses, including by making false statements about: monthly payroll expenses; the
13 number of paid employees; whether the business was in operation as of February 15,
14 2020; the type of operations; and revenues, and by providing falsified financial
15 documents. MCCLELLON's artifice and scheme to defraud included attempts to conceal
16 his illegal behavior.

17 ***Manner and Means of the Scheme and Artifice to Defraud***

18 16. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
19 submitted and caused to be submitted at least seven false and misleading loan
20 applications to various financial institutions seeking to obtain funds related to the PPP on
21 behalf of corporate entities registered in Washington State that he owned and controlled,
22 including Frostlake, Skylake, and Cannonlake (collectively, the "McClellon Entities").

23 17. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
24 successfully obtained at least three PPP loans on behalf of the McClellon Entities totaling
25 at least \$500,948.

1 18. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
2 submitted a loan application to Company One, seeking at least \$20,833 in PPP funds for
3 Frostlake.

4 19. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
5 included in the loan application to Company One multiple materially false statements,
6 including but not limited to:

7 a. That Frostlake had average monthly payroll of approximately
8 \$8,334; and

9 b. That Frostlake was in operation on February 15, 2020, and had
10 employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors.

11 20. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
12 submitted to Company One false documents in support of his PPP loan application,
13 including but not limited to a false tax document.

14 21. On May 8, 2020, in furtherance of the scheme to defraud, MCCLELLON
15 emailed Company One from the Gmail account identlikelawyers4u@gmail.com—which
16 he used to apply for the Frostlake loan—to inquire why certain bank accounts were not
17 properly added to his account with Company One. Company One responded that one of
18 the bank accounts was invalid and directed MCCLELLON to add a valid checking
19 account. MCCLELLON added a valid checking account to his Company One account
20 several days later and ultimately received PPP funds into that account.

21 22. To conceal the scheme to defraud, MCCLELLON transferred PPP funds he
22 received, based on the false representations he made and caused to be made in the
23 fraudulent PPP application, between financial accounts he controlled shortly after he first
24 received the funds.

23. To further conceal the scheme to defraud, MCCLELLON filed papers to reinstate Frostlake LLC with the Washington Secretary of State in February 2021 after the business had been dissolved in May 2018.

Execution of the Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

24. On or about the dates set forth below, in Seattle, in the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, defendant DONTE JAMAL MCCLELLON, for the purpose of executing the above-described scheme to defraud, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate commerce the following writing, signals, pictures, and sounds:

Count	Date	Interstate Wire Transmission
1	May 7, 2020	Electronic transmission of documents in support of loan application containing false information in the name of Frostlake LLC from Washington state to Company One server outside of Washington state
2	May 8, 2020	Electronic transmission of correspondence between MCCLELLON (using email address idontlikelawyers4u@gmail.com) in Washington state and Company One (outside of Washington state) via Google server outside of Washington state
3	May 12, 2020	Electronic transmission of loan application with false information and false certifications in the name of Frostlake LLC from Washington state to Company One server outside of Washington state

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.

COUNTS 4-5**(Bank Fraud)**

25. The allegations in Paragraphs 1-12 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

26. Beginning at a time unknown but not later than in or about May 2020 and continuing through at least February 2021, in King County, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, DONTE JAMAL MCCLELLON, knowingly carried out a scheme and artifice to obtain moneys, funds, assets, and other property owned by and under the custody and control of financial institutions participating in the PPP loan program, and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, as further and more particularly set forth below.

The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

27. The essence of the scheme or artifice to defraud was for MCLELLON to file fraudulent PPP applications on behalf of the McClellon Entities. He then unjustly enriched himself by transferring those funds into his personal investment accounts and spending the PPP proceeds on personal expenses that are disallowed under the terms of the loan.

28. Through this scheme or artifice, MCLELLON improperly obtained PPP loans through false and misleading pretenses including by making false statements about the monthly payroll expenses, number of paid employees, fact of operations, type of operations, and revenues of the McClellon Entities, and by providing falsified financial documents.

29. Through this scheme or artifice, MCLELLON attempted to conceal his illegal behavior through money transfers, filing reinstatement paperwork on behalf of the McClellon Entities, and creating false tax documents.

Manner and Means of the Scheme to Defraud

30. It was part of the scheme or artifice that MCCLELLON submitted and caused to be submitted at least seven false and misleading loan applications to various financial institutions seeking to obtain funds related to the PPP on behalf of corporate entities registered in Washington State that he owned and controlled, including the McClellon Entities.

31. It was further part of the scheme or artifice that MCCLELLON successfully obtained at least three PPP loans on behalf of the McClellon Entities totaling at least \$500,948.

32. It was further part of the scheme or artifice that MCCLELLON submitted a PPP loan application to Bank One seeking at least \$282,800 in funds on behalf of Skylake.

33. It was further part of the scheme or artifice that MCCLELLON knowingly included in the loan application to Bank One multiple materially false statements, including but not limited to:

- a. That Skylake had 13 employees;
- b. That Skylake had average monthly payroll of \$104,815.42; and
- c. That Skylake was in operation on February 15, 2020, and had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors.

34. It was further part of the scheme or artifice that MCCLELLON knowingly submitted to Bank One false documents in support of his PPP loan application including but not limited to false tax documents.

35. To conceal the scheme or artifice, MCCLELLON transferred PPP funds he received, based on the false representations he made and caused to be made in the fraudulent PPP application, between financial accounts he controlled shortly after he first received the funds.

1 36. To further conceal the scheme to defraud, MCCLELLON filed papers to
2 reinstate Skylake LLC with the Washington Secretary of State on June 11, 2020 after the
3 business had been dissolved in September 2019.

4 37. It was further part of the scheme or artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
5 submitted a PPP loan application to Bank Two seeking at least \$197,315 in funds on
6 behalf of Cannonlake.

7 38. It was further part of the scheme or artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
8 knowingly included in the loan application to Bank Two multiple materially false
9 statements, including but not limited to:

- 10 a. That Cannonlake had 13 employees;
11 b. That Cannonlake had an average monthly payroll of \$78,926.00, and
12 c. That Cannonlake was in operation on February 15, 2020, and had
13 employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors.

14 39. It was further part of the scheme or artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
15 knowingly submitted to Bank Two false documents in support of his PPP loan
16 application, including but not limited to false tax documents.

17 40. It was further part of the scheme or artifice to defraud that MCCLELLON
18 filed papers with the Washington Secretary of State to reinstate Cannonlake LLC on June
19 17, 2020, after Cannonlake had been dissolved in May 2018.

20 41. To conceal the scheme to defraud, MCCLELLON transferred PPP funds he
21 received, based on the false representations he made and caused to be made in the
22 fraudulent PPP application, between financial accounts he controlled shortly after he first
23 received the funds.

24
25 *Execution of the Scheme to Defraud*
26
27

42. On or about the dates identified below, in King County, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute this scheme and artifice to obtain moneys, funds, assets, and other property owned by and under the custody and control of financial institutions by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, MCCLELLON knowingly caused to be conducted the following acts, with each act constituting a separate Count of this Indictment:

Count	Approximate Date	Description
4	June 11, 2020	MCCLELLON submitted a fraudulent application with false supporting documentation to Bank One for a PPP loan in the name of Skylake.
5	June 23, 2020	MCCLELLON submitted a fraudulent application with false supporting documentation to Bank Two for a PPP loan in the name of Cannonlake.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1344(2) and 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

The allegations contained in Counts 1-5 of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture.

Upon conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts 1-3, the defendant, DONTE JAMAL MCCLELLON, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), by way of Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any property that constitutes or is traceable to proceeds of the offense.

This property includes, but is not limited to:

1 1. Approximately \$16,394.44 seized on or about May 16, 2022, from a
2 Webull Financial, LLC., account number ending -66-11, held in the name of Donte
3 McClellon; and

4 2. A sum of money reflecting the proceeds the Defendant obtained from the
5 offense.

6 Upon conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts 4-5, the defendant,
7 DONTÉ JAMAL MCCLELLON, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18,
8 United States Code, Section 982(a)(2), any property that constitutes or is traceable to
9 proceeds of the offense. This property includes, but is not limited to:

10 1. Approximately \$16,394.44 seized on or about May 16, 2022, from a
11 Webull Financial, LLC., account number ending -66-11, held in the name of Donte
12 McClellon; and

13 2. A sum of money reflecting the proceeds the Defendant obtained from the
14 offense.

15 **Substitute Assets.** If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of
16 any act or omission of the defendant,

- 17 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- 18 b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- 19 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- 20 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or,
- 21 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided
22 without difficulty,

23 //

1 it is the intent of the United States to seek the forfeiture of any other property of the
2 defendant, up to the value of the above-described forfeitable property, pursuant to
3 Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

4
5 A TRUE BILL: *yes*

6
7 DATED: *November 29, 2023*

8
9 *Signature of Foreperson redacted pursuant*
10 *to the policy of the Judicial Conference of*
11 *the United States.*

12 FOREPERSON

13
14 
15 _____
16 TESSA M. GORMAN
17 Acting United States Attorney

18 
19 _____
20 SETH WILKINSON
21 Assistant United States Attorney

22 
23 _____
24 LAUREN WATTS STANIAR
25 Assistant United States Attorney
26
27