UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
SOUTHERN DIVISION
LONDON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	No. 6:26-CR-20-REW-HAI-2
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
TIFFANY HELTON,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

*** *** *** ***

After conducting Rule 11 proceedings, *see* DE 52 (Minute Entry), United States Magistrate Judge Hanly A. Ingram recommended that the undersigned accept Defendant Tiffany Helton's guilty plea and adjudge her guilty of Count Three of the Indictment (DE 1). *See* DE 54 (Recommendation); *see also* DE 51 (Plea Agreement). Judge Ingram expressly informed Helton of her right to object to the recommendation and secure de novo review from the undersigned. *See* DE 54 at 2-3. The established three-day objection deadline has passed, and no party has objected.

The Court is not required to "review . . . a magistrate [judge]'s factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings." *Thomas v. Arn*, 106 S. Ct. 466, 472 (1985); *see also Berkshire v. Dahl*, 928 F.3d 520, 530 (6th Cir. 2019) (noting that the Sixth Circuit has "long held that, when a defendant does 'not raise an argument in his objections to the magistrate [judge]'s report and recommendation . . . he has forfeited his right to raise this issue on appeal.'" (quote brackets simplified) (quoting *Kensu v. Haigh*, 87 F.3d 172, 176 (6th Cir. 1996))); *United States v. Olano*, 113 S. Ct. 1770, 1777 (1993) (distinguishing waiver and forfeiture); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2)–(3) (limiting de novo review duty to "any objection"

filed); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (limiting de novo review duty to "those portions" of the recommendation "to which objection is made").

The Court, with no objection from any party and on full review of the record, **ORDERS** as follows:

1. The Court **ADOPTS** DE 54, **ACCEPTS** Helton's guilty plea, and **ADJUDGES** Helton guilty of Count Three of the Indictment.
2. The Court will issue a separate sentencing order.[1]

This the 9th day of June, 2026.

Signed By:
Robert E. Wier
United States District Judge

[1] At the hearing, Judge Ingram remanded Helton to custody. *See* DE 52. This was her status pretrial. *See* DE 25. Absent an intervening order, Helton will remain in custody pending sentencing.