

1
2 Robin C. Emmans
3 2nd Street Law PLLC
4 217 N. 2nd Street
5 Yakima, WA 98901
6 (509) 575-0372 office
7 (509) 452-6771 fax
8 Of Attorneys for Defendant
9 Karla Padilla-Reyna
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 KARLA L. PADILLA-REYNA,

18 Defendant.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

) Case No. 22-cr-2059-MKD-1

) SUPPLEMENT TO
) SENTENCING MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW the defendant, Karla L. Padilla-Reyna, through her attorneys,
Robin C. Emmans and Ulvar W. Klein, of 2nd Street Law PLLC, and submits this
supplement to her sentencing memorandum. This memorandum will provide updated
financial information, proof of restitution funding availability, and a survey of similar
cases sentenced within the Eastern District of Washington.

1 **1. Updated financial information.**

2 In the PSIR interview, working from memory, Ms. Padilla estimated some
3 financial assets incorrectly, though the net effect is the same. A table of corrected
4 account balances follows:
5

6

Solarity Checking	\$20,302
Solarity Savings	\$1,100
Solarity Safety Deposit Box	Closed
Solarity Line of Credit (Debt)	\$213,00
Hapo Checking	\$9,982
Hapo Savings	\$1,620
Fidelity IRA	\$53,952
Vanguard IRA	\$14,500

17

18
19 The PSIR interview was conducted February 2nd. Meeting with counsel today, Ms.
20 Padilla was able to log into her various accounts and provide updated data, with
21 retirement account balance estimates based on the most recent statements. In the
22 PSIR interview, Ms. Padilla incorrectly asserted that she had \$120,000 in retirement
23 accounts. See p. 15 and p. 19, paragraph 95. There are two accounts, Fidelity and
24 Vanguard, and their balances are similar to the balances she had reported in
25
26
27
28

1 November of 2022. There is not a separate account worth \$120,000. A small portion
2 of retirement was liquidated to put toward restitution. The cash held in a safety
3 deposit box was removed to put toward restitution. Property on Q street in Yakima,
4 subject of civil forfeiture, is estimated at closer to \$150,000 (see PSIR paragraph 98),
5 and sale negotiations are under way with a specific buyer.
6
7

8 **2. Proof of restitution availability.**

9 Counsel is currently holding \$300,000 in a dedicated trust account. See
10 attached statement. Half of that amount, \$150,000, will be paid to the court
11 immediately on sentencing. With the court's approval, half of that amount will be
12 held by counsel pending the sale of the Q street property. Pursuant to the Plea
13 Agreement Addendum, once that property is sold, Ms. Padilla will forfeit the
14 proceeds to the United States, which will then request that restitution be adjusted to
15 reflect that amount as paid toward the total stipulated restitution. Any remaining
16 balance will be paid by counsel from the amount held in trust.
17
18
19

20 **3. Similar cases in the Eastern District of Washington.**

21 As referenced in the sentencing memorandum, the type of sentence requested
22 by the defense, of probation rather than incarceration, fits the facts of this case and is
23 also proportional to other similar cases in this district. The government announced its
24 "strike force" dedicated to COVID-19 fraud on March 17, 2022. Since then, through
25
26
27
28

1 similar press releases, defense counsel has been able to identify nine targets other
2 than Ms. Padilla, six of whom have been sentenced or otherwise resolved their cases.
3

4 The largest fraud, of nearly 3 million dollars, was settled with a deferred
5 prosecution in which the entity promised restitution and the individual owners
6 pledged a hefty amount out of their own resources. Little information is available
7 regarding the extent or duration of the fraud. See March 22, 2022 press release,
8 [https://www.justice.gov/usao-edwa/pr/hpm-corporation-and-owners-accept-](https://www.justice.gov/usao-edwa/pr/hpm-corporation-and-owners-accept-responsibility-agree-pay-nearly-3-million-restituti-0)
9 [responsibility-agree-pay-nearly-3-million-restituti-0](https://www.justice.gov/usao-edwa/pr/hpm-corporation-and-owners-accept-responsibility-agree-pay-nearly-3-million-restituti-0), attached.
10
11

12 In US v. Thomas, 22-cr-35-RMP, the defendant, who had significant criminal
13 history, was also terminally ill. According to court records, his range was 10-16
14 months. He was sentenced on 8/17/2022 to probation with restitution of \$54,900. He
15 had made three applications over two years.
16

17 In US v. Jackson, 22-cr-89-TOR, the defendant was sentenced on 1/5/2023 to
18 probation, with restitution of \$54,900, and an order granting relief from interest on
19 restitution. According to court records, he had made 2 loan applications, both of
20 which were disbursed. He had no criminal history. He was compliant with pretrial
21 release conditions. His sentencing range was 6-12 months. Both parties recommended
22 probation.
23
24

25 In US v. Murphy, 22-cr-84-TOR, married defendants were sentenced
26 individually on 4/26/23 to probation and restitution. According to court documents,
27
28

1 they had made 3 loan applications over time, all of which were disbursed, resulting in
2 \$62,499 being paid out. The husband had prior criminal history related to drug
3 addiction. The wife also had a history of drug addiction. Both did well on pretrial
4 release. Their range is not clear from the court record, nor is the government's
5 recommendation.
6

7
8 In US v. Opsal, 22-cr-53-TOR, the defendant was sentenced on 5/10/23 to 6
9 months' incarceration and restitution of \$61,247 after failing to comply with
10 conditions of pretrial release in the context of drug addiction. According to court
11 records, that defendant had made 11 loan applications over two years, including 3
12 PPP loans and 8 EIDL loans. Three were disbursed. Her range was 12-18 months.
13 The government recommended low end, the defense requested probation.
14

15
16 One more defendant, in US v. Cain, 22-cr-6042-MKD, is awaiting sentencing
17 on a case with \$337,267 in restitution. According to court records, this defendant
18 made two loan applications with a later request for additional funds on one of them.
19 Based on the dollar amount, her sentencing range is likely to be 18-25 months if she
20 has no prior criminal history. Other subjects have not been located, but have been
21 indicted.
22

23
24 As the above survey makes clear, sentencing ranges on financial crimes are
25 tied to the amount of loss rather than the individualized acts of defendants. While this
26 is an attempt to develop objectivity in sentencing guidelines, judges are given vital
27

1 discretion in sentencing precisely because such sentencing structures can obscure the
2 individual characteristics of defendants so essential to the sentencing task. Of especial
3 note is the case of a corporation and individuals who would have been defendants
4 facing an extraordinarily high sentencing range had they not taken the opportunity to
5 enter settlement negotiations during the investigation of their fraud. As it is, they will
6 not even face conviction. Unfortunately for Ms. Padilla, when she was given the
7 opportunity to enter into a similar negotiation with the government, she was not able
8 to secure functional representation in time to explore settlement pre-Indictment.
9
10
11

12 Like several defendants above, Ms. Padilla has no prior criminal history, and
13 she was open with law enforcement just as she was with probation about the choice to
14 engage in fraudulent loan applications. Similarly, she has done well on pretrial
15 release, continuing to work and be productive for as long as she can. She is
16 distinctive in that she didn't actually spend a significant chunk of the loans disbursed,
17 and she has been able to provide restitution funds for counsel to hold pending
18 sentencing.
19
20
21
22

23 DATED: May 15, 2023

24 /Robin C. Emmans
25 Robin C. Emmans, WSBA 37085
26 2nd Street Law PLLC
27 217 N. 2nd Street
28

/Ulvar W. Klein
Ulvar W. Klein, WSBA 24334
2nd Street Law PLLC
217 N. 2nd Street

1 Yakima, WA. 98901
2 (509) 575-0372 office
3 (509) 452-6771 fax
4 Attorney for Karla L. Padilla-Reyna
robin.emmans@secondstreetlaw.com

Yakima, WA. 98901
(509) 575-0372 office
(509) 452-6771 fax
Attorney for Karla L. Padilla-Reyna
ulvar@secondstreetlaw.com

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 15, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following: SAUSA Frieda K. Zimmerman, AUSA Tyler Tornabene, and AUSA Dan Fruchter.

s/ Robin Emmans
Robin Emmans, WSBA 37085
2nd Street Law PLLC
217 N. 2nd Street
Yakima, WA 98901
(509) 575-0372
(509) 452-6771 (fax)
Robin.emmans@secondstreetlaw.com