

10/15/25, 7:20 PM

Gmail - Abrams v. Div. of Unemployment - Response to Motion to Amend Judgment

1 of 1



Josh Abrams <abramslive@gmail.com>

Abrams v. Div. of Unemployment - Response to Motion to Amend Judgment

Lauren Davison (She/Her)

<Lauren.Davison@coag.gov>

Wed, Oct 15, 2025

at 3:06 PM

To: Josh Abrams <abramslive@gmail.com>

Cc: "Stephen Woolsey (He/Him)" <Stephen.Woolsey@coag.gov>, Denise Munger <Denise.Munger@coag.gov>

Mr. Abrams:

Thank you for your email. State Defendants do not consent to vacating judgment or amending your Complaint. For the reasons stated in State Defendants' response to your motion, *Williams v. Reed* is distinguishable. State Defendants oppose filing a Third Amended Complaint. Judgment has entered and the case remains closed, making any attempts to amend improper unless the court grants your Rule 59(e) motion and reopens the case. We are unable to offer legal advice as to how you may cure the deficiencies in your Amended Complaint.

Respectfully,

Lauren Davison (She/Her)

Senior Assistant Attorney General I

Tort Litigation Unit | Colorado Department of Law

Pronouns: she/her