

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	CR. NO.: <u>2:22-cr-455</u>
	)	
	)	18 U.S.C. § 2
vs.	)	18 U.S.C. § 371
	)	18 U.S.C. § 1343
	)	18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C)
JONTRELL WRIGHT	)	28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)
	)	

INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

At times relevant to this Information:

The Defendant and Coconspirators

1. The Defendant, **Jontrell Wright**, was a citizen of the United States and resident of South Carolina.
2. Lori Hammond, a/k/a Lori McCracken, a/k/a Lori Blakely, (“Hammond”) was a citizen of the United States and resident of South Carolina.
3. Catherine (“Cassie”) Needham (“Needham”) was a citizen of the United States and resident of South Carolina.
4. Christopher Conrad (“Conrad”) was a citizen of the United States and a resident of South Carolina.

Background on the Paycheck Protection Program (“PPP”)

5. The United States Small Business Administration (“SBA”) was an executive branch agency of the United States government that provided support to entrepreneurs and small businesses. The mission of the SBA was to maintain and strengthen the nation’s economy by enabling the establishment and viability of small businesses and by assisting in the economic

recovery of communities after disasters. As part of this effort, the SBA enabled and provided for loans through banks, credit unions, and other lenders. These loans have government-backed guarantees.

6. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (“CARES”) Act is a federal law enacted in or around March 2020 designed to provide emergency financial assistance to the millions of Americans who were suffering the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. One source of relief provided by the CARES Act was the authorization of up to \$349 billion in forgivable loans to small businesses for job retention and certain other expenses through a program referred to as the Paycheck Protection Program (“PPP”). In or around April 2020, Congress authorized over \$300 billion in additional PPP funding.

7. The PPP allowed qualifying small businesses and other organizations to receive PPP loans. Businesses were required to use PPP loan proceeds on payroll costs, interest on mortgages, rent, and utilities. The PPP allowed the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the business spent the loan proceeds on these expense items within a designated period of time and used a certain percentage of the PPP loan proceeds on payroll expenses.

8. The amount of a PPP loan that a small business may be entitled to receive was determined by the number of employees employed by the business and the business’s average monthly payroll costs.

9. In order to obtain a PPP loan, a qualifying business was required to submit a PPP loan application, which was signed by an authorized representative of the business. The PPP loan application required the business (through its authorized representative) to acknowledge the program rules and make certain affirmative certifications in order to be eligible to obtain the PPP loan. In the PPP loan application, the small business (through its authorized representative) had

to state, among other things, its: (a) average monthly payroll expenses; and (b) number of employees. These figures were used to calculate the amount of money the small business was eligible to receive under the PPP. In addition, businesses applying for a PPP loan had to provide documentation showing their payroll expenses.

10. The provisions of the CARES Act, in conjunction with an officially declared disaster by the United States Government, also allowed for the SBA to provide Economic Injury Disaster Loans (“EIDL”) funding to business owners negatively affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The SBA Office of Disaster Assistance (“ODA”) controls the EIDL program and maintains authority over all loans created and disbursed under the EIDL program. Pursuant to the provisions governing the EIDL program, loan proceeds must be used by the business to pay certain permissible expenses. The EIDL loans may be used to pay fixed debts, payroll, accounts payable, and other bills that could have been paid had the COVID-19 disaster not occurred.

11. The PPP loan application requires the business (through its authorized representative) to acknowledge the program rules and answer a series of questions in order to be eligible to obtain the PPP loan, to include the following:

- a. Within the last 5 years, for any felony, has the Applicant (if an individual) or any owner of the Applicant 1) been convicted; 2) pleaded guilty; 3) pleaded nolo contendere; 4) been placed on pretrial diversion; or 5) been placed on any form of parole or probation (including probation before judgment)?

Above this question, the application states that if the answer is “Yes,” the loan will not be approved.

12. In the PPP loan application, the small business (through its authorized representative) must state, among other things, its: (a) average monthly payroll expenses; and (b) number of employees. These figures are used to calculate the amount of money the small business is eligible to receive under the PPP. In addition, businesses applying for a PPP loan must provide

documentation showing their payroll expenses. Applicants must acknowledge the following by initialing next to each statement:

- a. The Applicant was in operation on February 15, 2020 and had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors, as reported on Form(s) 1099-MISC
- b. The funds will be used to retain workers and maintain payroll or make mortgage interest payments, lease payments and utility payments as specified under the PPP Rule; I understand that if the funds are knowingly used for unauthorized purposes, the federal government may hold me legally liable, such as for charges of fraud.

13. The SBA oversees the PPP. However, a PPP loan application must be processed by a participating financial institution, most commonly, banks and credit unions (the lender). If a PPP loan application is approved, the lender funds the PPP loan using its own monies, which are 100% guaranteed by Small Business Administration (SBA). Data from the application, including information about the borrower, the total amount of the loan, and the listed number of employees, is transmitted by the lender to the SBA in the course of processing the loan.

14. PPP loan proceeds must be used by the business on certain permissible expenses - payroll costs, interest on mortgages, rent, and utilities. The PPP allows the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the business spends the loan proceeds on these expense items within a designated period of time (usually eight weeks of receiving the proceeds) and uses at least 75% of the PPP loan proceeds on payroll expenses.

Relevant Entities

15. Wright & Sons Transport (“Wright & Sons”) is a registered business that is currently in good standing with the South Carolina Secretary of State. According to documentation from the South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce, there are no employer accounts on file with the name Wright & Sons. In PPP loan documents, **Jontrell Wright** claimed sole ownership of Port Wright & Sons.

Relevant Banks and Lenders

16. BankVista is a federally insured financial institution located in Sartell, Minnesota. BankVista is an approved lender for PPP loans, and as such, was authorized to lend funds to eligible borrowers under the terms of the PPP.

Overview of the Scheme

17. From in or around June 2020 through a date unknown to the United States Attorney but up to at least January 2021, Hammond caused materially fraudulent PPP loan applications to be submitted to federally insured financial institutions on behalf of herself and her associates, Needham, Conrad, and Wright, to obtain PPP funds. In these applications, Hammond falsified her identity, misrepresented the number of employees and payroll expenses of the entities seeking the loans, attached fraudulent tax documents, and made numerous other false and misleading statements.

18. Hammond assisted Needham, Conrad, and **Jontrell Wright** by filling out PPP loan application documents containing materially false and fraudulent information and submitting them to a Confidential Source (“CS”). CS would then submit the fraudulent loan applications to financial institutions approved to loan funds to eligible borrowers under the terms of the PPP, in exchange for a fee. All of the loan applications Hammond submitted on behalf of Needham, Conrad, and **Jontrell Wright** contained false and fraudulent information about the number of employees and corresponding payroll expenses.

19. Based on the false and fraudulent representations and submissions in the PPP loan applications and other supporting documentation submitted by Hammond, Needham, Conrad, and **Jontrell Wright**, with Hammond’s assistance and direction, the approved PPP lenders funded the PPP loans.

20. After the PPP loan funds were deposited into the respective accounts, Hammond, Needham, Conrad, and **Jontrell Wright** used the funds for non-qualifying, non-business-related purposes, in violation of the terms of the PPP loan program.

COUNT 1

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 371)

21. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 20 are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

22. From in or around June 2020 to and continuing until at least January 2021, in the District of South Carolina and elsewhere, the defendant, **JONTRELL WRIGHT**, and others knowingly and intentionally combined, conspired, confederated, agreed, and had a tacit understanding to knowingly devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, transmitted or caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce, any writings, signs, signals, pictures or sounds for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343;

Object of the Conspiracy

23. The object of the conspiracy was for the Defendant, **JONTRELL WRIGHT**, to provide false representations on PPP loan applications submitted to federally insured financial institutions to obtain money and property. In the loan applications, **JONTRELL WRIGHT** misrepresented the number of employees and payroll expenses of the entities seeking the loans, attached fraudulent tax documents, and made numerous other false and misleading statements.

Overt Acts

24. In furtherance of the conspiracy, the Defendant, **JONTRELL WRIGHT**, and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, including Hammond, committed the

following overt acts in the District of South Carolina, by submitting the following loan application on behalf of the business as set forth below:

Applicant	Business Name	Date of Funding	Loan Amount	Lender
Wright	Wright & Sons Transport	July 21, 2020	\$433,000.00	BankVista

25. **JONTRELL WRIGHT** fraudulently obtained \$561,700.00 in PPP loan funds.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 371 and 2.

COUNT TWO

Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343)

The Scheme to Defraud

26. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 20 and 23 through 25 of this Information are incorporated herein by reference.

27. That beginning in or about May 2020, and continuing until in or about January 2021, in the District of South Carolina and elsewhere, the Defendant, **JONTRELL WRIGHT**, and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, knowingly devised a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, and during such period, knowingly transmitted and caused to be transmitted in interstate commerce, by means of wire communications, certain electronic signals, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

Manner and Means of the Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

28. It was part of the scheme that Hammond electronically submitted a PPP loan application, on behalf of and at **JONTRELL WRIGHT'S** direction, to CS, who in turn submitted the loan application to BankVista. In the loan application, **JONTRELL WRIGHT** requested a

PPP loan for Wright & Sons. According to the loan application, Wright & Sons is located at 606 Fourwinds Rd., Holly Hill, SC. Wright & Sons claimed 21 employees with a monthly payroll of \$173,235.00. **JONTRELL WRIGHT** is listed as 100% owner, signed as the authorized representative, and included a photocopy of his driver's license as required with the application.

29. In the loan application, **JONTRELL WRIGHT** and Hammond included material fraudulent and false representations and submissions. Specifically, **JONTRELL WRIGHT** and Hammond fraudulently inflated the number of employees and the corresponding payroll expenses. **JONTRELL WRIGHT** and Hammond submitted a false business address for Wright & Sons and attached fraudulent tax documents to the loan application. BankVista approved the loan application for Wright & Sons and submitted the loan application to the SBA for funding.

30. On or about July 21, 2020, based on **JONTRELL WRIGHT** and Hammond's fraudulent and false representations and submissions, the U.S. Treasury or SBA approved the loan and distributed approximately \$433,000.00 in PPP loan funds to **JONTRELL WRIGHT'S** personal bank account.

31. After **JONTRELL WRIGHT** received the funds, he used the funds for non-qualifying, non-business-related purposes, in violation of the terms of the PPP loan program, including by making large cash withdrawals and by purchasing a golf cart, among other personal purchases.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

FORFEITURE**CONSPIRACY/WIRE FRAUD:**

Upon conviction for violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 371 and 1343 as charged in this Information, the Defendant, **JONTRELL WRIGHT**, shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or personal, constituting, derived from or traceable to proceeds the Defendant obtained directly or indirectly as a result of such offenses.

PROPERTY:

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), the property which is subject to forfeiture upon conviction of the Defendant for the offenses charged in this Information includes, but is not limited to, the following:

Cash Proceeds/Forfeiture Judgment:

A sum of money equal to all property the Defendant obtained as a result of the wire fraud offenses charged in this Information, and all interest and proceeds traceable thereto as a result for his violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371 and 1343.

SUBSTITUTION OF ASSETS:

If any of the property described above as being subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of the Defendant:

- (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
- (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by 18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1) to seek forfeiture of any other property of Defendant up to an amount equivalent to the value of the above-described forfeitable property;

Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

COREY F. ELLIS

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s/ Emily Evans Limehouse

Emily Evans Limehouse (Fed. ID #12300)

Assistant United States Attorney

151 Meeting Street, Suite 200

Charleston, SC 29401

Tel.: (843) 727-4381

Fax: (843) 727-4443

Email: Emily.Limehouse@usdoj.gov