ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-seventh Legislature – First Regular Session

COMMITTEE ON REGULATORY OVERSIGHT

Report of Regular Meeting
Tuesday, February 4, 2025
House Hearing Room 4 – 2:00 P.M. or upon recess or adjournment

Convened: 2:00 P.M.
Recessed
Reconvened
Adjourned 2:52 P.M.

MINUTES RECEIVED
CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE
2/5/25

Members Present
Representative Contreras L
Representative Hernandez C
Representative Keshel
Representative Kolodin, Vice-Chairman
Representative Chaplik, Chairman

Members Absent

Agenda
Original Agenda – Attachment 1

Request to Speak
Report – Attachment 2

Committee Rules
Report – Attachment 3

Committee Attendance
Report – Attachment 4

Presentations
N/A

Committee Action

Bill	Action	Vote	Attachments
HCR2038	DPA	3-2-0-0	5, 6, 7
HB2049	DP	3-2-0-0	8, 9
HB2684	DP	3-2-0-0	10, 11
HB2637	HELD		12, 13

Stephanie Smith, Committee Secretary
February 5, 2025

(Original attachments on file in the Office of the Chief Clerk; video archives available at http://www.azleg.gov)

Convened: 2:00pm
Adjourned: 2:52pm

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-seventh Legislature - First Regular Session

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

COMMITTEE ON REGULATORY OVERSIGHT

DATE Tuesday, February 4, 2025 ROOM HHR 4 TIME 2:00 P.M. or upon recess or adjournment of Floor

Members of the public may access a livestream of the meeting here:
https://www.azleg.gov/videoplayer/?clientID=6361162879&eventID=2025021011

Members:

Representative Contreras L
Representative Hernandez C
Representative Keshel
Representative Kolodin, Vice-Chairman
Representative Chaplik, Chairman

Bills		Short Title	Strike Everything Title
HB2049	DP	administrative decisions; security proceedings; hearings	
2	3-2-0-0	(Kolodin)	
		RO, RULES	
~~HB2637~~	Held	board of dispensing opticians; repeal	
		(Kolodin: Keshel)	
		RO, RULES	
HB2684	DP	pedestrians; congregating; medians; unsafe locations	
3	3-2-0-0	(Chaplik: Gillette, Heap, et al)	
		RO, RULES	
*HCR2038	DPA	rulemaking; legislative ratification; regulatory costs	
1	3-2-0-0	(Kolodin)	

* If printed, first read and assigned

ORDER OF BILLS TO BE SET BY THE CHAIRMAN

VC
01/29/2025

People with disabilities may request reasonable accommodations such as interpreters, alternative formats, or assistance with physical accessibility. If you require accommodations, please contact the Chief Clerk's Office at (602) 926-3032 or through Arizona Relay Service 7-1-1.

Attachment 1

Information Registered on the Request to Speak System

House Regulatory Oversight (2/4/2025)

HB2049, administrative decisions; security proceedings; hearings

Oppose:

Jacob Emnett, COUNTY SUPERVISORS ASSN OF AZ; Robert Wisler , APACHE JUNCTION, CITY OF

All Comments:

Jacob Emnett, COUNTY SUPERVISORS ASSN OF AZ: Opposition related to lack of funding for the expanded court services required in this and other bills.

HB2637, board of dispensing opticians; repeal

Support:

David Kahn, REPUBLICAN LIBERTY CAUCUS OF ARIZONA

Oppose:

Don Isaacson, Arizona Association Of Dispensing Opticians; Dale Nyblade, representing self; Marie Isaacson, Arizona Association Of Dispensing Opticians; James McClafferty, representing self; Terry Brown, representing self; David Lipkin, Other, Self; Darwin Mordhorst, representing self; Trish Hart, ARIZONA SOCIETY OF EYE PHYSICIANS & SURGEONS; Julia Moghadam Abrishami, representing self; Megan Darian, representing self; Michael Searle, Other, Self; Shana Tevlin, representing self; Michael Rens, representing self; Emit Torres, representing self; Greg Barr, representing self; jesse saenz, representing self; Michelle Dyer, representing self; Margaret Whelan, Executive Director, representing self; Suzanne Coleman, representing self; Lori Scott, representing self; Sheri Mayes, representing self; Michael Ayars, representing self; Colin Trout, representing self; Angelia Dyer, representing self

All Comments:

James McClafferty, Self: The Arizona public needs this proper oversite to be protected against potential damage caused by unqualified dispensing of eyewear.; Terry Brown, Self: I am a VP for one of the largest privately owned optometry practices in the US. With over 15 years in the business and over 25 years in business operation.; Darwin Mordhorst, Self: I respectfully ask you to vote against this bill,and keep licensure safe in Arizona for the protection of all citizens who live here and to protect opticians livelihood here in this state. I have been licensed in the state for over 22 years .; Julia Moghadam Abrishami, Self: Repealing Dispensing Optician licensure undermines public safety and consumer protection, risking the quality of care. Licensed opticians ensure accurate eyewear, and eliminating licensure would lead to unqualified individuals serving the public.; Michael Rens, Self: I am a Licensed Optician since 2012. Removing the License from Arizona would be a negative to keeping quality and ethics. Creating a non licensed state will open the ability to dispense without oversight leading the Doctor to review issues.; Emit Torres, Self: It's very important to keep LDO in the state of AZ.; Greg Barr, Self: This bill is frivolous and partisan interference in government regulations; jesse saenz, Self: As a consumer I feel more comfortable entrusting my vision to one I know who has has the "PROOF" of the education and training pertaining to one of the most important and irreplaceable senses we posses. Sight is a gift; Michelle Dyer, Self: I feel this is necessary

Attachment 2

for patient care and to ensure those that need our services are getting the best that they can.; Margaret Whelan, Executive Director, Self: Consider in discussions & decision-making, the regulation of professions. Maintaining robust regulatory frameworks is not merely a bureaucratic necessity; it's a crucial component of protecting health, safety & welfare of the constituents we serve.; Suzanne Coleman, Self: The Board of Dispensing Opticians is crucial due to its role in regulating the profession and ensuring public safety. Its elimination could jeopardize consumer protection and industry standards, highlighting the need for its continued existence.; Sheri Mayes, Self: We are a self sufficient board since 1956. We help protect the citizens of Arizona with regards to their vision needs. We also elevate the profession by ensuring certain standards are met!; Michael Ayars, Self: The board creates a skilled system that benefits Arizona residents as well as its economy.; Colin Trout, Self: As a six year navy veteran and a licensed optician of over 30 years I am confided that I must re-invent myself at the age of 59. This bill must be denied. You need a license to cut hair, but not to dispense a medical product.; Angelia Dyer, Self: Licenses are necessary to protect patient care and those needing glasses.

HB2684, pedestrians; congregating; medians; unsafe locations

Testified as opposed:

Gaelle Esposito, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF AZ; Natalya Brown, RURAL ARIZONA ACTION

Support:

Mary Evelyn Simpson, representing self; Peter Asencio, representing self; Chris Russo, representing self; Wendy Radimer, representing self; Paul Johnson, representing self; Philip Carman, representing self

Oppose:

Francisco "Hugo" Polanco, Rural Arizona Action (RAZA); Mary Pradelt, representing self; Judith K. Moll, representing self; Craig McDermott, representing self; Dianne Post, AZ NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR WOMEN (NOW), Self; Sharon Lee, representing self; Bobbie Howard, representing self; Martha O'Connor, representing self; Kathleen Dubbs, representing self; Marilyn Rodriguez, AZ ATTORNEYS FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE (AACJ); Nancy Lecrone Nonini, representing self; Beth Ballmann, representing self; Michael Levine, representing self; Marisa MATA, representing self

All Comments:

Mary Evelyn Simpson, Self: YavGOP PC Support Common sense. People should not be hanging out in unsafe places. Unsafe for them and the drivers. Another type of distracted driving.; Peter Asencio, Self: I am a PC Captain, AZ State Committeeman. I support this bill.; Chris Russo, Self: Chris Russo, Area 5 PC here. I would like to also see language saying no liability if a driver hits someone blocking the road to do harm to the driver.; Wendy Radimer, Self: I Support this bill. Wendy Radimer Yavapai County PC strong strong strong support; Paul Johnson, Self: I fully strongly support this bill. Arnold Paul Johnson, Precinct Committeeman, Yavapai County; Beth Ballmann, Self: This punishes homeless rather than seeking an actual solution.; Michael Levine, Self: would put panhandling in the same category as petty theft and swatting; Marisa MATA, Self: This bill further criminalizes our houseless community.

HCR2038, rulemaking; legislative ratification; regulatory costs

Testified in support:

Matt Fioriti, OPPORTUNITY SOLUTIONS PROJECT

Support:

Spencer Kamps, HOME BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF CENTRAL AZ; Shirley Dye, representing self; Lynne Weaver, representing self; Rose Sperry, representing self; Darlene Younker, representing self; Kevin Brown, representing self; Nancy Cottle, representing self; Christine Maceri Genge, representing self; Mark Logan, representing self; Theresa Logan, representing self; Stephen Harris, representing self; Buffalo Rick Galeener, representing self; Jan Baron, representing self; Nikki Colletti, representing self; Dianna Gates, representing self; Melanie Hutchings, representing self; Phyllis Lester, representing self; Mary Ann Johnston, representing self; Helen Hebert, representing self; Starr Costa, representing self; Peter Asencio, representing self; Michael Mote, representing self; Bev Miller, representing self; Gary Zimmerman, representing self; Wendy Radimer, representing self; Paul Johnson, representing self; Ryan Larson, representing self; Yvonne Lowther, representing self

Neutral:

Marilyn Childs, representing self

Oppose:

Carolyn Watson, representing self; Marina Cabanillas Quintana, representing self; Sandy Bahr, Sierra Club - Grand Canyon Chapter; Dave Long, representing self; Janie Hydrick, representing self; Theresa Ulmer, AZ MUNICIPAL WATER USERS ASSN; Steve Ramos, representing self; Judith Simons, representing self; Thomas Krepitch, representing self; Mary Pradelt, representing self; Judith K. Moll, representing self; Steven Linder, representing self; Rebecca Smith Gross, representing self; Judith Robbins, representing self; Maria Salvucci, representing self; Theodora Schiro, representing self; Eve Shapiro, representing self; Jeanne Casteen, representing self; Kelley Murphy, representing self; Mariana Spier, representing self; Mary Ann Graffagnino, representing self; Beatriz Urrea, representing self; Alisa McMahon, representing self; Michael Fiflis, representing self; DOUG ARNOLD, representing self; Claudia Bloom, representing self; Dianne Post, AZ NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR WOMEN (NOW), Self; Cynthia Couture, representing self; Carol Maas, representing self; Sherrilynn James, representing self; Donita Ramos, representing self; Bryna Koch, representing self; Mildred Rae Tucker, representing self; Catherine Sigmon, representing self; Darrell Boomgaarden, representing self; Eileen Garces, representing self; SUSAN ARNOLD, representing self; Katherine Doman Sheydayi, representing self; Elizabeth Schauer, representing self; Heather Cates, representing self; Gail Kamaras, representing self; Charlie Silver, representing self; Farhana Sarder, representing self; Joy Carter, representing self; Theresa Paszkiewicz, representing self; Patricia Gerrodette, representing self; Leslie Stallcop, representing self; Dale Cohen-Bruno, representing self; Janice Counts, representing self; Shelley Stephenson, representing self; Valerie Policastro Edie, representing self; Jacqueline deSa, representing self; Laura Norton, representing self; Christopher Cerrato, representing self; ALICE BUCK, representing self; Eileen Goldman, representing self; Martha O'Connor, representing self; Peggy Yeargain-Williams, representing self; Barbara Hollway, representing self; Robin LaVoie, representing self; Shelly Gordon-Gray, representing self; Chris Allen, representing self; Mary Nelson, representing self; Jennifer Dawson, representing self; Kurt Reuter, representing self; Sherry Massie, representing self; Michelle Winker, representing self; Linda Ekstrum, representing self; Deirdre MacDonald, representing self; David Myers, representing self; Lisa Maczura, representing self; Ruth Shea, representing self; Joseph Alexander, representing self; Nelson Morgan, representing self; Kathleen Woessner, representing self; Maria Hidalgo, representing self; Jan Perozeni Corbus, representing self; Marilyn Coyle, representing self; Stephen Coyle, representing self; Paula Redinger, representing self; Frederick

James, representing self; Linda Edwards, representing self; Kathryn Anderson, representing self; Marilyn Murov, representing self; Nancy Santori, representing self; Penny Boone, representing self; Amy Etzkorn, representing self; Matthew Nelson, representing self; Carol Garnett, representing self; Becky Sayler, representing self; Carol Campbell, representing self; William Yohey, representing self; Kathleen Dubbs, representing self; Kathy Boelte, representing self; Kathryn Pensinger, representing self; Patricia Edelen, representing self; Liana Moran, representing self; Wendy Anderson, representing self; Kathy Pyner, representing self; Claudia Oreck-Teplitsky, representing self; Gary Townsend, representing self; Bobbie Howard, representing self; Brent Gibbs, representing self; Annarose Lilly, representing self; William S. James, representing self; Linda Paul, representing self; Denise Skalon, representing self; Jim Moran, representing self; Sherri Johnson, representing self; Kathleen Schanus-Gohl, representing self; Jerrold Borchardt, representing self; Julie Branyan, representing self; Karen Dubin, representing self; Marcia Tingley, representing self; Esteban Hidalgo, representing self; Anne Leota Hart, representing self; Jeri Dow, representing self; Eric Harris, representing self; Marilyn Schwind, representing self; Mary Boomgaarden, representing self; Maggie Huntington, representing self; Margaret Tinsley, representing self; Sharon Lee, representing self; Loretta O'Connor, representing self; Kathleen Sauer, representing self; Mary-Jeanne Fincher, representing self; Kendra Flory, representing self; Jocelyn Dustan, representing self; Angela Shopmeyer, representing self; Nancy Musgrave, representing self; Daniel Stormont, representing self; Cynthia Wagner, representing self; Susan Morris, representing self; Alice Patricia Price, representing self; Deborah Howard, representing self; joan hall, representing self; Roy Verdery, representing self; LINDA GANTVERG, representing self; Rebecca Haynes, representing self; Theresa Ryan, representing self; Angela J. Miller, representing self; Sharon Trujillo, representing self; Nancy Lecrone Nonini, representing self; Aaron Essif, representing self; Monica Bills, representing self; Gail Bliss, representing self; Michael Weingarten, representing self; Diane McQueen, representing self; Christine Keitges, representing self; Charlotte Lis, representing self; Peter Coston, representing self; Jo Ann Caruthers, representing self; RITA DEPUYDT, representing self; Vicky Campo, representing self; Debbie Odle, representing self; Donna Corbin, representing self; Valerie Harris, representing self; Janet Hamlin, representing self; Kelly Lake-Warnberg, representing self; Melissa Galarza, representing self; Sandra Adler, representing self; Angela Buer, representing self; Mariette Francis, representing self; Barbara Larson, representing self; Dee Maitland, representing self; Louise Good, representing self; Jessica Kitterman, representing self; Katherine Warren, representing self; Chris Ahearn, representing self; Karolyn Switzer, representing self; Margaret Adams , representing self; Peggy Kile, representing self; janice sandoz, representing self; Peggy Marlatt, representing self; Carol Fiore, representing self; Linda Guarino, representing self; Jana Segal, representing self; Heather Patenaude, representing self; Karen Peterson, representing self; marcia stewart, representing self; Margaret Cordovano, representing self; Janet Senf, representing self; Sharon Ehrlich, representing self; George Ehrlich, representing self; Teri Raml , representing self; Karen VanAllen, representing self; Linda Reichert, representing self; Julie Bufkin, representing self; Maegan Johnson , PHOENIX, CITY OF; Amy Pedotto, representing self; Laurie Liles, AZ IMPACT FOR GOOD; Pat Jayson, representing self; Barbara Rodman, representing self; Kelsey McDougall, representing self; Terri Farneti, representing self; Jillian Ryan, representing self; Michael Levine, representing self; Aaron Torrance, representing self; Patricia Prickett, representing self; Devon Sloan, representing self; Shana Tevlin, representing self; Dawn Norton LD29, representing self; Cathy Noga, representing self; Eric Kadel, representing self; Bart Briefstein, representing self; Greg Olszta, representing self; Tiana Channer, representing self; William Parker, representing self; Nancee K Wood, representing self; Pamela Chittenden, representing self; Lyandra Rodriguez, representing self; Susie Daniels, representing self; Karen Brown, representing self; Karen Kaplan, representing self; Barrie Stachel, representing self; Joseph Murray, representing self; Mike Kunnecke, representing self; Allen Brown, representing self; Philip Carman, representing self; Elissa Karn, representing self; Garyh Rulapaugh, representing self; Janie Smieszek, representing self; Ethan Rigel, representing self; Ashka Atodaria, representing self; Don Howard, representing self; Beth Ballmann, representing self; Leigh Emrick, representing self; Valarie Bryant, representing self; Jane Johnson, representing self; Lisa Koenig,

representing self; Nicole Linn, representing self; Carol Rohe, representing self; Richard Brock, representing self; Nick Arnold, CLIMATE CABINET ACTION; Jessica Bueno, representing self; Susan Heck, representing self; Virginia Marth, representing self; Rita Marth, representing self; Amy Satre, representing self; Suzanne Zimmerman, representing self; Peggy Church, representing self; Kathryn Dorn, representing self; James Lewison, representing self; Melinda Bell, representing self

All Comments:

Shirley Dye, Self: Regulations should be removed from many businesses, etc. This should not be going to a ballot, most citizens do not know about these things. But, yes, if there is a new reg prices should have oversight.; Darlene Younker, Self: Increased regulatory costs and unfunded mandates cause tax increases, in my opinion. We must get a handle on legislators and rule-makers penchant for tax and spend.; Stephen Harris, Self: This is a simple checks-and-balance provision; Nikki Colletti, Self: This a a good checks and balances provision; Helen Hebert, Self: needed for checks and balances; Starr Costa, Self: Checks and balance provisions make sense.; Peter Asencio, Self: I am a PC Captain, AZ State Committeeman. We all should be accountably for a budget to controll spending EVEN goverment agencyes.; Michael Mote, Self: I support this bill as a simple check-and-balance provision.; Gary Zimmerman, Self: Common sense financial efficiency and responsiblity.; Wendy Radimer, Self: I Support this bill. Wendy Radimer Yavapai County PC; Paul Johnson, Self: I fully support this bill. Arnold Paul Johnson, Precinct Committeeman, Yavapai County; Carolyn Watson, Self: The voters decided this issue just last November. It would criminalized unhoused people and make the cities liable. Rather than pay for the inevitable lawsuits this bill would create, can't we move on?; Marina Cabanillas Quintana, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Sandy Bahr, Sierra Club - Grand Canyon Chapter: This is quite similar to Prop 315, rejected by voters last November. It creates huge bureaucracy around rulemaking too.; Judith Simons, Self: Legislative overreach. Rejected by voters who opposed Prop 315 just 3 months ago.; Judith Robbins, Self: This has already been voted down by the majority of voters. Take these restrictions off of voters access to dropping off their ballots. Listen to AZ voters! Vote No.; Kelley Murphy, Self: This will only cause gridlock and leave every day administration and operation of government at the whims of political parties.; Mary Ann Graffagnino, Self: No, we need our state government to be able to regulate spending.; Beatriz Urrea, Self: This idea has already been rejected by voters. It is a bad idea and we don't want it.; Alisa McMahon, Self: 1. Voters rejected Prop 315 in 2024. 2. We have 3 branches of government for good reason. 3. We have regulations for good reasons. 4. Exhibit better judgment than the 2024 legislature and do NOT be responsible for a four-page ballot.; Michael Fiflis, Self: Quit bucking the will of the people. We already rejected Prop 315 last year. This resolution, if approved, would create enormous red tape and prevent the government from doing its jobs. It also violates the separation of powers clause.; Claudia Bloom, Self: We already voted against this.; Dianne Post, AZ NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR WOMEN (NOW), Self: The people have said no. No means no.; Cynthia Couture, Self: Voters rejected prop 315 already.; Donita Ramos, Self: No need to vote on this again.; Catherine Sigmon, Self: Voters already voted this down. It's arrogant to override the will of the people.; Gail Kamaras, Self: Voters just said a big NO to this idea; Charlie Silver, Self: This was literally just rejected by voters ion the last election.; Patricia Gerrodette, Self: We already said no to this. Quit wasting our time and your time too.; Peggy Yeargain-Williams, Self: A slap in the face to voters who just rejected this idea.; Chris Allen, Self: You tried this one already. The voters said no. If you keep saying no to the voters, they will vote you out.; Kurt Reuter, Self: Similar to Prop. 315, which was rejected by voters last November. Please listen to your constituents!; Deirdre MacDonald, Self: This was just rejected by voters in 2024! What are you trying to pull!; Lisa Maczura, Self: Regulation makes things harder for people with less than stellar motives. Bad bill.; Joseph Alexander, Self: An inappropriate and unwieldy infringement of the legislature on proper roles of State agencies.; Nelson Morgan, Self: We voters already rejected this idea - it would be a regulation to reduce bureaucracy that would increase bureaucracy, just of a different kind.; Maria Hidalgo, Self: Copy of Prop 315, already shot down by voters on the

2024 ballot. This would not only kneecap our AZ's government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea. AZ already said NO!; Jan Perozeni Corbus, Self: Another attempt at pushing through a Bill that was already rejected by the Voters.; Paula Redinger, Self: Does Kolodin not realize voters already rejected this idea? Committee, take note, we already said NO.; Marilyn Murov, Self: This resurrects Prop 315 which voters already rejected in Nov. 2024. This resurrection is a waste of time and is an end run around the will of the voters.; Penny Boone, Self: NO. Voters rejected this on the 2024 ballot.; Matthew Nelson, Self: This bill already voted this bill down.; Carol Garnett, Self: The voters already said this is a bad idea. No need to increase regulatory costs or add more clutter to our ballots.; Carol Campbell, Self: The voters already decided and said NO!; Kathleen Dubbs, Self: The voters have spoken: they said no!; Kathy Boelte, Self: Voters just rejected this in 2024. It disrespects the decision of the voters.; Wendy Anderson, Self: Voters rejected already- get rid of it!; Gary Townsend, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Annarose Lilly, Self: The voters already said NO to this.; William S. James, Self: This seems to be essentially prop 315 which was defeated by voters in the last election. Since this did not go your way, do you feel that there should be a revote? If so, should there also be a revote on your election to office?; Denise Skalon, Self: this is a close copy of Prop 315 which was not passed by the voters on the 2024 ballot; Sherri Johnson, Self: This was already rejected by Arizona voters in Prop 315.; Marcia Tingley, Self: Another power grab by the Legislature of executive office authority. Also a slap in the face of voters who just rejected this idea.; Jeri Dow, Self: voters already rejected a similar bill in 2024.; Margaret Tinsley, Self: This bill would make it very difficult for agencies to operate efficiently and would lengthen the legislative session by requiring the legislature to do agency work. An all-around bad idea. Please vote no.; Loretta O'Connor, Self: Voters *just* said No to this. Don't waste our time, money, and paper; Mary-Jeanne Fincher, Self: Voters already rejected this idea. Stop already.; Jocelyn Dustan, Self: This harms our state government's ability to regulate spending, and is also an insult to voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Angela Shopmeyer, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Daniel Stormont, Self: First of all, if you can't get legislation passed through normal order, stop sending it to the ballot. We're tired of doing your job. Secondly, economic costs should not be the only measure for regulation, protecting citizens should be.; Cynthia Wagner, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Susan Morris, Self: Did you not hear us in 2024?!; Alice Patricia Price, Self: This has been rejected by voters; Deborah Howard, Self: Voters rejected this measure just three months ago. Respect voters and oppose this bill right here, this week.; Roy Verdery, Self: Unnecessary interference by the legislature into the day to day work of governing. Costly in time and money.; LINDA GANTVERG, Self: This idea has been rejected by the voters already.; Rebecca Haynes, Self: We already said NO to Prop 315. Why would we want to pay more to hamstring the state gov't's ability to regulate spending?; Theresa Ryan, Self: This idea was just rejected by the voters; Angela J. Miller, Self: We voters just rejected this in November in the form of Pop 315! Stop disrespecting the voters wishes.; Nancy Lecrone Nonini, Self: Voters already defeated; Aaron Essif, Self: This is similar to Prop. 315 which was shot down in November.; Jo Ann Caruthers, Self: Voters just said NO to a similar proposition! NO! NO! NO!; Donna Corbin, Self: The voters turned this down in 2024. Republicans, stop trying to take away the people's voice. That is not what you do in a democracy.; Janet Hamlin, Self: voters just rejected prop 315, this looks like a version of that. don't waste voters time with repeat issues on the ballot.; Kelly Lake-Warnberg, Self: We've already said "NO" to this before. Let's focus on real needs!; Sandra Adler, Self: Already voted down by AZ voters; Angela Buer, Self: This has been previously rejected by voters and will restrict AZ gov't ability to regulate spending.; Dee Maitland, Self: We already told you NO!; Louise Good, Self: This is very similar to Prop 315 that was voted down in last November's election. Take the hint and vote NO.; Jessica Kitterman, Self: This bill is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea. Oppose and respect the voters' voices.; Chris Ahearn, Self: The Arizona state government needs to be able to regulate spending - a duty to all Arizona citizens. The voters recently rejected this idea. It is not a

productive or proper bill. Thank you; janice sandoz, Self: Prop 315 was voted down in the last election. Respect the will of the people.; Linda Guarino, Self: We already said NO!; marcia stewart, Self: voters rejected similiar in 2024. knee caps state government to regulate spending; Margaret Cordovano, Self: Requiring a state agency to submit for review a regulation which would incur regulatory costs of over $500,000 over 5 years would needlessly give additional hurdles to agencies in carrying out their missions. This was rejected by voters in 2024.; Karen VanAllen, Self: Didn't voters just vote this down in November? Reintroducing this is insulting.; Linda Reichert, Self: Voters have recently rejected this proposal. Why are legislators wasting time on this instead of addressing real problems?; Barbara Rodman, Self: I urge you to drop this proposal, which would not only hinder our state government's ability to regulate spending, but has already been rejected by voters (Prop 315) in the 2024 election. This is a waste of taxpayer time and money.; Terri Farneti, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Jillian Ryan, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Michael Levine, Self: This bill is a close copy of Prop 315, shot down by voters on the 2024 ballot.This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Aaron Torrance, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but it's a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Dawn Norton LD29, Self: Banning agencies from creating rules that increase costs undermines government regulations. I oppose this bill as it limits effective governance and disregards voter rejection of similar proposals.; Bart Briefstein, Self: The people have spoken on this. DO NOT PASS THIS.; Tiana Channer, Self: Very close copy of Prop 315 that we the voters already rejected in 2024. Stop trying to overturn voter mandates.; Pamela Chittenden, Self: Listen to the voters! We already said “no”!; Karen Brown, Self: This is essentially the same as Prop 315, which was rejected by voters in November 2024.; Barrie Stachel, Self: HCR2038 is the same as Prop 315 that was rejected resoundingly by voters two months ago. This bill is a blatant disregard of AZ voters' wishes, waste of resources. Vote NO on HCR2038.; Joseph Murray, Self: This would not only kneecap our state government's ability to regulate spending, but is a direct slap in the face of voters who literally just rejected this idea.; Mike Kunnecke, Self: How about "if at 1st U don't succeed" just go home!; Allen Brown, Self: A bad idea. Just another version of Prop 315 that was overwhelming rejected by the voters last November. Please republicans, let's get to work on bills that would help the citizens of Arizona instead of wasting time with Prop13 2.0.; Philip Carman, Self: Our state legislators are not experts in all fields. The experts in the relevant agencies are the persons best qualified to make decisions regarding necessary expenses for their agencies to conduct their missions.; Elissa Karn, Self: Voters rejected this in the recent election; it is insulting to see it introduced again.; Janie Smieszek, Self: Voters rejected this bill already.; Beth Ballmann, Self: Puts non-experts in charge of decision-making that requires expertise.; Jane Johnson, Self: I voted against this before on the 2024 ballot and oppose this bill. It would prevent state government's ability to regulate spending.; Lisa Koenig, Self: Voters have already spoken on this, and they do not want it. Vote NO.; Nicole Linn, Self: This is an issue that has already been vetoed and rejected by voters. You are not listening to your constituents and trying to enact policies that are clearly NOT what the public wants.; Richard Brock, Self: I am an Independent voter and I rejected this very same idea (Proposition 315) in last November's election. Please (Please, Please) listen to the voters. Which is your job.; Nick Arnold, CLIMATE CABINET ACTION: Voters rejected this in 2024 by a good margin, let's respect the will of the voters who demonstrated a clear understanding of the issues with how differently they voted on each legislative referral.; Susan Heck, Self: The voters already said NO to this issue, so why is more time and effort spent on it?; Kathryn Dorn, Self: Please don't spam our ballots with a rehashed version of Prop. 315. We defeated your last attempt at this; we can defeat this, too, but I hope you all see sense and don't waste taxpayer time and money on this gaslighting attempt.; James Lewison, Self: This bill would degrade our state government's ability to regulate spending and goes AGAINST the will of the voters who rejected this in the 2024 election cycle

Rules of the House Committee on Regulatory Oversight

RULE 1. A majority of the members of the committee shall constitute a quorum. No official action may be taken unless a quorum is present in person at the time of taking such action.

RULE 2. The chairman sets the agenda and determines the order of measures. The chairman also controls all public testimony.

RULE 3. The following motions are customary and in order relating to actions on measures:

1. Do pass
2. Do pass amended
3. Return for consideration of the House
4. Hold for further study
5. Do not pass
6. Be assigned to subcommittee for…

RULE 4. The affirmative vote of a majority of those voting is required to take any action.

RULE 5. On a roll call vote the names of committee members shall be called alphabetically by surname, except that the vice chairman and the chairman shall be called upon last. Each member present shall be allowed to pass on one call of the roll, and shall vote "aye" or "nay" (yes/no) or respond "present." Members may change their votes before the announcement of the results and any member may explain his or her vote on any measure.

RULE 6. Whenever a measure is reported from the committee on a divided vote, any member may request a minority report.

RULE 7. All amendments (except strike-everything amendments, see House Rule 8 (T)) shall be distributed to each committee member's office by 12:00 P.M. the day before the committee at which it will be offered. Verbal amendments may be offered during the committee meeting at the discretion of the chairman.

In accordance with Rule 9 of the *House Rules*, the following *House Rules* are also incorporated into the Committee Rules:

RULE 9(C) Each Standing Committee shall adopt and file with the Chief Clerk's Office rules of procedure consistent with the Rules of the House and shall include the following:

1. With the exception of executive sessions ordered by a majority of the committee constituting a quorum, all committee meetings shall be open to the other members of the Legislature, the press and public so long as proper decorum is maintained.

2. All committees shall meet at regularly scheduled times and places unless cancelled with the permission of the Speaker. In the absence of the

Attachment 3

chairman, the vice chairman shall preside. In the absence of both the chairman and vice chairman, some other committee member designated by the Speaker shall preside.

3. The committee chairman (presiding officer) other than the chairman of the Committee on Rules shall prepare an agenda and distribute copies to committee members, the Information Desk and the Chief Clerk's Office by 5:00 p.m. at least five days before the committee meeting. Absence of a bill, memorial or resolution from the committee agenda will prohibit its consideration unless unanimous consent of all committee members is obtained. Unless a reconsideration motion has been offered and failed, a chairman may place a measure that failed in committee on any subsequent agenda.

4. A bill not on the committee agenda shall be scheduled for discussion at the next subsequent committee meeting by presentation of a petition containing the signatures of two-thirds or more of the committee members.

5. A roll call vote shall be taken in each standing committee when final action on any bill is voted.

6. Written committee reports shall be filed with the Chief Clerk's Office within a reasonable time but no later than two weeks from time of completion of meetings. A recording of the meeting shall be made available for public inspection three working days after the meeting. Attendance records of all committee meetings shall be filed with the Chief Clerk's Office within 24 hours from time of completion of meetings.

7. Special meetings may be called by the chairman but require permission of the Speaker and notice to members and the general public at the Information Desk by 5:00 p.m. on the previous day, except that the notice of a special meeting of the Committee on Rules may be given in open session prior to the meeting and does not require the Speaker's permission.

RULE 9(E) No committee other than the Committee on Rules shall meet while the House is meeting in session without special permission of the Speaker.

ARIZONA STATE LEGISLATURE

Fifty-seventh Legislature - First Regular Session

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD

COMMITTEE ON **REGULATORY OVERSIGHT**

CHAIRMAN: Joseph Chaplik VICE-CHAIRMAN: Alexander Kolodin

	DATE	02/04/25	/25	/25	/25	/25
	CONVENED	2:00pm	m	m	m	m
	RECESSED					
	RECONVENED					
	ADJOURNED	2:57pm				
MEMBERS						
Contreras L		✓				
Hernandez C		✓				
Keshel		✓				
Kolodin, Vice-Chairman		✓				
Chaplik, Chairman		✓				

√ Present --- Absent exc Excused

Attachment 4

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-seventh Legislature
First Regular Session

HCR2038: rulemaking; legislative ratification; regulatory costs
Sponsor: Representative Kolodin, LD 3
Committee on Regulatory Oversight

Overview

Submits a proposition regarding legislative ratification of proposed rules to the voters.

History

The mission of the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) is to expand economic opportunities for people in Arizona by leading the analysis and evaluation of Arizona's population and economy and investing in communities to power the state's economic growth (OEO).

The Administrative Rules Oversight Committee (AROC) has the authority to review any proposed or final rule for conformity with statute and legislative intent. AROC additionally receives complaints concerning statutes, rules, agency practices and substantive policy statements that are alleged to be duplicative or onerous and may hold hearings regarding the allegations (A.R.S. §§ 41-1047, 41-1048).

Provisions

1. Directs an agency to submit a proposed rule to OEO for review if the rule is estimated to increase state regulatory costs by more than $100,000 within five years after implementation.
2. Specifies that if OEO confirms a proposed rule is estimated to increase state regulatory costs by more than $500,000 within five years after the rule's implementation, the rule becomes effective only when the Legislature enacts legislation ratifying the rule.
3. Stipulates that OEO submit the proposed rule to AROC not later than 30 days before the next regular legislative session and that
4. Instructs AROC to submit the proposed rule to the legislature as soon as practicable.
5. Authorizes any member of the Legislature to introduce legislation to ratify the proposed rule and exempts the proposed rule from statute relating to the time and manner of rulemaking.
6. Prohibits an agency from filing a final rule with the Secretary of State before obtaining legislative approval through legislation ratifying the proposed rule.
7. Requires an agency to publish a notice of termination in the register and terminate the proposed rulemaking if the Legislature does not enact legislation to ratify the proposed rule during the current legislative session.
8. Allows a person who is regulated by an agency proposing a rule or a legislator to request OEO to review the rule.

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes)	☐ Prop 108 (40 votes)	☐ Emergency (40 votes)	☐ Fiscal Note

9. Exempts emergency rules adopted pursuant to statute from the legislative ratification requirements on rulemaking.
10. States that, beginning on the general effective date, a rule is void and unenforceable unless the rule is ratified as prescribed.
11. Excludes the Arizona Corporation Commission from the requirements of legislative ratification of proposed rules.
12. Allows the Legislature to eliminate by concurrent resolution any agency rule that costs taxpayers more than $1,000,000 per year.
13. Stipulates that citizens or businesses affected by an agency rule may request OEO conduct an assessment of a rule's impact on taxpayers.
14. Requires OEO to complete the assessment and notify AROC, the public and the Legislature of the findings within six months.
15. Contains a severability clause. (Sec. 2)
16. Directs the Secretary of State to submit this proposition to the voters at the next general election.

PROPOSED
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AMENDMENTS TO H.C.R. 2038
(Reference to printed bill)

Amendment instruction key:
[GREEN UNDERLINING IN BRACKETS] indicates text added to statute or previously enacted session law.
[Green underlining in brackets] indicates text added to new session law or text restoring existing law.
[~~GREEN STRIKEOUT IN BRACKETS~~] indicates new text removed from statute or previously enacted session law.
[~~Green strikeout in brackets~~] indicates text removed from existing statute, previously enacted session law or new session law.
<<Green carets>> indicate a section added to the bill.
<<~~Green strikeout in carets~~>> indicates a section removed from the bill.

The resolution as proposed to be amended is reprinted as follows:

1. Under the power of the referendum, as vested in the Legislature, the following measure, relating to rulemaking, is enacted to become valid as a law if approved by the voters and on proclamation of the Governor:

AN ACT

AMENDING TITLE 41, CHAPTER 6, ARTICLE 4.1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 41-1049.01; RELATING TO RULEMAKING.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Title 41, chapter 6, article 4.1, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended by adding [~~sections 41-1049 and~~] [section] 41-1049.01, to read:

[~~41-1049. Proposed rulemaking; regulatory costs; legislative ratification; applicability~~

~~A. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER LAW, AN AGENCY SHALL SUBMIT TO THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR REVIEW A PROPOSED RULE THAT IS ESTIMATED TO INCREASE REGULATORY COSTS IN THIS STATE BY MORE THAN $100,000 WITHIN FIVE YEARS AFTER IMPLEMENTATION. IF THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY CONFIRMS THAT THE PROPOSED RULE IS ESTIMATED TO INCREASE REGULATORY COSTS IN THIS STATE BY MORE THAN $500,000 WITHIN FIVE YEARS AFTER IMPLEMENTATION, THE PROPOSED RULE MAY NOT BECOME EFFECTIVE UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE ENACTS LEGISLATION RATIFYING THE PROPOSED RULE.~~

~~B. THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY SHALL SUBMIT THE PROPOSED RULE TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE NOT LATER THAN THIRTY DAYS BEFORE THE NEXT REGULAR LEGISLATIVE~~

Adopted ✓ # of Verbals ____
Failed ____ Withdrawn ____
Not Offered ____ Analysts Initials ____

Attachment 6

~~SESSION. THE COMMITTEE MUST SUBMIT THE PROPOSED RULE TO THE LEGISLATURE AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.~~

~~C. ANY MEMBER OF THE LEGISLATURE MAY INTRODUCE LEGISLATION TO RATIFY THE PROPOSED RULE. THE PROPOSED RULE IS EXEMPT FROM SECTION 41-1024, SUBSECTION B.~~

~~D. THE AGENCY MAY NOT FILE A FINAL RULE WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE BEFORE OBTAINING LEGISLATIVE APPROVAL OF THE RULE THROUGH LEGISLATION RATIFYING THE PROPOSED RULE. IF THE LEGISLATURE DOES NOT ENACT LEGISLATION TO RATIFY THE PROPOSED RULE DURING THE CURRENT LEGISLATIVE SESSION, THE AGENCY SHALL TERMINATE THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING BY PUBLISHING A NOTICE OF TERMINATION IN THE REGISTER.~~

~~E. IF A PERSON IS REGULATED BY AN AGENCY THAT IS PROPOSING A RULE, THAT PERSON MAY REQUEST THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE RULE. A LEGISLATOR MAY ALSO REQUEST THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW A PROPOSED RULE.~~

~~F. THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO EMERGENCY RULES ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 41-1026.~~

~~G. BEGINNING ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SECTION, A RULE PRESCRIBED BY SUBSECTION A OF THIS SECTION IS VOID AND UNENFORCEABLE UNLESS THE RULE IS RATIFIED AS PRESCRIBED BY THIS SECTION.~~

~~H. THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO THE CORPORATION COMMISSION.~~]

41-1049.01. Legislative rule removal; rule assessment; definition

A. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER LAW, THE LEGISLATURE MAY ELIMINATE ANY AGENCY RULE THAT COSTS TAXPAYERS MORE THAN $1,000,000 PER YEAR BY CONCURRENT RESOLUTION.

B. CITIZENS OR BUSINESSES IN THIS STATE THAT ARE AFFECTED BY A RULE MAY REQUEST THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY TO CONDUCT AN ASSESSMENT OF A RULE'S IMPACT ON TAXPAYERS. THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY SHALL COMPLETE THE ASSESSMENT AND NOTIFY THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, THE PUBLIC AND THE LEGISLATURE OF THE OFFICE'S FINDINGS WITHIN SIX MONTHS.

C. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "RULE" HAS THE SAME MEANING PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 41-1001.

Sec. 2. Severability

If a provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the act that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

2. The Secretary of State shall submit this proposition to the voters at the next general election as provided by article IV, part 1, section 1, Constitution of Arizona.

Enroll and engross to conform
Amend title to conform

ALEXANDER KOLODIN

HCR2038KOLODIN.docx
02/03/2025
08:56 AM
H: DC/ls

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-seventh Legislature - First Regular Session

ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Oversight BILL NO. HCR 2038

DATE February 04, 2025 MOTION: DPA

	PASS	AYE	NAY	PRESENT	ABSENT
Contreras L			✓		
Hernandez C			✓		
Keshel		✓			
Kolodin, Vice-Chairman		✓			
Chaplik, Chairman		✓			
		3	2	0	0

COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

JOSEPH CHAPLIK, Chairman
ALEXANDER KOLODIN, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 7

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-seventh Legislature
First Regular Session

HB 2049: administrative decisions; security proceedings; hearings
Sponsor: Representative Kolodin, LD 3
Committee on Regulatory Oversight

Overview

Requires the right to trial by jury to be available and exercisable to the defendant in an appeal of a final administrative decision in which the judgment or order sought seeks payment from the other party.

History

A person is permitted to appeal a final administrative decision of a contested case or an appealable agency action in accordance with statute relating to judicial review of administrative decisions. In an action for judicial review of a final administrative decision, jurisdiction is vested with the superior court, unless another venue is expressly prescribed in statute (A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.08 and 12-905).

An affected party in a final administrative decision must file a notice of appeal within 35 days of receiving the decision in order to commence an action to review a final administrative decision. The notice of appeal must contain a statement of the findings and decisions sought to be reviewed (A.R.S. §§ 12-904 and 12-909).

After reviewing the administrative record and supplementing evidence, the court may affirm, reverse, modify or vacate and remand the agency action. The court is required to affirm the agency action unless the court concludes that the agency action is: 1) contrary to law; 2) not supported by substantial evidence; 3) arbitrary and capricious; or 4) an abuse of discretion.

Statutes relating to the appeal of a final administrative decision do not apply to the issuance of evidence of indebtedness, such as stocks and bonds, by public service corporations under the jurisdiction of the Corporation Commission (A.R.S. §§ 40-301 and 12-910).

Provisions

1. Requires the right to trial by jury to be available and exercisable to the defendant in an appeal of a final administrative decision in which the judgement or order sought seeks payment from the other party. (Sec. 1)
2. Removes, pertaining to appeals of final administrative decisions before judicial review, language that exempts public service corporations that issue stocks and bonds. (Sec. 1)
3. Makes conforming changes. (Sec. 1)

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) ☐ Emergency (40 votes) ☐ Fiscal Note

Attachment 8

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-seventh Legislature - First Regular Session

ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Oversight BILL NO. HB 2049

DATE February 04, 2025 MOTION: DP

	PASS	AYE	NAY	PRESENT	ABSENT
Contreras L			✓		
Hernandez C			✓		
Keshel		✓			
Kolodin, Vice-Chairman		✓			
Chaplik, Chairman		✓			
		3	2	0	0

COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

JOSEPH CHAPLIK, Chairman
ALEXANDER KOLODIN, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 9

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-seventh Legislature
First Regular Session

HB 2684: pedestrians; congregating; medians; unsafe locations
Sponsor: Representative Chaplik, LD 3
Committee on Regulatory Oversight

Overview

Prohibits a pedestrian from congregating or engaging in solicitation if they are on a painted or raised traffic median or island, on an exit or entrance ramp or roadway of a controlled access highway or in an unsafe location where there is no sidewalk or safe corridor for pedestrians.

History

A person commits obstructing a highway or other public thoroughfare if the person, alone or with others:

1) having no legal privilege to do so, recklessly interferes with the passage of any highway or public thoroughfare by creating an unreasonable inconvenience or hazard. A person guilty of this is responsible for a class 2 misdemeanor and for a second or subsequent violation within 24 months a class 1 misdemeanor;
2) intentionally activates a pedestrian signal on a highway or public thoroughfare if their reason for activating the signal is not the cross the highway or thoroughfare but to stop the passage of traffic and solicit a driver for a donation or business. A person guilty of this is responsible for a class 3 misdemeanor; or
3) after receiving a verbal warning to desist, intentionally interferes with passage on a highway or other public thoroughfare or entrance into a public forum that results in preventing other persons from gaining access to a governmental meeting, hearing or a political campaign event. A person guilty of this is responsible for a class 1 misdemeanor (A.R.S. § 13-2906).

A similar bill was introduced in the 56th Legislature, 2nd Regular Session and was vetoed by the Governor (HB 2658 pedestrians; congregating; medians; intersections).

Provisions

1. Prohibits a pedestrian from congregating or engaging in solicitation if the pedestrian is:
   a) on a painted or raised traffic island or median;
   b) on an exit or entrance ramp or roadway of a controlled access highway; or
   c) in an unsafe location where there is not a sidewalk or a safe corridor for pedestrians. (Sec. 1)
2. States that if a person violates these requirements:
   a) for the first violation, a peace office may only issue a warning;
   b) for a second violation, the person is responsible for a civil traffic violation; and

Attachment 10

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) ☐ Emergency (40 votes) ☐ Fiscal Note

c) for a third or subsequent violation, the person is guilty of a class 1 misdemeanor. (Sec.1)

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-seventh Legislature - First Regular Session

ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Oversight BILL NO. HB 2684

DATE February 04, 2025 MOTION: DP

	PASS	AYE	NAY	PRESENT	ABSENT
Contreras L			✓		
Hernandez C			✓		
Keshel		✓			
Kolodin, Vice-Chairman		✓			
Chaplik, Chairman		✓			
		3	2	0	0

COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

JOSEPH CHAPLIK, Chairman
ALEXANDER KOLODIN, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 11

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-seventh Legislature
First Regular Session

HB 2637: board of dispensing opticians; repeal
Sponsor: Representative Kolodin, LD 3
Committee on Regulatory Oversight

Overview

Repeals the state Board of Dispensing Opticians (Board).

History

The Board regulates the profession of opticianry, consists of 2 public members and 5 professional members that are licensed as dispensing opticians each of whom is appointed by the Governor and serves five-year terms. Responsibilities of the Board include: 1) issuing licenses to qualified optician and optical establishments; 2) administering examinations; and 3) investigating and adjudicating complaints against licensees (A.R.S. §§ 32-1672, 32-1673).

The 2020 Auditor General Performance Review concluded that the Board generally met its statutory objective and purpose, but noted that the Board needs to ensure the submission of proper documentation for license applications, provide written notice to applicants of application deficiencies and review the necessity of its fees (OAG Audit).

Laws 2022, Chapter 36 continued the board for eight years and the Board is set to terminate on July 1, 2030 (A.R.S. § 41-3030.06).

Provisions

1. Repeals the Board and its powers and duties. (Sec. 1)
2. Makes a conforming change. (Sec. 2)
3. Directs Legislative Council staff to prepare proposed legislation conforming the Arizona Revised Statutes. (Sec. 3)

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) ☐ Emergency (40 votes) ☐ Fiscal Note

Attachment 12

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-seventh Legislature - First Regular Session

ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Oversight BILL NO. HB 2637

DATE February 04, 2025 MOTION: Held

	PASS	AYE	NAY	PRESENT	ABSENT
Contreras L					
Hernandez C					
Keshel					
Kolodin, Vice-Chairman					
Chaplik, Chairman					

COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

JOSEPH CHAPLIK, Chairman
ALEXANDER KOLODIN, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 13