ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

**COMMITTEE ON REGULATORY AFFAIRS**

Report of Regular Meeting
Monday, February 10, 2020
House Hearing Room 5 -- 2:00 P.M.

**Convened** 2:39 P.M.
**Recessed**
**Reconvened**
**Adjourned** 3:13 P.M.

MINUTES RECEIVED
CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE
2-12-20

**Members Present**
Mr. Blackman
Ms. Powers Hannley
Mr. Shah
Mrs. Terán
Mr. Toma
Mr. Roberts, Vice-Chairman
Mr. Grantham, Chairman

**Members Absent**

**Agenda**
Original Agenda – Attachment 1

**Request to Speak**
Report – Attachment 2

**Committee Attendance**
Report – Attachment 3

**Committee Action**

| Bill | Action | Vote | Attachments |
|---|---|---|---|
| HB2269 | DPA | 6-0-0-1 | 4,5,6 |
| HB2643 | DP | 7-0-0-0 | 7,8 |
| HB2599 | DP | 7-0-0-0 | 9,10 |
| HB2601 | DP | 7-0-0-0 | 11,12 |
| HB2685 | DP | 7-0-0-0 | 13,14 |

Susan Hite
Susan Hite, Committee Secretary
February 12, 2020

(Original attachments on file in the Office of the Chief Clerk; video archives available at http://www.azleg.gov)

Conv 2:39 PM
Adj 3:13 PM

ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

**COMMITTEE ON REGULATORY AFFAIRS**

DATE Monday, February 10, 2020 ROOM HHR 5 TIME 2:00 P.M.

Members:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Mr. Blackman | Mrs. Terán | Mr. Roberts, Vice-Chairman |
| Ms. Powers Hannley | Mr. Toma | Mr. Grantham, Chairman |
| Mr. Shah | | |

---

| | Bills | | Short Title | Strike Everything Title |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| #1 | HB2269 | DPA | donated medicine; requirements | |
| | | | (Barto: Bolick, Cobb) | |
| | | 6-0-0-1 | HHS dpa 9-0-0-0-0, RA, RULES | |
| #3 | HB2599 | DP | psychologists; licensure requirements | |
| | | | (Roberts: Biasiucci, Carroll, et al) | |
| | | 7-0-0-0 | RA, HHS, RULES | |
| #4 | HB2601 | DP | residential utility consumer office; continuation | |
| | | | (Roberts) | |
| | | 7-0-0-0 | RA, RULES | |
| #2 | HB2643 | DP | insurance; optometrists; contracts; covered services | |
| | | | (Weninger: Blackman, Cobb, et al) | |
| | | 7-0-0-0 | RA, COM, RULES | |
| #5 | HB2685 | DP | government assistance; point of contact | |
| | | | (Toma: Kern, Petersen, et al) | |
| | | 7-0-0-0 | RA, RULES | |

**ORDER OF BILLS TO BE SET BY THE CHAIRMAN**

Attachment 1

sh
02/04/2020

**People with disabilities may request reasonable accommodations such as interpreters, alternative formats, or assistance with physical accessibility. If you require accommodations, please contact the Chief Clerk's Office at (602) 926-3032 or through Arizona Relay Service 7-1-1.**

# Information Registered on the Request to Speak System

## *House Regulatory Affairs (2/10/2020)*

## HB2269, donated medicine; requirements

### Testified in support:

Joan Koerber-Walker, representing self; Frank Thorwald, representing self; Kam Gandhi - Arizona State Board of Pharmacy, Arizona State Board Of Pharmacy

### Support:

Terrance Traylor, representing self; Jose Borrajero, representing self; Laura Dearing, The Arizona Academy Of Family Physicians; Denise Link, PhD, WHNP, representing self; Kay Reardon, representing self; Lynne Weaver, representing self; Jere Fredenburgh, representing self; Rose Sperry, representing self; Jered Skousen, representing self; James Dutton , representing self; Christine Maceri Genge, representing self; Dennis Genge, representing self; John Morris, representing self; Jennifer Wellsman, representing self; Joseph Abate, PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH & MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA; Brent Backus, representing self; JoAnn Dutton, representing self; richard sperry, representing self; George Moriarty, representing self; Walter Steiner, representing self; Jerry Clingman, representing self; Leiann Anderson, representing self; Ed Sangalli, representing self; Bob Jolley, representing self

### Oppose:

Karen Harris, representing self

### All Comments:

Joan Koerber-Walker, Self: Requesting to speak last so that I can answer any remaining technical questions the committee may have.; Frank Thorwald, Self: I request to speak first to provide an overview. This is an excellent bill that will help many people. It is a complication of what has worked the best in States with ongoing and effective programs; Denise Link, PhD, WHNP, Self: This is very helpful - many times I had good meds that could be used by others in licensed community agencies but could not send them b/c that was prohibited by law.; Jere Fredenburgh, Self: Makes perfect sense...if someone no longer needs, or passes, the meds are still good and should not be wasted. thank you.; Jered Skousen, Self: Allows donations of unused medications.; Brent Backus, Self: support the donations concept provided controls are put into place.; Leiann Anderson, Self: Common sense bill.

## HB2599, psychologists; licensure requirements

### Testified in support:

Katie Franquist, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

### Support:

Katy Yanez, NORTHERN ARIZONA UNIVERSITY; Brittney Kaufmann, AZ BOARD OF REGENTS; Kendra Burton, AZ STATE UNIVERSITY

Attachment 2

## HB2601, residential utility consumer office; continuation

### Support:

Russell Smoldon, AZ MUNICIPAL POWER USERS ASSN; Dianne Post, representing self; Virginia Dotson, representing self

### Oppose:

Nancy Santori, representing self

### All Comments:

Virginia Dotson, Self: Utility consumers do need a strong advocate.

## HB2643, insurance; optometrists; contracts; covered services

### Testified in support:

Marie Isaacson, AZ OPTOMETRIC ASSN; Robert Holden, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF VISION CARE PLANS

### Support:

Don Isaacson, AZ OPTOMETRIC ASSN; Julian Roberts, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF VISION CARE PLANS; Blake Johnson, AVESIS; Barbara Meaney, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF VISION CARE PLANS; Annette Hanian, representing self; Stacey Meier, representing self; Mark Cardenas, AZ OPTOMETRIC ASSN

### All Comments:

Robert Holden, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF VISION CARE PLANS: Supportive with the House Commerce Committee amendment.; Julian Roberts, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF VISION CARE PLANS: Supportive with the House Commerce Committee amendment.; Blake Johnson, AVESIS: Supportive with the House Commerce Committee amendment.; Barbara Meaney, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF VISION CARE PLANS: Supportive with the House Commerce Committee amendment.; Annette Hanian, Self: Representing AZ Optometric Assoc

## HB2685, government assistance; point of contact

### Support:

Jon Riches, representing self; Dave Kopp, Manager, AZ CITIZENS DEFENSE LEAGUE INC; Jenna Bentley, BARRY GOLDWATER INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY RESEARCH; Spencer Kamps, HOME BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF CENTRAL AZ

# ARIZONA STATE LEGISLATURE

Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD

COMMITTEE ON **REGULATORY AFFAIRS**

CHAIRMAN: Travis W. Grantham VICE-CHAIRMAN: Bret Roberts

| | | | *SPECIAL* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DATE | 1/27 /20 | 2/10 /20 | 2/10 /20 | /20 | /20 |
| | CONVENED | 2:04 pm | 2:39pm | 3:13pm | m | m |
| | RECESSED | | | | | |
| | RECONVENED | | | | | |
| | ADJOURNED | 3:32pm | 3:13pm | 3:56pm | | |
| MEMBERS | | | | | | |
| Blackman | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Powers Hannley | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Shah | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Terán | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Toma | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Roberts, Vice-Chairman | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Grantham, Chairman | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | |

√ Present --- Absent exc Excused

Attachment 3

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-fourth Legislature
Second Regular Session

**House:** HHS DPA 9-0-0-0

## HB 2269: donated medicine; requirements
## Sponsor: Representative Barto, LD 15
## Committee on Regulatory Affairs

**Overview**

Repeals and rewrites the statute regarding the prescription medicine donation program.

**History**

As of late 2018, 38 states and Guam had enacted laws for donation of unused prescription drugs, although many of those states do not have functioning medicine donation programs (NCSL).

Arizona enacted law establishing a prescription medicine donation program in 2006 (NCSL). Currently, statute allows a person, manufacturer or health care institution to donate medication to any physician's office, pharmacy or hospital that volunteers to participate in the program and meets requirements established by the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy (Board). Donated medications must have an expiration date of greater than six months after the donation, recipients must be residents of Arizona and meet eligibility standards established by the Board, and health care institutions that participate must inspect donated medicine. Additionally, manufacturers and participants of the program are not subject to liability or professional disciplinary action. Statute also prohibits dispensers of donated medicine from seeking reimbursement for donations and prohibits third party payors from providing reimbursement (A.R.S. § 32-1909).

**Provisions**

1. Repeals the current statute regarding the prescription medicine donation program. (Sec. 1)
2. Allows an authorized recipient to receive donated medicine after:
   a) Verifying that the donor is authorized to possess the medicine;
   b) Recording the donor's name, address, telephone number and permit or license number; and
   c) Verifying that the donor will remove patient names on donations or otherwise maintain patient confidentiality. (Sec. 2)
3. Permits an authorized recipient to transfer donations to another authorized recipient. (Sec. 2)
4. Stipulates that recipients may only accept donated medicine that:
   a) Is in unopened, tamper-evident packaging or that has been appropriately repackaged;
   b) Is not adulterated or misbranded;
   c) Has been maintained according to U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) standards; and
   d) Is accompanied by a donor attestation that the donation has been kept in a temperature-controlled environment and is not adulterated. (Sec. 2)
5. Requires donated medicine that does not meet requirements to be returned to the donor or properly destroyed. (Sec. 2)

| ☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) | ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) | ☐ Emergency (40 votes) | ☐ Fiscal Note |
|---|---|---|---|

6. Requires a drug manufacturer, repackager, dispenser or wholesaler that participates in the program to comply with federal code on drug supply chain security. (Sec. 2)
7. Outlines requirements on the reception, storage, inspection and dispensing of donated medicine. (Sec. 2)
8. Stipulates that when multiple donated medicines with varied expiration dates are repackaged together, the label must express the earliest expiration date. (Sec. 2)
9. Asserts that dispensed medicine must have an expiration date that is later than the date by which the medicine is expected to be used based on the prescribing directions. (Sec. 2)
10. Specifies that a recipient may only dispense or administer donated medicine if otherwise allowed by law, pursuant to a valid prescription drug order. (Sec. 2)
11. Specifies that participation in the program does not require licensing as a wholesale distributor. (Sec. 2)
12. Prohibits the resale of donated medicine. (Sec. 2)
13. Allows an authorized recipient to charge a handling, dispensing or administrative fee for donated medicine according to rules prescribed by the Board. (Sec. 2)
14. Requires an authorized recipient to comply with federal recordkeeping requirements and to retain records for at least seven years. (Sec. 2)
15. Specifies that a donation's history must begin with the donor of the medicine, include all prior donations and include only drug information required to be on the patient label. (Sec. 2)
16. Requires a donor or recipient to make all records available for audit by the board within five days of the board's request. (Sec. 2)
17. Provides immunity, if acting in good faith, to the following:
    a) A person or entity involved in the supply chain of donated medicine; and
    b) A person or entity that prescribes, donates, receives, dispenses, administers, transfers, replenishes or repackages donated medicine. (Sec. 2)
18. Defines *authorized recipient, donor, eligible patient, health care professional, medicine, returns processor* and *unopened tamper-evident packaging.* (Sec. 2)

**Amendments**

Committee on Health & Human Services

1. Clarifies that donations must be maintained in accordance *and in compliance* with FDA standards.

Fifty-fourth Legislature
Second Regular Session

Regulatory Affairs
H.B. 2269

PROPOSED

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AMENDMENTS TO H.B. 2269

(Reference to printed bill)

Page 2, between lines 35 and 36, insert:

"K. DONATED MEDICINE MAY NOT BE DISPENSED OR ADMINISTERED TO AN ELIGIBLE PATIENT IF THE PRESCRIBER WRITES OR CLEARLY DISPLAYS ON THE FACE OF THE PRESCRIPTION FORM "DAW", "DISPENSE AS WRITTEN" OR ANY OTHER LANGUAGE THAT INDICATES A SUBSTITUTION IS NOT ALLOWED."

Reletter to conform

Page 4, line 3, after "A" insert "MANUFACTURER,"

Amend title to conform

TRAVIS W. GRANTHAM

2269GRANTHAM
02/05/2020
05:40 PM
C: mh

Attachment 5

Adopted ✓ # of Verbals _____
Failed _____ Withdrawn _____
Not Offered _____ Analysts Initials TK

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
## Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

## ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Affairs BILL NO. HB 2269

DATE February 10, 2020 MOTION: DPA

| | PASS | AYE | NAY | PRESENT | ABSENT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Blackman | | ✓ | | | |
| Powers Hannley | | ✓ | | | |
| Shah | | ✓ | | | |
| Terán | | ✓ | | | |
| Toma | | | | | ✓ |
| Roberts, Vice-Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| Grantham, Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| | | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Jana Babel
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

TRAVIS W. GRANTHAM, Chairman
BRET ROBERTS, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 6

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-fourth Legislature
Second Regular Session

## HB 2643: insurance; optometrists; contracts; covered services
## Sponsor: Representative Weninger, LD 17
## Committee on Regulatory Affairs

**Overview**

States that a contract between an optometrist and a specified health insurer, cannot require the optometrist to provide services based on a fee set by the specified health insurer unless the fee applies to a covered service.

**History**

An Optometric Service Corporation (Corporation) is a corporation organized for the purpose of establishing, maintaining and operating nonprofit optometric service plans. The Corporation contracts with general, specialized or restricted practice optometrists to provide subscribers with optometric services (A.R.S. § 20-822).

A Health Care Services Organization (Organization) is a person who undertakes the conducting of one or more health care plans. An Organization includes a provider sponsored health care services organization (A.R.S. § 20-1051).

Statute outlines the scope and format of a policy with a Disability Insurer, Group Disability Insurer or a Blanket Disability Insurer (Disability Insurers) (A.R.S. § 20-1342) & (A.R.S. § 20-1401).

**Provisions**

1. States that a contract entered into or renewed by January 1, 2021, between an optometrist and:
   a) A Corporation cannot require the optometrist to provide services to an individual covered under a subscription contract based on a fee set by the Corporation unless the fee applies to a covered service;
   b) An Organization cannot require the optometrist to provide services to an individual covered under an evidence of coverage based on a fee set by the Organization unless the fee applies to a covered service; and
   c) Disability Insurers cannot require the optometrist to provide services to an individual covered under a Disability Insurer's policy based on a fee set by the Disability Insurers unless the fee applies to a covered service. (Sec. 1-4)
2. States that the ability of a Corporation, an Organization or Disability Insurers to establish optometric benefits for services offered by plans that are administered but not insured by a Corporation, an Organization or Disability Insurers is not restricted. (Sec. 1-4)
3. Defines *covered service* as a service for which any reimbursement is available under a subscription contract, an evidence of coverage or Disability Insurers policy without regard to contractual limitations. (Sec. 1-4)

| ☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) | ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) | ☐ Emergency (40 votes) | ☐ Fiscal Note |
|---|---|---|---|

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
## Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

## ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Affairs BILL NO. HB 2643

DATE February 10, 2020 MOTION: DP

| | PASS | AYE | NAY | PRESENT | ABSENT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Blackman | | ✓ | | | |
| Powers Hannley | | ✓ | | | |
| Shah | | ✓ | | | |
| Terán | | ✓ | | | |
| Toma | | ✓ | | | |
| Roberts, Vice-Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| Grantham, Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Jana Bibel
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

TRAVIS W. GRANTHAM, Chairman
BRET ROBERTS, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT_____

Attachment 8

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-fourth Legislature
Second Regular Session

## HB 2599: psychologists; licensure requirements
## Sponsor: Representative Roberts, LD 11
## Committee on Regulatory Affairs

**Overview**

States that the Psychological Clinical Science Accreditation System is an acceptable accreditation for a psychology license applicant (Applicant).

**History**

An Applicant must have a doctoral degree from a higher education institution (Institution) in clinical or counseling psychology, school or educational psychology or any other subject area in applied psychology acceptable to the Board of Psychologist Examiners. Statute outlines specified requirements for an Institution's doctoral psychology program which include: 1) accreditation from regional accrediting agencies; 2) identifiable psychology faculty; and 3) a core program that requires certain graduate semester hours in certain content areas. Applicants are also required to acquire 3,000 hours of supervised professional work experience (A.R.S. § 32-2071).

An Applicant meets the requirements of statute if the Applicant earned a doctoral degree from a program that was accredited by the American Psychology Association, Office of Program Consultation and Accreditation at the time of graduation (A.R.S. § 32-2071.01).

**Provisions**

1. States that the Psychological Clinical Science Accreditation System is an acceptable accreditation for an Applicant. (Sec. 1)

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) ☐ Emergency (40 votes) ☐ Fiscal Note

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
## Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

## ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Affairs BILL NO. HB 2599

DATE February 10, 2020 MOTION: DP

| | PASS | AYE | NAY | PRESENT | ABSENT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Blackman | | ✓ | | | |
| Powers Hannley | | ✓ | | | |
| Shah | | ✓ | | | |
| Terán | | ✓ | | | |
| Toma | | ✓ | | | |
| Roberts, Vice-Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| Grantham, Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Jana Babel
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

TRAVIS W. GRANTHAM, Chairman
BRET ROBERTS, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 10

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-fourth Legislature
Second Regular Session

**HB 2601: residential utility consumer office; continuation**
**Sponsor: Representative Roberts, LD 11**
**Committee on Regulatory Affairs**

## Overview

Continues the Residential Utility Consumer Office (RUCO) for eight years.

## History

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-2953, the Joint Legislative Audit Committee assigned the sunset review of RUCO to the Senate Commerce and the House of Representatives Regulatory Affairs Committee of Reference (COR). The Senate Commerce COR met on January 14, 2020 and recommended that RUCO be continued for eight years, until July 1, 2028. The House Regulatory Affairs COR met on January 16, 2020 and recommended that RUCO be continued for eight years, until July 1, 2028.

Laws 1983, Chapter 308 established RUCO to represent the interests of residential consumers and advocate on their behalf in rate-related regulatory proceedings that involve public services corporations before the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC). The ACC sets the rates for electricity, gas, telecommunications, water and sewer utilities. RUCO conducts a preliminary review of every utility rate increase application filed with the ACC and determines the potential impact on residential consumers. The Director of RUCO is appointed and serves at the pleasure of the Governor upon confirmation by the Senate. RUCO was most recently continued for 10 years pursuant to Laws 2010, Chapter 16.

## Provisions

1. Continues, retroactive to July 1, 2020, RUCO until July 1, 2028. (Sec. 2, 4)
2. Repeals RUCO on January 1, 2029. (Sec. 2)
3. Contains a legislative intent clause. (Sec. 3)

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) ☐ Emergency (40 votes) ☐ Fiscal Note

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
## Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

## ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Affairs BILL NO. HB 2601

DATE February 10, 2020 MOTION: DP

| | PASS | AYE | NAY | PRESENT | ABSENT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Blackman | | ✓ | | | |
| Powers Hannley | | ✓ | | | |
| Shah | | ✓ | | | |
| Terán | | ✓ | | | |
| Toma | | ✓ | | | |
| Roberts, Vice-Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| Grantham, Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Jana Baber
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

TRAVIS W. GRANTHAM, Chairman
BRET ROBERTS, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 12

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Fifty-fourth Legislature
Second Regular Session

**HB 2685: government assistance; point of contact**
**Sponsor: Representative Toma, LD 22**
**Committee on Regulatory Affairs**

## Overview

Requires any written communication between a city, town or state agency and a person to include contact information for the employee concerning the specified communications.

## History

Each state agency must publish the names of employees, who are designated by the agency to assist members of the public, in the register, the state directory and the Maricopa County telephone directory (A.R.S. 41-1006).

## Provisions

1. Requires a city, town or state agency, in a written communication, to provide the name, telephone number and email address of an authorized employee who is able to provide information about the communication if the communication:
   a) Demands payment of a tax, fee penalty, fine or assessment; or
   b) Denies an application for a permit or license that is issued by the city, town or state agency. (Sec. 1, 2)
2. Requires an authorized employee, after any of the aforementioned communications, to reply within five business days upon receiving a communication from the recipient. (Sec. 1, 2)

☐ Prop 105 (45 votes) ☐ Prop 108 (40 votes) ☐ Emergency (40 votes) ☐ Fiscal Note

# ARIZONA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
## Fifty-fourth Legislature - Second Regular Session

## ROLL CALL VOTE

COMMITTEE ON Regulatory Affairs BILL NO. HB 2685

DATE February 10, 2020 MOTION: DP

| | PASS | AYE | NAY | PRESENT | ABSENT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Blackman | | ✓ | | | |
| Powers Hannley | | ✓ | | | |
| Shah | | ✓ | | | |
| Terán | | ✓ | | | |
| Toma | | ✓ | | | |
| Roberts, Vice-Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| Grantham, Chairman | | ✓ | | | |
| | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Jana Babel
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

APPROVED:

TRAVIS W. GRANTHAM, Chairman
BRET ROBERTS, Vice-Chairman

ATTACHMENT 14