

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 24-365

(Filed: June 26, 2024)

AIRBOSS DEFENSE GROUP, LLC,

Plaintiff,

and

STRING KING LACROSSE LLC,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

UNITED STATES,

Defendant,

and

**NEW YORK EMBROIDERY
STUDIO, INC.**

Defendant-Intervenor.

ORDER

On June 25, 2024, plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenor each filed a motion for leave to file a reply in support of plaintiff-intervenor's application for a temporary restraining order and motion for preliminary injunction. ECF Nos. 66, 67. Plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenor assert good cause exists for filing their replies given that the government's supplemental response relied on new factual documentation and arguments to which plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenor should have an opportunity to respond. ECF No. 66 at 1-2; ECF No. 67 at 2.

Plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenor indicate they have conferred with counsel for the government and defendant-intervenor, and that while the government does not object to the filing of these replies, defendant-intervenor does object. ECF No. 66 at 2; ECF No. 67 at 1.

For good cause shown, plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenor's motions for leave to file their replies are **GRANTED**. Accordingly, plaintiff and plaintiff-intervenor are **DIRECTED** to re-file their replies as separate docket entries.

It is so **ORDERED**.

s/ Charles F. Lettow

Charles F. Lettow

Senior Judge