EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP
201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Robert M. Charles, Jr. (State Bar No. 7359)
Direct Dial: 520.629.4427
Email: rcharles@lewisroca.com

Allison Whitehill (State Bar No. 036339)
Direct Dial: 602.262.0850
Email: awhitehill@lewisroca.com

James F. McCabe (*pro hac vice*)
Alston & Bird LLP
560 Mission Street, Suite 2100
San Francisco CA 94105
Direct Dial: 415.243.1047
Email: Jim.McCabe@alston.com

Attorneys for Defendant
LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Wayne Clifford,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01145-PHX-DJH

DECLARATION OF KRISTEN O'DONNELL TODD IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT LEXIS NEXIS RISK DM'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I, Kristen O'Donnell Todd, declare as follows:

1. I am an employee of LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC ("LexisNexis Risk DM"). I serve as Manager of Client Services. I am over twenty-one (21) years of age and am competent to give the testimony in this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and state that they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have worked for LexisNexis Risk DM or one of its corporate predecessors for about ten years. I am familiar with LexisNexis Risk DM's business operations, the contents of its databases, and its business records. My current job responsibilities include familiarizing myself with LexisNexis Risk DM's customers' contractual data requirements and establishing and maintaining delivery of data to customers consistent with LexisNexis Risk DM's obligations.

2. LexisNexis Risk DM is engaged in the business of collecting data about certain public records from more than 10,000 government sources across the United States, formatting such data as is requested by customers, and delivering such data to those customers for fees. LexisNexis Risk DM collects certain data on civil judgments in state and federal court, certain civil suits, federal and state tax liens, and bankruptcy cases. LexisNexis Risk DM's customers are all businesses in the data industry.

3. LexisNexis Risk DM's activities are conducted from facilities in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The corporate form in which this business unit has been housed has changed several times over the decade I have been employed in the business. When I began my employment with what is now LexisNexis Risk DM, the corporate name was LexisNexis Risk Data Retrieval Services, LLC. More recently, it was known as LexisNexis Risk Data Management Inc., but was renamed at the beginning of this year to LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC. While the name of the corporation and some methods of data collection have changed over the last ten years, the basic business activities now remain the same as when I began employment.

4. LexisNexis Risk DM does not offer services to consumers. LexisNexis Risk DM does not have transactions or experiences with consumers. It does not collect from

individuals any data that they might furnish about themselves. It does not report to consumer reporting agencies any information about its interactions with consumers.

5. LexisNexis Risk DM does not maintain files about consumers. LexisNexis Risk DM collects and maintains data about public records. LexisNexis Risk DM makes efforts to identity different public records that relate to the same "case" or event (e.g., lien filing). For example, LexisNexis Risk collects both civil judgments and satisfactions of civil judgments from Cook County, Illinois. When LexisNexis Risk DM collects satisfactions, it compares the case number, satisfaction amount and identifying information for the debtor on the satisfaction with records of civil judgments previously collected in Cook County to determine if LexisNexis Risk DM can confidently mark the two records as related to the same case. LexisNexis Risk DM does not attempt to identify to which specific individual any public record relates, as it lacks the means to do so. Taking the Cook County example, if the judgment debtor was James Smith, LexisNexis Risk DM does no analysis and reaches no conclusion about to which James Smith (out of the hundreds living in or outside Cook County) the judgment or the satisfaction relates, or about whether the James Smith who was the debtor on the Cook County judgment was also involved in any other suits, liens or judgments that might be reflected in LexisNexis Risk DM's database.

6. Because LexisNexis Risk DM reaches no conclusions about to which specific individual any public record relates, LexisNexis Risk DM makes no representations to its customers about to which specific individuals reported public record data relates.

7. LexisNexis Risk DM does not provide reports about specific consumers to its customers that are expected or intended to serve as factor in determining such specific consumers' eligibility for credit, insurance, employment, or for any other benefit that would qualify LexisNexis Risk DM's communication as a "consumer report" under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

8. On May 1, 2018, LexisNexis Risk DM and Equifax Information Services, Inc. ("Equifax") entered into a Consumer Bankruptcy Public Record Acquisition Agreement (the "Records Agreement") under which LexisNexis Risk DM became Equifax's public records

vendor with respect to bankruptcy records. A copy of the Records Agreement, from which immaterial portions have been redacted, is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Equifax has had a records acquisition agreement of one type or another with LexisNexis Risk DM or its corporate predecessors since at least the 1990's.

9. Under the Records Agreement, LexisNexis Risk DM provides bulk data about new bankruptcy filings and certain developments in bankruptcy cases, and responds to requests from Equifax to re-collect docket information for specific cases ("Single Public Record Collections" or "SPRCs") (Exh. A ¶ 1.2, Exh. A thereto, ¶ 24).

10. In collecting bankruptcy case information for Equifax under the Records Agreement, LexisNexis Risk DM is required to collect the data from PACER, and to report such information as it "appears from [PACER] without material embellishment or modification." (Exh. A, Exh. A thereto, ¶¶ 21-22).

11. Information transmitted from LexisNexis Risk DM to Equifax is referred to in the Records Agreement as "Licensed Data." (Exh. A, Exh. A thereto, ¶ 18). Under the Records Agreement, "Equifax shall be solely responsible for the incorporation, selection, linking and/or matching of Licensed Data to specific consumers and distribution of Licensed Data from any Equifax repository." (Exh. A ¶ 2.8). For both bulk deliveries and responses to Single Public Records Collections requests, the Agreement further provides that "[LexisNexis Risk DM] provides Licensed Data without any attempt to associate any information within Licensed Data to a specific individual. Equifax is solely responsible for association of Licensed Data in its possession to individuals in Equifax's databases . . ." (Exh. A ¶ 6.4.2). The Agreement also states "[LexisNexis Data] has no control over how Equifax interprets or applies Licensed Data as provided, or any decisions made by Equifax based on said Licensed Data." (Exh. A ¶ 6.4.3.)

12. On March 7, 2019, as a part of its bulk collections activity, LexisNexis Risk DM collected data about case number 19-bk-02360 in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona and transmitted data about that case to its bankruptcy data customers, including Equifax. The data transmitted to Equifax for case number 19-bk-02360 on that date is

reflected in the document attached hereto as Exhibit B. The data transmitted to Equifax included the case number, filing jurisdiction, and the name, address and truncated social security number found on the PACER docket (9827). As is true of all of the public record data that LexisNexis Risk DM transmits to its customers, LexisNexis Risk DM made no representation to Equifax about to which specific individual the bankruptcy case might relate. On or about March 19, 2019, LexisNexis Risk DM sent Equifax data reflecting the fact that PACER showed the case to have been dismissed on March 18, 2019. This data is also reflected in Exhibit B.

13. LexisNexis Risk DM did not participate in Equifax's decision about whether they could match case number 19-bk-02360 to a unique individual and if so, to whom.

14. Equifax and LexisNexis Risk DM exchange SPRC requests and responses electronically in a fixed length text file, in which data is laid out in a pre-agreed format. A copy of the Equifax SPRC record layout in use in 2020 is attached hereto as Exhibit C. The format specifies the sequential position at which each data element starts and ends. (E.g., positions 730 to 771 are reserved for the case number of the case about which Equifax is inquiring). The layout format for Equifax SPRC requests and responses was established well in advance of the inception of the 2018 Records Agreement.

15. Equifax's SPRC requests to LexisNexis Risk DM under the Records Agreement contain bankruptcy jurisdiction, case number, filing date and case status along with certain available identifying information regarding the consumer with whom Equifax has associated the bankruptcy case for which it seeks re-collection of information.

16. SPRC requests from Equifax to LexisNexis Risk DM do not include any documents provided by the disputing consumer, but may include comments that Equifax as associated with the dispute. However, the Records Agreement instructs LexisNexis Risk DM to disregard customer comments in developing a response to the SPRC. (Exh. A, Exh. A thereto, ¶ 24).

17. Upon receipt of a SPRC from Equifax relating to a bankruptcy case, LexisNexis Risk DM uses proprietary software to access PACER for the jurisdiction and

case number specified by Equifax. LexisNexis Risk DM retrieves selected information from the PACER docket for the specified case. Among the fields for which LexisNexis Risk DM retrieves data from PACER for SPRCs on bankruptcy cases are first name, middle name, last name, address and the contents of the social security field, which is limited on PACER to the last four digits of the social security number included on the filed bankruptcy petition.

18. In responding to an Equifax SPRC, LexisNexis Risk DM returns a text file in which the data sent by Equifax is first repeated, and in which the data retrieved by LexisNexis Risk DM is populated into agreed positions in the remainder of the fixed length text file.

19. In the layout for an Equifax SPRC, there are positions reserved for the first name, middle name, last name, address components and social security number as supplied by Equifax, and for the first name, middle name, last name, address components and social security number retrieved by LexisNexis Risk DM from PACER.

20. LexisNexis Risk DM only populates the retrieved first name, middle name, last name, address component or social security number fields in a SPRC response where the data retrieved from PACER for that field differs from the data supplied by Equifax for the same field. For example, if the SPRC request contained a last name of "Clifton," and the PACER docket also showed a debtor last name of "Clifton," the last name field in the response portion of the file would be left blank. If, however, the PACER docket for the case showed a debtor last name of "Clifden", LexisNexis Risk DM would populate the last name field in the response portion of the file with "Clifden."

21. The agreed layout for LexisNexis Risk DM's SPRC responses to Equifax includes fields for certain data elements in which LexisNexis Risk DM inserts a code to indicate if data retrieved as part of the response was different from ("1" code) or the same as ("2" code) the supplied data, or alternatively whether data in a particular field was either absent from the supplied data or not found on or retrieved from PACER ("3" code). Among the fields for which these "different/same /no comparison possible" codes are furnished is social security number.

22. As can be seen from an examination of Exhibit C, the agreed layout for LexisNexis Risk DM's SPRC responses to Equifax does not contain any field in which LexisNexis Risk DM can or is expected to indicate whether or not LexisNexis Risk DM believes that Equifax has properly matched the public record to the consumer whose personal information Equifax has supplied with the SPRC request.

23. LexisNexis Risk DM maintains files indicating on which cases it has received and responded to SPRC requests from customers. I have used a software tool to search for any SPRCs related to case number 19-bk-02360 in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona (the "Bankruptcy Case"), which I understand to be the bankruptcy case that Plaintiff alleges Equifax incorrectly linked to his credit file. LexisNexis Risk DM has received one SPRC with respect to the Bankruptcy Case, from Equifax, as described below.

24. On October 1, 2020 LexisNexis Risk DM received from Equifax a SPRC related to the Bankruptcy Case. The tool I used to identify this record indicates whether documents were submitted by the SPRC requester (generally by separate email) in connection with the SPRC. On the rare occasions where documents are sent to LexisNexis Risk DM in connection with a SPRC, copies of such documents are appended to the SPRC record in our search tool. There are no documents appended to LexisNexis Risk DM's record of Equifax's SPRC on the Bankruptcy Case.

25. On October 2, 2020, LexisNexis Risk DM responded to Equifax's SPRC request about the Bankruptcy Case. Such responses do not have field labels, as the corresponding field is indicated by the position of data in the text. For ease of examination of the response, I attach as Exhibit D the October 1 SPRC request and the October 2 response, presented in a spreadsheet that segments the text into columns corresponding to the fields in the agreed layout for Equifax SPRCs. I attach as Exhibit E a screen print from a software tool used internally at LexisNexis Risk DM to display in a user-friendly fashion LexisNexis Risk DM's business record of SPRC requests and responses. In Exhibit E, the image on the top of the page displays the data received from Equifax in the SPRC. The

image on the bottom of the page displays the data returned by LexisNexis Risk DM to Equifax in response to the SPRC.

26. The SPRC received from Equifax by LexisNexis Risk DM on October 1, 2020 showed a social security number ending in 7375 for the person with whom Equifax had apparently matched the Bankruptcy Case. This data can be found on page 1 of Exhibit D in the column marked SSN.

27. Having retrieved information from the PACER docket for the Bankruptcy Case, LexisNexis Risk DM responded to Equifax's SPRC by reporting to Equifax on October 2, 2020 that the debtor first name, last name and address supplied by Equifax were the same as the corresponding data found on PACER, but that the truncated social security number found on PACER differed from the social security number Equifax had supplied in its request. This data can be found on page 1 of Exhibit D in the column marked VSSN.

28. LexisNexis Risk DM's response to Equifax signified the mismatch between the supplied social security number and the social security number retrieved from PACER in two ways: LexisNexis Risk DM populated the social security number field in the response portion of agreed layout with 9827, the last four digits of the social security number retrieved from PACER (*see* Exh. D, p. 1, column labeled VSSN), and inserted in the code field for the social security number the number 1 (*see* Exh. D, p. 2, column labeled V_SSN), indicating that the retrieved information for social security number differed from that Equifax had supplied. While these "difference" indicators are found in the electronic file transmitted to Equifax, the software tool display format does not include such codes.

29. LexisNexis Risk DM did not at any time provide Equifax with any confirmation or representation that the Bankruptcy Case had actually been filed by or was properly matched to Plaintiff.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 and the laws of the United States of America, I further declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 24th day of August, 2022, at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

DocuSigned by:
Kristen Todd
76180F6866F343A...

Kristen O'Donnell Todd

Exhibit A

Lodged Under Seal

Exhibit B

Chapter 7 Filiing (7) - Sent 3/7/2019

CustCourt	RecordType	CaseKey	DetailType	ReverificationIndicator	FirstDefLastFirstOrBusName	FirstDefMiddleName
152VF00018	2Detail	1902380	1	N	CLIFFORD WAYNE	

FirstDefSuffix	FirstDefFirstAKALastName	FirstDefFirstAKAFirstName	FirstDefFirstAKAMiddleName	FirstDefSecondAKALastName

FirstDefSecondAKAFirstName	FirstDefSecondAKAMiddleName	FirstDefStreetNumber	FirstDefStreetName	FirstDefStreetType	FirstDefCity
		313	N 57TH	PL	MESA

FirstDefState	FirstDefZip	FirstDefPhone	FirstDefSSN	Occupation	EmployerName	EmployerCity	EmployerState
AZ	85205		000009827				

SecondDefLastFirstOrBusName	SecondDefMiddleName	SecondDefSuffix	SecondDefFirstAKALastName	SecondDefFirstAKAFirstName

SecondDefFirstAKAMiddleName	SecondDefSecondAKALastName	SecondDefSecondAKAFirstName	SecondDefSecondAKAMiddleName

SecondDefStreetNumber	SecondDefStreetName	SecondDefStreetType	SecondDefCity	SecondDefState	SecondDefZip	SecondDefPhone	SecondDefSSN

OrigDateFiled	JudgesInitials	BankruptcyType	FilerAssocCode	Intent	Liabilities	Assets	ExemptAmount	DispositionDate	SpecialComments
190306	EPB	I	I	V					

Reserved1	ProSeIndicator	Attorney	AttorneyAddress1	AttorneyAddress2	AttorneyCity	AttorneyState	AttorneyZip
	Y						

AttorneyPhone	TrusteeName	TrusteeAddress1	TrusteeAddress2	TrusteeCity	TrusteeState
	DINA ANDERSON	21001 N TATUM BLVD #1630-608		PHOENIX	AZ

TrusteeZip	TrusteePhone	TotalIncome	TotalIncomePeriod	TotalExpenses	TotalExpensesPeriod	341MeetingDate	341MeetingTime	MessageCode1
85050	4803048312					190408	1100A	

MessageText1	MessageCode2	MessageText2

341MeetingLocationAddress1	341MeetingLocationAddress2	341MeetingLocationCity	341MeetingLocationState	341MeetingLocationZip
US TRUSTEE MEETING ROOM 230 N FIRST				

Reserved2

Chapter 7 Dismissal (7R) - Sent 3/19/2019

CustCourt	RecordType	CaseKey	DetailType	ReverificationIndicator	FirstDefLastFirstOrBusName		FirstDefMiddleName
152VF00018	2Detail	1902380	3	N	CLIFFORD	WAYNE	

FirstDefSuffix	FirstDefFirstAKALastName	FirstDefFirstAKAFirstName	FirstDefFirstAKAMiddleName	FirstDefSecondAKALastName

FirstDefSecondAKAFirstName	FirstDefSecondAKAMiddleName	FirstDefStreetNumber	FirstDefStreetName	FirstDefStreetType	FirstDefCity
		313	N 57TH	PL	MESA

FirstDefState	FirstDefZip	FirstDefPhone	FirstDefSSN	Occupation	EmployerName	EmployerCity	EmployerState
AZ	85205		000009827				

SecondDefLastFirstOrBusName	SecondDefMiddleName	SecondDefSuffix	SecondDefFirstAKALastName	SecondDefFirstAKAFirstName

SecondDefFirstAKAMiddleName	SecondDefSecondAKALastName	SecondDefSecondAKAFirstName	SecondDefSecondAKAMiddleName

SecondDefStreetNumber	SecondDefStreetName	SecondDefStreetType	SecondDefCity	SecondDefState	SecondDefZip	SecondDefPhone	SecondDefSSN

OrigDateFiled	JudgesInitials	BankruptcyType	FilerAssocCode	Intent	Liabilities	Assets	ExemptAmount	DispositionDate	SpecialComments
190306	EPB	I	I	M				190318	

Reserved1	ProSeIndicator	Attorney	AttorneyAddress1	AttorneyAddress2	AttorneyCity	AttorneyState	AttorneyZip
	Y						

AttorneyPhone	TrusteeName	TrusteeAddress1	TrusteeAddress2	TrusteeCity	TrusteeState
	DINA ANDERSON	21001 N TATUM BLVD #1630-608		PHOENIX	AZ

TrusteeZip	TrusteePhone	TotalIncome	TotalIncomePeriod	TotalExpenses	TotalExpensesPeriod	341MeetingDate	341MeetingTime	MessageCode1
85050	4803048312					190408	1100A	

MessageText1	MessageCode2	MessageText2

341MeetingLocationAddress1	341MeetingLocationAddress2	341MeetingLocationCity	341MeetingLocationState	341MeetingLocationZip
US TRUSTEE MEETING ROOM 230 N FIRST				

Reserved2

Exhibit C

Lodged Under Seal

Exhibit D

ID 30755750 - Sent 10/2/2020

RECORDID	XTRAN_TYPE	ORIGCODE	OFFICECODE	CRA_CTRL	CREATED	DUEDATE	DISP_CODE1	DISP_CODE2	NARRATIVE
PR	5	CPT	9999	9990274012598101	09302020200136	10232020	020		

SEGMENT_ID	COURT_NMBR	COURT_NAME	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	MIDDLE	GEN_CODE	SSN	DOB	PHONE	STREET
BP	152VF00018	Z FED BK CT-PHOEN	CLIFFORD	WAYNE	T		[redacted]7375	[redacted]	480[redacted]	313 N 57TH PL

CITY	STATE	ZIP_CODE	AKA_LAST	AKA_FIRST	AKA_MIDDLE	AKA_GEN	PREV_ADDR	PREV_CITY	PREV_STATE	PREV_ZIP	CASENUMBER	TYPE	STATUS
MESA	AZ	85205	CLIFFORD	WAYNE	KIMBERLY		1450 E FLINT ST	CHANDLER	AZ	85225	1902380	I	M

FILER	DATE_FILED	DISP_DATE	VERIFY_DTE	ORIG_AMT	LIABILITY	ASSETS	EXMPT_AMT	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	REMARK_1	REMARK_2
I	03062019	03182019									

RESERVED1	VLASTNAME	VFIRSTNAME	VMIDDLE	VGEN_CODE	VSSN	VDOB	VPHONE	VADDRESS	VCITY	VSTATE	VZIPCODE
					000009827						

VPLASTNAME	VPFIRSTNME	VPMIDDLE	VPGEN_CODE	VPADDRESS	VPCITY	VPSTATE	VPZIP	VCASENUMBR	VTYPE	VSTATUS	VFILER	VDATEFILED
								1902380		M	I	03062019

VDISP_DATE	VDTEVERIFY	VAMOUNT	VLIABLTYS	VASSETS	VEXMPT_AMT	VPLAINTIFF	VDEFENDANT	RESP_NAME	RESP_PHONE	RESP_DT_TM
03182019	10012020							LexisNexis	7703460860	10022020092533


RES_ACTNCD	RESP_CMNTS	V_LAST	V_FIRST	V_MIDDLE	V_GEN_CODE	V_AKALAST	V_AKAFIRST	V_AKAMID	V_AKAGEN
2	Modify case information as indicated	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3

V_CURADDR	V_PREVADDR	V_SSN	COMP_NAME	RESERVED2
2	3	1	ChoicePoint Inc.	

Exhibit E

Clifford – 1902380 Bankruptcy SPRCs

Equifax ID 30755750 - Case # 1902380 Chapter 7 Dismissal (7R): Customer Supplied Information
Date Received: 10/1/2020 Date Returned: 10/2/2020



Verified Information




EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP
201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Robert M. Charles, Jr. (State Bar No. 007359)
Direct Dial: 520.629.4427
Email: rcharles@lewisroca.com

Allison Whitehill (State Bar No. 036339)
Direct Dial: 602.262.0850
Email: awhitehill@lewisroca.com

James F. McCabe (*pro hac vice*)
Alston & Bird LLP
560 Mission Street, Suite 2100
San Francisco CA 94105
Direct Dial: 415.243.1047
Email: Jim.McCabe@alston.com

Attorneys for Defendant LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Wayne Clifford,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01145-PHX-DJH

DECLARATION OF SHAWN DEGRACE IN SUPPORT OF LEXISNEXIS RISK DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I, Shawn DeGrace, declare as follows:

1. I am the Director of Data Management for Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax"). I am over twenty-one (21) years of age and am competent to give the testimony in this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and state that they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I am duly authorized to give the following declaration on behalf of Equifax.

2. I am responsible for managing the relationship with Equifax's public records vendor regarding bankruptcy records, LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC ("LexisNexis Risk DM").

3. I have personal knowledge of the Consumer Bankruptcy Public Record Acquisition Agreement ("Agreement") between Equifax and LexisNexis Risk DM, which has been in effect between the Parties or their predecessors continuously since May 1, 2018. A true and accurate copy of the Agreement is attached to this declaration as **Exhibit 1.**

4. Under the Agreement, LexisNexis Risk DM acts as a vendor in retrieving and delivering publicly available information ordered by Equifax and obtained from bankruptcy courts through PACER. Equifax is solely responsible for the association, linking, and/or matching of data received from LexisNexis Risk DM to specific consumer files.

5. When Equifax receives a consumer dispute regarding a public record, Equifax submits a Single Public Record Collection ("SPRC") request to LexisNexis Risk DM. Equifax provides the public record information that is reporting on the consumer's file, and LexisNexis Risk DM collects current data about the specific single public record from the bankruptcy case thereby identified.

6. When Equifax sends a SPRC to LexisNexis Risk DM asking LexisNexis Risk DM to collect current data about a case, Equifax includes information about the consumer with whom Equifax has matched or linked the case. In its response, LexisNexis Risk DM only populates the personal identifying information fields when the data retrieved from PACER for the field is different from the data supplied by Equifax for that field.

7. Where information reported by LexisNexis Risk DM from PACER for a bankruptcy case contains different identifying information (e.g., name, address, or social security number) from that Equifax provided in the SPRC, LexisNexis Risk DM is not expected to provide any evaluation of the fact that Equifax has associated or matched the case to the consumer about whom identifying information was provided. Equifax evaluates the public record information provided by LexisNexis Risk DM in the SPRC and makes the

determination as to whether or not the public record should continue to be associated with the consumer who initiated the public record dispute.

8. On or about October 1, 2020, Equifax received a letter from an attorney making a dispute as to Plaintiff Wayne Clifford's Equifax credit file. A true and correct copy of the letter and its enclosures is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**. The only information disputed in the letter was the inclusion of Case No. 19-bk-02380 in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona in Mr. Clifford's Equifax credit disclosure. The letter stated, in part, that "Mr. Clifford did not file this Bankruptcy Petition as it is the result of identity theft." It also stated: "Please remove the Public Record from Mr. Clifford's file as it is the result of identity theft." Apart from the fact that the public record in question appeared in Mr. Clifford's file disclosure, the letter did not dispute any data about the reported bankruptcy case.

9. Equifax had matched Case No. 19-bk-02380 in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona to Mr. Clifford's Equifax credit file some time prior to May, 2020.

10. On October 1, 2020, Equifax sent LexisNexis Risk DM a SPRC related to Case No. 19-bk-02380 in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona which Equifax had previously associated with Plaintiff Wayne Clifford. LexisNexis Risk DM responded to the SPRC on October 2, 2020. While SPRCs and responses are shared between Equifax and LexisNexis Risk DM in electronic format without labels attached to each field, Equifax has a software tool that allows users to review SPRC data in a format in which field labels are supplied. A screenprint of the information available in Equifax's tool regarding the SPRC is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3.**

11. Equifax's SPRC request contained a bankruptcy jurisdiction, case number, filing date, case status, and other consumer identifying information, including a social security number. The social security number that Equifax provided ended in 7375. In its SPRC response, LexisNexis Risk DM reported that the social security number on the PACER docket ended in 9827.

12. LexisNexis Risk DM did not provide Equifax with any confirmation or representation that the Bankruptcy Case had actually been filed by or was properly matched to Plaintiff.

13. A true and correct copy of the results of reinvestigation letter that Equifax sent to Plaintiff Clifford on October 3, 2020 is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**. The purpose of a results of reinvestigation letter is to advise the consumer what Equifax did with the disputed information at the end of the reinvestigation. The results of reinvestigation letter include a current file disclosure for the consumer. The pages comprising Exhibit 4 are the pages that refer to Plaintiff's dispute and to the public record reflected in Plaintiff Clifford's file. The pages omitted from Exhibit 4 are certain required notices and multiple pages detailing tradelines then associated by Equifax with Plaintiff Clifford.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 and the laws of the United States of America, I further declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 25th day of August, 2022, at Atlanta, Georgia.

Shawn DeGrace

Exhibit 1

Lodged Under Seal

Exhibit 2



CREDIT REPAIR
LAWYERS OF AMERICA

22142 West Nine Mile Road • Southfield, MI 48033 • (248) 353-2882 • Toll free (888) 293-2882 • Facsimile (248) 353-4840
www.creditrepairlawyersam.com

September 8, 2020

Equifax Information Services, LLC
P.O. Box 740256
Atlanta, GA 30374

Dear Equifax,

My firm represents Wayne Clifford. Mr. Clifford's identification information is as follows:

SSN: 548-80-7375
Address: 313 N. 57th. Place, Mesa, AZ 85205

Mr. Clifford has reviewed his credit disclosure and has discovered the following inaccurate information in his credit file:

- Public Record: Chapter 7 Bankruptcy with Reference Number: 1902380-DSP-03/19. Mr. Clifford did not file this Bankruptcy Petition as it is the result of identity theft. Please see the attached Voluntary Petition filed on March 6, 2019 showing the last four of the Social Security Numbers are different than Mr. Clifford's Social Security Number. Please also see the attached court document entitled, "Failure to Provide Identification at Time of Filing" also confirming this case filing is the result of someone else filing a Bankruptcy under Mr. Clifford's name. Further, please see Mr. Clifford's attached Police Report explaining his identity theft case. Please remove this Public Record from Mr. Clifford's credit file as it is the result of identity theft.

Mr. Clifford has authorized my firm to send this dispute letter to you. Please investigate Mr. Clifford's dispute(s) and mail the investigation results to my firm within thirty days.

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0001

Sincerely,

CREDIT REPAIR LAWYERS OF AMERICA

/s/ *Gary D. Nitzkin*

Gary D. Nitzkin

CC: Wayne Clifford

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0002

Maricopa County Sheriff's Office

Paul Penzone, Sheriff

INCIDENT REPORT

EVENT

Reported Date:	Reported Time:	IR #:	Original/Supp?	Hand Written?	Info Only	Access Level:	Case Status:	MC (Event) #:
5/1/2020	12:41	IR20012471	ORIGINAL	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	Open	Open	MC20087586

Serial #:	Last Name:	Shift Supervisor:	Name:
S1554	SHALL	S1872	MOORE, T

Body Camera Activated?	Reason not Active:
Yes	

Break in Video?	Reason for break:
No	

INCIDENT

Radio Code:	From Date:	From Time:	9-1-1 Tape Requested:
471 FRAUD OR CON GAME	3/1/2019		
	To Date:	To Time:	

Location:	City:	ZIP:	The number of Additional MCSO Personnel On Scene:
313 N 57TH PL	MESA	85205	0

Is this IR related to others? NO

SYNOPSIS

On or about 03-01-20, Kimberly and Wayne Clifford were defrauded by Jeffery Benson in regards to a real estate partnership. Kimberly and Wayne live at 313 North 57th Place in Mesa.

OFFENSE

ID:	Court Precinct:	ARS Section:	Description:	Date of Crime:	Counts:
4	0705 - East Mesa JC	13-2310A	FRAUDULENT SCHEMES/ARTIFICES	3/1/2019	1

Location Type:	Criminal Activity Type:	Hate Crime/Bias?:
RESIDENCE / HOME		* UNKNOWN *

Domestic Violence?	Burglary?	Number of Premises Entered:
NO	NO	

Entry Point:	Force Used?	Exit Point:	Force Used?

Violent Crime Against Person?:	Suspect Used:	Type of Weapon Used:	Homicide/Agg Assault Circumstances:
No			

PERSON INVOLVED

ID:	Type:	Name Type:	VR Form & Pamphlet Given?	Reason NOT Given:
1	SUSPECT	Individual	VR_PamphletDeliveryMethod:	

Name - Last:	First:	Middle:	Suffix:	DL/ID #:	St.:	Status:
BENSON	JEFFREY			[redacted]	[redacted]	VALID

Home	Street Nbr.	Dir:	Street Name	Suffix:	Dir.	Unit/Apt	City:	State:	ZIP:

Cell Phone:	Home Phone:	Email Address:

Race:	Sex:	Ethnicity:	SSN:	DOB:	Age Range:	Juvenile:
BLACK	M	NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO		[redacted]	From: [redacted] To: [redacted]	No

Resident of Jurisdiction?	Height Range:	Weight Range:	Hair:	Eye Color:
UNK	From: 508 To: 508	From: 165 To: 165	BLACK - BLK	BROWN - BRO

Was consent to search the Person requested?	Consent Given?	Person Search Conducted?
No		No

Search Options:

Was this an Investigatory Detention?	Arrested?	ARS:	Type:
No	No		

Work	Work Business Name:	Work Phone:

Street Nbr.	Dir:	Street Name:	Suffix:	Dir.	Unit/Apt	City:	State:	ZIP:

FBI#:	SID:	BK#:	Scanned Address:

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0003

PERSON

ID:	Type:	Name Type:	VR Form & Pamphlet Given?	Reason NOT Given:
2	VICTIM	Individual	YES	

VR_PamphletDeliveryMethod: MAILED

Name - Last:	First:	Middle:	Suffix:	DL/ID #:	St.:	Status:
CLIFFORD	KIMBERLY			[redacted]	[redacted]	VALID

Home

Street Nbr.	Dir:	Street Name	Suffix:	Dir.	Unit/Apt	City:	State:	ZIP:
313	N	57TH	PL			MESA	AZ	85205

Cell Phone: (480) 861-9749 | Home Phone: | Email Address:

Race:	Sex:	Ethnicity:	SSN:	DOB:	Age Range:	Juvenile:
UNKNOWN	F	UNKNOWN		3/11/1961	From: 57 To: 57	No

Resident of Jurisdiction?	Height Range:	Weight Range:	Hair:	Eye Color:
YES	From: 504 To: 504	From: 160 To: 160	BLONDE OR STRAWBERRY - BLN	BLUE - BLU

Was consent to search the Person requested? No | Consent Given? | Person Search Conducted? No

Search Options:

Was this an Investigatory Detention? No | Arrested? No | ARS: | Type:

Work

Work Business Name: | Work Phone:

Street Nbr.	Dir:	Street Name:	Suffix:	Dir.	Unit/Apt	City:	State:	ZIP:

FBI#: | SID: | BK#: | Scanned Address:

PERSON

ID:	Type:	Name Type:	VR Form & Pamphlet Given?	Reason NOT Given:
3	VICTIM	Individual	YES	

VR_PamphletDeliveryMethod: MAILED

Name - Last:	First:	Middle:	Suffix:	DL/ID #:	St.:	Status:
CLIFFORD	WAYNE			[redacted]	[redacted]	VALID

Home

Street Nbr.	Dir:	Street Name	Suffix:	Dir.	Unit/Apt	City:	State:	ZIP:
313	N	57TH	PL			MESA	AZ	85205

Cell Phone: (480) 773-0721 | Home Phone: | Email Address:

Race:	Sex:	Ethnicity:	SSN:	DOB:	Age Range:	Juvenile:
UNKNOWN	M	UNKNOWN		4/4/1951	From: 67 To: 67	No

Resident of Jurisdiction?	Height Range:	Weight Range:	Hair:	Eye Color:
YES	From: 505 To: 505	From: 180 To: 180	BLONDE OR STRAWBERRY - BLN	BLUE - BLU

Was consent to search the Person requested? No | Consent Given? | Person Search Conducted? No

Search Options:

Was this an Investigatory Detention? No | Arrested? No | ARS: | Type:

Work

Work Business Name: | Work Phone:

Street Nbr.	Dir:	Street Name:	Suffix:	Dir.	Unit/Apt	City:	State:	ZIP:

FBI#: | SID: | BK#: | Scanned Address:

NARRATIVE

On Friday, 05-01-20 at about 1200 hours, Kimberly Clifford (V1) reported she and her husband, Wayne Clifford (V2) had been defrauded. She related a subject identified as Jeffrey Benson III had started to file bankruptcy in her and Wayne's name without their knowledge or permission. V1 requested contact by phone at 480-861-9749.

Prior to taking the call, my supervisor, Sergeant Moore S1872, was advised of the call for service as it appeared to be involved in identity theft. My MCSO issued body worn camera was on during this investigation, and my MCSO issued iPhone was placed on "speaker phone" while talking with V1. Upon reaching her I was informed of the following.

V1 told me in January 2019, she met a man identified as Jeffrey Benson III at a networking conference. V1, who sales insurance and a service called "Legal Shield", started to talk with Jeffrey as a potential client and an associate.

On or about 03-01-20, V1 and her husband engaged into a real estate partnership with Jeffrey. Jeffrey claimed he was in the process of buying the residence located at 5702 South Beck Avenue in Tempe. V1 related she and her husband provided Jeffrey $12,500.00 in order to enter the partnership as co-owners of the residence. During this time, Jeffrey showed V1 and V2 deed paperwork which showed he was in the process of buying the house. V2, who is a contractor,

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0004

NARRATIVE

started to conduct repairs on this residence as it was is disrepair.

Twice, while V2 at the residence conducting repairs with Jeffrey present, individuals claiming to own the house arrived at the house. Both times, Jeffrey engaged in a mutual fight with these people, in which both times the Tempe Police responded. During the second incident, Jeffrey was booked for assault. Also while conducting these repairs, real estate agents came by the house which provided information to which indicated Jeffrey was not the actual owner. Between the $12,500.00 V1 and V2 invested and the material / man hours V2 put into the house, the couple is out a total of $17,866.67.

Soon after Jeffrey was booked into jail, V1 and V2 found out he had never owned the house at all. In April or May 2019, V1 and V2 started to receive bankruptcy paperwork from the Maricopa County Superior Court. This paperwork included their names and the Beck Avenue address. This paperwork was a request to obtain further information about V1 and V2. V1 was adamant she and her husband never filed for bankruptcy, and based upon the information in the paperwork, they believed Jeffrey was responsible. V1 told me they had called Jeffrey, who admitted he had filed the paperwork, but claimed he had told the couple he was going to do.

After this phone conversation, Jeffrey moved, changed his phone number, and was not heard from again. During the last year, V1 and V2 had retained an attorney to reverse the initial bankruptcy process. V1 told me she has spent about $5,000.00 in legal fees. During this process, V1 and V2 discovered court paperwork which had been filed by Jeffrey which was forged in V2's name. While the bankruptcy has been dismissed, V1 and V2's credit card accounts have been closed and they are still trying to repair the damage to their credit caused by Jeffrey.

V1 told me she has court paperwork, deed paperwork, and other documents to turn over, however she was not at home. She told me she would provide the documents as soon as possible.

V1 concluded by telling me both her and her husband wish to aid in prosecution in the matter, and I later mailed them a victim rights form.

I later conducted a records check through MCSO Communications, and I discovered Jeffrey has three valid warrants for his arrest.

1) Maricopa Superior Court warrant for failure to appear for pretrial conference for forgery, a class 4 felony dated 08-13-19 with a $1,000.00 bond.
2) Tempe City Court warrant for assault, dated 07-18-19 with $500.00 bond.
3) Mesa City Court warrant for failure to appear for assault, dated 08-26-19 with a $750.00 bond.

At this time I have no contact information for the suspect. I will supplement this report when I obtain the documents from V1. Nothing further.

Attachment:	Description:

HISTORY

Completed By:	S1554	SHALL, K	On: 5/1/2020	At: 20:08
Reviewed By:	S1770	EVERSOLE, S	On: 5/12/2020	At: 13:58
Approved By:	S1770	EVERSOLE, S	On: 5/12/2020	At: 13:58

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0005

VICTIM REQUEST FOR, OR WAIVER OF, PRE-CONVICTION AND / OR PRE-ADJUDICATION RIGHTS

This form opts you in as a victim because there is probable cause that a crime has been committed against you. Please keep this form for future reference regarding your case.

1 Contact Type: BY PHONE/MAIL | Date: 5/1/2020

2 CASE IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

Reporting Agency: 0700 - MARICOPA COUNTY		Phone Number: (602) 876-1000	
Reporting Officer Serial#: S1554	Name: Shall	IR#: IR20012471	Event#: MC20087586
Location: 313 N 57TH PLACE MESA	Report/Citation Date: 5/1/2020	Report/Citation Time: 12:00 PM	
Offense/Type of Crime: 471 FRAUD OR CON GAME		Class of Crime/Offense: FELONY	

Are these New Charges or a Warrant? New Charges: (●) Warrant: ( )

3 ARREST / DETENTION STATUS

Suspect Type: SUSPECT NOT IN CUSTODY

Known?	Adult/Juvy?	Last Name:	First:	MI:	Date of Birth:
KNOWN	ADULT	BENSON	JEFFREY		2/2/1961

If an arrest/detention in this case is made, you will be notified at the earliest opportunity. If you are not notified within 30 days you may obtain case status information by calling the Law Enforcement Agency indicated in Box 2 above.

4 VICTIM OR VICTIM'S LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

Was the Victim known at the time of Arrest? Yes | Was the Victim's Rights Form and Pamphlet Provided to Victim? Yes

A. Who was the crime or offense committed against?

Last Name:	First Name:	Middle Name:	DOB:
CLIFFORD	KIMBERLY		3/11/1961

B. Are you the victim or lawful representative? (CHECK BOX THAT APPLIES)

(●) The crime was committed against me. I AM THE VICTIM.

( ) One of the following applies to me. I am the victim's LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE.

- ( ) The victim has designated me as his/her lawful representative.
- ( ) The victim is a legal entity (corporation, partnership or business).
- ( ) The victim is a vulnerable adult & I am the legal guardian.
- ( ) The victim is incapacitated or deceased and I am an immediate family member.
- ( ) The victim is a minor child and I am a parent, immediate family member or legal guardian.

Victim's Lawful Representative:	Relationship to Victim:	
Last Name:	First Name:	DOB:

C. How can you be contacted? Language Preference: English

Mailing Address:	Street: 313 NORTH 57TH PLACE	Apt:	City: MESA	ST: AZ	ZIP: 85205
☐ Home address is the same as Mailing address:					
Home Address:	Street: 313 NORTH 57TH PLACE	Apt:	City: MESA	ST: AZ	ZIP: 85205
Home Phone: (480) 861-9749	Mobile Phone:	Work Phone:	eMail:		

D.

I REQUEST my rights in this case.		I WAIVE (DECLINE) my rights in this case.		REQUEST / WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 13-4405(B) and 8-386(B)
(●)	OR	( )	OR	( ) (FOR REPORTING AGENCY USE ONLY)

I understand that I must keep my mailing address and phone number current with the agency or court responsible for providing my rights. Failure to do so can mean that my rights are waived. I also understand in order to make any changes to the information supplied on this form, I must contact the appropriate agency or court.

Notes / Comments:

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0006

FORM defid

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re:

WAYNE CLIFFORD
313 N. 57TH PLACE
MESA, AZ 85205
SSAN: xxx-xx-9827
EIN:

Debtor(s)

Case No.: 2:19-bk-02380-EPB

Chapter: 7

FAILURE TO PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION AT TIME OF FILING

You must provide the court with photo identification within 14 days from the date of this notice. You must bring identification to the Clerk's office during business hours or you may mail a copy to any of the three court offices.

Court Requirements:

If you are filing a new petition and are not represented by an attorney, you must provide a current government issued photo identification card when you bring in or mail your petition to the U.S. Bankruptcy Court.

A required identification card must contain a photograph, be government issued, be current, and be legible. Examples of allowed identification cards are state driver's licenses, state or federal issued identification cards, U.S. passports, or federal, state or local government employee photo identification cards.

For privacy protection, the court will convert identification photocopies collected to pdf documents for retention under a restricted docket event which will be inaccessible to the public. Any paper copies submitted will be scanned and then destroyed.

Date: March 6, 2019

Address of the Bankruptcy Clerk's Office:
U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Arizona
230 North First Avenue, Suite 101
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1727
Telephone number: (602) 682-4000
www.azb.uscourts.gov

Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court:

George Prentice

By: Diane M, Deputy Clerk
Phone: 602-682-4000

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0007

FILED USBC CLERK PHX
2019 MAR 06 PM 12:15

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re: Wayne Clifford

Debtor(s).

Chapter 7

Case No. 19-02380

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY FOR DEBTOR(S) WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY

The debtor(s) shall answer the following questions:

1. Did you pay or promise to pay someone to help in preparing the documents for your bankruptcy filing:
   [ ] Yes [x] No

2. If yes, please give the following information about that person(s):

   Name: _____
   Address: _____
   City/State/Zip: _____
   Telephone No: _____

3. What amount did you pay or promise to pay for this help? (Fill in the blanks)

   Money: Paid: $ N/A Will Pay: $_____

   Personal Property given to or Services provided to preparer (instead of, or in addition to, monetary payment above) (please explain): _____

4. Did you make any payments to the preparer for Court costs in connection with the filing of the bankruptcy (such as filing fees)?
   [ ] Yes [x] No. If yes, how much $_____

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above statements are true.

Wayne Clifford
Debtor's Signature

Wayne Clifford
Print Name

5702 S. Beck
Mesa, AZ
Address

Joint Debtor's Signature

Print Name

Address

Warning: It is a federal crime to file a document containing false information in a federal court proceeding. Penalty for false declarations: Fine of not more than $250,000 or imprisonment for not more than 5 years or both. 18 U.S.C. § 152 and § 3571.

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0008

8

Fill in this information to identify your case:

United States Bankruptcy Court for the:

District of Arizona

Case number (If known) 19-02380

Chapter you are filing under:
- ☑ Chapter 7
- ☐ Chapter 11
- ☐ Chapter 12
- ☐ Chapter 13

FILED USBC CLERK PHX
2019 MAR 6 PM 2:54

☐ Check if this is an amended filing

Official Form 101

Voluntary Petition for Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy 12/17

The bankruptcy forms use *you* and *Debtor 1* to refer to a debtor filing alone. A married couple may file a bankruptcy case together—called a *joint case*—and in joint cases, these forms use *you* to ask for information from both debtors. For example, if a form asks, "Do you own a car," the answer would be *yes* if either debtor owns a car. When information is needed about the spouses separately, the form uses *Debtor 1* and *Debtor 2* to distinguish between them. In joint cases, one of the spouses must report information as *Debtor 1* and the other as *Debtor 2*. The same person must be *Debtor 1* in all of the forms.

Be as complete and accurate as possible. If two married people are filing together, both are equally responsible for supplying correct information. If more space is needed, attach a separate sheet to this form. On the top of any additional pages, write your name and case number (if known). Answer every question.

Part 1: Identify Yourself

	About Debtor 1:	About Debtor 2 (Spouse Only in a Joint Case):
1. Your full name Write the name that is on your government-issued picture identification (for example, your driver's license or passport). Bring your picture identification to your meeting with the trustee.	First name: Wayne Middle name: Last name: Clifford Suffix (Sr., Jr., II, III):	First name: Middle name: Last name: Suffix (Sr., Jr., II, III):
2. All other names you have used in the last 8 years Include your married or maiden names.	First name: N/A Middle name: Last name: First name: Middle name: Last name:	First name: Middle name: Last name: First name: Middle name: Last name:
3. Only the last 4 digits of your Social Security number or federal Individual Taxpayer Identification number (ITIN)	xxx – xx – 9827 OR 9xx – xx – ____	xxx – xx – ____ OR 9xx – xx – ____

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0009

Debtor 1 First Name Middle Name Last Name

Case number (if known)

	About Debtor 1:	About Debtor 2 (Spouse Only in a Joint Case):
4. **Any business names and Employer Identification Numbers (EIN) you have used in the last 8 years** Include trade names and *doing business as* names	☑ I have not used any business names or EINs. Business name Business name EIN EIN	☐ I have not used any business names or EINs. Business name Business name EIN EIN
5. **Where you live**	313 N. 57th Place Number Street MESA, Arizona 85205 City State ZIP Code County	**If Debtor 2 lives at a different address:** Number Street City State ZIP Code County
	If your mailing address is different from the one above, fill it in here. Note that the court will send any notices to you at this mailing address. SAME Number Street P.O. Box City State ZIP Code	**If Debtor 2's mailing address is different from yours, fill it in here.** Note that the court will send any notices to this mailing address. Number Street P.O. Box City State ZIP Code
6. **Why you are choosing *this district* to file for bankruptcy**	*Check one:* ☐ Over the last 180 days before filing this petition, I have lived in this district longer than in any other district. ☑ I have another reason. Explain. (See 28 U.S.C. § 1408.) To eliminate my debt.	*Check one:* ☐ Over the last 180 days before filing this petition, I have lived in this district longer than in any other district. ☐ I have another reason. Explain. (See 28 U.S.C. § 1408.)

Debtor 1 First Name Middle Name Last Name

Case number (if known)

Part 2: Tell the Court About Your Bankruptcy Case

7. The chapter of the Bankruptcy Code you are choosing to file under

Check one. (For a brief description of each, see *Notice Required by 11 U.S.C. § 342(b) for Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy* (Form 2010)). Also, go to the top of page 1 and check the appropriate box.

- ☑ Chapter 7
- ☐ Chapter 11
- ☐ Chapter 12
- ☐ Chapter 13

8. How you will pay the fee

- ☐ **I will pay the entire fee when I file my petition.** Please check with the clerk's office in your local court for more details about how you may pay. Typically, if you are paying the fee yourself, you may pay with cash, cashier's check, or money order. If your attorney is submitting your payment on your behalf, your attorney may pay with a credit card or check with a pre-printed address.
- ☐ **I need to pay the fee in installments.** If you choose this option, sign and attach the *Application for Individuals to Pay The Filing Fee in Installments* (Official Form 103A).
- ☑ **I request that my fee be waived** (You may request this option only if you are filing for Chapter 7. By law, a judge may, but is not required to, waive your fee, and may do so only if your income is less than 150% of the official poverty line that applies to your family size and you are unable to pay the fee in installments). If you choose this option, you must fill out the *Application to Have the Chapter 7 Filing Fee Waived* (Official Form 103B) and file it with your petition.

9. Have you filed for bankruptcy within the last 8 years?

- ☑ No
- ☐ Yes. District _____ When _____ (MM / DD / YYYY) Case number _____
  District _____ When _____ (MM / DD / YYYY) Case number _____
  District _____ When _____ (MM / DD / YYYY) Case number _____

10. Are any bankruptcy cases pending or being filed by a spouse who is not filing this case with you, or by a business partner, or by an affiliate?

- ☑ No
- ☐ Yes. Debtor _____ Relationship to you _____
  District _____ When _____ (MM / DD / YYYY) Case number, if known _____
  Debtor _____ Relationship to you _____
  District _____ When _____ (MM / DD / YYYY) Case number, if known _____

11. Do you rent your residence?

- ☑ No. Go to line 12.
- ☐ Yes. Has your landlord obtained an eviction judgment against you?
  - ☐ No. Go to line 12.
  - ☐ Yes. Fill out *Initial Statement About an Eviction Judgment Against You* (Form 101A) and file it as part of this bankruptcy petition.

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0011

Debtor 1 _____ Case number (if known) _____
First Name Middle Name Last Name

Part 3: Report About Any Businesses You Own as a Sole Proprietor

12. Are you a sole proprietor of any full- or part-time business?

A sole proprietorship is a business you operate as an individual, and is not a separate legal entity such as a corporation, partnership, or LLC.

If you have more than one sole proprietorship, use a separate sheet and attach it to this petition.

☑ No. Go to Part 4.

☐ Yes. Name and location of business

_____
Name of business, if any

_____
Number Street

_____

_____ _____ _____
City State ZIP Code

Check the appropriate box to describe your business:

☐ Health Care Business (as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(27A))

☐ Single Asset Real Estate (as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(51B))

☐ Stockbroker (as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(53A))

☐ Commodity Broker (as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(6))

☐ None of the above

13. Are you filing under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and are you a *small business debtor*?

For a definition of *small business debtor*, see 11 U.S.C. § 101(51D).

If you are filing under Chapter 11, the court must know whether you are a small business debtor so that it can set appropriate deadlines. If you indicate that you are a small business debtor, you must attach your most recent balance sheet, statement of operations, cash-flow statement, and federal income tax return or if any of these documents do not exist, follow the procedure in 11 U.S.C. § 1116(1)(B).

☑ No. I am not filing under Chapter 11.

☐ No. I am filing under Chapter 11, but I am NOT a small business debtor according to the definition in the Bankruptcy Code.

☐ Yes. I am filing under Chapter 11 and I am a small business debtor according to the definition in the Bankruptcy Code.

Part 4: Report if You Own or Have Any Hazardous Property or Any Property That Needs Immediate Attention

14. Do you own or have any property that poses or is alleged to pose a threat of imminent and identifiable hazard to public health or safety? Or do you own any property that needs immediate attention?

For example, do you own perishable goods, or livestock that must be fed, or a building that needs urgent repairs?

☑ No

☐ Yes. What is the hazard? _____

_____

If immediate attention is needed, why is it needed? _____

_____

Where is the property? _____
Number Street

_____

_____ _____ _____
City State ZIP Code

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0012

Debtor 1 _____ Case number (if known) _____
First Name Middle Name Last Name

Part 5: Explain Your Efforts to Receive a Briefing About Credit Counseling

15. Tell the court whether you have received a briefing about credit counseling.

The law requires that you receive a briefing about credit counseling before you file for bankruptcy. You must truthfully check one of the following choices. If you cannot do so, you are not eligible to file.

If you file anyway, the court can dismiss your case, you will lose whatever filing fee you paid, and your creditors can begin collection activities again.

About Debtor 1:

You must check one:

☐ **I received a briefing from an approved credit counseling agency within the 180 days before I filed this bankruptcy petition, and I received a certificate of completion.**

Attach a copy of the certificate and the payment plan, if any, that you developed with the agency.

☐ **I received a briefing from an approved credit counseling agency within the 180 days before I filed this bankruptcy petition, but I do not have a certificate of completion.**

Within 14 days after you file this bankruptcy petition, you MUST file a copy of the certificate and payment plan, if any.

☑ **I certify that I asked for credit counseling services from an approved agency, but was unable to obtain those services during the 7 days after I made my request, and exigent circumstances merit a 30-day temporary waiver of the requirement.**

To ask for a 30-day temporary waiver of the requirement, attach a separate sheet explaining what efforts you made to obtain the briefing, why you were unable to obtain it before you filed for bankruptcy, and what exigent circumstances required you to file this case.

Your case may be dismissed if the court is dissatisfied with your reasons for not receiving a briefing before you filed for bankruptcy.

If the court is satisfied with your reasons, you must still receive a briefing within 30 days after you file. You must file a certificate from the approved agency, along with a copy of the payment plan you developed, if any. If you do not do so, your case may be dismissed.

Any extension of the 30-day deadline is granted only for cause and is limited to a maximum of 15 days.

☐ **I am not required to receive a briefing about credit counseling because of:**

- ☐ **Incapacity.** I have a mental illness or a mental deficiency that makes me incapable of realizing or making rational decisions about finances.
- ☐ **Disability.** My physical disability causes me to be unable to participate in a briefing in person, by phone, or through the internet, even after I reasonably tried to do so.
- ☐ **Active duty.** I am currently on active military duty in a military combat zone.

If you believe you are not required to receive a briefing about credit counseling, you must file a motion for waiver of credit counseling with the court.

About Debtor 2 (Spouse Only in a Joint Case):

You must check one:

☐ **I received a briefing from an approved credit counseling agency within the 180 days before I filed this bankruptcy petition, and I received a certificate of completion.**

Attach a copy of the certificate and the payment plan, if any, that you developed with the agency.

☐ **I received a briefing from an approved credit counseling agency within the 180 days before I filed this bankruptcy petition, but I do not have a certificate of completion.**

Within 14 days after you file this bankruptcy petition, you MUST file a copy of the certificate and payment plan, if any.

☐ **I certify that I asked for credit counseling services from an approved agency, but was unable to obtain those services during the 7 days after I made my request, and exigent circumstances merit a 30-day temporary waiver of the requirement.**

To ask for a 30-day temporary waiver of the requirement, attach a separate sheet explaining what efforts you made to obtain the briefing, why you were unable to obtain it before you filed for bankruptcy, and what exigent circumstances required you to file this case.

Your case may be dismissed if the court is dissatisfied with your reasons for not receiving a briefing before you filed for bankruptcy.

If the court is satisfied with your reasons, you must still receive a briefing within 30 days after you file. You must file a certificate from the approved agency, along with a copy of the payment plan you developed, if any. If you do not do so, your case may be dismissed.

Any extension of the 30-day deadline is granted only for cause and is limited to a maximum of 15 days.

☐ **I am not required to receive a briefing about credit counseling because of:**

- ☐ **Incapacity.** I have a mental illness or a mental deficiency that makes me incapable of realizing or making rational decisions about finances.
- ☐ **Disability.** My physical disability causes me to be unable to participate in a briefing in person, by phone, or through the internet, even after I reasonably tried to do so.
- ☐ **Active duty.** I am currently on active military duty in a military combat zone.

If you believe you are not required to receive a briefing about credit counseling, you must file a motion for waiver of credit counseling with the court.

Debtor 1 First Name Middle Name Last Name

Case number (if known)

Part 6: Answer These Questions for Reporting Purposes

16. What kind of debts do you have?

16a. **Are your debts primarily consumer debts?** *Consumer debts* are defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(8) as "incurred by an individual primarily for a personal, family, or household purpose."

- ☐ No. Go to line 16b.
- ☑ Yes. Go to line 17.

16b. **Are your debts primarily business debts?** *Business debts* are debts that you incurred to obtain money for a business or investment or through the operation of the business or investment.

- ☐ No. Go to line 16c.
- ☐ Yes. Go to line 17.

16c. State the type of debts you owe that are not consumer debts or business debts.

Credit CARD, Mortgage etc

17. Are you filing under Chapter 7?

- ☐ No. I am not filing under Chapter 7. Go to line 18.
- ☑ Yes. I am filing under Chapter 7. Do you estimate that after any exempt property is excluded and administrative expenses are paid that funds will be available to distribute to unsecured creditors?

Do you estimate that after any exempt property is excluded and administrative expenses are paid that funds will be available for distribution to unsecured creditors?

- ☐ No
- ☑ Yes

18. How many creditors do you estimate that you owe?

☑ 1-49	☐ 1,000-5,000	☐ 25,001-50,000
☐ 50-99	☐ 5,001-10,000	☐ 50,001-100,000
☐ 100-199	☐ 10,001-25,000	☐ More than 100,000
☐ 200-999		

19. How much do you estimate your assets to be worth?

☐ $0-$50,000	☐ $1,000,001-$10 million	☐ $500,000,001-$1 billion
☐ $50,001-$100,000	☐ $10,000,001-$50 million	☐ $1,000,000,001-$10 billion
☑ $100,001-$500,000	☐ $50,000,001-$100 million	☐ $10,000,000,001-$50 billion
☐ $500,001-$1 million	☐ $100,000,001-$500 million	☐ More than $50 billion

20. How much do you estimate your liabilities to be?

☐ $0-$50,000	☐ $1,000,001-$10 million	☐ $500,000,001-$1 billion
☐ $50,001-$100,000	☐ $10,000,001-$50 million	☐ $1,000,000,001-$10 billion
☑ $100,001-$500,000	☐ $50,000,001-$100 million	☐ $10,000,000,001-$50 billion
☐ $500,001-$1 million	☐ $100,000,001-$500 million	☐ More than $50 billion

Part 7: Sign Below

For you

I have examined this petition, and I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided is true and correct.

If I have chosen to file under Chapter 7, I am aware that I may proceed, if eligible, under Chapter 7, 11, 12, or 13 of title 11, United States Code. I understand the relief available under each chapter, and I choose to proceed under Chapter 7.

If no attorney represents me and I did not pay or agree to pay someone who is not an attorney to help me fill out this document, I have obtained and read the notice required by 11 U.S.C. § 342(b).

I request relief in accordance with the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

I understand making a false statement, concealing property, or obtaining money or property by fraud in connection with a bankruptcy case can result in fines up to $250,000, or imprisonment for up to 20 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 1341, 1519, and 3571.

X _____
Signature of Debtor 1

Executed on 3/6/2019
MM / DD / YYYY

X _____
Signature of Debtor 2

Executed on _____
MM / DD / YYYY

Debtor 1 _____ Case number (if known) _____
First Name Middle Name Last Name

For your attorney, if you are represented by one

If you are not represented by an attorney, you do not need to file this page.

I, the attorney for the debtor(s) named in this petition, declare that I have informed the debtor(s) about eligibility to proceed under Chapter 7, 11, 12, or 13 of title 11, United States Code, and have explained the relief available under each chapter for which the person is eligible. I also certify that I have delivered to the debtor(s) the notice required by 11 U.S.C. § 342(b) and, in a case in which § 707(b)(4)(D) applies, certify that I have no knowledge after an inquiry that the information in the schedules filed with the petition is incorrect.

X _____ Date _____
Signature of Attorney for Debtor MM / DD / YYYY

Still looking for this one time.

Printed name _____

Firm name _____

Number Street _____

_____

City _____ State _____ ZIP Code _____

Contact phone _____ Email address _____

Bar number _____ State _____

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0015

Debtor 1 First Name Middle Name Last Name

Case number (if known)

For you if you are filing this bankruptcy without an attorney

If you are represented by an attorney, you do not need to file this page.

The law allows you, as an individual, to represent yourself in bankruptcy court, but **you should understand that many people find it extremely difficult to represent themselves successfully. Because bankruptcy has long-term financial and legal consequences, you are strongly urged to hire a qualified attorney.**

To be successful, you must correctly file and handle your bankruptcy case. The rules are very technical, and a mistake or inaction may affect your rights. For example, your case may be dismissed because you did not file a required document, pay a fee on time, attend a meeting or hearing, or cooperate with the court, case trustee, U.S. trustee, bankruptcy administrator, or audit firm if your case is selected for audit. If that happens, you could lose your right to file another case, or you may lose protections, including the benefit of the automatic stay.

You must list all your property and debts in the schedules that you are required to file with the court. Even if you plan to pay a particular debt outside of your bankruptcy, you must list that debt in your schedules. If you do not list a debt, the debt may not be discharged. If you do not list property or properly claim it as exempt, you may not be able to keep the property. The judge can also deny you a discharge of all your debts if you do something dishonest in your bankruptcy case, such as destroying or hiding property, falsifying records, or lying. Individual bankruptcy cases are randomly audited to determine if debtors have been accurate, truthful, and complete. **Bankruptcy fraud is a serious crime; you could be fined and imprisoned.**

If you decide to file without an attorney, the court expects you to follow the rules as if you had hired an attorney. The court will not treat you differently because you are filing for yourself. To be successful, you must be familiar with the United States Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and the local rules of the court in which your case is filed. You must also be familiar with any state exemption laws that apply.

Are you aware that filing for bankruptcy is a serious action with long-term financial and legal consequences?

☒ No
☐ Yes

Are you aware that bankruptcy fraud is a serious crime and that if your bankruptcy forms are inaccurate or incomplete, you could be fined or imprisoned?

☐ No
☒ Yes

Did you pay or agree to pay someone who is not an attorney to help you fill out your bankruptcy forms?

☒ No
☐ Yes. Name of Person _____
Attach *Bankruptcy Petition Preparer's Notice, Declaration, and Signature* (Official Form 119).

By signing here, I acknowledge that I understand the risks involved in filing without an attorney. I have read and understood this notice, and I am aware that filing a bankruptcy case without an attorney may cause me to lose my rights or property if I do not properly handle the case.

X _____
Signature of Debtor 1

Date _____ MM / DD / YYYY

Contact phone _____

Cell phone _____

Email address _____

X _____
Signature of Debtor 2

Date _____ MM / DD / YYYY

Contact phone _____

Cell phone _____

Email address _____

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0016

CREDIT REPAIR
LAWYERS OF AMERICA

22142 W. Nine Mile Road, Southfield, MI 48033

9/8/2020

FedEx Ship Manager - Print Your Label(s)

SHIP FROM

22142 West Nine Mile Rd

SOUTHFIELD, MI 48033

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

CAD: 252001847/INET4280

PS LIGHTWEIGHT
U.S. Postage Paid
SmartPost
e-VS

SHIP TO: Equifax Information Services, LLC
Equifax Information Services, LLC
P.O. Box 740256

ATLANTA, GA 30374

USPS TRACKING # e-VS

9274 8903 2285 7220 0001 10

S

EFX-CLIFFORD-000017

EFX ORIGINAL DOCUMENT 5 09\29\2020 00372190 001 0017

Exhibit 3

BANKRUPTCY **AUTOMATED CONSUMER DISPUTE VERIFICATION** **EQUIFAX**

Control Number	99990274012598101			Dispute 1	[020] CLAIMS TRUE IDENTITY FRAUD - ACCOUNT FRAUDULENTLY OPENED INITIATE INVESTIGATION.
Origin NCRA	CPT	Bureau Code	9999		
Date Created	09/30/2020	Response Due	10/23/2020	Dispute 2	
Subscriber Code	152VF00018				
Account Number	1902380				
Grantor Name	AZ FED BK CT-PHOENIX			FCRA Relevant Information	
Responder Name	LexisNexis				
Responder Phone	770-346-0860	Response Date	10/02/2020		
Response Code	☐ Verified As Reported	☑ Modified as Shown		☐ Delete Account	☐ Delete Fraud

Reported Consumer Identity		Same	Modified Consumer Identity	
Name	CLIFFORD WAYNE T	☐	Name	
AKA/FN	CLIFFORD WAYNE KIMBERLY	☐	AKA/FN	
Address	313 N 57TH PL, MESA, AZ 85205	☐	Address	
Previous	1450 E FLINT ST, CHANDLER, AZ 85225	☐	Previous	
SSN	548-80-7375	☐	SSN	**000-00-9827**
DOB	04/04/1951	☐	DOB	
Phone	480-773-0721	☐	Phone	

Type	Date Filed	Disposition Date	Narratives
[I] PERSONAL	03/2019	03/18/2019	
	03/2019	**03/18/2019**	
Status	Exempt Amount	Filer	
[M] DISMISSED/CLOSED CHAPTER-7		[I] INDIVIDUAL	
[M] DISMISSED/CLOSED CHAPTER-7		**[I] INDIVIDUAL**	**Modify case information as indicated**

EFX-CLIFFORD-000020

EXHIBIT

7

BANKRUPTCY **AUTOMATED CONSUMER DISPUTE VERIFICATION** **EQUIFAX**

Images Sent
DA10092509292000002.TIF

Exhibit 4

EQUIFAX

CREDIT FILE : October 03, 2020

Confirmation # 0274012598

00007611 9- DISC
WAYNE T CLIFFORD
313 N 57TH PL
MESA, AZ 85205-8202

P.O. Box 105069
Atlanta, GA 30348

Dear WAYNE T CLIFFORD:

We are pleased to let you know that the results of the dispute you recently filed with Equifax are complete. Here are a few things to know about the process:

Were changes made to my credit report and what actions were taken?

Please see the following page(s) for more detailed information on your specific results.

If we were able to make changes to your credit report based on the information you provided, we have done so. Otherwise, we contacted the company reporting the information to Equifax for them to investigate your dispute.

In this situation:

- We request that the reporting company verify the accuracy of the information you disputed;
- We provide them with any relevant information and supporting documentation you provided us with the dispute to consider as part of the investigation; and
- We request that they send Equifax a response to your dispute and update their records and systems, as necessary.

If your dispute involves a public record item, Equifax contacts a third party vendor to obtain the most recent status of the public record.

How do I know that all of this is happening?

When the reporting company replies to us, they certify that they have followed Equifax's instructions and the law; considered all information and documentation provided; and updated your information, as necessary.

What should I do if I do not agree with the results of the investigation?

<u>You have a few options:</u>

(Continued On Next Page)

0274012598-APPLADM-0838010800000007-10032020

EFX-CLIFFORD-000024

EXHIBIT
5

- You should contact the reporting companies about the information you believe is fraudulent. Ask them to explain their fraud investigation process and what steps you should take. If they agree the information does not belong to you, have them confirm this to you in writing. You can then send us the written confirmation if the item continues to report on your credit file.
- You may add a statement of up to 100 words to your credit report. If you provide a consumer statement that contains medical information related to services provided or medical procedures, then you expressly consent to including this information in every credit report we issue about you.
- You may contact the company that reports the information to us and dispute it directly with them. If you would like written proof about your accounts (such as the original agreement), please contact your creditors directly.
- You may provide us additional information or documents (such as an identity theft report or a letter from the reporting company) about your dispute to help us resolve it by visiting our website https://www.equifax.com/personal/disputes. You may also mail your documents to PO Box 740256, Atlanta GA 30374-0256 or contact us by calling a Customer Representative at 866-349-5191.
- You may contact the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau or your State Attorney General's office about your issue or complaint against Equifax or the company reporting the information.

(Continued On Next Page)

0274012598-APPLADM-0838010800000007-10032020

What else should I know?

If there has been a change to your credit report based on your dispute, or if you add a consumer statement, you may request that Equifax send an updated report to companies who received your credit report within the last two years for employment purposes, or within the last six months for any other purpose (the past 12 months for residents of California, Colorado, Maryland, New York and New Jersey residents).

Also, if you are interested, you may request a description of how the reinvestigation was conducted along with the business name, address and telephone number (if reasonably available) of the furnisher of your disputed information.

For frequently asked questions about your credit report and the dispute process, please visit Equifax at https://help.equifax.com/.

As always, we thank you for contacting Equifax and the results of your dispute are on the pages following this letter.

(Continued On Next Page)

How should I read my dispute results?

To better assist you with understanding the results of your dispute, please review the information below:

- If an item states **"Deleted"**, we have removed it from your credit report and taken steps so it does not reappear.
- If an item states **"Verified as Reported"**, the reporting company has certifed it is reporting accurately.
- If an item states **"Updated"**, we have updated one or more fields on the item based on information received from the reporting company.

Updated disputed account information only: **The information you disputed has been updated.**

Updated disputed account information. Additional account information was also updated: **The information you disputed has been updated as well as other information on this item.**

Disputed information accurate. Updated account information unrelated to the dispute: **The information you disputed has been verified as accurate, however, information unrelated to your dispute has been updated.**

Consumer's dispute not specific. Consumer Information verified. Account information updated: **Information on your report has been updated.**

The Results Of Our Reinvestigation

Public Record Information

This section includes public record items Equifax obtained from local, state and federal courts through a third party vendor, LexisNexis.

LexisNexis Consumer Center
P.O. Box 105615
Atlanta, GA 30348-5108

https://equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com

>>> The Information you disputed has been received. Case or ID# - 190*. The results are: We verified that this item belongs to you. If you have additional questions about this item please contact: ***AZ FED Bk CT-Phoenix, 230 N 1st Ave Ste 101, Phoenix, AZ 85003-1727 Phone: 602682400***

US Bankruptcy Court-Phoenix ***230 N 1ST AVE STE 101 PHOENIX, AZ 85003-1727: (602) 682-4000***

Case or ID #	Disposition	Date Filed	Type	Filer	Current Disposition
1902380	Bankruptcy Filed	03/2019	Personal	Individual	Dismissed/Closed CH-7

Asset Amount	Exempt Amount	Current Disposition Date	Date Verified	Date Reported	Prior Disposition
		03/18/2019	10/01/2020	10/03/2020	

(Continued On Next Page)

EQUIFAX

CREDIT FILE : October 03, 2020

Confirmation # 0274012598

Personal Identification Information *(This section includes your name, current and previous addresses, and any other identifying information reported by your creditors.)*

Name On File: Wayne T Clifford
Social Security # XXX-XX-7375 Date of Birth: April 4, 1951
Current Address: 313 N 57th Pl, Mesa, AZ 85205 (480) 773-0721 Reported: 10/2020
Previous Address(es): 1450 E Flint St, Chandler, AZ 85225 Reported: 10/2020
PO Box 41, Syosset, NY 11791 Reported: 10/2020
367 W Constitution Dr, Gilbert, AZ 85233 (480) 855-3422 Reported: 03/2020
1509 E Mineral Rd, Gilbert, AZ 85234 (480) 892-1978 Reported: 12/2010
1917 Greenhouse Patio Dr NW, Kennesaw, GA 30144 Reported: 12/2010
45 Wolf Ridge Trl NE, White, GA 30184 (770) 606-0652 Reported: 12/2010
4524 April Ln SE, Acworth, GA 30102 Reported: 12/2010

Formerly Known As: Wayne Kimberly Clifford
Other Id: XXXXX7379, Social Security # Variation, Reported: 09/07/2017

Last Reported Employment: Contractor; Wayne Clifford Const;

Please address all future correspondence to:

www.equifax.com/personal/disputes

Equifax Information Services LLC
P.O. Box 105069
Atlanta, GA 30348

Phone: (800) 377-6568
M - F 9:00am to 5:00pm in your time zone.

Public Record Information

This section includes public record items Equifax obtained from local, state and federal courts through a third party vendor, LexisNexis.

LexisNexis Consumer Center
P.O. Box 105615
Atlanta, GA 30348-5108

https://equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com

Bankruptcy Filed 03/2019; US Bankruptcy Court-Phoenix; Case or ID # - 1902380; Type - Personal; Filer - Individual; Current Disposition - Dismissed/Closed CH-7; Current Disposition Date 03/18/2019; Date Verified 10/01/2020; Date Reported 10/03/2020; **Address:** 230 N 1ST AVE STE 101 PHOENIX, AZ 85003-1727: (602) 682-4000

Credit Account Information
*(For your security, the last 4 digits of account number(s) have been replaced by *) (This section includes open and closed accounts reported by credit grantors)*

Account Column Title Descriptions:

Account Number	-	The Account number reported by credit grantor	Amount Past Due	-	The Amount Past Due as of the Date Reported
Date Acct. Opened	-	The Date that the credit grantor opened the	Date of Last Paymnt	-	The Date of Last Payment
			Actual Pay Amt	-	The Actual Amount of Last Payment

(Continued On Next Page)

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3

Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP
201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Robert M. Charles, Jr. (State Bar No. 007359)
Direct Dial: 520.629.4427
Email: rcharles@lewisroca.com

Allison Whitehill (State Bar No. 036339)
Direct Dial: 602.262.0850
Email: awhitehill@lewisroca.com

James F. McCabe (*pro hac vice*)
Alston & Bird LLP
560 Mission Street, Suite 2100
San Francisco CA 94105
Direct Dial: 415.243.1047
Email: Jim.McCabe@alston.com

Attorneys for Defendant LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Wayne Clifford,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01145-PHX-DJH

DECLARATION OF BRAD JERMAN IN SUPPORT OF LEXISNEXIS RISK DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC'S MOTION FO SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Compl. Filed: July 1, 2021
Trial Date: Not Set

I, Brad Jerman, declare as follows:

1. I am a Manager of Software Engineering for LexisNexis Risk Solutions Inc. ("LexisNexis Risk"). I am over twenty-one (21) years of age and am competent to give the testimony in this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and state that they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I am duly authorized to give the following declaration on behalf of LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC ("LexisNexis Risk DM").

2. As the Manager of Software Engineering for LexisNexis Risk, I am responsible for managing LexisNexis Risk's website, including uploading and updating the webpage that discusses LexisNexis Risk DM's relationship with Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax"). Modifications to LexisNexis Risk's website are tracked internally using Jira software. Persons working on the website are required to track contemporaneously in Jira modifications they make. LexisNexis Risk personnel rely on Jira records as authoritative records of the evolution of the website.

3. LexisNexis Risk's Jira records reflect that a task to set up "equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com" was created on April 13, 2016. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a copy of Jira ticket describing that task.

4. LexisNexis Risk's Jira records reflect that a task to update the "equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com" site was created on January 7, 2019. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a copy of Jira ticket describing that task. The comments on the task state "Text changes confirmed by Mark Johnson." At the time this task was created, Mark Johnson led business operations at LexisNexis Risk Data Management Inc. in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

5. LexisNexis Risk's Jira records reflect that no modifications to "equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com" have been made since January 8, 2019. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the content of equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com as it appears today.

6. Since January 8, 2019, the webpage found at equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com has read, in part, as follows: "If you have concerns that a record has been erroneously matched to you and included in your credit report, please contact Equifax to correct the error. LexisNexis does not match bankruptcy information to consumer credit files for Equifax. Equifax performs this matching."

7. Had Plaintiff Wayne Clifford, on October 3, 2020, clicked the link (https://equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com) that Equifax provided him in the letter that Equifax sent him regarding his dispute (which I understand is submitted to the Court contemporaneously herewith), he would have been shown the statement quoted above in paragraph 6.

8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 and the laws of the United States of America, I further declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 25th th day of August, 2022, at Miamisburg, Ohio.

By: DocuSigned by: Brad Jerman B10D1FA11D43450...
Brad Jerman

Exhibit A

[CDPORTAL-2001] Set up equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com

Created: 13/Apr/16 12:02 PM - Updated: 07/Jan/19 5:08 PM

Status:	Closed
Project:	CD & FIP Portal
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None
Security Level:	Project Level - Issue Security

Type:	Story	**Priority:**	Major
Reporter:	Brian Krohne	**Assignee:**	Brian Krohne
Resolution:	Done	**Votes:**	0
Labels:	None		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		

Field Tab	
Story Points:	10
Sprint:	CDP/DQC Sprint 82
Watcher Field:	[krohnebx@risk(krohnebx)]
QA Task Type:	Production Releases

equifax.png (53 kB)

Relates

relates to	[CDPORTAL-2470]	Create Experian consumer URL and page	Closed
relates to	[CDR-13]	Master Audited Release	Closed
relates to	[CDPORTAL-1974]	Create new page for Equifax	Closed
relates to	[CDPORTAL-2471]	Update transunionconsumers.lexisnexis.com site	Closed

http://equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com/

Ensure 404 does something sensible in prod.
Change subfolder links to symlinks

Prod:
https://isit.lexisnexis.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=6102916

QA
https://isit.lexisnexis.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=6103164

equifaxconsumersqa.risk.regn.net

Brian Krohne added a comment - 13/Apr/16 4:48 PM

Setup http://equifax.localhost/

Brian Krohne added a comment - 15/Apr/16 2:07 PM

Kenneth Fruit is setting up DNS for us: https://isit.lexisnexis.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=6105488

Brian Krohne added a comment - 15/Apr/16 4:18 PM

DNS is set up. Now we need the Cert and the F5 set up: https://isit.lexisnexis.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=6105484

Exhibit B

[CDPORTAL-2469] Update equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com site

Created: 07/Jan/19 3:19 PM - Updated: 08/Jan/19 11:34 AM

Status:	Closed
Project:	CD & FIP Portal
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None
Security Level:	Project Level - Issue Security

Type:	Story	**Priority:**	Minor
Reporter:	Brian Krohne	**Assignee:**	Brian Krohne
Resolution:	Done	**Votes:**	0
Labels:	None		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		

Field Tab	
Story Points:	1
Epic Link:	MAINTENANCE 2019
Sprint:	CDENH 2018-22
Watcher Field:	[krohnebx@risk(krohnebx)]

Information for Equifax Consumers 25092018 (clean).docx (15 kB)

Cloners			
is cloned by	[CDPORTAL-2471]	Update transunionconsumers.lexisnexis.com site	Closed
Relates			
relates to	[CDPORTAL-2470]	Create Experian consumer URL and page	Closed

- https://equifaxconsumers.lexisnexis.com/
- http://equifax-localhost/

Brian Krohne added a comment - 08/Jan/19 11:34 AM

Text changes confirmed by Mark Johnson.

Exhibit C

LexisNexis® | Equifax Consumers

Information for Equifax Consumers

LexisNexis Risk Data Management, Inc. ("LexisNexis") is a provider of bankruptcy information to Equifax. If you have questions about the information LexisNexis has provided to Equifax, please contact us by one of the methods referenced below. Please note the following:

- If you have concerns that a record has been erroneously matched to you and included in your credit report, please contact Equifax to correct the error. LexisNexis does not match bankruptcy information to consumer credit files for Equifax. Equifax performs this matching.
- LexisNexis does not decide the impact, if any, that bankruptcy information has on a consumer's credit score. Creditors and other companies who use credit reports employ many different credit score models.
- If you have questions regarding the bankruptcy information being reported, such as the appropriate spelling of your name, or if an update appears in bankruptcy court records that is not reflected in your Equifax report, please contact us by one of the methods referenced below.
- In order to more efficiently resolve your questions, we recommend that you provide us with your first name, last name, date of birth, social security number and address. Please also identify in detail the bankruptcy information about which you are concerned. In addition, if you have any other information that you feel would be relevant in resolving your concerns, please provide that information to us.
- If you have any concerns with providing your personal information via mail, our representatives are ready to receive your phone call.

Contact Us:

- By phone at **1.877.305.5121**. The LexisNexis Consumer Center's hours of operation are Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 7PM Eastern Time.
- By mail provide any correspondence to:

  LexisNexis Consumer Center
  P. O. Box 105615
  Atlanta, GA 30348-5108

Copyright © 2019 LexisNexis. All rights reserved. Terms & Conditions | Privacy Policy

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 4

Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP
201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Robert M. Charles, Jr. (State Bar No. 007359)
Direct Dial: 520.629.4427
Email: rcharles@lewisroca.com

Allison Whitehill (State Bar No. 036339)
Direct Dial: 602.262.0850
Email: awhitehill@lewisroca.com

James F. McCabe (*pro hac vice*)
Alston & Bird LLP
560 Mission Street, Suite 2100
San Francisco CA 94105
Direct Dial: 415.243.1047
Email: Jim.McCabe@alston.com

Attorneys for Defendant LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Wayne Clifford,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01145-PHX-DJH

DECLARATION OF JAMES F. MCCABE IN SUPPORT OF LEXISNEXIS RISK DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC'S MOTION FO SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Hearing Date:

I, James F. McCabe, declare as follows:

1. I am employed by the law firm Alston & Bird LLP, counsel for LexisNexis Risk Data Management, LLC ("LexisNexis Risk DM"). I am over twenty-one (21) years of age and am competent to give the testimony in this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and state that they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I am duly authorized to give the following declaration on behalf of LexisNexis Risk DM.

2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of a complaint that Plaintiff Wayne Clifford ("Plaintiff") herein filed against Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax"), on November 25, 2020, in which Plaintiff alleged that Equifax had included in his credit file a 2019 bankruptcy case that he had not filed. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of a notice Plaintiff filed in his Equifax case on September 21, 2021, indicating that he had settled his claims against Equifax.

3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of a paper published in December, 2012 by the United States Consumer Financial Protection Bureau entitled *Key Dimensions and Processes in the U.S. Credit Reporting System.*

4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of the docket sheet for case number 19-bk-02360 in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona that I retrieved from PACER on July 7, 2022.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 and the laws of the United States of America, I further declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 26 th day of August, 2022, at Durham, California.

By: _____
James F. McCabe

Exhibit A

TRINETTE G. KENT (State Bar No. 025180)
KENT LAW OFFICES
3219 E Camelback Rd #588
Phoenix, AZ 85018
Telephone: (480) 247-9644
Facsimile: (480) 717-4781
E-mail: tkent@kentlawpc.com

Of Counsel to:
Credit Repair Lawyers of America
22142 West Nine Mile Road
Southfield, MI 48033
Telephone: (248) 353-2882
Facsimile: (248) 353-4840

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Wayne Clifford

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
PHOENIX DIVISION

Wayne Clifford, Plaintiff, vs. Equifax Information Services, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company; and Jeffrey Benson, an individual Defendant.	Case No.: 2:20-cv-02283 **FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT** **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

EXHIBIT
9

NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFF, WAYNE CLIFFORD, BY AND THROUGH COUNSEL, TRINETTE G. KENT, and for his Complaint against the Defendants, pleads as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1681p.
2. This is an action brought by a consumer for violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. §1681, *et seq*. [hereinafter "FCRA"]).

VENUE

3. The transactions and occurrences which give rise to this action occurred in the City of Mesa, Maricopa County, Arizona.
4. Venue is proper in the District of Arizona, Phoenix Division.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in City of Mesa, Maricopa County, Arizona.
6. Defendant, Equifax Information Services, LLC ("Equifax"), is a Georgia limited liability company that conducts business in the state of Arizona.
7. Defendant, Jeffrey Benson ("Benson"), is an individual residing in Mesa, Arizona.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

8. In January of 2019, Plaintiff's wife, Kimberly Clifford, met Benson at a business networking conference. As they began to get to know each other, it was not long before they decided to do business together.

9. During Plaintiff and Mrs. Clifford's various conversations with Benson, Benson (mis)informed them that he was in the process of purchasing a residential home at 5702 South Beck Ave in Tempe, AZ. The Cliffords, who professionally find residential parcels to purchase, repair, and sell at a profit, were interested in Benson's purported project.

10. Benson never had any ownership interest in the South Beck property, but he nevertheless convinced Plaintiff to pay Benson the sum of $12,500 to enter into a partnership in which Plaintiff would own one half of that property along with Benson.

11. During this purported partnership, Plaintiff invested an additional $5,000, which was used to improve the property.

12. One time, while visiting the property with Benson, the true owners of the property showed up. Benson engaged in a physical fight with them and was subsequently arrested and booked for assault. Plaintiff soon learned that he was conned and defrauded out of his money by Benson.

13. In April or May of 2019, Plaintiff began receiving paperwork from the United States Bankruptcy Court in Arizona, indicating that he had filed for Chapter 7 protection. Plaintiff never filed for bankruptcy. Benson had forged Plaintiff's signature on a bankruptcy petition.

14. Plaintiff confronted Benson, who admitted that he filed the bogus petition in Plaintiff's name as retaliation. Since that time, Plaintiff's ability to obtain financing has come to a complete halt and his health has declined.

15. Equifax is reporting a fraudulent Chapter 7 bankruptcy filing ("Bogus Public Record") on Plaintiff's Equifax credit report.

16. Plaintiff has not filed for bankruptcy in over ten (10) years.

17. On March 6, 2019, a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy petition was filed in Plaintiff's name in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona ("the Court").

18. The last four digits of Plaintiff's SSN were listed incorrectly on the petition.

19. On the same day, the Court issued a Notice of Failure to Provide ID with the bankruptcy petition and a Notice of Incomplete Filings for failure to provide a list of creditors.

20. On March 18, 2019, the Court issued another Notice of Incomplete Filings for failure to provide income information and pay stubs.

21. On the same day, the Court issued an Order of Dismissal for the Chapter 7 Bankruptcy filing.

22. Plaintiff received the notices from the Court, and this is when he discovered that someone had fraudulently filed for bankruptcy in his name.

23. Soon after, Plaintiff contacted Benson, the person he suspected had fraudulently filed bankruptcy in his name. During the telephone conversation, Benson admitted that he fraudulently filed for bankruptcy in Plaintiff's name. After this conversation, Plaintiff was unable to get in contact with Benson again due to Benson changing his phone number.

24. On May 1, 2020, Plaintiff filed a police report with the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office regarding the fraudulent bankruptcy filing.

25. On May 2, 2020, Plaintiff obtained his Equifax credit disclosure and noticed the Bogus Public Record reporting.

26. On or about May 12, 2020, Plaintiff submitted a letter to Equifax, disputing the Bogus Public Record. In his dispute letter, Plaintiff explained that he did not file Chapter 7 Bankruptcy. He attached the Equifax credit disclosure showing the error and a copy of his police report. He asked Equifax to remove the Bogus Public Record.

27. Equifax received Plaintiff's dispute letter.

28. Equifax did not consult the Credit Reporting Resource Guide as part of its investigation of Plaintiff's dispute.

29. In response to Plaintiff's dispute, Equifax maintained that its reporting of the Bogus Public Record was accurate.

30. On or about October 3, 2020, Plaintiff received Equifax's investigation results, which showed that Equifax verified the Bogus Public Record and failed or refused to delete the Bogus Public Record.

31. As a direct and proximate cause of Equifax's negligent and/or willful failure to comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.*, Plaintiff has suffered:

a. Credit Damages: Plaintiff's livelihood depends upon him obtaining financing to acquire homes and the capital to improve them. After the bogus bankruptcy was reported on his credit report, Plaintiff has been turned down for loans that he had acquired before, due to the reported bankruptcy. One of his credit card lenders has terminated his use of his credit card, making it even more difficult to meet not only expenses to pursue his vocation but to meet his day to day living expenses;

b. Lost Credit Opportunities: Mortgage rates have been at historical lows. Plaintiff desires to refinance his house but has been prevented from doing

so because of this bogus bankruptcy reporting on his Equifax credit report.

c. Emotional Damages: Plaintiff has also experienced undue stress and anxiety due to Equifax's failure to correct the errors in his credit file or improve his financial situation by obtaining new or more favorable credit terms as a result of Equifax's violations of the FCRA. He has been humiliated by having to borrow money from his adult children to meet his day to day living expenses, as he cannot work in his vocation for want of financing.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT BY EQUIFAX

32. Plaintiff realleges the above paragraphs as if recited verbatim.

33. Equifax prepared, compiled, issued, assembled, transferred, published, and otherwise reproduced consumer reports regarding Plaintiff as that term is defined in 15 USC 1681a.

34. Such reports contained information about Plaintiff that was false, misleading, and inaccurate.

35. Equifax negligently failed to maintain and/or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information it reported to one or more third parties pertaining to Plaintiff, in violation of 15 USC 1681e(b).

36. After receiving Plaintiff's consumer dispute to the Bogus Public Record, Equifax negligently failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation as required by 15 U.S.C. 1681i.

37. As a direct and proximate cause of Equifax's negligent failure to perform its duties under the FCRA, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages, mental anguish and suffering, humiliation, and embarrassment.

38. Equifax is liable to Plaintiff by reason of its violation of the FCRA in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact together with his reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 USC 1681o.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS that this court grants him a judgment against Equifax for actual damages, costs, interest, and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT BY EQUIFAX

39. Plaintiff realleges the above paragraphs as if recited verbatim.

40. Equifax prepared, compiled, issued, assembled, transferred, published, and otherwise reproduced consumer reports regarding Plaintiff as that term is defined in 15 USC 1681a.

41. Such reports contained information about Plaintiff that was false, misleading, and inaccurate.

42. Equifax willfully failed to maintain and/or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information that it reported to one or more third parties pertaining to Plaintiff, in violation of 15 USC 1681e(b).

43. After receiving Plaintiff's consumer dispute to the Bogus Public Record, Equifax willfully failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation as required by 15 U.S.C. 1681i.

44. As a direct and proximate cause of Equifax's willful failure to perform its duties under the FCRA, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages, mental anguish and suffering, humiliation, and embarrassment.

45. Equifax is liable to Plaintiff by reason of its violations of the FCRA in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact together with his reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 USC 1681n.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS that this court grants him a judgment against Equifax for the greater of statutory or actual damages, plus punitive damages along with costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

COUNT III

FRAUD AND MISREPRESENTATION BY BENSON

46. Plaintiff realleges the above paragraphs as if recited verbatim.

47. Benson made a material misrepresentation of fact to Plaintiff when he misinformed Plaintiff that Benson owned an interest in the Beck property.

48. Benson made that statement as an affirmative statement, knowing full well that it was false at the time that he made it to Plaintiff.

49. Benson also made the statement with the specific intention of having Plaintiff rely upon this misrepresentation and profiting from this lie.

50. Plaintiff justifiably relied upon Benson's statement to his detriment and was induced to spend over $17,000 in payments to Benson and for improvements to the property in which neither Plaintiff nor Benson had any interest.

51. Benson made several material misrepresentations to the United States Bankruptcy Court when he posed as Plaintiff and filed a Bankruptcy Petition in his name.

52. Benson made these representations with the specific intention of creating a record in the Bankruptcy Court and ultimately, a public record, that Plaintiff had filed for bankruptcy when, in fact, he did not.

53. The Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court relied upon Benson's material misrepresentations to Plaintiff's detriment.

54. Co-defendant Equifax, in adding the Bogus Public Record, in turn relied upon the Bankruptcy Court's record, which incorrectly reflected that Plaintiff had filed for Bankruptcy, when, in fact, he had not.

55. Benson clearly had a malicious and malevolent intention of financially ruining Plaintiff for standing up to Benson to demand the return of this money and using legal process to do so.

56. As a direct and proximate cause of Benson's fraudulent misrepresentation to Plaintiff and others, Plaintiff has been severely damaged emotionally and financially.

57. Plaintiff is entitled to both actual and punitive damages against Benson for his willfully and intentionally damaging conduct towards Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS that this court grants him a judgment against Benson for actual damages, plus punitive damages along with costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

COUNT IV

BREACH OF CONTRACT BY BENSON

58. Plaintiff realleges the above paragraphs as if recited verbatim.

59. Benson promised to deliver a one-half interest in the South Beck property to Plaintiff for the sum of $12,500.

60. Plaintiff paid Benson $12,500.

61. Benson never owned an interest in the South Beck property, and although he defrauded Plaintiff, he still entered into a contract with Plaintiff to deliver that one-half interest in that certain realty.

62. Benson breached the contract with Plaintiff, causing Plaintiff damages in the amount of $12,500 plus out of pocket costs of at least $10,000, plus costs and other attorneys' fees.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS that this court grants him a judgment against Benson for actual damages, along with costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

COUNT V

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS BY BENSON

63. Plaintiff realleges the above paragraphs.

64. Benson's conduct in filing bankruptcy in Plaintiff's name goes beyond all bounds of decency. Plaintiff made his living as a contractor, acquiring and improving homes. One of the tools of his business, just like any other, is the ability to secure financing to run his business. Benson knew this.

65. Benson also knew that filing bankruptcy in Plaintiff's name without cause, permission, excuse, or legal justification would ruin Plaintiff's business and probably his life. And it did just that.

66. Benson actually lied to the Bankruptcy Court when he filed the petition and posed as Plaintiff. His actions in this regard were extreme and outrageous and go beyond all possible bounds of decency and are atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

67. Benson filed this bankruptcy petition with the specific intention of inflicting great emotional harm on Plaintiff, which Benson has successfully achieved.

68. Plaintiff is entitled to both actual and punitive damages against Benson for his willfully and intentionally damaging conduct towards Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS that this court grants him a judgment against Benson for actual damages, plus punitive damages, along with costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by Jury.

DATED: June 11, 2021

KENT LAW OFFICES

By: */s/ Trinette G. Kent*
Trinette G. Kent
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Wayne Clifford

Exhibit B

TRINETTE G. KENT (State Bar No. 025180)
KENT LAW OFFICES
3219 E. Camelback Rd., #588
Phoenix, AZ 85018
Telephone: (480) 247-9644
Facsimile: (480) 717-4781
E-mail: tkent@kentlawpc.com

Of Counsel to:
Michigan Consumer Credit Lawyers
22142 West Nine Mile Road
Southfield, MI 48033
Telephone: (248) 353-2882
Facsimile: (248) 353-4840

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Wayne Clifford

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
PHOENIX DIVISION

Wayne Clifford, Plaintiff, vs. Equifax Information Services, LLC., Defendants.	Case No.: 2:20-cv-02283-MTL **NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AS TO EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC., ONLY**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Plaintiff and Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC., ONLY, in the above-captioned case have reached a

EXHIBIT
12

settlement. Plaintiff anticipates filing a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Equifax Information Services, LLC pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) within 60 days.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of September, 2021.

KENT LAW OFFICES

By: */s/ Trinette G. Kent*
Trinette G. Kent
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Wayne Clifford

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing, and for transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF Registrants, and mailed a copy of same to the following if non-registrants on the 21st day of September, 2021.

/s/ Trinette G. Kent
Kent Law Offices
3219 E. Camelback Road, Suite 588
Phoenix, AZ 85108

Exhibit C

DECEMBER 2012

Key Dimensions and Processes in the U.S. Credit Reporting System:

A review of how the nation's largest credit bureaus manage consumer data

cfpb Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

Table of Contents

Purpose and Executive Summary 2

1. Introduction 5

2. Credit Bureaus, Credit Files, Credit Reports, and Credit Scores 7

3. Furnishers and Users 13

4. Furnisher and Data Screening 18

5. Compiling Credit Files: "Matching" 21

6. Inaccuracies in Credit Files and Credit Reports 23

7. Disputing Credit Report Errors 27

8. Monitoring and Measuring Credit Reporting Accuracy 36

Glossary 39

Appendix 41

Purpose and Executive Summary

This paper describes the credit reporting infrastructure at the three largest nationwide consumer reporting agencies (NCRAs) – Equifax Information Services LLC (Equifax), TransUnion LLC (TransUnion), and Experian Information Solutions Inc. (Experian) – with a special focus on the infrastructure and processes currently used by the NCRAs to collect, compile, and report information about consumers in the form of credit reports.

Credit reports play an increasingly important role in the lives of American consumers. Most decisions to grant credit – including mortgage loans, auto loans, credit cards, and private student loans – include information contained in credit reports as part of the lending decision. These reports are also used in other spheres of decision-making, including eligibility for rental housing, setting premiums for auto and homeowners insurance in some states, or determining whether to hire an applicant for a job.

As the range and frequency of decisions that rely on credit reports have increased, so has the importance of assuring the accuracy of these reports. These three NCRAs occupy the hub of what can best be described as a national credit reporting system. They, the entities who report information about borrowers to them (furnishers), providers of public records information, and consumers all play roles which affect the accuracy of the information reported in consumer credit reports.

In its supervision of large banks, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) has already begun examining the processes institutions use to assure accuracy when furnishing information to the NCRAs and when responding to consumer disputes about information contained in their credit reports. On July 20, 2012 the CFPB published its larger participant rule permitting it to supervise companies with annual receipts from "consumer reporting," as defined in the rule, of over $7 million. Prior to the rule's effective date, the CFPB's Office of Deposits, Cash, Collections and Reporting Markets (DCCR) consulted existing reports, industry, and public sources in order to be able to depict key dimensions of, and processes in, the reporting and disputing of information in the U.S. credit reporting system.

This paper summarizes learnings from DCCR's research and analysis. It is intended as a public service to provide basic descriptions of, and statistics regarding, the underlying processes by which consumer data is reported, matched to consumer files, and reviewed when consumers dispute its accuracy. The CFPB has not sought to verify information contained in this paper through its supervisory authorities. Nor does the paper represent any learnings or conclusions about whether any specific market participants are in compliance with particular statutes or policies pertaining to consumer reporting.

This paper depicts the types of information movements and processes that are most essential to the compiling of credit reports and to the management of credit report accuracy. The Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and its implementing regulations impose legal duties both on

NCRAs and on data furnishers relating to the accuracy of credit report information.[1] All parties to the credit reporting system have a vital interest in achieving accuracy in credit reports. Those who use these reports to make decisions rely upon the accuracy of the information they receive. To the extent the information is inaccurate, that can lead to incorrect decisions to the detriment of decision makers and consumers alike.

Key Learnings

- **The U.S. credit reporting system encompasses a vast flow and store of information.** The NCRAs each maintain credit files on over 200,000,000 adults and receive information from approximately 10,000 furnishers of data. On a monthly basis, these furnishers provide information on over 1.3 billion consumer credit accounts or other "trade lines."

- **Furnishing credit information to the NCRAs is a highly concentrated activity, both by institution and by product.** The 10 largest institutions furnishing credit information to each of the NCRAs account for more than half of all accounts reflected in consumers' credit files. Likewise, retail and network-branded revolving credit cards account for nearly 60% of all trade lines.

- **The NCRAs have designed a number of processes to standardize, automate, and perform quality controls on incoming data.** The NCRAs report that before accepting information from data furnishers, they perform certain background and quality control checks on would-be-furnishers. Most furnishers – and all new furnishers – provide consumer credit information electronically to one or more NCRAs using a standardized format called Metro 2 that the Consumer Data Industry Association (CDIA) developed and refined over time. When data files are received, the NCRAs also perform quality checks prior to adding the data to credit files.

- **The "matching" process by which the NCRAs assign incoming trade line data to consumer-specific credit files represents the central step in the organization of credit data to permit the creation of credit reports on individual consumers.** The NCRAs manage this process through unique data architectures each has developed and which vary from each other. The challenge of accurately matching trade line information to the correct consumer is made complex by the absence of any objective, third party source of information, by similarities in consumers' names and addresses (particularly among family members), and by limitations, colloquial variations, and inaccuracies in the personally identifying information provided by consumers and furnishers that occur when consumers first apply for credit products.

- **Inaccuracies can enter into credit reports in a number of ways.** Inaccuracies can occur if consumers provide inaccurate data when applying for a loan or if the creditor who furnishes data to the credit bureau inputs consumer information to its systems inaccurately. Inaccuracies can occur when the bureaus match information about a consumer from a particular data furnisher to the wrong individual consumer's file. Inaccuracies can also come from errors or the lack of identifying information in government records. Inaccuracies can occur when consumers have become victims of identity fraud or identity theft.

- **The extent to which credit reports contain material inaccuracies is uncertain.** There have been conflicting reports on this issue. The Federal Trade Commission

(FTC) is expected to release results from its decade-long study on credit report accuracy later this year.

- **Consumers' right to dispute information contained in their credit reports under the FCRA – and furnishers' and the NCRAs' obligation to respond – provide important checks on inaccurate credit reports.** Among other protections, consumers also have the right to obtain a copy of their credit file and to receive notice of adverse actions involving credit reports with a resultant right to a free disclosure. These disclosures are one way for consumers to dispute information in their file they believe is not accurate or complete. The CFPB estimates that at least 40,000,000 consumers obtain a copy of their credit file from one or more of the NCRAs annually.

- **The NCRAs received approximately 8 million contacts from consumers in 2011 to initiate disputes about the accuracy of one or more items on their credit files.** In total, these 8 million contacts resulted in 32 – 38 million disputed items on consumers' credit files. The rate at which the credit account information depicted in credit files is disputed varies widely based upon the type of data furnished.

- **Collections items are a major source of disputes.** Items reported by collection agencies reportedly have the highest dispute rates, averaging 1.1% of the trade lines they furnish in a given year. Almost 40% of disputes handled by the NCRAs on average can be linked to collections items.

- **The NCRAs have created an automated system for handling consumer disputes and forwarding them to data furnishers.** Through this automated system – called e-OSCAR – the NCRAs provide furnishers with one or two numeric codes indicating the nature of the consumer's dispute and in a minority of cases (26%), explanatory text. At present, the NCRAs generally do not forward documentation that consumers submit with mailed disputes or provide a mechanism for consumers to forward supporting documents when filing disputes online or via phone. The NCRAs resolve an average of 15% of trade line disputes internally (without furnisher involvement) and refer the remaining 85% of the disputes they receive from consumers concerning trade lines to data furnishers through e-OSCAR. The furnisher of the disputed data is then required by the FCRA to investigate the dispute and report back to the NCRA.

- **The NCRAs' reliance on furnisher responses as the principal means of resolving disputes is a source of controversy.** The NCRAs report that in seeking to maximize accuracy and in resolving disputes, they rely on furnishers meeting their obligations under the FCRA to report information accurately and to respond to disputes appropriately. Consumer advocates have argued that the NCRAs have an obligation to monitor and manage furnisher practices as part of their broader obligation to achieve credit report accuracy.

- **While the measurement of credit report accuracy and the level and causes of inaccuracies present challenges, periodic measurement of credit report accuracy holds promise for establishing baseline accuracy levels and measuring improvements over time.**

1. Introduction

In most of the markets for consumer credit, including credit cards, auto loans, mortgages, and student loans, lenders use credit reports as part of their evaluation of a consumer's application for credit. Companies use credit reports and credit scores derived from the information in credit reporting files to assess a consumer's likelihood of repaying the loan. Credit reports and scores can be delivered in real time, permitting instant decisions at retailers, auto showrooms, or online. Lists of consumers derived from credit reports are used to make offers of credit. Underwriting processes stipulated by the FHA, VA, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac require mortgage lenders to obtain credit reports from a nationwide credit reporting agency (the NCRAs) before these federal agencies and government-sponsored enterprises will insure, guarantee, or purchase their loans. For each of these forms of credit and origination channels, credit reports are used by lenders to help set interest rates and other key credit terms, or determine whether the consumer is offered credit at all. Of 113 million credit card and retail card accounts, auto loans, personal loans, mortgages, and home equity loans originated in the United States in 2011, the vast majority of approval decisions used information furnished by credit reporting agencies.[2]

Credit reports also are used in spheres of decision-making beyond eligibility for credit. These include eligibility for rental housing,[3] setting premiums for auto and other property and casualty insurance where permitted by law,[4] and establishing (along with prior account history) eligibility for checking accounts.[5] When an individual applies for a job, a prospective employer may examine his or her credit report upon the individual's authorization.[6] A recent survey by the Society for Human Resource Management of its membership database found that almost 60% of its member employers used credit reports to screen applicants for at least some of their positions.[7]

Because of the widespread use of credit reports – often along with credit scores derived from them – in major personal financial decisions, the accuracy of reports has remained an ongoing policy concern. In a 2007 report on credit scores used in lending decisions, the Federal Reserve Board noted the importance of accurate credit reports: "for the full benefits of the credit-reporting system to be realized, credit records must be reasonable, complete, and accurate."[8] Credit scoring models depend on the credit information contained in consumers' credit files to be accurate to effectively predict a consumer's relative risk of delinquency. Inaccurate credit information may cause credit scoring models to understate or overstate a consumer's credit risk to lenders. Accurate credit information helps decision makers predict certain risks effectively, while inaccurate credit information in credit reports has the potential to compromise the effectiveness and consistency of decisions that rely on them, and the potential to cause material harm to affected consumers. Ultimately, consumer and business confidence in decisions based on credit reports and scores derived from them depends on confidence in the accuracy of the credit information they contain.[a]

When the FCRA passed in 1970, key provisions of the law focused on assuring the accuracy of credit reports. These key accuracy provisions of the FCRA remain as important today as when the law first passed. They address the quality of data in credit files by requiring credit reporting agencies to establish "reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy" of their credit reports.[9] The FCRA also includes a number of other provisions that relate to the information in consumer reports such as limits on the period of time during which certain pieces of adverse information can generally be included in a consumer report.[10]

Credit report accuracy relies on an ongoing ecosystem involving the interaction of NCRAs and other consumer reporting agencies, furnishers of information, public record repositories, users of credit reports, and consumers. An understanding of how this ecosystem operates – including the basic "plumbing" of data flows, the various participants involved, and the economic incentives each group of participants may bring to their respective roles – is foundational knowledge in considering technical and policy options for improving and assuring credit report accuracy.

This paper focuses on the databases of the three largest NCRAs – Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian. It seeks to depict technical processes involved in the collection, screening, and correction of credit information and their broad impact on the accuracy of information provided in credit reports from these NCRAs. It does not seek to characterize or quantify either the general *level* of accuracy of credit report information, or the harms that may result to consumers affected by credit report inaccuracies. Additionally, the paper does not attempt to weigh the costs and benefits that might be involved in improving the accuracy of credit reports beyond their current levels.

---

[a] The issues raised in this discussion of credit report accuracy also generally apply to consumer reports from consumer reporting agencies as defined under the FCRA. Besides the NCRAs, there are other consumer reporting agencies including the nationwide specialty consumer reporting agencies with rental information databases, check writing/bank databases, medical information databases, insurance claims databases, employment databases, and background screening databases. Each of these specialty databases has its own sources of consumer information. There are also consumer reporting agencies that are not nationwide.

2. Credit Bureaus, Credit Files, Credit Reports, and Credit Scores

2.1 Credit Bureaus

The consumer reporting system enables creditors and other providers of consumer services to pool information about their respective customers and use that pooled information to inform their credit and other risk decisions about new applicants and existing customers.

Credit bureaus first emerged in the United States in the late 1800s to support merchant lenders who extended credit to local businesses and individuals.[11] At that time, the "credit bureau" consisted of a list of individuals who had not repaid their debts as agreed and were therefore deemed poor credit risks. Prior to the use of such lists, local merchants extended only very small amounts of credit, and these credit decisions depended largely on the merchant's direct personal knowledge of the individual borrower's personal character.

The credit reporting industry grew steadily with growing interest on the part of both consumers and merchants in using credit in purchase transactions. In the 1920s and again in the 1950s, credit bureaus experienced particularly rapid growth with the introduction of retail installment credit and revolving credit accounts,[12] in the 1970s and 1980s with the growth of bank credit cards, and in the 1990s with the automation of mortgage underwriting. By the early 1970s, the industry comprised over 2,250 firms, most with local or regional coverage. As the 1970s progressed, the industry began to consolidate.[13] With the development of computer databases, nationwide credit card issuers, and automated underwriting, the threshold of technological investment required to distribute credit reports increased, as did the importance of offering nationwide coverage. Many of the local bureaus sold their records to the major national bureaus. Today, the consumer reporting landscape includes large national bureaus like the NCRAs; bureaus with credit information such as payday loans, utility and telephone accounts, and other credit relationships; a number of specialized consumer reporting agencies with medical information, employment history, residential history, check writing history, checking account history, insurance claims, and other non-credit relationships; as a well as a few hundred resellers of credit reports.

By 2011, the NCRAs generated U.S. revenues of about $4 billion,[14] including revenues from several ancillary businesses such as the sale of lists and non-credit consumer information for marketing purposes, the sale of credit monitoring services directly to consumers or through resellers, and analytical services that provide credit scores and other modeling tools to creditors.

2.2 The Contents of Consumer Credit Files

For purposes of this paper, credit reports are a form of "consumer report" as defined by the FCRA. Consumer reports generally are communications by a consumer reporting agency "bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living" used or expected to be used in determining a consumer's eligibility for credit or insurance, for employment purposes, or other permissible purposes listed in the statute.[15] As defined by the FCRA, the "file," when used in connection with information on any consumer, means "all of the information on that consumer recorded and retained by a consumer reporting agency regardless of how the information is stored."[16] This paper refers to "credit files" as the information about a consumer that is contained in the databases of the NCRAs.

2.2.1 File Components

Credit files have some or all of the following components.

1. **Header/Identifying Information**: The header of a credit file contains the identifying information of the consumer with whom the credit file is associated including an individual's name (and any other names previously used), current and former addresses, Social Security number (SSN), date of birth, and phone numbers. Not all credit files contain all of these identifying elements.[17]

2. **Trade lines**: Trade lines are the accounts in a consumer's name reported by creditors such as auto lenders, mortgage lenders, or credit card issuers. For each trade line, creditors that furnish information to consumer reporting agencies (referred to as "furnishers" under the FCRA) generally provide the type of credit (e.g., auto loan, mortgage, credit card), the credit limit or loan amount, account balance, the account payment history including the timeliness of payments, whether or not the account is delinquent or in collection, and the dates the account was opened and closed. If more than one consumer is listed as a borrower on a given credit account, the trade line information will appear in both consumers' credit files ordinarily with information as to the relationship of the consumer to the account, such as authorized user. Trade line information may contain indicators such as whether the account is individual or joint, the account is involved in a bankruptcy filing, the device for accessing the account (e.g., a credit card or PIN) was lost or stolen, and, if closed, the reason for closure (e.g., paid off, closed at the consumer's request). Credit files do not contain certain terms of the loans or credit lines such as interest rates, points, or fees and do not contain certain performance history such as purchases made using the account or payments made on the account. Additionally, credit reports do not contain information on a consumer's income or assets.

3. **Public record information**: The NCRAs' files include public record data of a financial nature including consumer bankruptcies, judgments, and state and federal tax liens. Records of arrests and convictions generally do not appear on a consumer's credit file, but other types of consumer reporting agencies, such as employment background screening agencies, include them. Other public records that do not appear in credit reports are marriage records, adoptions, and records of civil suits that have not resulted in judgments.[18]

4. **Collections**: Third-party collection items, reported by debt buyers or collections agencies on behalf of a creditor, are considered a separate category on a credit report by at least some of the NCRAs.

5. **Inquiries**: A consumer's credit file is required to list every entity that accessed the file in the last two years for employment-related uses and for at least the last year for credit uses and most non-employment uses (e.g., tenant screening, insurance, government licenses or benefits).[19] Some of the NCRAs go beyond legal requirements and list credit inquiries for two years.

   The NCRAs have two major classifications of inquiries: "soft" inquiries and "hard" inquiries. Hard inquiries are typically the product of consumer-initiated activities such as applications for credit cards, to rent an apartment, to open a deposit account, or for other services. In contrast, soft inquiries are generally user-initiated inquiries like prescreening.[b] Only hard inquiries will appear in credit reports obtained by creditors and other users.

A consumer's file also has information on whether the consumer has initiated a security freeze, fraud alert, active duty alert, or filed a consumer statement on his or her file.

2.3 Credit Reports

Credit reports are consumer reports provided by NCRAs or other CRAs to lenders and other users. Credit reports generally contain information in the consumer file that is reportable to the end user.

The FCRA limits with some exceptions how long a credit bureau can communicate certain adverse information in a credit report.[20] Many adverse items including records of late payments, delinquencies, or collection items typically stay on a credit report for up to seven years.[21] Likewise, civil suits and civil judgments typically stay on the report for no more than the longer of seven years or the governing statute of limitations, while paid tax liens typically cannot be reported more than seven years after the date of payment.[22] Credit reports generally cannot list bankruptcies for more than 10 years after the order for relief or date of adjudication, except that repayment plans are only reported for seven years.[23] There are also restrictions on communicating a medical service provider's name, address, and telephone number pertaining to medical debts in a credit report.[24]

---

[b] The NCRAs treat other types of inquiries as soft inquiries based on business rules – certain insurance inquiries, utility, and government inquiries relating to licenses or government benefits may be categorized as soft, depending on the business rules for that entity. Employment inquiries are commonly placed in the soft inquiry section. Each listed inquiry will include the date and type of inquiry (e.g., by consumer, review of existing account, for pre-screening). Federal Trade Commission and the Federal Reserve Board, Report to Congress on the Fair Credit Reporting Act Dispute Process, at 4 (August 2006).

Users vary in how they evaluate credit reporting information. For users who view reports for employment purposes, the NCRAs provide a modified credit report, which removes birth date and other information that is sensitive in the employment context and does not include credit scores. Financial services users rely on credit reports as well as proprietary or third-party algorithms – "scoring" models – to interpret the information in a credit report. These algorithms use variables or "attributes" derived from the credit report.

2.4 Credit Scoring

The NCRAs deliver credit reporting information to users in standardized electronic formats so that lenders' underwriting systems can use reports from more than one bureau interchangeably and so that analytical credit risk models used by the lenders can identify and retrieve relevant pieces of information. More often than not, a credit bureau will also deliver a credit score calculated from the information in a credit report along with variables derived from the credit report (often called attributes). [25] The lender will pay the bureau a fee for the credit report information and an additional amount for the score. The model used to generate the credit score is selected by the lender as the user.

Lenders use credit scoring systems to assess the relative risk of consumers going delinquent on a loan. For most credit scoring models in use today, the higher the numerical value of a credit score, the lower the credit risk of a consumer. Consumers with very high scores thus are likely to get more favorable interest rates and other more favorable loan terms. In contrast, consumers with lower numerical scores present higher risks of default and may only be able to get loans at higher interest rates or other less favorable terms, if lenders are willing to lend to them at all.

Large national lenders have widely used credit scoring since the 1970s to inform their loan underwriting.[26] The NCRAs did not start providing credit scores based on credit bureau data until the late 1980s. In the late 1980s, one bureau built a bankruptcy prediction model. Models supplied by Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) for use with credit bureau data appeared in 1990 and 1991. Today, scores using models supplied by FICO account for a substantial majority of third-party generic credit scores purchased with credit reports by financial institutions for loan origination decisions.[27] In 2006 the NCRAs formed a joint venture, VantageScore, which offers competing scoring solutions. Additionally, the NCRAs and other third-party development companies develop both generic and custom scoring models. Many lenders also develop and use proprietary scoring models derived from credit report information.

The most common credit scores rank the relative probability that a consumer will become 90 days delinquent on a new loan within two years. There are a wide variety of credit scores offered by the NCRAs that vary by score provider, by model, and by target industry.[28] FICO, alone, has 49 different scoring models.[29] Regardless of the version, credit scoring models tend to share common "attributes" derived from credit reports, such as a consumer's bill paying history (e.g., on time, delinquent, in collections), the number and type of credit accounts a consumer has (e.g., bank cards, retail credit cards, installment loans), the amount of available credit that a consumer is using, how long a consumer has had a credit account, and recent credit activity, including inquiries.

Creditors use credit scores to enhance the efficiency and consistency of credit decisioning.[30] Credit scores may also reduce the possibility of subjective decision making by lenders based on impermissible factors under fair lending laws such as the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA), like marital status, age or national origin. The Federal Reserve noted in its 2007 study on credit scoring, "By providing a low-cost, accurate, and standardized metric of credit risk for a pool of loans, credit scoring has broadened creditors' access to capital markets, reduced funding costs, and strengthened public and private scrutiny of lending activities."[31]

Some have argued that credit scores derived from credit reports have the potential to reinforce the effects of discrimination. They argue that where lending discrimination occurs, minority and other disadvantaged borrowers can wind up in credit products that make default more likely. As a result of higher default rates, their credit reports and scores depict them as bad credit risks, when in fact they would have performed better if they were in better, less expensive products.[32]

NCRAs can deliver credit reports and scores (using proprietary or third-party models) to those authorized to access a credit report instantly upon request. This makes it possible for lenders to grant instant credit in venues where obtaining credit is often an important part of a consumer's purchase decision, such as at an auto dealer or a department store. Additionally, incorporating the use of credit scores as a factor in underwriting has enabled the government-sponsored entities, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, to introduce automated underwriting systems that allowed mortgage originators to streamline the mortgage underwriting process and provide rapid mortgage approvals.

Because credit scores are derived from the information in credit reports, inaccuracies in credit report information can affect consumers' credit scores. Some inaccuracies matter more than others. An error in a consumer's address, the misspelling of a maiden name, or other errors in the consumer's identification information are generally unlikely to have an impact on a consumer's credit score or perceived credit worthiness by lenders. However, a public record that inaccurately indicates a consumer is subject to a tax lien, or a trade line that incorrectly states a consumer had a severe delinquency, could cause a lender to deny credit to a consumer altogether, or to treat a consumer it would otherwise consider eligible for a loan at prime interest rates as only eligible for sub-prime rates, costing the consumer thousands of dollars in interest.

Below is a table showing how credit scores may be affected when specific adverse information appears in a credit report using different starting scores from VantageScore and FICO, two credit score providers. FICO scores generally have a range of 300 to 850, while Vantage scores range from 501 to 990. It is worth noting that these score impacts are hypothetical, and that the impact of an adverse event in any individual's case varies by the unique characteristics of that consumer's credit history, including the number and timing of such events.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLE SCORE IMPACTS

	Score Impact Range			
Financial Data	**Consumer with 900 Vantage Score**	**Consumer with 760 Vantage Score**	**Consumer with 780 FICO Score**	**Consumer with 680 FICO Score**
Bank card – 30 days delinquent	70-90 point drop	60-80 point drop	90-110 point drop	60-80 point drop
Mortgage charge-off or foreclosure	130-170 point drop	80-110 point drop	140-160 point drop	95-115 point drop
Filing bankruptcy	350+ point drop	200+ point drop	220-240 point drop	130-150 point drop

Sources: **VantageScore**: Sara Davies, Introduction to the VantageScore Model, Ways Consumer Credit Scores Are Impacted and Methods for Score Improvement, Presentation at the Symposium on Credit Scoring and Credit Reporting at Suffolk University Law School (June 6, 2012).
FICO: http://www.myfico.com/crediteducation/questions/Credit_Problem_Comparison.aspx.

Other than credit scores, the NCRAs also provide lenders with analytical models using credit report data. These include models that predict the likelihood of accepting a credit offer, of future account utilization, of consumers leaving an existing account, or of collectability on an outstanding debt.


3. Furnishers and Users

In addition to the NCRAs and other CRAs, the most important participants in the credit reporting system are *furnishers, users,* and *consumers*. All of these participants have defined roles with specific obligations under the FCRA.

Most furnishers of credit information to NCRAs are creditors who are also users of credit reports. Public records (e.g., judgments, bankruptcy filings, tax liens) are also important sources of information for NCRAs.

Figure 2 below is a simplified diagram of the information flows in the credit reporting system.

FIGURE 2: THE CREDIT REPORTING SYSTEM



Source: CFPB 2012

3.1 Trade Line Furnishers

Each NCRA has a consumer database with over 1.3 billion active trade lines.[33] Financial institutions furnish the bulk of these trade lines. Approximately 40% of all trade lines of an NCRA's files are bank card trade lines. Of the remaining trade lines, 18% came from banks that issue retail cards, 13% are accounts in collection reported by collections agencies and debt buyers, 7% from the education industry,[c] 7% from sales finance providers (e.g., closed-end loans including auto loans), 7% from mortgage lenders or servicers, 4% from auto lenders, and 4% from other unspecified creditors.[34]

While the NCRAs receive trade lines from approximately 10,000 furnishers, a small number of very large institutions (typically with multiple lines of business) supply a majority of trade lines. For the NCRAs, the top 10 furnishers provide approximately 57% of the trade lines, the top 50 furnishers provide 72% of the trade lines, and the top 100 furnishers provide 76% of the trade lines in their databases.[35] The institutions' credit offerings can include bank credit cards, retailer credit cards, auto loans, student loans, and mortgages.[36] Other furnisher industries, such as collections agencies, tend to be more fragmented.[37]

Furnishers typically report trade line updates monthly in batch files transmitted electronically to one or more of the NCRAs. Most of the largest furnishers report all or nearly all of their trade lines to each of the largest NCRAs.[d] These updates generally include changes in balances owed, whether or not payments were received, changes in available credit lines (in the cases of revolving credit card accounts), and the status of the account (e.g., current, 30+ days late, 60+ days late). The NCRAs provide a standardized data format, called Metro 2, which most of their furnishers use to submit data.[e]

---

[c] Education furnishers are comprised of furnishers from business education schools, colleges, private educational lenders, technical education universities, vocational and trade schools, and government furnishers including the Department of Education and federal student loan servicers.

[d] The NCRAs do have some variations in their source data. Some smaller banks and many debt collection agencies do not send information to all three of the largest NCRAs. See Federal Trade Commission and Federal Reserve Board, *Report to Congress on the Fair Credit Reporting Act Dispute Process*, at 5 (August 2006). .

[e] Innovis, a credit bureau, also is a participant in the Metro reporting system. It offers portfolio management solutions, fraud solutions, and authentication solutions, among other services.

3.1.1 Furnisher Incentives and Disincentives

Reporting to credit bureaus and other consumer reporting agencies by creditors is voluntary and historically has been. Not all creditors report information about their borrowers. Some creditors report information about users of some of their credit products, but not others. For example, credit card issuers who issue revolving credit to consumers usually report trade line information monthly on consumer cards but are less likely to report on small business cards even when these are owed by, and underwritten based on, the personal credit history of the business owner.

Furnishers have multiple incentives to contribute data to the NCRAs. Individual contributors recognize that the cross-company, cross-industry visibility into credit risk offered by a credit bureau depends on widespread creditor participation. If a company elects not to contribute data, it runs the risk that its peers will not contribute data, thus reducing a common resource from which creditors benefit. As indicated above, most furnishers of trade line information to the NCRAs are also large users of credit reports.

A second reason creditors furnish information on their accounts is to maintain an incentive for their borrowers to make timely repayments. Consumers are more likely to repay creditors if they are aware that a creditor may report late payments or delinquent accounts to the NCRAs, which could negatively affect their credit history and/or credit score. Consumers also get the benefit of having their timely payments reported, which will positively impact lenders' views of their credit worthiness.

There are also disincentives for creditors to report on their borrowers to the NCRAs.[38] For example, the names of individuals who borrow and make loan payments on time may be included in prescreened lists that NCRAs and other CRAs sell, providing these borrowers with account offers from competing lenders. Reporting to one or more of the NCRAs may require investment in specialized information systems. Further, data furnishers must follow FCRA requirements such as investigating disputes submitted directly[39] or indirectly through the NCRAs. Since furnishing data is voluntary, furnishers must consider whether the overall benefits of furnishing outweigh its costs.

3.1.2 Reporting Format

CDIA developed the Metro 2 guidelines in 1997, on behalf of the NCRAs and Innovis, as their standard for the electronic reporting of consumer trade line information. Metro 2 replaced the original Metro format developed in the late 1970s.[40] The format forms the basis by which furnishers provide updates on their borrowers' account status in bulk file submissions to one or more of the NCRAs, generally on a monthly basis. An obvious benefit of a shared data format is that all furnishers can report trade line information the same way. This simplifies the creation of standardized credit files by each of the NCRAs and simplifies the interpretation of credit information into risk-based credit scores.

Each Metro 2 electronic file submission has a furnisher header record, a series of base records on each borrower, supplementary records describing updates to the furnished trade lines, and a trailer record. A description of the various types of record segments and the information that Metro 2 allows furnishers to provide is offered below.

- **Metro 2 Header and Trailer Records**: Header and Trailer records form the bookends of a Metro 2 file submission. The header record is the first record provided in the Metro 2 file submission and is used to identify the furnisher and the activity period. It also contains the furnisher's unique identifier at the NCRA receiving the

file, the activity date, name, address, and other contact information for the furnisher. Note that this type of header record should be distinguished from the header record on a consumer file maintained by an NCRA that has the consumer's personal identification information. The trailer record, meanwhile, is the last record in a furnisher's Metro 2 submission. It includes the sum totals of all the base and supplementary segments submitted.

- **Base Segment**: The Base segment is used to identify the primary borrower and to provide relevant account information for each trade line. Identification information for the borrower includes first, middle, last name, suffix, generation code, phone number, address, SSN, and date of birth. Account information includes account type (e.g., revolving, installment, mortgage), credit limit, highest credit or original loan amount, duration of credit extended, frequency with which payments are due, account status,[f] stage of delinquency, date of first delinquency, and date the account closed and conditions under which it was closed (e.g., closed by consumer, paid full amount due, closed by creditor and paid less than full amount). Additionally, the Base segment contains up to 24 months of the consumer's payment history on the account. Contrary to a frequent assumption, the Metro 2 format does not contain fields for interest rate information on particular loans or revolving accounts.

- **Supplementary Segments**: Depending on the furnisher and the type of trade line, the furnisher may have additional data segments to supplement the data in the base segment. These include:

    - **Associated Consumer Segment**: Contains information on consumers who are associated with a credit account besides the primary user, including name, SSN, date of birth, telephone number, and the relation of the consumer to the account. Associated consumers can include authorized users, guarantors, persons with joint contractual liability, or others.
    - **Original Creditor Name Segment**: Has the name of the original credit grantor, which is necessary to link a consumer debt to the original creditor even after it is outsourced to a third-party collection agency.
    - **Segment for Accounts Sold to/Purchased from Another Company**: Used to report the name of the companies which respectively bought and sold a portfolio of consumer debt.
    - **Mortgage Information**: Used to report any Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac loan number associated with a mortgage account.
    - **Specialized Payment Information**: Has information on deferred payments or balloon payments, if applicable.
    - **Account Number/Identification Number**: Used to report new identification or account numbers.

---

[f] Account status reflects the current or final disposition of the account. If the account is delinquent, Metro 2 allows furnishers to report the level of delinquency such as 30-59 days past due, 60-89 days past due, and up to 180 days or more past due. Where the account is closed, a furnisher can report whether the account closed with a zero balance, was a voluntary surrender, closure surrender, repossession, charge-off, or entered into foreclosure.

- **Employment Segment**: Contains employment information on the primary borrower, which may come from the consumer's application for credit or from employment information that the creditor obtained in approving the account.

The Metro 2 format specifies that base segments be reported for each account submitted. Supplementary segments are reported when relevant to the particular trade line or other data that is furnished.

3.2 Public Record Collection

While the NCRAs rely on a multitude of furnishers to supply creditor trade line information, they also receive public records including bankruptcy records, civil court monetary judgments, and government tax liens from publicly available government sources. They obtain these records primarily through LexisNexis Risk Data Retrieval Services LLC (LNRDRS). The use of LNRDRS followed the NCRAs' decisions to move from direct collection from hundreds of sources and suppliers to a single data retrieval vendor. The NCRAs report they do not use criminal records in their credit reports. Rather, the NCRAs utilize public records representing derogatory items in their credit files. Derogatory is defined as negative information that will likely hurt a consumer's credit (e.g., late payments, collection accounts, foreclosures, civil judgments).[41] While each NCRA has its own criteria, public records are generally removed from credit reports once the reportable event becomes obsolete (between seven and ten years depending on the type of information and the applicable statute of limitations).

3.2.1 LNRDRS Data Retrieval

LNRDRS retrieves and sends to each of the three NCRAs between 10 and 20 million public record events per year (roughly one third of which are bankruptcies, tax liens, and civil monetary judgments respectively).[42] All bankruptcy records are pulled electronically from the PACER system, an electronic public access service that allows users to obtain case and docket information from federal appellate, district and bankruptcy courts. Monetary judgments and tax liens are obtained from 10,000 to 12,000 state and local courts and county and state government offices. LNRDRS reports it obtains information on 30% of judgments and liens electronically. For the remaining 70%, LNRDRS deploys a network of independent contractors who manually access public records at government sources and type the local records into a proprietary software system, which screens for duplicates and minimizes typographical errors. A single record collector can typically record approximately 200 events in a day.

In retrieving records for the NCRAs, LNRDRS provides the data in its "raw" form. The NCRAs undertake the responsibility of assigning records to particular consumer files, and adjusting matching criteria for possible errors. Assignment of a court record to a particular consumer can be challenging for the NCRAs because, according to one estimate, SSNs appear on court records only 3% of the time.

4. Furnisher and Data Screening

The NCRAs employ a number of methods to screen furnishers and incoming information for inaccuracies and anomalies. This section examines the vetting and approving of furnishers and various quality screens performed on data files received from furnishers. These methods focus on identifying formatting errors, logical errors, internal inconsistencies, and anomalies.

4.1 New Furnisher Screening

The NCRAs' data quality processes start with their screening of new furnishers.

The NCRAs report that a prospective furnisher can initiate a relationship with them by sending a letter of intent to furnish. Due to the resource and economic costs associated with adding a furnisher, the NCRAs will generally require prospective furnishers to report a minimum of 100 to 200 active accounts per month.[43] Each NCRA reportedly puts prospective furnishers through an initial security screening. Screening generally includes an inspection of features of each business such as its physical headquarters, phone number, website, and business license, as well as company records such as annual reports. Individual NCRAs also may hire third-party investigation services to screen for illegal or unethical business history. Sole proprietorships and new businesses (e.g., in business less than a year) may receive more specialized screening. An NCRA may require the furnishers to submit test files which it will examine to make sure they are Metro 2 compatible. Approved furnishers are trained on Metro 2.

After these initial inspections, NCRA policies may trigger reinspections after risk events such as consumer complaints, suspicious trade lines, variations in data submissions, odd anomalies, and changes in company ownership. At least one NCRA has policies to reinspect new furnishers six months after they start submitting data to assess for data quality and fraud risk.

The NCRAs report that they continue to monitor for data quality and fraud once a furnisher starts contributing live trade line data. One example of furnisher fraud is when a supposed credit repair organization represents itself as a furnisher and attempts to boost the credit scores of consumers with bad credit by reporting fictitious trade lines that the consumers purportedly used and paid back on time.

Overall, the objective of furnisher screening is to reduce the risk of fraud or of poor data quality by screening out furnishers whose systems are not able to report accurate data on customers or report it in the Metro 2 format.

4.2 Checking Furnished Data

Having passed this initial screening, furnishers can start providing data. Furnishers generally provide monthly trade line updates through data file transfers that conform to the Metro or Metro 2 format and contain trade line updates on all of the furnishers' active accounts. All new furnishers are being added under the Metro 2 format, which was first introduced in 1997. Data submitted by a furnisher to an NCRA generally goes through a multi-stage process to identify data irregularities.

Typical data quality checks will identify issues such as blank fields or logical inconsistencies in the data – both at the level of the data file and at the individual consumer's trade line. If a furnished account is reported as closed, and then in a subsequent data feed the furnisher reports a new account balance, the NCRA might flag that inconsistency. Other inconsistencies might be account balances higher than the maximum credit line, duplicate instances of information on the same account being furnished, or data patterns inconsistent with the furnisher's historical pattern of transactions. It is not uncommon for furnishers' bulk files to be initially rejected by the NCRAs.[44] The NCRAs report that furnishers tend to correct most of the problems causing the file rejection, leaving only a small percentage of files permanently rejected. Some data rejections might not result from an error in the data but from format incompatibility when the furnisher uses the wrong codes to update accounts, or the furnished data shows unfamiliar formats because of system changes at the furnisher.

Within file submissions, individual consumer base records and tradeline updates are similarly screened for formatting errors, logical errors, internal inconsistencies, and anomalies. The rejection rates for incoming trade line data from furnishers appear to vary across multiple dimensions (e.g., by individual furnisher, by furnishing industry, by the NCRA receiving the data). For example, submissions from collections agencies tend to have a higher rejection rate than rejections for credit card trade lines.[45]

While the NCRAs' data screens do find errors by identifying anomalies and inconsistencies, these checks rely on underlying furnisher data to be valid. The NCRAs do not conduct independent checks or audits to determine if the data is accurate, such as contacting a consumer to ask if she is properly associated with an account or if the balance reported on an account is true, or checking the record-keeping practices of a furnisher. The NCRAs generally rely on furnishers to report information on consumers that is complete and accurate.

4.3 The Furnisher Rule

In 2009, the Federal Trade Commission, the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Office of Thrift Supervision issued a joint rule ("Furnisher Rule") implementing the accuracy and integrity and direct dispute provisions for furnishers mandated by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act (FACTA).[46] The CFPB has since restated this rule.[47]

As a result of FACTA and the Furnisher Rule, furnishers have enhanced obligations to supply accurate data. Each furnisher is required to "establish and implement reasonable written policies and procedures concerning the accuracy and integrity of the information it furnishes to consumer reporting agencies."[48] The procedures should address "deleting, updating, and correcting information in the furnisher's records, as appropriate, to avoid furnishing inaccurate information."[49] The procedures must be appropriate to the "nature, size, complexity, and scope of each furnisher's activities."[50] Appropriate procedures include using standard data reporting formats, maintaining records for a reasonable period of time, providing appropriate oversight of service providers (e.g., companies that provide core processing systems or software used for recordkeeping and account management), furnishing information in a way that prevents re-aging,[g] duplicative reporting, association of information with the wrong consumer, and providing sufficient identifying information about consumers.[51]

[g] Re-aging in this context refers to erroneously extending the reporting period of derogatory consumer information by creating a new, later start date when the derogatory event occurred, thus pushing back the clock for removing the derogatory item from the credit report.

5. Compiling Credit Files: "Matching"

Once the NCRAs have received trade line information from a furnisher they must assign it to a specific consumer's identity. Each of the NCRAs has over 200 million active files on individual consumers which are non-duplicative within the particular NCRA.[52] The average credit file contains 13 past and current credit obligations, including nine bank and retail cards and four installment loans (e.g., auto loans, mortgage loans, student loans).[53] In a typical month, an NCRA receives updates on over 1.3 billion trade lines.[54] With this much information included in and added to their databases, the NCRAs face technical and operational challenges in attributing information to the proper consumer's file.

5.1 Identifying the Correct Consumer

To locate and identify a consumer, NCRAs will use various combinations of personal identifying information such as name, address, phone number, date of birth, address, and SSN. A given trade line reported by a furnisher may not contain all of this identifying information. Typically, the furnisher reports the personally identifying information that was provided by the consumer in the consumer's original application for credit or through updates (such as for current address or married name) that a consumer may provide in the course of his or her relationship with the furnisher.

The fact that many consumers have the same or similar personal identifiers presents further challenges when a credit bureau tries to match an incoming trade line with the correct consumer's file. In the United States, according to 2000 census figures (the most recent to have last name statistics available), there are more than 2.3 million Americans with the last name of Smith, 1.8 million Americans with the last name of Johnson, 1 million Americans with the last name of Davis, 850 thousand Americans with the last name of Garcia, and 600 thousand Americans with the last name of Lee.[55] As one example, consider the matching challenges posed by relatives with same first and last name, but different middle names, who reside at the same address, and who do not regularly use their middle name when applying for credit.

Adding to the complexity, millions of individuals change how they identify themselves over time or between furnishers. Each year, a sizeable number of Americans change their name through marriage and divorce. Separately, consumers do not necessarily refer to themselves consistently in credit applications. For example, a woman named Elizabeth may use her full name on one application and then refer to herself with a nickname "Betty," "Beth," "Liz," or "Eliza" on another credit transaction. Finally, creditor practices may vary as to the personally identifying information they require in their loan or credit applications, with the result that the criteria one creditor uses to identify a consumer in a trade line update may vary from how another creditor identifies him or her.

5.2 Posting and Organizing Account Information in Consumer Files

Once a trade line has passed the NCRAs' initial vetting and screening, the NCRAs assign or post that trade line to the credit file of a specific consumer if they believe there is a match. As discussed below, inaccuracies may result from this process.

The manner in which each NCRA posts incoming data to a consumer's file, and the way its files are organized, depends on the particular structure of its database, or its unique "data architecture." The NCRAs take two different approaches to organizing personal data in their data networks: (1) flat file system and (2) "PINning" technology.

5.2.1 Flat File Systems

At least one NCRA organizes its database like a traditional flat filing system so that each consumer is linked to one file.[56] Consumers' files are distinguished through matching logic using a consumer's personal identifiers such as name, address, SSN, and date of birth. Multiple or fragmented files can occur for a single person when information is reported with different identifying information such as a different last name. Fragmented files on the same consumer will remain distinct until the NCRA receives new information about the fragments (e.g., a unifying name, address, phone number) that indicates they should be combined. In some cases, matching algorithms will assign the trade line to a file that, according to the algorithm, represents the best match even when all of the identifiers do not match up perfectly, or when only a limited number of identifiers are contained with the trade line.

5.2.2 PINning Technology

Another method uses a unique personal identification number (PIN) to organize consumer files.[57] Instead of having a single file for each consumer, it uses the consumer's assigned PIN to link information on the consumer from multiple databases including inquiry, trade line, employment, public record, and address databases. Each furnished trade line data element, inquiry, or public record is entered into the network with an associated PIN in a relational database. PINs are assigned to trade lines based on algorithms that find the consumer that best matches the personal (header) information accompanying the trade line. When a consumer report is requested by a creditor or a consumer requests a credit report, the NCRA assembles the consumer report in real-time using the PIN as the central link to the different databases.

In this system, matching algorithms are used to assign a new incoming trade line or public record to the PIN that represents the best possible fit based on the personally identifying information associated with the trade line.

The CFPB has no data on the relative accuracy of flat-file vs. PIN-based architectures.

6. Inaccuracies in Credit Files and Credit Reports

Given the volume of data handled, the challenges of matching tradelines to the correct consumer files, and the number and variety of furnishers, inaccuracies in some credit files inevitably occur. Inaccuracies in credit files and credit reports can occur where information that does not belong to a consumer is attached to his or her file, where information belonging to a consumer is omitted from the file, or where there are factual inaccuracies in trade line or other information in the consumer's file. Some of these inaccuracies can be attributed to matching challenges in assigning a trade line to a consumer's file. Other causes of inaccuracies include data and data entry errors, NCRA system or process inaccuracies, furnisher system or process inaccuracies, identity fraud, or time lags.

6.1 Types of Inaccuracies in Credit Files and Reports

The following are among the types of inaccuracies that appear in credit files and the reports derived from them.

- **Inclusion of accounts or records in a credit file that do not belong to the consumer, commonly called a mixed file:** Credit reports can contain trade lines or public records about a consumer other than the one who is the subject of the credit report.

- **Omission of accounts or records belonging to the consumer**: A credit account or public record that belongs to the consumer's file can be erroneously placed in another consumer's file, leading to a mixed file, as described above.[58] Alternatively, credit bureau matching algorithms or gaps in data can lead to a consumer trade line being kept separate from the rest of the consumer's file.

- **Trade line or record inaccurately represents information pertaining to the consumer's account with the creditor**: A credit file can inaccurately depict the terms and status of a valid account such as inaccurately depicting the date an account was closed, the credit limit for the account, or whether a trade line is delinquent. Similarly, a collection item on the report may inaccurately reflect the payment status of the debt or the amount of money owed.

It is worth noting that in some cases consumers are mistaken about the presence of inaccuracies in their account. For example, a consumer may believe he or she paid a bill when it was not paid. A consumer may believe that paying an item in collection removes the collection history from one's credit report, which it does not. A consumer may believe he or she paid an account on time, when under the terms of the account, it was late. Or a consumer may simply not recognize a trade line even though it is legitimate.

6.2 Causes of Credit File Inaccuracies

The inaccuracies identified in Section 6.1 can come from a variety of causes.

- **Data and data entry errors**: Furnishers can input accurate consumer information incorrectly or make typographical mistakes (e.g., transposing two digits in an SSN, misspelling names, transposing first and middle names).[59] Consumers (when applying for a loan) can provide inaccurate data to furnishers. For both of these types of inaccuracies, the credit bureau could pass along the inaccuracy to the consumer's file.

  Data errors can also lead to file matching problems by causing the bureau to put the trade line into a separate or "orphan" file distinct from the consumer's original credit file, and thus not include it in the consumer's credit report. Alternatively, data inaccuracy could cause a consumer's trade line to be mixed in with another consumer's file (e.g., when the mistake causes the consumer's header information to match or resemble the identity of another consumer).

- **Bureau file matching inaccuracies**: Inaccuracies can occur when a bureau assigns a trade line to a consumer's file or when it determines the credit file that matches the consumer named in a creditor inquiry. A matching error can occur for a variety of reasons.

  - Matching errors may result from creditor inquiries and trade lines that contain a limited set of identifiers relating to the consumer. For example, a lender inquiry may omit information such as date of birth or SSN.

  - Family members with similar identity information such as fathers and sons with common names (e.g., Jr., Sr.) can experience commingling of files, especially if they reside at the same addresses and distinguishing information is not provided.

  - Unrelated individuals with similar names and identity information get linked together because a name or SSN is incorrectly inputted.

  In some cases, when a consumer changes personal information (e.g., his or her name) the bureau will be unable to match the new trade line to an existing file until the bureau has confidence that the new information belongs to the existing consumer. A common example occurs when a consumer changes names after getting married or divorced.

Until the bureau can link the individual pre- and post- name change, that individual's information might reside in two different files.

- **Bureau process errors**: An example of a process error would be if a credit bureau failed to prevent the reappearance in a consumer's credit report of inaccurate data that was removed as a result of a consumer dispute reinvestigation. Such errors can occur despite the bureau maintaining procedures to permanently remove or suppress identified inaccuracies as required by the FCRA.[60]

- **Identity fraud/theft**: Identity thieves can compromise a consumer's credit history by creating new credit, utility, or health care accounts in the consumer's name and then letting them go unpaid. As these accounts go delinquent and are pushed to collections, the consumer victim's credit rating can plummet. Fraudsters may also take over existing consumer accounts, often disguising the account theft by changing the billing address of the applicant with the lending institution, or making purchases over the Internet. Additionally, fraudsters can create synthetic identities using an innocent consumer's SSN or other identifiers like last name and birthdate.[61]

- **Furnisher system or process inaccuracies**: Inaccuracies can occur because of limitations in the processes furnishers and public records providers use in handling consumer transactions. Examples include:

    - Attributing ownership to an account on which an individual is only an authorized user;
    - Failing to post a payment;
    - Assigning a payment to the wrong account;
    - Failing to update records (e.g., tax liens or other judgments that are still listed as open even though they have been paid or resolved);
    - Failing to permanently change records when a consumer successfully disputes an inaccuracy, with the result that faulty information is re-reported;
    - Listing closed accounts as open;
    - Reporting an incorrect credit limit; and
    - Transfering loans from one owner or servicer to another owner or servicer with different record-keeping systems can result in lost data or lost payment records.

  Furnishers and consumers can disagree on the status of credit accounts (e.g., whether a payment was late). These disagreements can be addressed, if not always resolved, through the dispute processes that consumers have the right to initiate under the Fair Credit Billing Act (e.g., for billing disputes involving credit cards, department store accounts, other open-end credit accounts)[62] or the FCRA.

  Additionally, certain trade lines may be reported by multiple furnishers over time. Examples include trade lines reported by a debt buyer that were previously reported by a creditor from whom the debt buyer acquired the accounts, or mortgage loans for which the servicing rights were sold from one servicer to another. In these cases, the bureaus not only match the trade line with the correct consumer's file, but may also determine when the incoming trade line reflects the continuation of a previously reported trade line in the consumer's file. To facilitate correct depiction of such trade lines over time, the

Metro 2 policy is for furnishers who are new account owners to list the name of the original creditor in file updates. Omission of this information by a furnisher who has bought the debt, and/or failure by account sellers to acknowledge when accounts have been sold, may result in duplicate trade lines in a consumer file.

- **Time lags**: Differences can occur due to time lags between a consumer transaction and its reporting to a credit bureau file (e.g., paying a past due bill or opening a new account). Time lags are a significant issue in the updating of public records. According to one industry source, it takes some state courts, on average, two months to transcribe a court judgment into a written court decision.[63]

6.3 Consumer Impact of Inaccuracies

Each of these types of credit report errors may affect how a creditor or a credit score assesses the credit worthiness of a consumer. Trade line errors can both hurt or help a consumer's credit score. An omitted current trade line, for example, may lower a credit score. Likewise, a credit score may be unfairly reduced by a negative trade line that belongs to another consumer, or by duplicate trade lines that are treated as two separate credit relationships. On the other hand, if a delinquent trade line was inadvertently assigned to another consumer's file or if a furnisher incorrectly marked a delinquent trade line as current, the error could help the consumer's score.

7. Disputing Credit Report Errors

Recognizing the possibility of inaccuracies, the FCRA gives consumers the right to dispute information they deem inaccurate with an NCRA, a furnisher (in cases covered by the Furnisher Rule), or both. The FCRA requires NCRAs and furnishers to "reinvestigate" information contained in a consumer's credit file when the consumer disputes its accuracy.[64] Further, the statute gives consumers several mechanisms for obtaining the information contained in their credit files in order to review them for possible inaccuracies. Consumers can get a free credit report, that is, obtain a file disclosure for free, (i) once every 12 months from the NCRAs and nationwide specialty consumer reporting agencies,[65] (ii) in connection with risk-based pricing and adverse action notices,[66] (iii) if they are unemployed and intend to apply for employment within 60 days,[67] (iv) if they are recipients of welfare assistance,[68] (v) if they have reason to believe their credit file is inaccurate due to fraud,[69] (vi) in connection with requested initial or extended fraud alerts,[70] or (vii) if permitted by state law. Consumers can also review their credit files by purchasing them directly or when they receive their credit files as part of a paid credit monitoring service subscription. Consumers sometimes also receive information from reports or copies of reports from a user such as a bank, mortgage broker, or landlord.

The CFPB estimates that as many as 44 million consumers obtained copies of their consumer file disclosure annually in 2010 and 2011 – either as a result of obtaining free annual file disclosures through annualcreditreport.com (15.9 million);[71] through one of many various credit monitoring services (26 million);[72] obtaining disclosures directly from the NCRAs after receiving adverse action notices or risk-based pricing notices (approximately 1 million);[73] or from lenders directly or through fraud alerts, requests based on unemployment or welfare status, and where free under state law (approximately 0.5 million for this catch-all category).[74]

In 2011, the NCRAs received approximately 8 million consumer contacts disputing the completeness or accuracy of one or more trade lines, public records, or credit header information (identification information) in their files.[75h] Based on these contacts, the number of credit-active consumers who disputed one or more items with an NCRA in 2011 ranges from 1.3% to 3.9%. On average across the NCRAs, consumers filed 42% of their disputes online, 44% by mail, and 13% by phone. The remainder of consumers communicated their disputes by fax, walk-ins, or other methods.[76] Many of these consumers disputed information about more than one tradeline or other item in their file, leading to approximately 32 to 38 million dispute reinvestigations.[77] This volume has declined significantly since 2007 when consumers were more active in applying for credit, particularly in the mortgage market. In 2007, a high volume year, the NCRAs received disputes on 47 to 53 million items.[78]

---

[h] This estimate counts contacts made by a single consumer to multiple NCRAs as multiple contacts.

The number of consumer dispute requests (8 million) appears high relative to the total number of consumers who see their credit files (44 million). However, the CFPB is unable to estimate a dispute rate for consumers who see their files for several reasons. First, no data is available on the overlap of disputes by consumers among the three largest NCRAs. Thus the range of unique consumers who filed complaints could be up to 8 million or substantially less if high volumes of consumers filed complaints with multiple NCRAs. Second, it is unclear how many consumers obtained copies of their credit reports or file disclosures by more than one means in a given year. Additionally, an unknown number of consumers may initiate disputes without their reports after being advised by lenders of specific negative items appearing on their reports.

7.1 Credit Bureau and Furnisher Disputes

Consumers can elect to dispute the completeness or accuracy of their credit file through the NCRA or other bureau that provided their report, directly with the furnisher who provided the disputed trade line (in cases covered by the Furnisher Rule), or both. The nature and timeframes for responses to disputes are specified in the FCRA.

Under Section 611 of the FCRA, if a consumer disputes the completeness or accuracy of his or her credit file, the credit bureau has an obligation to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation.[79] The bureau must generally complete a reinvestigation within 30 days, in which it must consider all the relevant information supplied by the consumer.[80] Moreover, it has five business days to forward the dispute to the relevant furnisher.[81] The credit bureau notification to the furnisher shall include all relevant information received from the consumer.[82] If the reinvestigation determines that the consumer's data is inaccurate, incomplete, or cannot be verified, the bureau must delete the disputed data.[83] Furnishers have independent obligations under the FCRA, after receiving notice from a CRA of a consumer dispute, pursuant to Section 611 to conduct an investigation into the disputed information, to review all the relevant information provided by the CRA, and to report the results of the investigation to the CRA.[84]

As stated above, consumers can also dispute the accuracy of information directly with the furnisher of the information. Under the Furnisher Rule, a furnisher must conduct a reasonable investigation of a consumer's dispute about his or her liability for a debt to the furnisher, the terms of the debt, the consumer's performance concerning the account at issue, or "other information contained in a consumer report regarding an account or relationship with the furnisher that bears on the consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing," or other credit reporting factors.[85] The furnisher also must "review all relevant information provided by the consumer" and complete an investigation and report the results back to the consumer in the same time frame as if the dispute was sent to a consumer reporting agency.[86] If the investigation finds furnished information was inaccurate, the furnisher must promptly notify each CRA that received the information of its determination and provide corrected information.[87]

7.2 Trade Line Dispute Rates

The NCRAs see variations in dispute rate by furnisher, account status, and industry. The dispute rates for the active trade lines among the 100 largest furnishers generally fall within a range between 0.05% and 2.0%.[88] The dispute rate reported by the NCRAs on delinquent trade lines not yet in collections is approximately 1.1%.[89] Dispute rates for specific industries vary widely as well. Some of this variation may be due to variations in data quality controls at individual furnishers. Other differences may simply be due to the fact that some trade lines and industries, by their nature, are likely to generate more disputes from consumers than others. One would expect, for example, that consumers would be more likely to challenge trade lines with reported delinquencies or collections actions than trade lines that only reflect positive information. Likewise, one would expect higher dispute rates in credit categories where delinquency rates are high (e.g., on subprime loans as opposed to prime loans).

Figure 3 describes the average trade line dispute rates for different types of furnishers.[90]

FIGURE 3: DISPUTE RATES BY INDUSTRY TYPE

Industry Type	Disputes/Year per Active Trade Line
Bank Card and Retail Card	**0.17%**
Finance Companies[91]	**0.19%**
Mortgage	**0.21%**
Auto	**0.27%**
Student Loans	**0.29%**
Collection/Debt Buyers	**1.06%**

Collection trade lines generate significantly higher numbers of consumer disputes than other types of trade lines – four times higher than auto and five times higher than mortgage dispute rates. Collections and delinquent trade lines also reflect a disproportionate percentage of all accuracy disputes by consumers with the NCRAs. Almost 40% of all consumer disputes at the NCRAs, on average, can be linked to collections.[92]

Multiple factors likely converge to generate a high volume of collections item disputes. First, in contrast to other types of trade lines, 100% of collections trade lines correspond to negative information on a consumer's credit record. Consumers have a greater incentive to dispute information in a credit file that harms their credit record than information that favorably reflects their ability and willingness to pay back a loan.

Both the discontinuous nature of consumers' relationships with debt collectors (the collector has limited interest in a long-term relationship with the consumer) and the collections industry's data management practices also may contribute to increased disputes.[93] Collections debt can be placed with third-party collection agencies or sold to debt buyers multiple times. With each assignment or sale there are risks of account data being compromised or lost, and with multiple transfers, the risk of errors may increase. Debt buyers and debt collectors may lack the original documentation (e.g., consumer applications, statement showing last payment made, charge-off statement) underlying a debt, contributing to mistakes. Additionally, other than the sale of mortgages, consumers generally are not required to be notified when debt is sold or assigned to a collection agency, so they may not associate the entity reporting negative trade line information with the name of the original creditor account.[94] While the industry's standard Metro 2 data furnishing format has a field for debt collectors to report the originating creditor associated with the debt, collectors may not always report the field. Separately, some consumers may knowingly (or with the encouragement of certain credit repair organizations) dispute valid collection items or judgments in the hopes of removing them from their credit files and increasing their credit scores.

Below, Figure 4 contains the average dispute rates of the top 100 furnishers to two NCRAs in 2011 by furnisher size.

FIGURE 4: TRADE LINE DISPUTE RATES BY FURNISHER SIZE

Furnisher Size	Average Percent of Trade Lines Disputed per Year
Top 10	**0.20%**
Top 11-25	**0.26%**
26-50	**0.35%**
51-100	**0.47%**

Source: Industry statistics.

As indicated earlier, the Top 10 furnishers provide a majority of each of the NCRAs' trade lines. These furnishers are multi-line banks and financial services providers with high proportions of prime borrowers. Higher dispute rates among the smaller furnishers (ranked by the number of trade lines each furnisher reports) may reflect that more of them are collection agencies (a fragmented industry including many small firms) or have proportionately larger subprime lending portfolios (accounts that are more likely to be delinquent and to generate consumer disputes).[i]

At present, the NCRAs do not appear to regularly measure dispute rates of furnished trade lines at the industry or individual furnisher level and they do not all measure dispute rates in a consistent fashion.

7.3 Resolving Trade Line Disputes: The e-OSCAR System

The NCRAs handle most consumers' trade line disputes they receive through an electronic information network called e-OSCAR (the Online Solution for Complete and Accurate Reporting). The e-OSCAR network began in 1993 as a system run by the Associated Credit Bureaus, now the CDIA. Four companies built and still own e-OSCAR – Equifax, Experian, Innovis, and TransUnion. The current Internet-based system was created in 2001; the CDIA created the Online Data Exchange (OLDE) in 2006 to independently operate the system. In 2011, 16,000 furnishers connected to these companies through e-OSCAR.[95]

In the last three months of 2011, 33% of e-OSCAR disputes related to claims by a consumer that an account in their file did not belong to them, either because of error or identity theft. In another 15% of disputes, consumers claimed the information on a trade line was inaccurate. About 4% of consumer disputes involved the reporting of a consumer's current account balance, and another 4% of disputes involved collections items about which consumers claimed not to be aware.[96]

7.3.1 The Dispute Process

According to the NCRAs, trade line disputes handled by them pass through five steps.

1. **Consumer initiates a dispute and reason codes are assigned**: The process starts with a dispute by a consumer to one of the NCRAs. Consumers can initiate a dispute online, by phone, by mail, by fax, or in person. When a consumer initiates the dispute online the

---

[i] It should be possible to identify furnishers who are disproportionately responsible for tradeline disputes relative to furnishers of similar type and size. For example, using a common measure of disputes per 1000 trade lines reported, a credit card issuer's dispute rate could be compared to the average dispute rate for credit card issuers, and an overall dispersion of dispute rates. Furnishers who are outliers (i.e. have high dispute rates) in industries that have a high dispute rate dispersion among furnishers may be appropriate targets for a process review that may yield sources of reporting inaccuracies, data omissions, or billing errors that result in a high level of credit bureau disputes. Helping to identify and address these furnishers' root causes of disputes might yield a reduction in disputes and improvements in credit file accuracy.

consumer may provide a narrative description of the nature of the dispute and why the consumer believes the information contained in the credit report to be in error. The consumer must also select one or two reason codes from a list of 29 different codes that characterize the nature of the dispute.[97] In mail and phone disputes, NCRA representatives will assign the dispute codes they deem appropriate and may occasionally supplement the dispute code with a narrative statement.

2. **NCRA internally reviews dispute**: The NCRA then investigates the dispute request using proprietary decision rules to see if it can resolve the dispute internally without having to forward the dispute to the furnisher. For example, the NCRAs will internally resolve disputes they consider frivolous such as resubmitted but previously resolved disputes where no new information is provided.[j] Separately, an NCRA may resolve a dispute in a consumer's favor under certain circumstances, such as if the documentation provided by the consumer "can be reasonably verified as authentic."[98] Disputes over the consumer's identifying information (e.g., name, address, SSN) also tend to be resolved internally. In such cases, an NCRA may simply adopt the consumer's correction or use internal or external identity verification tools to evaluate the consumer's claim. The NCRAs resolved or rejected an average of 15% of the disputes they received in 2011.[99] The CFPB does not know what percentage of these resolutions was in the consumer's favor.

3. **Dispute sent to furnisher**: If the dispute cannot be resolved internally, the NCRA will forward the dispute through e-OSCAR to the appropriate furnisher with dispute codes through an electronic form called automated consumer dispute verification (ACDV). Supplementing the dispute code(s), the ACDV can provide up to 255 characters of consumer-supplied text describing the dispute in a free-form text field. In 2011, free-form text was added, on average, to 26% of the NCRAs' e-OSCAR transmission, although the percentage varies from NCRA to NCRA based, in part, on whether the online form contains a text field. Consumers can provide supplementary documentation (such as billing or other records or letters to and from creditors) regarding a dispute via mail to an NCRA, but it appears the NCRAs generally do not pass these documents along to furnishers.

4. **Furnisher investigates and responds**: The data furnisher investigates the ACDV request and routes back the response through e-OSCAR to the requesting NCRA. This typically involves a furnisher representative reviewing the furnisher's electronic records of the disputed account and then selecting a response that reflects what the furnisher's records have shown. In e-OSCAR, furnishers can make four different types of responses: (a) verify account as accurate, (b) modify account/trade line information as indicated, (c) delete account, or (d) delete account due to fraud.

---

[j] One NCRA reported that approximately 16% of disputes do not result in an e-OSCAR transaction because the consumer had previously submitted an identical dispute and the NCRA had recently forwarded the dispute to the furnisher, who had investigated and verified the data.

The CDIA reports that in a recent 120 day period in 2012, 22% of furnisher responses indicated that the initial data was accurate (rejecting the consumer's claim), 61% modified a trade line or other piece of information, 13% deleted a trade line or other piece of information, and 0.5% deleted a trade line or other piece of information due to fraud. The NCRAs deleted or modified, as indicated by the consumer, 4% of disputed trade lines because the furnisher did not provide a response within the statutory time frame.[100] The high percentage of furnishers who modify disputed data should be qualified by noting that many larger furnishers will automatically update a trade line with the latest account information (e.g., a new balance) upon receiving a dispute, regardless of whether the furnisher deemed reported information to be inaccurate; thus, a modification may not necessarily reflect concurrence with the consumer's dispute.[101]

As revealed in Figure 5 below, these figures are similar to those reported by the CDIA to the FTC and the Federal Reserve Board for the first five months of 2004 in those agencies' 2006 study on the FCRA dispute process and in GAO testimony to Congress in 2003.[102] The most significant change has been that the percentage of trade lines that were deleted as a result of furnishers not responding to disputes within 30 days has dropped from 16% in 2002 to 4% in 2011.

FIGURE 5: DISPUTE RESULTS

	Percent of Disputes		
Result	**2011 (120 Day Period)**	**2004 (First 5 months)**	**2002 (First 3 quarters)**
Data modified per furnisher's instructions	61%	54%	27%
Information verified as reported	22%	22%	46%
Data deleted per data furnisher's request	13%	18%	10.5%
Data deleted due to no furnisher response	4%	6%	16%
Trade line removed due to fraud	0.5%		

Sources: For 2011: Stuart Pratt, President, CDIA; For 2004: The Federal Trade Commission and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, *Report to Congress on the Fair Credit Reporting Act Dispute Process*; For 2002: Richard Hillman, GAO-03-1036T.

5. **Referral:** If an account is modified or deleted, the furnisher is supposed to send copies of its modification to each CRA with whom the data furnisher has a reporting relationship. This way all the NCRAs can meet their responsibilities to update the consumer's credit files, where applicable.

The CFPB has been unable to estimate the volume of disputes filed directly with furnishers. To date, the NCRAs report little impact from the Furnisher Rule on their volumes of consumer disputes.[103]

7.3.2 Limitations of the e-OSCAR Process

Consumer advocates have raised compliance concerns with respect to the adequacy or completeness of these transmissions to furnishers, which are principally dispute codes along with supplementary text added in a minority of cases. The FCRA requires NCRAs to send the data furnisher a notice that includes "*all relevant information regarding the dispute that the agency has received from the consumer.*"[104] The NCRAs argue that most disputes can be fairly and completely summarized using the e-OSCAR numeric codes. The e-OSCAR system currently does not permit documents provided by consumers, such as statements or letters from creditors, to be forwarded to furnishers as attachments. Industry sources cited technological limitations, challenges evaluating the authenticity of consumer documents, and privacy concerns as impediments to adding such attachments.

Consumer advocates further argue the NCRAs have a systemic bias that defers to furnishers' records in determining whether or not disputed information is accurate.[105] They note that if a furnisher verifies previously reported information as accurate, the NCRAs will generally accord such a response greater weight than the consumer's claims that the information is inaccurate. Likewise, when the furnisher responds that the account should be modified, deleted, or deleted due to fraud, the NCRAs generally implement these responses as received. The advocates argue that NCRAs do not independently validate information contained in furnishers' records.

However, the NCRAs have had occasion to adopt policies to suppress information that is subject to high levels of disputes. For instance, one NCRA developed special policies to address problems with certain disputes about small dollar collection items.

7.4 Public Record Disputes

Consumers' disputes regarding the accuracy of public records in their personal credit files are not investigated through the e-OSCAR system. The NCRAs initiate their investigation of a public record dispute by again collecting the public record directly from the government source or, at their election, contracting for LNRDRS to conduct this re-checking of the record. LNRDRS collects a combined 1-2 million public records annually at the NCRAs' request.[1]

When forwarding a dispute verification query to LNRDRS, the NCRAs provide the company one of up to 24 reason codes explaining the consumer dispute. In about 60 to 70% of the requests that LNRDRS receives from the NCRAs for verification, the consumer asserts that the public record is not his/hers. In another 20 to 25% of the disputes, a consumer asserts that he or she has paid the judgment or lien.[106]

In response to a dispute verification query related to the status of a public record, LNRDRS will typically send a data collector to the public record source to re-check the record and look for updates. LNRDRS will then report one of three statuses back to the NCRA: (1) status has changed (e.g., lien paid off); (2) status is unchanged (e.g., current record remains most accurate); or (3) unable to verify. LNRDRS does not verify the content of the underlying public record as accurate or determine if an NCRA appropriately linked the record to a consumer. In the case of public records, the NCRAs retain responsibility for determining whether a public record should or should or not be attached to a consumer's file.

According to LNRDRS, it performs public record re-checks at the request of the NCRAs, typically within five business days. LNRDRS reports that in 99.5% of all dispute verification queries it handles on behalf of the NCRAs, it is able to locate the record at issue, re-check it, and respond to the request. Time lags are a factor in many public record complaints as it reportedly can take, on average in some state courts, two months between the time of a judgment and its transcription into a public record.[107]

---

[1] It is not known how many consumers generate these 1-2 million public record reviews as the average number of disputes per consumer file is unknown. It is also possible that consumers dispute the same public record with multiple NCRAs.

8. Monitoring and Measuring Credit Reporting Accuracy

In consideration of the importance of data accuracy to consumers and to decision makers using credit reports, there have been several recent initiatives to measure credit report accuracy.

8.1 The FTC's National Study of Credit Report Accuracy

The FTC is expected soon to complete a decade-long study on credit report accuracy that the agency was mandated to undertake in FACTA. At the end of 2012, the FTC expects to issue its fifth interim report of its "National Study of Credit Report Accuracy." The FTC expects to issue a final report in 2014. It will attempt to estimate the proportion of credit files that contain material errors, identify the main types of errors and their frequency, as well as their impact on consumers' credit scores and hence the errors' impacts on affected consumers' access to and cost of credit. To accomplish this, the study has recruited 1,000 consumer participants randomly selected from across the country who have reviewed their reports from the NCRAs with experts who help them understand their report, identify errors, and distinguish material from non-material errors (in terms of potential impact on the consumers' credit scores). Identified errors have been submitted to the respective NCRAs as disputes for resolution. Reinvestigation resolution results will be indicative of the overall error rate of trade lines and public records, and the percentage of credit reports containing corrected errors will indicate the overall rate of credit report accuracy. Further, credit reports containing corrections will be re-scored and differences between credit scores pre- and post-correction will provide an indication of the materiality of the credit report errors.

8.2 Industry Research

In May 2011, the Policy & Economic Research Council (PERC) published a report commissioned by the CDIA, which generally followed the FTC's planned methodology, with significant differences in sample selection. Further, compared to the FTC study, the participating consumers in the PERC study were not provided in-person coaching to identify errors. The PERC study found that a sample of 2,338 consumers viewing their credit reports identified potential errors in 19% of credit reports.[108] Of the potential inaccuracies, 37% were about "header" information that would not affect a consumer's credit score.[109] Consumers chose to dispute one or more pieces of trade line information for 7.4% of credit reports.[110] In 45% of the consumer disputes, the consumers' trade lines were modified. In another 41% of the consumer disputes, the disputed trade lines were deleted.[111] The study defined corrections leading to a 25 point or

more change in the consumer's VantageScore as a material correction that could shift the consumer's score into a different pricing tier. The resultant CRA corrections in the relevant trade line information resulted in credit score increases of 25 points or more in 0.93% of credit reports examined or 10 points or more in 1.78% of the credit reports examined.[112] Extrapolating to one estimate of the U.S. credit-scoreable population, approximately 3 million Americans would experience score increases of 10 points or more if they reviewed and disputed inaccuracies in their credit reports.[113]

8.3 Consumer Advocate Sponsored Research

A consumer group-sponsored study produced different results. A 2004 survey by the U.S. Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) of its own members concluded "twenty-five percent (25%) of the credit reports surveyed contained serious errors that could result in the denial of credit, such as false delinquencies or accounts that did not belong to the consumer."[114] The results of the survey must be qualified considering the small sample size (154 respondents) and the potential biases in the selection of the respondents (surveys were filled out by PIRG staff, coalition partners, friends and family).[115]

8.4 Future Accuracy Measurement and Related Metrics

Ongoing efforts to measure credit report accuracy will likely continue to rely on consumers to identify potential inaccuracies in their credit reports and to rely on the dispute resolution system to validate that inaccuracies have occurred. Because information contained in credit files originates from diverse sources such as furnishers, consumers (who respond to lender applications with certain personal information), or public records providers, there is no single source of comprehensive and reliable data regarding the precise identities of consumers or the status of their credit relationships. For this reason, efforts to measure overall credit report accuracy have necessarily involved review of credit reports and individual trade lines by consumers themselves who are most likely to know when information reported about them is correct or incorrect, although consumers may not always interpret their reports correctly.

Further, the consumer dispute process will not identify or ameliorate certain types of errors that may be associated with the NCRA matching processes. For example, it is difficult for consumers to identify when their personal information is diverted to an "orphan" file because consumers wouldn't see such information in a file disclosure. Additionally, trade lines inaccurately associated with a consumer's files due to mismatching of consumers with similar identifying information have high likelihoods of being confirmed as accurate by furnishers. Finally, to the extent matching processes used to compile credit reports yield different results in reports provided to users from file disclosures provided to consumers (e.g., because lenders and other users may provide more limited consumer-identifying information in their inquiries) it is possible that consumers and users may not always receive the exact same information.

On July 20, 2012 the CFPB published its larger participant rule permitting it to supervise companies with annual receipts from "consumer reporting," as defined in the rule, of over $7 million. That rule became effective on September 30, 2012. In announcing the Bureau's new authorities, Director Richard Cordray indicated that the agency would treat as its initial priorities in examining consumer reporting agencies for compliance with the FCRA and other consumer financial protection laws "accuracy of the information received by the credit reporting companies, their accuracy in assembling and maintaining that information, and the processes that govern error resolution."[k]

The CFPB is also now accepting consumer complaints about credit reporting, giving consumers individual-level complaint assistance for the first time at the federal level with consumer reporting agencies. Finally, as part of its supervision of large financial institutions, it is examining the consumer reporting practices of the furnishers that are responsible for a preponderance of information contained in credit reports. These efforts will give the CFPB an opportunity to further evaluate the potential roles of credit report accuracy measurements and of metrics related to the NCRAs' and furnishers' various business processes in improving overall accuracy in the U.S. credit reporting system. As appropriate, the CFPB may consider the development and implementation of data quality and accuracy metrics to reduce risk to consumers and assure compliance with FCRA obligations.

[k] http://www.consumerfinance.gov/speeches/prepared-remarks-by-richard-cordray-on-credit-reporting/

Glossary

CDIA – Consumer Data Industry Association. The CDIA is an international trade association that represents consumer data companies including the nationwide consumer reporting agencies.

Consumer Report – Reports provided by consumer reporting agencies to lenders and other users. The FCRA defines a consumer report as "any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for (A) credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes; (B) employment purposes; or (C) any other purpose authorized under section 604 [of the FCRA]." The FCRA provides a limited number of exclusions to this definition.

Consumer Reporting Agency – The FCRA defines a consumer reporting agency (CRA) as "any person, which, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports."

Credit File/Consumer File – The information about a consumer that is contained in the databases of credit reporting agencies. According to the FCRA, the term "file," when used in connection with information on any consumer, means all of the information on that consumer recorded and retained by a consumer reporting agency regardless of how that information is stored.

Consumer File Disclosure – Information provided to a consumer when that consumer requests a copy of the information in his or her file at the NCRA.

Credit Report – Popular term for consumer reports used or purchased by lenders.

Credit Reporting Agency/Credit Bureau – Popular term for consumer reporting agencies in the business of providing consumer reports to lenders.

ECOA – Equal Credit Opportunity Act.

e-OSCAR – The Online Solution for Complete and Accurate Reporting. Web-based computer software system used by Equifax, TransUnion, Experian, and Innovis to communicate with furnishers about consumer disputes.

FCRA – Fair Credit Reporting Act.

Furnisher – Generally refers to an entity that provides information relating to its own transactions or experiences with consumers to one or more consumer reporting agencies for inclusion in consumer reports.

Inquiry – A request for a consumer report.

Metro 2® – The industry standard format for furnisher data contributions created in 1997 by the CDIA on behalf of Equifax, TransUnion, Experian, and Innovis.

NCRA – Nationwide consumer reporting agency. For the purpose of this paper, an NCRA means Equifax, Experian, or TransUnion.

Public Record – Generally, a record that a governmental body is required to maintain, and which must be accessible to scrutiny by the public. Definitions of public records can vary by federal, state, or local jurisdiction.

Reinvestigation – An investigation by a consumer reporting agency or a furnisher into the accuracy or completeness of information in a consumer's credit file in response to a consumer dispute of such information.

Trade Line – Information furnished by a creditor to a consumer reporting agency that reflects the consumer's account status and activity. Trade line information includes the name of companies where the applicant has accounts, dates accounts were opened, credit limits, types of accounts, balances owed and payment histories.

Appendix

e-OSCAR Dispute Codes

The 29 e-OSCAR dispute codes are as follows:

- Not his/hers
- Belongs to another individual with same/similar name
- Not aware of collection
- Late due to change of address – never received statement
- Settlement or partial payment accepted
- Claims paid the original creditor before collection status or paid before charge-off
- Credit limit and/or high credit amount incorrect
- Included in the bankruptcy of another person
- Claims account closed
- Claims account closed by consumer
- Contract cancelled or rescinded
- Account included in bankruptcy
- Claims active military duty
- Insurance claim delayed
- Account involved litigation
- Claims victim of natural or declared disaster
- Claims account deferred
- Not liable for account (i.e. ex-spouse, business)
- Account reaffirmed or not included in bankruptcy
- Claims true identity fraud, account fraudulently opened
- Claims account take-over, fraudulent charges made on account
- Disputes dates of last payment/date opened/date of first delinquency/date closed
- Disputes present/previous account status/payment history profile/payment rating
- Disputes special comment/compliance condition code/narrative remarks
- Disputes account type or terms duration/terms frequency or portfolio type disputed
- Disputes current balance
- Claims company will change
- Claims company will delete
- Consumer states inaccurate information

[1] See, e.g., 15 USC 1681e(b) (requiring consumer reporting agencies to "follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning an individual about whom the report relates"); 15 USC 1681i (requiring a consumer reporting agency to reinvestigate upon receiving a consumer dispute); 15 USC 1681s-2(a)(1)(A) (prohibiting a furnisher from furnishing information that it "knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the information is inaccurate"); 15 USC 1681s-2(a)(1)(B) (prohibiting the furnishing of information where the consumer has notified the furnisher that the information is inaccurate and the information is in fact inaccurate).

[2] Experian – Oliver Wyman, *Comprehensive Consumer Credit Review, Experian-Oliver Wyman Market Intelligence Repor*t, at 7 (2011 Q4).

[3] See, e.g., Experian Connect[SM], available at http://www.experian.com/connect/landlord.html.

[4] Federal Trade Commission, *Credit-Based Insurance Scores: Impacts on Consumers of Automobile Insurance, A Report to Congress* (2007), available at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2007/07/P044804FACTA_Report_Credit-Based_Insurance_Scores.pdf.

[5] Marcie Geffner, *Banking and your credit score*, Bankrate.com (Mar. 17, 2011), available at http://www.bankrate.com/finance/savings/banks-checking-credit-scores-more-often-1.aspx. Banks may retrieve a credit report from a credit bureau as part of a review of a bank account application. A bank may also contact a specialty consumer reporting agency, like ChexSystems, a subsidiary of FIS, to see if the consumer has history of bank-initiated account closures or other negative activity in connection with previous checking accounts.

[6] The FCRA allows for the sharing of credit reports for employment purposes. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a)(3)(B).

[7] Forty-seven percent of firms used credit checks for select job candidates, while thirteen percent used credit checks for all job candidates. The Society for Human Resource Management, *SHRM Research Spotlight: Credit Background Checks, Society Human Resource Management* (2010). Small, medium, and large employers were contacted as part of the survey. http://www.shrm.org/Research/SurveyFindings/Articles/Documents/CCFlier_FINAL.pdf. The CFPB, along with all other federal agencies, use credit reports in their employment screening process (specifically to check for any debts owed to the federal government).

[8] Federal Reserve Board, *Report to Congress on Credit Scoring and its Effects on the Availability and Affordability of Credit* (August 2007) (Board Credit Scoring Report), available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/boarddocs/rptcongress/creditscore/creditscore.pdf.

[9] 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b).

[10] 15 U.S.C. §1681c.

[11] Evan Hendricks, *Credit Scores and Credit Reports: How the System Really Works*, at 157 (2004).

[12] Id. at 158.

[13] Id.

[14] Based on CFPB calculations of industry publicly reported revenues.

[15] 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).

[16] 15 U.S.C. 1681a(g).

[17] Identifying information in credit files is derived from furnisher supplied data and from public records. Furnisher supplied identity information often comes directly from the consumer, and may vary depending on the identity information consumers provide on their applications and how comprehensively the consumer and furnisher provide updates when such things as marital status, address, or phone number change. Identity information supplied in public records can also vary.

[18]John Ulzheimer, *Public Record Information and Credit Reports: What's There?,* Smartcredit.com, (March 3, 2011), available at http://www.smartcredit.com/blog/2011/03/03/public-record-information-and-credit-reports-whats-there/.

[19] 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a)(3)(A).

[20] The FCRA's time limits on the reporting of derogatory information do not apply to certain large financial transactions, namely (1) a credit transaction involving, or which may reasonably be expected to involve, a principal amount of $150,000 or more; (2) the underwriting of life insurance involving, or which may reasonably be expected to involve, a face amount of $150,000 or more; or (3) the employment of any individual at an annual salary which equals or which may reasonably be expected to equal $75,000 or more. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(b).

[21] 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a)(4)-(5).

[22] 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a)(2)-(3).

[23] 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a)(1). As discussed supra, in note 20, reports may be exempted from these time restrictions in certain circumstances. In practice, the NCRAs do not utilize these exemptions, and cease reporting negative information after the standard time limits have elapsed.

[24] 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a)(6).

[25] A credit score is a defined term in the FCRA which generally means "a numerical value or a categorization derived from a statistical tool or modeling system used by a person who makes or arranges a loan to predict the likelihood of certain credit behaviors, including default..." See 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(f)(2) for full definition.

[26] Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Report to the Congress on Credit Scoring and Its Effects on the Availability and Affordability of Credit: Submitted to the Congress Pursuant to Section 215 of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 (Aug. 2007), at O-4.

[27] One industry observer estimates that FICO had over 90 percent of the market share in 2010 of scores sold to firms for use in credit related decisions. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *The impact of differences between consumer- and creditor -purchased credit scores: Report to Congress*, at 6 (July 19, 2011).

[28] For more detailed information on the variety of credit score models sold to lenders and to consumers, see CFPB, "Analysis of Differences between Consumer- and Creditor-Purchased Credit Scores," (September 2012).

[29] New York Times, "Why you have 49 different FICO Scores," available at http://bucks.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/08/27/why-you-have-49-different-fico-scores/.

[30] See supra note 26 at O-5.

[31] Id.

[32] Deirdre Swesnik and Lisa Rice, National Fair Housing Alliance: Discriminatory Effects on Credit Scoring on Communities of Color, Prepared for the Symposium on Credit Scoring and Credit Reporting [forthcoming]

[33] Industry figures.

[34] Industry figures.

[35] Industry figures. It is likely that the NCRAs do not uniformly define an institution as a furnisher in the same way (e.g. some large, complex institutions may be treated as a single furnisher by one NCRA but as multiple furnishers by another); hence estimates cited in this report from industry sources about the number of furnishers and shares of tradelines by furnishers and industries are approximations.

[36] Industry information.

[37] The 2007 Economic Census provides the most comprehensive recent assessment of industry revenue concentration. The survey identifies 4,506 collection agencies. The largest of these firms (those with over $100 million in annual revenue) take in a minority proportion of overall industry revenue (32%). See http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN_2007_US_56SSSZ4&prodType=table# (scroll to NAICS code 56144).

[38] Historically, some furnishers have declined to provide certain fields. For example, omitting credit limits prompted the inclusion of I(b)(2) (iii) in App. E to the Furnisher Rule. 74 Fed. Reg. 31484 (July 1, 2009), available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2009-07-01/pdf/E9-15323.pdf. The Furnisher Rule is now codified in 12 C.F.R. pt. 1022. 76 Fed. Reg. 79308 (Dec. 21, 2011). The practice of some furnishers of omitting account opening date and other fields also prompted the federal banking agencies, the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), and the FTC to issue an advance notice of proposed rulemaking focused on whether furnishers should be required to provide this information, 74 Fed. Reg. 31529 (July 1, 2009), available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2009-07-01/pdf/E9-15322.pdf.

[39] 74 Fed. Reg 31484 (July 1, 2009). This rule was required by section 623(e) of FCRA, as added by section 312 of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act of 2003.

[40] Industry information.

[41] Credit Advice from The "Ask Experian" Team, available at http://www.experian.com/ask-experian/20120118-what-derogatory-means.html

[42] Industry figures.

[43] Industry figures.

[44] Industry information.

[45] Industry figures.

[46] 74 Fed. Reg 31484 (July 1, 2009).

[47] 76 Fed. Reg. 79308 (December 21, 2011).

[48] 12 C.F.R. § 1022.42, (2012).

[49] 12 C.F.R. pt. 1022, Appendix E, III(h) (2012).

[50] 12 C.F.R. § 1022.42(a) (2012).

[51] 12 C.F.R. pt. 1022, Appendix E, III (2012).

[52] Industry figures.

[53] Ben Woolsey and Matt Schulz, *Credit card statistics, industry facts, debt statistics*, available at http://www.creditcards.com/credit-card-news/credit-card-industry-facts-personal-debt-statistics-1276.php (updated February 28, 2012).

[54] Industry figures. Since there are 1.3 billon trade lines updated every month and 200 million consumers in each of the NCRA databases, each consumer appears to have, on average, 6.5 active trade lines.

[55] Genealogy Data: Frequently Occurring Surnames from Census 2000. United States Census Bureau. Available at http://www.census.gov/genealogy/www/data/2000surnames/index.html.

[56] Industry information.

[57] Industry information.

[58] Federal Trade Commission, Report to Congress under Section 319 of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act of 2003, at 9 (December 2008).

[59] For a discussion of common credit reporting errors, see Richard J. Hilman, *Consumer Credit: Limited Information Exists on Extent of Credit Report Errors and Their Implications for Consumers*, Statement for the Record Before the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, General Accounting Office at 11 (July 31, 2003).

[60] 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(C).

[61] In 2011, the FTC reported 279,156 complaints alleging identity theft, which was the largest single complaint category of consumers to the FTC. Federal Trade Commission, *Consumer Sentinel Network Data Book for January – December 2011*, at 6 (February 2012). See http://ftc.gov/sentinel/reports/sentinel-annual-reports/sentinel-cy2011.pdf.

[62] 15 U.S.C. §§ 1666-1666j.

[63] Industry figures.

[64] 15 U.S.C. § 1681i.

[65] 15 U.S.C. § 1681j(a) (requiring NCRAs and nationwide specialty consumer reporting agencies to provide free annual reports upon request if they have been providing consumer reports to third parties on a continuing basis with respect to consumers residing nationwide for the last 12 months).

[66] 15 U.S.C. §1681j(b).

[67] 15 U.S.C. § 1681j(c).

[68] Id.

[69] Id.

[70] 15. U.S.C. §1681j(d).

[71] Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *The Impact of differences between consumer- and creditor-purchased credit scores: A Report to Congress,* at 9 (July 19, 2011).

[72] Id. at 10.

[73] Industry figures.

[74] Industry figures.

[75] Industry figures.

[76] Industry figures.

[77] Industry figures.

[78] Industry figures.

[79] 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

[80] 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(B)-(C) and (a)(4).

[81] 15 U.S.C. 1681i(a)(2)(A).

[82] 15 U.S.C. 1681i(a)(2)(B).

[83] 15 U.S.C. 1681i(a)(5).

[84] 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b); 12 C.F.R. pt. 1022, App. E.2b

[85] 12 C.F.R § 1022.43(a).

[86] 12 C.F.R. § 1022.43(e)(3).

[87] 12 C.F.R § 1022.43(e)(4).

[88] Industry figures.

[89] Id.

[90] Id.

[91] Finance companies, also known as personal finance or sales finance companies, are non-depository institutions that generally provide loans to higher risk borrowers, often to purchase retail items. An example might be a company that partners with a home retailer to provide a loan to a consumer to purchase bedroom furniture.

[92] Id.

[93] See The Federal Reserve, *An Overview of Consumer Data and Credit Reporting* (2003), at). 69. *See also* Jennifer Steinhauer, "Money & Medicine; Will Doctors Make Your Credit Sick?" *The New York Times*, February 4, 2011.

[94] *See* 12 C.F.R. § 1026.39 (2012).

[95] Industry figures.

[96] Id.

[97] Id.

[98] The Federal Trade Commission and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, *Report to Congress on the Fair Credit Reporting Act Dispute Process*, at 14 (August 2006).

[99] Industry figures.

[100] Industry figures.

[101] The Federal Trade Commission and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System*, Report to Congress on the Fair Credit Reporting Act Dispute Process*, at 24 (August 2006).

[102] Stephen J. Hill, GAO Director of Financial Markets and Community Investment, Statement for the Record before the Committee on Housing, Banking, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, "Consumer Credit: Limited Information Exists on the Extent of Credit Report Errors and their implications for Consumers, GAO-03-1036T (July 31, 2003).

[103] In-person briefing for CFPB staff on e-OSCAR with David Vaughn, General Manager, Central Source LLC and Stuart Pratt, President, CDIA (December 5, 2011).

[104] 15 U.S.C. § 1681i (a)(2)(B) (emphasis added).

[105] National Consumer Law Center, *Automated Injustice: How a mechanized dispute system frustrates consumers seeking to fix errors in their credit report,* at 23 (January 2009).

[106] Industry figures.

[107] Id.

[108] Michael A. Turner, PhD et al., *U.S. Consumer Credit Reports: Measuring Accuracy and Dispute Impacts*, the Policy & Economic Research Council (PERC), at 33 (May 2011).

[109] Id. at 37.

[110] Id. at 38.

[111] Id. at 39.

[112] Id. at 43.

[113] This calculation assumes that 200 million Americans have credit reports, and of these, 32 million have files that are too thin to score. Information Policy Institute, *Giving Underserved Consumers Better Access to the Credit System: The Promise of Non-Traditional Data*, at 7 (July 2005).

[114] Allison Cassady and Edmund Mierzwinski, *Mistakes do Happen: A Look at Errors in Consumer Credit Reports*, National Association of State PIRGs, at 4 (June 2004).

[115] Id. at 16.

Exhibit D

FeeWaiverPending, CLOSED

U.S. Bankruptcy Court
District of Arizona (Phoenix)
Bankruptcy Petition #: 2:19-bk-02380-EPB

Assigned to: Judge Eddward P. Ballinger Jr.
Chapter 7
Voluntary
No asset

Date filed: 03/06/2019
Date terminated: 05/31/2019
Debtor dismissed: 03/18/2019
341 meeting: 04/08/2019
Deadline for objecting to discharge: 06/07/2019

Debtor disposition: Dismissed for Failure to File Information

Debtor
WAYNE CLIFFORD
313 N. 57TH PLACE
MESA, AZ 85205
MARICOPA-AZ
SSN / ITIN: xxx-xx-9827

represented by **WAYNE CLIFFORD**
PRO SE

Trustee
DINA ANDERSON
21001 N. TATUM BLVD., #1630-608
PHOENIX, AZ 85050
480-304-8312

U.S. Trustee
U.S. TRUSTEE
OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE
230 NORTH FIRST AVENUE
SUITE 204
PHOENIX, AZ 85003

Filing Date	#	Docket Text
03/06/2019	1 (8 pgs)	Chapter 7 Voluntary Petition for Individuals Master Mailing List due by 3/13/2019, filed by WAYNE CLIFFORD (Medina, Diane) Additional attachment(s) added on 3/7/2019 (McCormick, Janel). (Entered: 03/06/2019)
03/06/2019	2	Meeting of Creditors scheduled for 04/08/2019 at 11:00 AM at US Trustee Meeting Room, 230 N. First Avenue, Suite 102, Phoenix, AZ (341-PHX). Complaints objecting to discharge due by 06/07/2019. (Medina, Diane) (Entered: 03/06/2019)
03/06/2019	3 (2 pgs; 2 docs)	Identification Requested, Identity Confirmed Filed by Non Debtor. Copy of Debtor(s) ID due 03/20/2019, (Medina, Diane) (Entered: 03/06/2019)
03/06/2019	4 (4 pgs; 2 docs)	Deficiency Notice to Debtor and Attorney for Debtor That All Required Documents Were Not Filed. (Medina, Diane) (Entered: 03/06/2019)

03/06/2019	6	Statement of Social Security Number Submitted (related document(s)1 Chapter 7 Voluntary Petition) (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/06/2019	7 (1 pg)	Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury for Debtors Without an Attorney (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/06/2019	8 (2 pgs)	Chapter 7 Statement of Your Current Monthly Income Form 122A-1 filed by WAYNE CLIFFORD. (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/06/2019	9 (1 pg)	Declaration of Evidence of Employer Payments filed by WAYNE CLIFFORD. (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/06/2019	10 (3 pgs)	Debtor Application to Waive Payment of Chapter 7 Filing Fee filed by WAYNE CLIFFORD. (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/06/2019	11 (47 pgs)	Schedules and Statements for Individuals filed by WAYNE CLIFFORD. (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/06/2019	12 (2 pgs)	BNC Certificate of Notice (related document(s)3 Identification Requested, Identity Confirmed Filed by Non Debtor.) (Admin.) (Entered: 03/08/2019)
03/06/2019	13 (4 pgs)	BNC Certificate of Notice (related document(s)4 Deficiency Notice to Debtor (Missing Documents Form)) (Admin.) (Entered: 03/08/2019)
03/07/2019	5 (4 pgs; 2 docs)	Notice to Debtor Not Represented by an Attorney (admin) (Entered: 03/07/2019)
03/07/2019	14 (4 pgs)	BNC Certificate of Notice (related document(s)5 Notice to Debtor Not Represented by an Attorney) (Admin.) (Entered: 03/09/2019)
03/18/2019	15 (5 pgs; 2 docs)	***ENTERED IN ERROR*** Deficiency Notice to Debtor and Attorney for Debtor That All Required Documents Were Not Filed. (McCormick, Janel) Modified on 3/20/2019 (McCormick, Janel). (Entered: 03/18/2019)
03/18/2019	16 (3 pgs; 2 docs)	**ORDER** Dismissing Case Debtor . (McCormick, Janel) (Entered: 03/18/2019)
03/18/2019	17 (5 pgs)	BNC Certificate of Notice (related document(s)15 Deficiency Notice to Debtor (Missing Documents Form)) (Admin.) (Entered: 03/20/2019)
03/18/2019	18 (3 pgs)	BNC Certificate of Notice - Notice of Dismissal Order (related document(s)16 Order Dismissing Case) (Admin.) (Entered: 03/20/2019)
04/11/2019	19	Chapter 7 Trustee's Report of No Distribution: I, DINA ANDERSON, having been appointed trustee of the estate of the above-named debtor(s), report that this case was dismissed or converted. I have neither received any property nor paid any monies on account of this estate. I hereby certify that the chapter 7 estate of the above-named debtor(s) has been fully administered through the date of conversion or dismissal. I request that I be discharged from any further duties as trustee. Key information about this case as reported in schedules filed by the debtor(s) or otherwise found in the case record: This case was pending for 1 months. Assets Abandoned (without deducting any secured claims): Not Applicable, Assets Exempt: Not Applicable, Claims Scheduled: Not Applicable, Claims Asserted: Not

		Applicable, Claims scheduled to be discharged without payment (without deducting the value of collateral or debts excepted from discharge): Not Applicable. Debtor appeared. (ANDERSON, DINA) (Entered: 04/11/2019)
05/31/2019	20	The case having been dismissed; IT IS ORDERED that the case is closed and if a trustee has been appointed, the trustee is discharged from and relieved of his trust. Judge Eddward P. Ballinger Jr. (Jones, Shawn) (Entered: 05/31/2019)

PACER Service Center

Transaction Receipt

07/07/2022 09:19:34

PACER Login:	alstonbird	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Docket Report	**Search Criteria:**	2:19-bk-02380-EPB Fil or Ent: filed From: 1/1/2019 To: 7/7/2022 Doc From: 0 Doc To: 99999999 Headers: included Format: html Page counts for documents: included
Billable Pages:	2	**Cost:**	0.20