


**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: JANUARY 2021 SHORT SQUEEZE TRADING
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2989



(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -7)

On April 1, 2021, the Panel transferred 35 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* _F.Supp.3d_ (J.P.M.L. 2021). Since that time, 23 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of Florida. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cecilia M. Altonaga.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Florida and assigned to Judge Altonaga.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Florida for the reasons stated in the order of April 1, 2021, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cecilia M. Altonaga.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John W. Nichols", written over a horizontal line.

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: JANUARY 2021 SHORT SQUEEZE TRADING
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2989

SCHEDULE CTO-7 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	23-02622	Maurice Scarborough et al v. Robinhood Financial LLC et al