



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 116th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 166

WASHINGTON, MONDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2020

No. 218

House of Representatives

The House met at 9 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer: Lord of all creation, thank You for giving us another day.

We come before You again, O Lord, to implore Your blessing upon a nation seeing continually high rates of infection with COVID-19. Our hospitals are near breaking point in the availability of beds for those who are stricken.

Thank You again for those men and women of science who have developed the vaccines now beginning to be distributed. This is a great sign of hope in the midst of so much suffering and fear. Lord, have mercy.

Bless the Members of this House, and of the Senate, as the omnibus and the coronavirus relief bills are presented and considered today. Give them wisdom and generosity; increase their trust that Your people in this Nation will use the assistance coming their way to fuel a struggling economy.

May all that is done be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to section 4(a) of House Resolution 967, the Journal of the last day's proceedings is approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Ms. JACKSON LEE led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

COVID VACCINE

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, I join in the prayer of the Chaplain this morning. I believe it is important to open this day of lifesaving relief with a prayer to ask that our Congress comes together and stands in the face with help on the way to dying Americans from COVID-19, the families of those who have died and those who are on the front lines fighting against this virus.

It is important that we help our schoolchildren with educational dollars and, as well, make sure that the vaccines are going as fast as they can to the sites across America.

I spoke to hospitals in my district, smaller hospitals and community hospitals that are still waiting for their vaccine. We know that they want their frontline medical professionals to keep saving and serving the public.

So this is going to be a historic and important day. We know it is not what we want in complete, but we know we will be working into the new year to be able to respond to the needs of the American people helping our local governments and, as well, making sure that those children who will be returning to school and those teachers, as well, will be protected after they have the opportunity—the teachers, in particular—to have the vaccine. This is a historic day. Let us work together.

RELIEF FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. PELOSI. Madam Speaker, I associate myself with your comments and those of our Chaplain, Father Conroy, that it is appropriate to start today with a prayer, and every day, but especially a day when we are seeing over

300,000—and the number getting higher—Americans who are dying from the coronavirus.

We have hope because there is a vaccine. We have hope because we have a President who recognizes science and, again, the need for us to distribute the vaccine in the most equitable, fair, and free way. By that President, I mean one who will take office 1 month from now, Joe Biden.

I do want to speak to the bill that will be on the floor shortly. It is a good, bipartisan bill. As different from bills that had been proposed on the Senate side by the Republican leader, it does things that his bill never did, and that is that it addresses the food needs of the American people. Maybe 15 million children are food insecure in our country, and adults as well.

Millions of families are on the verge of eviction, and this legislation addresses the rental needs and the short-term moratorium. We can accept a short-term because we will have a new President during the length of that moratorium to extend it further if necessary.

We also have in the legislation direct payments, which were not in the Republican bill, to America's working families. I would like them to have been bigger, but they are significant, and they will be going out soon.

The President may insist on having his name on the check, but make no mistake, those checks are from the American people. The American people's name should be on that check, no individual, because that is the source of the resources for those checks—tax-paying Americans.

In addition to that, the list goes on of some of the very positive things that are on the bill—quite frankly, some of them did not come to agreement until yesterday—whether we are talking about WRDA, the Water Resources Development Act; a big jobs bill had bipartisan support but some disagreement over language, but by the time

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H7287

that was resolved, it pushed the bill from coming to the floor later.

We didn't have, at the start of the day, sick leave. That is in the bill, almost \$2 billion for sick leave.

It didn't have the EITC, the earned income tax credit, for working poor people to have that boost, as well as the child tax credit. It did not have the employment retention tax credit—bipartisan support on WRDA and on that.

Then we came to agreement not only on the language, but where that initiative would be placed in that. So many of these things need to be precisely written and assembled in order for a bill to come to the floor, which we anticipate will be pretty soon this morning.

One of the things, though, that is disappointing because, for a long time now, House Democrats and the Senate have been saying we want to crush the virus and put money in the pockets of the American people. The title of our bill before was about honoring our heroes, our State and local workers who are on the front line.

We talk about those on the front lines who are our heroes. They are necessary in meeting and crushing this virus. We are talking about healthcare workers in cities, counties, and States. We are talking about first responders, police and fire, some who are the first on the scene to help someone with the coronavirus.

We are talking about our teachers, our teachers, our teachers, who are the custodians of our children for a large part of the day. We are talking about our transportation, sanitation, and food workers and those who make our lives possible.

I think it would be interesting to point out that, as enthusiastic as we are about the PPP provisions in this bill, and we all support them in a bipartisan way, it is important to note that small businesses—I always say there is nothing more optimistic than starting a small business, maybe getting married—but the optimism, the hope, and the dream that people have is completely, shall we say, darkened by the assault of this virus, and that is why we had to start by crushing the virus.

We couldn't pass legislation until now because the administration simply did not believe in testing, tracing, treatment, wearing masks, sanitation, separation, and the rest—the scientific approach. It has become clear to us now that they believed in herd immunity, a quackery springing right from the Oval Office and not denied sufficiently by some in the CDC and the rest.

Now we have a vaccine, and that gives us hope, a vaccine that springs from science.

People say around here sometimes: I am faith oriented, so I don't believe in science.

I say: Well, you can do both. Science is an answer to our prayers, and our prayers have been answered with a vaccine.

In this legislation, we have a provision for it to be developed, purchased, and distributed in a way, again, that is fair, equitable, and free.

What we couldn't get ever—even with the previous legislation just on top, testing, et cetera—was the adequate language to recognize that this coronavirus has taken a horrible toll on our whole country, more so among people of color. People of color have died from the coronavirus.

A child who was Hispanic had eight times more of a chance of going to the hospital with the coronavirus than a White child. If you are African American, there is five times more of a chance of going to the hospital because of the coronavirus. So now we have a vaccine we hope will reach everyone as soon as possible.

One item that I am heartbroken about in this bill, though, is that, while we make an attempt to crush the virus, we don't do it adequately enough in terms of recognizing the toll on people of color; but we will have to do that in the public sentiment of it, in the demand that we make on Governors and others who are in charge of the distribution.

We put money in the pockets of the American people. We want to do more, but, nonetheless, we are meeting the deadline of December 26 for unemployment insurance, which was vital.

But the third thing, honoring our heroes, as I said earlier, healthcare workers, our first responders, police and fire, sanitation, transportation, food workers, teachers, teachers, teachers, we just decided that, while they are on the front line, while they are risking their lives to save lives—many of the healthcare workers, the police and fire, et cetera, many of them have lost their jobs. Many of them have already lost their lives. Many have lost their jobs.

So why is it that this Congress and this White House refuses to recognize the value, the contribution, and the sacrifice of our, again, heroes, our people on the front line?

It is interesting, I think, to note that, when we passed a number of bills in a bipartisan way—and we will pass this one today—in the course of that, with the CARES Act and the followup on the PPP bill and this bill now, we are approaching \$1 trillion that we are putting out for PPP.

□ 0915

If that is what is needed and is spent effectively, that is a worthy expenditure. Almost \$1 trillion—well over \$750,000. This bill alone, over \$300 billion. So we have PPP.

Now, in order for the private sector to function, in order for us to live our lives, we need to have the public sector: public transportation, public schools, public health, the list goes on.

So it is not about public/private. It is about people, people who are doing their jobs, again, risking their lives to save lives and lose their life and may lose their jobs. Many have lost their

lives. Many more, of course, have lost their jobs.

Madam Speaker, we have approaching \$1 trillion for the PPP; small business, which I support all the way; and \$150 billion or \$160 billion for State and local government, which enables the private sector to function, which enables us to crush the virus, which enables us to meet the needs of people.

Madam Speaker, \$150 billion to \$160 billion versus well over \$800 billion already. How could that be right? Except the Republicans insist on saying: We don't want to give money to blue States where the coronavirus is.

It is in red States, too. It knows no borders and it knows no party, this vicious virus. But somehow, other Republicans have said to our heroes, our healthcare workers, our teachers, our transportation, our police and fire, and the rest—sanitation workers, so important; food, food, food—you are not worthy of support because perhaps you are in a blue State, predominantly, and, therefore, we undervalue your contribution to our society, to our economy, and especially now, as we try to minister to the needs of people in this coronavirus crisis.

How do you think these vaccines come into a State? They go from a lab to your arm magically? No. They have to be received, distributed, administered, and done so fairly, equitably, and free.

Who do you think is going to do those jobs if you don't respect the role of State and local government in all of this? But don't think of it as government. Think of it as people. Think of it as yourself needing all of that.

So I would hope that, as we see the need for what we have done in this nearly \$900 billion legislation that we will vote on today, that everyone understands it is a first step. It is the first step, as President-elect Biden has said. It is the first step. And we will need to do more to get more virus assistance to crush the virus, but also more money to buy more vaccines.

We need to have the Defense Production Act in play to hasten the manufacture of these vaccines, and we need to be able, as I said, to get the job done, and that takes people.

People need to be respected. Their worth needs to be valued, and the entities under whose auspices they work, public hospitals, all the rest, and education, so important.

And yet, many of our teachers have lost their jobs. We need more teachers because we need more space to separate, to, again, protect our children.

We have always said, if we want the economy to open up and we want our schools to open up, you must crush the virus, at least take the precautions so that people are not in jeopardy if they go to work or when they go to school.

Schools should be the safest places in America for our children, and they can be if science is respected and the mask-wearing, distancing, and the rest. But you need more space; you need better

ventilation, as BOBBY SCOTT tells us over and over; and we need more teachers.

This is a big mistake. The Republicans said you can get a small amount, not even approaching in any way, the PPP—again, no resentment there; we support that. But the recognition that that is important should also recognize that the sector of our economy that supports the private sector be recognized.

So, on that score, you come to a situation where, how could it be that we only have \$160 billion for State and local, where we have approaching \$1 trillion dollars for PPP, not recognizing that the private sector is connected to the public sector?

Now, one more point on that.

They said: You can have some money for State and local—we will decide how it will be distributed—if you do surrender the rights of workers.

In other words, just in case anybody doesn't know, there are essential workers who are required to go to work. If they don't because they are concerned about danger to their health and the health of their families because the workplace is not safe, they cannot go on unemployment insurance. And if they go to work and contract the virus, they have no recourse, because that is the way the Republicans want it.

That is the way the Republicans want it: antiworker.

Madam Speaker, just for good measure, they have thrown in certain aspects of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Fair Labor Standards Act, OSHA—the list goes on—in section 42 of their heinous liability bill of all of the actions that cannot be taken.

And I asked one of the Republican Senators: What does preventing the ADA, American with Disabilities Act, to honor its responsibility?

They said: Why not have the Chamber of Commerce call you and tell you.

I said: Why don't you not waste my time or the Chambers' time about why the ADA should not be enforced because of the virus?

This is coronavirus-centric. We said that we can find a compromise on liability for the time of the virus and in a way that is fair to those employers who want to protect their workers and to the workers. They decided they would turn it into some massive, long-term, ever-encompassing liability—just so wrong to work with. That is not what our system is about.

But, nonetheless, I still thought we could try to find a compromise. We couldn't. They were absolute: Just call the Chamber of Commerce. They will tell you why they can't meet the needs of their workers.

Madam Speaker, heading back to the money, nearly \$1 trillion PPP.

\$160 billion—and not even giving the States and localities the flexibility to use the funds for both addressing the COVID needs, the health needs, but also the revenue lost. Even the Repub-

lican Governors wanted that flexibility, but they wouldn't give it to us then, and they wouldn't give it to us now for fear that some blue State Governor or city or mayor or county executive might take advantage of that.

We have never done enough for localities. That is a pitch we have made. That is what we have in the Heroes Act. But there was no market for that on the Republican side of the aisle.

So when we say it is a first step, that is basic. Let us thank God CHUCK SCHUMER was able to dismantle, in part, the Toomey resolution that would tie the hands of a President to meet the needs of the American people and our economy by exercising section 13(3) of the Federal Reserve Board.

Madam Speaker, we got past that, which took a long time. I felt Wednesday night we were finished. This monstrosity reared his head the next morning. Leader SCHUMER effectively was able to fix it—it still should not even have been initiated, but, nonetheless, fix it—in a way that enabled us to go forward. And that is why it has taken this long to get here in these last few days.

Madam Speaker, again, I look forward to NITA LOWEY bringing the legislation to the floor. As the chair of the Committee on Appropriations, this will be her last bill on the floor. In fact, it is the last bill for many of us here, but hers as chair of the Committee on Appropriations. She and her staff—Shalanda and Chris and so many others—and all of our chairs did such a remarkable job, and their staffs deserve so much credit for all of this.

Again, we will do some good with this legislation, but we must recognize that more needs to be done to crush the virus, to put more money in the pockets of the American people—from the American people to the American people—and, again, to fill in the gap that has been purposefully left to honor our heroes with all that.

As we review policy and legislation and negotiation and all that, just always have in our hearts every single one of the people who have died from the coronavirus. It can be stopped. It can be crushed. But that is a decision, it is a decision, and a decision to recognize where it is hurting people the most.

Madam Speaker, I look forward to a strong bipartisan vote today on this legislation, respecting it for what it does, not judging it for what it does not, but recognizing that more needs to be done.

Again, with high praise for all of our chairs, and, again, special recognition of Madam Chair NITA LOWEY for her last bill on the floor, again, I say congratulations to all the staff who worked so hard.

But it all comes back to families who lost their loved ones, those millions and millions of people who were infected, some more seriously than others. We don't know the after-effects it may have, but we do know that we have hope.

We have a vaccine, and we have a President coming into office who believes in science and cares about people and values the work that our first responders and our healthcare workers, et cetera, do for us.

Madam Chair, prayerfully, as you and our chaplain began, prayerfully, I close my remarks, assuring, for whatever it is worth, that many of us who serve in this body and represent the American people have had a death in the family, because so many people have lost their loved ones, whom we have all lost.

CORONAVIRUS RELIEF AID

(Mr. SUOZZI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SUOZZI. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of our bipartisan legislation to both fund the government and for the COVID relief package. As Speaker PELOSI just noted, this bill is far from perfect, but we can't let the perfect be the enemy of the good.

Madam Speaker, today, is the darkest day of the year. It is a fitting symbol of the misery and suffering that so many people are facing in our country right now. The effects of this pandemic have been cruelly uneven.

Some people have remained relatively unscathed. Some people can work remotely, still have their jobs, no one around them has gotten sick or died within their immediate circle, while others are awash in misery: unable to return to work, unable to pay their rent, to pay their utilities; people around them have gotten sick.

People have lost their lifesavings in their small business, and many hundreds of thousands have died, including my 92-year-old father-in-law, who, in April, was diagnosed with the coronavirus and died within 48 hours.

Today's relief package—and that is what it is is relief—will provide much-needed help for millions of Americans.

So while today is the darkest day of the year, every day will get a little brighter, and spring will surely follow our dark winter. But we must remember that, while spring is on its way, there is so much more that needs to be done.

Our State and local governments must have relief from their massive loss of revenues. Without our help, police officers, teachers, and other heroes on the front line will face layoffs.

Madam Speaker, we need to continue today's spirit of bipartisanship.

□ 0930

IN SUPPORT OF COVID RELIEF MEASURE

(Ms. LEE of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. LEE of California. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of this desperately needed omnibus measure.

The COVID-19 pandemic is devastating our communities, especially, disproportionately, African-American, Latinx, Asian-American, and Indigenous people, who are suffering the worst of this pandemic. Eight million people have slipped into poverty since the start of this pandemic, and one in four adults are suffering from hunger during COVID-19.

I am pleased that we were able to come to this agreement.

I want to thank our Speaker, NANCY PELOSI, who has worked day and night for what some of us are calling a survival package. It is a lifeline payment until we can do something and do justice by the American people.

I also want to just thank Chairman PALLONE, Congresswoman DELAURO, Chairwoman NITA LOWEY, and all of our staffs for the amazing work that they have done to put in so many of our priorities on a wide range of issues.

This bill sets aside \$2.8 billion to ensure that minority communities hit hardest by COVID get the testing and vaccine support they need.

I look forward to working with my Tri-Caucus and Native American colleagues to see that this funding is used to engage local community organizations and trusted messengers in the community so people can get the information that they need to keep themselves and their families safe.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. JACKSON LEE) laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 20, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on December 20, 2020, at 12:54 p.m.:

That the Senate agrees to the House amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 52.

That the Senate agrees to the House amendments to the bill S. 2174.

That the Senate passed S. 2353.

That the Senate passed S. 2800.

That the Senate passed S. 4079.

That the Senate passed S. 4222.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 1966.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5023.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 6237.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 8906.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely,

CHERYL L. JOHNSON,
Clerk.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following commu-

nication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 20, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on December 20, 2020, at 2:30 p.m.:

That the Senate agrees to the House amendments to the bill S. 1694.

That the Senate agrees to the House amendment to the bill S. 2683.

That the Senate agrees to the House amendment to the bill S. 3989.

That the Senate passed S. 2204.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5459.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 7898.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely,

CHERYL L. JOHNSON,
Clerk.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 20, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on December 20, 2020, at 9:55 p.m.:

That the Senate agreed to without amendment H.J. Res. 110.

With best wishes, I am,

Sincerely,

GLORIA J. LETT,
Deputy Clerk.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 4 of rule I, the following enrolled joint resolution was signed by the Speaker on Sunday, December 20, 2020:

H.J. Res. 110, making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021, and for other purposes.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 35 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1604

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro

tempore (Ms. JACKSON LEE) at 4 o'clock and 4 minutes p.m.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE SERGEANT AT ARMS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Sergeant at Arms of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE SERGEANT AT ARMS,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 21, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I, Paul D. Irving, have been served with a subpoena for testimony issued by the Queen Anne's County Circuit Court.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is not consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

PAUL D. IRVING,
Sergeant at Arms.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 133, UNITED STATES-MEXICO ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP ACT; PROVIDING FOR DISPOSITION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1520, PURPLE BOOK CONTINUITY ACT OF 2019; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Ms. SHALALA, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 116-679) on the resolution (H. Res. 1271) providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 133) to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico; providing for disposition of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 1520) to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological products, and for other purposes; and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 133, UNITED STATES-MEXICO ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP ACT; PROVIDING FOR DISPOSITION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1520, PURPLE BOOK CONTINUITY ACT OF 2019; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1271 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1271

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 133) to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico, with

the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or her designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116-68. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion. The question of adoption of the motion shall be divided for a separate vote on the matter proposed to be inserted as divisions B, C, E, and F, and the Chair shall first put the question on such portion of the divided question. If either portion of the divided question fails of adoption, then the motion shall immediately be considered to have failed of adoption.

SEC. 2. Upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall be considered to have taken from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1520) to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological products, and for other purposes, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to have concurred in the Senate amendment with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116-69.

SEC. 3. The Clerk shall be authorized to make necessary technical and conforming changes in the engrossment of the House amendments specified in the first two sections of this resolution, to include corrections in spelling, punctuation, section numbering, and cross-references.

SEC. 4. If a veto message is laid before the House on H.R. 6395, then after the message is read and the objections of the President are spread at large upon the Journal, further consideration of the veto message and the bill shall be postponed until the legislative day of Monday, December 28, 2020; and on that legislative day, the House shall proceed to the constitutional question of reconsideration and dispose of such question without intervening motion.

SEC. 5. The chair of the Committee on Appropriations and the chair of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence may insert in the Congressional Record not later than December 28, 2020, such material as they may deem explanatory of the Senate amendment and the motion specified in the first section of this resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. SHALALA) is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. COLE), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, today the Rules Committee met and reported a rule, House Resolution 1271,

providing for a motion to concur with the Senate amendment to H.R. 133 with a House amendment.

The rule provides 1 hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. It provides that the question on adoption of the motion shall be divided for a separate vote on the matter proposed to be inserted as divisions B, C, E, and F.

The rule provides that upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall be considered to have concurred in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1520 with a House amendment. The rule also provides that the chairs of the Committee on Appropriations and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence may insert explanatory materials in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD not later than December 28 and authorizes the Clerk to make technical corrections to the bill.

Finally, the rule allows for consideration of a possible veto message on the conference report to H.R. 6395 on December 28, 2020.

Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of the bill in this rule. This COVID bill has taken far too long. We started these negotiations before the first day of summer in June, the longest day of the year, and here we are now headed into the darkest day of the year, the first day of winter.

I also want to acknowledge the hard work of our Appropriations chair, NITA LOWEY, and Ranking Member GRANGER.

But this didn't have to happen. The pain that has been inflicted on the American people did not come just from the novel virus that has spread like wildfire across this Nation, but also from the elected leaders sent to Washington to help people and to help this great Nation.

Our failure to reach an agreement until today only added fuel to this wildfire. It meant that not only did people get the coronavirus, but when they did, their families often went hungry, their bills went unpaid, and they faced possible eviction or foreclosure.

Madam Speaker, for 8 years, I worked in the Hubert H. Humphrey Building not very far from here. Inscribed in the lobby of that building is a quote from Hubert Humphrey: "The moral test of government is how the government treats those who are in the dawn of life, the children; those who are in the twilight of life, the elderly; and those who are in the shadows of life, the sick, the needy, and the handicapped."

Madam Speaker, we have failed that moral test. We have no right to make children go hungry in this country.

While this bill will certainly bring us closer to passing that moral test, it is too late for too many people, and it will be too little for others. Nonetheless, we should pass this bill immediately.

There are a number of things that I am pleased to see included in this bill: a long-fought and negotiated bipartisan and bicameral compromise to

protect patients by ending surprise billing; multiple-year funding for community health centers, the backbone of our Nation's primary care system for the most vulnerable; and an added boost in weekly unemployment benefits and relief checks for people who have been waiting for months for help.

There will be more than \$300 billion for small businesses and money to help schools, for hospitals, and for vaccine distribution. It will also provide food assistance to hungry children and their families.

This package will be bigger than the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. It is the biggest package we have passed since the CARES Act in March.

□ 1615

But there is still more to do. I hope when the 117th Congress convenes in January, that they will not forget the American people who will still be suffering and will need more. I hope that they will remember the people in my district, a district heavily dependent on travel, on tourism, and the service economy.

Madam Speaker, I hope that the families in my community in Miami, which have been devastated by the last 9 months—and so much more must be done to help them.

But, today, we must pass this bill. It is time to be done with this, and we can wait not a moment longer.

Simply put, with this bill, we are attempting to right our moral compass and fulfill our obligation to those suffering across our country and to help guide us out of this dark winter.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. SHALALA), my good friend, for yielding me the customary 30 minutes.

Madam Speaker, we are here today to consider a rule that provides for consideration of a package of items that are of great importance to the country.

The House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 133 includes not only a bipartisan omnibus appropriations bill covering the remainder of fiscal year 2021, but also a \$900 billion bipartisan pandemic relief package to provide help to millions of Americans who have suffered as a result of the pandemic.

It also includes a bipartisan compromise addressing surprise medical bills, something that has been a priority for both parties.

Finally, it includes a number of end-of-the-year tax and healthcare-related extenders that are critical to those they serve, especially during a pandemic.

While I know that we are all thrilled to be here today to bring these items to the floor, I am frustrated that it has taken this long to reach this deal, and I know the American people share that frustration.

The reality is that we could have had this deal months ago on a pandemic relief package, and we could have had this deal. Individual components could have been passed across the floor in July of this year. Instead, Americans were left to suffer. All the while, jobs were being lost and businesses being closed; all the while, small businesses across the country were forced to make difficult decisions about which employees to keep and which staff to let go; all the while, a deal was available here in Congress that could have extended unemployment insurance and extended the Paycheck Protection Program, a program which Democrats in the House rejected extending 41 times.

These two key backstops could have helped millions of Americans. Instead, Democrats chose to play politics and chose not to take yes for an answer. But now that the election is over and the end of the year is at hand, suddenly there is room for a deal, a very bipartisan deal that was on the table all along and that could have been passed into law months ago.

Madam Speaker, for today, we will look past that. Though this overall bill is massive, I think it is easiest to digest if you consider it in three separate sections:

Section 1 is the bipartisan, bicameral omnibus appropriations bill, which will include our appropriations work for fiscal year 2021. The appropriations process works best when it is bipartisan, and with today's bill, we have accomplished that end.

Once we step past the partisanship, we are able to work together and reach a deal that will fully fund the government for the fiscal year. Our constituents deserve no less.

Madam Speaker, the deal before us covers all 12 spending bills and it ensures that taxpayer dollars will go where they are needed most. In particular, I am pleased that this bill includes key provisions supporting our response to the pandemic, like \$20 billion for coronavirus vaccines, an increase in funding for the National Institutes of Health, and a further \$3 billion to rebuild the Strategic National Stockpile of medical supplies and personal protective equipment. It fully funds our defense needs and ensures a 3 percent pay raise for all military personnel. It continues to fund needed improvements for border security. And, perhaps most importantly, it maintains all pro-life protections, as has been the case in previous years. And the harmful, partisan riders that appeared in Democratic partisan appropriations bills from earlier this year have been removed.

Madam Speaker, I think it is worth noting that this bill came about as a result of regular order. The Committee on Appropriations produced each of the 12 appropriations bills from a regular committee process. Members were given the opportunity to amend, question, and fully examine most of the bills on the floor, and the House and

Senate met together to conference the bills into a final product. This demonstrates how powerful and effective regular order can be.

Madam Speaker, the second piece of this bill is the \$900 billion pandemic relief package. For all of 2020, Americans—and indeed the world—have lived under the specter of this pandemic. It has touched every American's life in some way or another, but many Americans have been hit harder than others.

Millions have caught this disease, and hundreds of thousands have died. Millions more have lost their jobs, and millions have seen their wages or earnings cut. This has been a crisis that touches us on so many levels. A health crisis is bad enough, but adding an economic crisis on top of it makes this year the toughest that many of us can remember.

The coronavirus relief package before us will do several things to ease the burden on all Americans. It will extend the Paycheck Protection Program and will ensure that many small businesses will be able to keep their employees on the payroll. It will extend expanded unemployment insurance, thus providing more funds to unemployed workers to help make them closer to whole. And it will provide economic impact payments of \$600 to almost every American, except those with high incomes.

Though I expect this may not be the last time Congress responds to the COVID pandemic, I hope that in the future the Speaker will embark on a different path of resolution. A relief package like this one may not have been everything that both parties wanted, but a compromise deal that provides real help to American workers is better than no deal at all. And that compromise could have and should have been reached months ago. I hope the majority will remember that going forward.

Madam Speaker, the third section of today's package is a number of miscellaneous bipartisan items, including a bill intended to address the problems of surprise medical billing. This is a problem that is known far too well by many Americans.

You see a doctor or you visit an emergency room, and only learn after the fact that a medical professional you saw during your treatment is outside your insurance network. This can lead to a wildly expensive bill for the patient, who may not have had any choice in the matter.

The bipartisan bill before us today will help solve this problem. It will ensure that the patients will only be responsible for covering the portion not covered by their health insurance at in-network rates when they don't have the ability to choose an in-network doctor, like in emergency situations. The bill also will make pricing more open and transparent and will require insurers to notify patients in advance if they are going to receive out-of-network care.

These reforms will give patients and consumers more choice and will make

sure that they are not subjected to massive, surprise bills, ones that patients frequently have not planned for.

Madam Speaker, I applaud my friends on the Committee on Ways and Means, the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the Committee on Education and Labor for coming to this important compromise, and I look forward to supporting its passage in law.

Madam Speaker, finally, I take a moment to acknowledge my very dear friend, Representative DONNA SHALALA, who is managing her final rule on the floor today. I have always enjoyed my interactions with my friend and it has always been a pleasure serving with her on the Committee on Rules. She has been a helpful ally when we have agreed and a worthy opponent when we have not.

Much more importantly, she has always been, first and foremost, a public servant. She was a public servant before she arrived in Congress. I have no doubt she will continue to be a public servant when she leaves. We have all benefitted from her leadership at the Department of Health and Human Services during the Clinton years, from her many years as a leader in educational institutions, from her tireless participations on countless boards and commissions and committees, all of which were rendering important service to our country.

Madam Speaker, I am going to miss my friend on the Committee on Rules. This Congress is going to miss her, but I know the country is going to continue to have the benefit of her splendid service and insight.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I thank my distinguished colleague for his very kind words. I will say that I have enjoyed working with him. I have deep respect for his leadership in this Congress.

Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN), the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Rules.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding to me.

Madam Speaker, across America, people are dealing with a loss of a job, a business, or even a loved one. People are hurting, and they are hurting badly not only from this pandemic, but also from the incompetence, the callousness, and the negligence of the current occupant of the White House.

When I talk about people hurting, I don't mean big corporations or Fortune 500 companies. I am talking about our workers, our middle class, those on the edge of the middle class, and those struggling in poverty. That is where our focus should be. And thanks to the bipartisan efforts of Members on both sides of the Capitol, we are acting right now—not next year or during the next administration, as some have suggested—but right now.

This deal is not everything I want—not by a long shot—but the choice before us is simple. It is about whether

we help families or not. It is about whether we help small businesses and restaurants or not. It is about whether we boost SNAP benefits and strengthen antihunger programs or not. And it is about whether we help those dealing with a job loss or not.

To me, this is not a tough call. We need to pass this, and then we need to prepare to build on it in the next Congress, hopefully, in a bipartisan fashion and with an administration that actually gives a damn about the American people.

Madam Speaker, before I conclude, I also join the gentleman from Oklahoma in recognizing the service of Congresswoman SHALALA. Over the years, she has had many titles: Doctor, treasurer, college president, chancellor, assistant secretary, secretary, congresswoman—and I could go on.

Often, DONNA has done it first: She was among the very first Peace Corps volunteers. She was the first woman to lead a Big 10 Conference college. She was only the second woman to lead a major research university. And DONNA was the first Lebanese American to serve in a President's Cabinet.

Madam Speaker, there is a saying in Arabic, which in English is: "Do good and throw it into the sea."

It means this: The reward is doing good. Not the praise or recognition. Just simply an act of doing good.

And DONNA SHALALA does good, period. I know she will continue to do good.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Arizona (Mrs. LESKO), my good friend and distinguished colleague on the Committee on Rules.

Mrs. LESKO. Madam Speaker, I am glad that we finally have a bipartisan deal for COVID relief, but the process has been absolutely terrible.

I have to tell you that we have, what, 6,000 pages? And what did we get?

We got it, like, maybe 2 hours ago, the text of it. We were waiting all night.

In fact, Speaker PELOSI had called Members back last week. We thought we were going to be doing this last Wednesday. Instead, we were doing all these suspension bills. People had to fly from all over the country—all the Congress Members—in crowded airports, crowded planes; not really good for mitigating COVID, if you ask me.

Madam Speaker, I will say, though, that I am glad that, finally, after months and months of Republicans asking for a bipartisan COVID relief bill that could actually get signed into law, we are actually here today, even if it is a couple days before Christmas. And it is a bill that will help small businesses and their workers, will help the airline industry, will be a solution to Americans who are struggling with surprise medical bills.

□ 1630

For those who are unemployed because of the coronavirus, because the governments have shut down the businesses, it will give unemployment insurance relief. But there will be protections in there to make sure that the people are really unemployed and that they will accept a reasonable offer for a job.

Also good in the bill is that there is not only money for vaccine procurement but for distribution of the vaccines.

On that note, I want to applaud President Trump for his action in Operation Warp Speed and for working with the private sector to get vaccines done and out the door in record time. This has never been done before in history. Normally, it takes years and years for vaccines to be done.

Thank you, President Trump, for your hard work for the American people.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, first, let me thank Chairman MCGOVERN for his very kind words. I have enjoyed serving under his leadership.

Let me say to my colleague, Mrs. LESKO, years of bipartisan investments in NIH have, in fact, led to Operation Warp Speed. Decades of investments in training the world's best scientists have led to a very fast-paced development of a vaccine. So, it is not just the present President; it is Presidents before that who believed that we should have world-class science in this country.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GREEN).

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Madam Speaker, this bill will not end all the suffering. However, it will ease the pain for many who are suffering, with \$25 billion for eviction prevention, \$13 billion for food in the form of SNAP, and \$600 cash in pocket. It won't end all the suffering, but it will ease much of the pain.

For this, I thank Chairman MCGOVERN, Mr. COLE, and Ms. SHALALA. Of course, I am always honored to serve in the Congress of the United States of America under the leadership of the Honorable MAXINE WATERS, and I thank her for her \$25 billion in rental assistance.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT), my very good friend and the distinguished ranking Republican member of the Small Business Committee.

Mr. CHABOT. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Oklahoma for his leadership on this issue and so many other issues over the years.

Madam Speaker, for months now, our Nation's entrepreneurs and small business owners have been pleading for additional help from Congress. I have been hearing this from small businesses back in my district in the great-

er Cincinnati area. I know that my colleagues on both sides of the aisle have been hearing the same thing from their constituents.

While I am relieved that Congress is finally acting on those cries for help, I am dismayed that it has taken this long. I introduced a bill back in September, 3 months ago, that could have been brought to the floor and helped those small businesses that so desperately needed that help back then.

That bill included many of the same provisions that we are voting on today. Instead, this House, under Democratic control, considered bills about marijuana and online conspiracy theories, for example. It is an embarrassment that it has taken this long because every moment of delay put more small businesses at risk of permanent closure.

These small businesses, restaurants, shops, and manufacturers employ nearly half of this country's workers and form the backbone of communities all across the country. Fortunately, the provisions in today's relief package will bring critical assistance to these workers and their families.

The Paycheck Protection Program, which has supported over 50 million employees, will be reopened for new and second-time applicants. Funds will be reserved for very small businesses and community lenders. The list of eligible expenses will be expanded so that small business owners can purchase PPE, for example, to keep employees and their loved ones safe.

There are many more details in the package, too many to go into here. But the bottom line is this: These provisions will bring meaningful help to millions of Americans.

Just in my district in Cincinnati and the surrounding area, a PPP loan helped keep 140 employees on the payroll at the Silver Spring House restaurant. A PPP loan enabled HomeWell Care Services, an assisted living facility, to continue their important work for our community's elderly population. And the folks at Neyer Plumbing used PPP funding to carry on as an essential business.

These are real people with real families to support and bills to pay. That is why it is so important that we pass this package without additional delay.

Small businesses and their employees are tough; they are resilient. Across the country, we hear stories about small businesses giving back to their communities, even when they are struggling themselves.

It is time for Congress to meet the moment and get further help in the hands of working Americans. As a matter of fact, it is far overdue.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this long-overdue legislation.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. PANETTA).

Mr. PANETTA. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the COVID temporary

relief package in this rule. We did it before. We must do it again.

Our past COVID legislation kept the economy afloat and our poverty rate flat. However, as Democrats passed more bills, the Senate and the President put a pause on those efforts.

Now, the pandemic is raging, hospitals are bursting, and our economy is hurting. That is why we must play our part with Federal funding to combat this disease and carry on through the locally mandated shutdowns.

This relief package will allow us to avoid calamity and aid our recovery by providing small businesses with PPP, laid-off employees with unemployment insurance, families with checks, farmworkers with PPE, renters with direct relief, and the ailing with the HOS-PICE Act.

To help State and local governments, there is funding for broadband, food, healthcare, education, and transportation.

Look, this package should have been bigger. It should have been done quicker. It is not entirely what we want. But it is what we need to be that bridge to a vaccine-fueled revival in 2021. If we do that, we will further the faith in what we do here in Congress for the future of our democracy.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS), my very good friend and a distinguished member of the Energy and Commerce Committee and the Rules Committee.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, hearing some of the earlier discussion, just for a data point, this is December 21, the longest night of the year, as they say.

On August 21, the former Vice President, in accepting the Democratic Party nomination for President, in his acceptance speech, said: Look, let's be clear. No miracle is coming.

Well, here we are, 4 months later, with not one but two vaccines, each in excess of 90 percent effective; absolutely phenomenal.

As another data point, in August 2014, the Ebola crisis was raging in Western Africa. A vaccine was out of phase 1 trials. It took 5½ years to deliver that vaccine. This one was delivered in 10 months. It is absolutely incredible.

Look, in the bill before us today, there are some high points, and there are some low points. A couple of things I do want to point out.

The Independence at Home Act that I introduced with Congresswoman DINGELL several years ago was continued and expanded.

One of the biggest deals for me is coverage for immunosuppressive drugs after a renal transplant. I have been working on this for 10 years. Now, we have provided additional protection for people who are recipients of renal transplants so that they can continue to get their immunosuppressive drugs.

Some flexibility has been provided in the bill to allow for value-based care.

The alternative payment model threshold is frozen for 2 years. That is important.

Project ECHO, which allows for primary care doctors in remote areas to be able to consult with specialists, has been continued. That is a big deal.

The out-of-network billing has been tough, and it has been tough in a year that has been tough on our Nation's frontline healthcare personnel. The out-of-network billing language has improved dramatically over the last 18 months. I cannot say that it is perfect, but some of the more recent improvements, such as the prevention of putting payment data from public payers in the independent dispute resolution process, are pretty big wins for our physicians.

I will also add that it is an unusual time that they should have to be facing additional cuts when our doctors have been on the front lines of providing care for America's patients during this pandemic. Faced with out-of-network payment reductions from the insurance companies and with reductions through the evaluation and management codes, the E and M codes, it is a funny way to go about rewarding those that we would refer to as our Nation's heroes.

Still, Mr. Speaker, the American people do need the relief that is provided in this coronavirus package.

Ms. SHALALA. I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE).

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, help is on the way. Help cannot come faster. Help is desperately needed.

Seventeen million Americans are infected by COVID-19. Almost 320,000 are dead, and the numbers keep growing. Hospital beds are overutilized. Cities across America are getting refrigerated trucks. Help is on the way.

People are being evicted, and this legislation helps us stop the evictions. It provides for cash disbursements, up to \$2,400 for a family of four and \$300 extra in weekly unemployment payments.

For hungry families, 54 million hungry families in America, including our young military personnel, help is on the way.

This bill is a bill we wrote months ago. It is downsized, but it is the very language that we have written. So we know, in the new year, we will come back again to save lives.

Let's get this done in unity. We know how it was blocked. We know the other House did not move forward. We know we did not get leadership on this bill.

But as I close, let me thank Secretary Shalala, Congresswoman SHALALA, for what she brought to this Congress, what insight, what specialness, and what kindness.

We wish you the very best, and I look forward to working with you in the future.

Mr. Speaker, help is on the way.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support for the Rule that the House is debating to provide for the consideration of the Fiscal Year

appropriations for the federal government and urgently needed COVID-19 relief funding.

Chairman MCGOVERN, and Ranking Member COLE, thank you for your work to bring this Rule before the House so that Members can debate and vote for urgently needed aid to communities across the nation and the funding needed to keep the federal government operational through the remainder of Fiscal Year 2021.

As a senior member of the Committees on the Judiciary, on Homeland Security, and on the Budget, and the representative of the 18th Congressional District of Texas, an epicenter for COVID-19 infections, I recognize the urgency of providing assistance now, but I also recognize that the assistance is insufficient to the needs of our state and local governments, our hospitals, or the people of our great nation.

I support this rule that will facilitate passage of this interim package, while also saying that more is needed.

Before the Rules Committee also was the omnibus appropriations bill providing funding to continue the operations of the federal government through September 30, 2021, thus avoiding a wasteful and irresponsible shutdown.

I am relieved that at long last, agreement has been reached between the bicameral leadership to provide needed and long overdue relief to the tens of millions of Americans whose lives and livelihoods have been devastated by the COVID-19 pandemic.

I am grateful that efficacious vaccines have been discovered and are on their way to providing much needed protection and relief, but this is just a down payment on the relief and support needed for our country to recover from the pandemic and build back better.

Over the course of the 116th Congress the House Rules Committee has done its job and provided expert guidance on the progress of nearly 600 bills that have passed the House and gone to the Senate.

These bills included legislation to lower health care and prescription drug prices, raise wages, advance economic and retirement security, end gun violence, act on the climate crisis, protect Dreamers, and strengthen voting rights.

For example, in this Congress the House has passed and sent to the Senate the following major legislative bills:

H.R. 1, For the People Act;
H.R. 2, Moving Forward Act;
H.R. 3, The Elijah E. Cummings Lower Drug Costs Now Act;
H.R. 4, Voting Rights Advancement Act;
H.R. 5, Equality Act;
H.R. 6, The American Dream & Promise Act;
H.R. 7, Paycheck Fairness Act;
H.R. 8, Bipartisan Background Checks Act;
H.R. 9, Climate Action Now Act;
H.R. 582, Raise the Wage Act;
H.R. 1425, Patient Protection and Affordable Care Enhancement Act;
H.R. 1585, Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act; and
H.R. 7120, George Floyd Justice in Policing Act.

Also among the bills passed by the House was H.R. 3710, the Cybersecurity Vulnerability Remediation Act, legislation that increases the responsibilities of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) with respect to cybersecurity vulnerabilities.

The National Cybersecurity and Communications Integration Center of DHS under this bill is directed to disseminate protocols to counter cybersecurity vulnerabilities, including in circumstances in which such vulnerabilities exist because software or hardware is no longer supported by a vendor.

This bill would also provide the Science and Technology Directorate the flexibility to establish a competition to develop remedies for cybersecurity vulnerabilities.

Today, our nation is still attempting to understand the scope and breath of the latest Russia sourced attack on federal government cyber assets.

In this moment we must address the crisis created by COVID-19, and the Cybersecurity threat that is ongoing.

There are consequences for the Senate's failure to take up House bills once they are received in the Senate.

In truth the Republican-controlled Senate has been missing in action for much of the 116th Congress.

Whether it is the urgent need to fortify our election systems from confirmed foreign interference, notably from Vladimir Putin's Russia, or lower prescription drugs prices and expanding and protecting the right of all Americans to affordable, accessible, high quality health care, fixing our broken immigration system, or hold a wayward Executive to account, the other body has failed the American people in its basic duty to promote the general welfare and provide for the common defense.

The consequences of its complete disregard for the work of the House is now more apparent than ever and is illustrated perfectly by the Senate's failure to take up and vote on the HEROES Act passed by the House in March of this year to address the devastating budgetary and fiscal impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic which the President exacerbated by his incompetent response to the crisis.

The numbers are heartbreaking.

My thoughts and prayers are with the over 315,000 families who have lost loved ones and the tens of thousand who are sick, and the many others who will struggle with the effects of COVID-19 over the coming days, weeks, months, and years.

We owe a special debt to first responders who are the lifelines for those who are very ill or who will need medical care to overcome this coronavirus.

The need to begin work on the next aid package is evident in the economic impact of COVID-19.

Congress and the Executive Branch must be able to manage more than one crisis at a time, while avoiding crisis management decision making when possible.

The Obama Administration exemplified what a President and a Congress working in concert can accomplish.

The first two years of Obama's Administration had Democrats in charge of the House and Senate, which made it possible for the Legislative and Executive Branches to work in concert to attack and repel the economic disaster the nation faced from the housing value collapse; and addressing health care disparities by passing the Affordable Care Act both of which saved millions from economic disaster and saved tens of thousands of lives.

The Obama Administration had a flu pandemic in 2009 and Ebola that threatened to become endemic in 2013.

Both of these global health emergencies were expertly managed and because of this most Americans cannot recall the threat.

President Obama had to deal with an ecological disaster in the form of the Deep Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico that threatened the environment and delicate hatcheries for sea turtles and the tourism industry long the coast.

Both the turtles and tourism were saved, and as with the flu pandemic and Ebola crisis most Americans cannot recall the incident, because their lives were not overly disrupted and the problems were addressed effectively.

That is the sign of a good president because he kept American safe.

When President Obama left office on January 20, 2017, unemployment was at 4.7 percent; but today, due to the mismanagement of the COVID-19 pandemic by President Trump and Republicans, unemployment skyrocketed to 8.4 percent and currently stands at 6.7 percent.

When Trump took office in January 2017, there were 241,000 initial unemployment insurance (UI) claims for the week ending January 28, 2017 and at the beginning of the 116th Congress in January 2019, there were 236,000 initial UI claims.

Today, due to the mismanagement of the COVID-19 pandemic by President Trump and Republicans, there were 885,000 initial UI claims for the week ending December 12, 2020.

Approximately 30 million Americans have lost the job they had earlier this year because of this Administration's ineptitude and cavalier regard for the well-being of the American people.

During President Obama's last full year in office in 2016, the national debt was \$20.02 trillion but due to the misguided economic policies and utter mismanagement of the COVID-19 pandemic, the national debt has increased by \$6.7 trillion and is projected to reach an all-time high of \$29.6 trillion by the end of the FY2021 fiscal year.

The annual deficit had been cut to \$585 billion (3.2 percent of GDP) in the last year of the Obama Administration but under the mismanagement of the current Administration, we have seen the deficit balloon nearly seven-fold to \$3.1 trillion or 15.2 percent GDP, the largest since 1945 relative to the size of the economy.

Continuing this Administration's unbroken chain of woe, in 2019, after repeated attempts by Republicans to undermine and sabotage the Affordable Care Act, there were 33.2 million uninsured Americans, 5 million more than when President Obama left office.

It has been estimated by reputable experts that from February 2020 through May 2020 alone, an estimated 5.4 million Americans became uninsured because of unprecedented job loss caused by the Republican mismanagement of this pandemic.

Given the wreckage to the economy and the damage to the lives and livelihoods of the American people, it is unconscionable that this Administration is pursuing a lawsuit to strike down the Affordable Care Act, which would take health care coverage away from 20 million Americans and take away protections for 132 million persons who have pre-existing conditions.

I strongly support this legislation and urge members of the Rules Committee to join me

by voting for a rule to bring the bill to the floor today.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON), my very good friend.

Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota. Mr. Speaker, I think it was Winston Churchill who said you can always count on Americans to do the right thing after they have exhausted all other options.

You know, Congress looks that way to me from time to time. This is not the process or the timeline or the structure I have argued for. This COVID-19 package doesn't have all the provisions that I have asked for. It is clearly not a perfect bill.

Yet, it is the targeted and focused relief that our country needs. It follows the framework that a bipartisan group of eight Senators and eight House Members, including myself, unveiled a few weeks ago.

We knew then that it was not a perfect bill, but we knew it was the way forward. I am proud of the role that I have played and that the Problem Solvers Caucus has played in breaking this gridlock. I am proud of the role that we have played in making sure that our economy and our schools can stay open and that our testing and our vaccine deployment can be ramped up.

Madam Speaker, this has been a messy week, but this is a good day for America.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, thank you for your kind words.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. CROW).

□ 1645

Mr. CROW. Madam Speaker, I grew up knowing what it felt like to struggle financially, that fear and anxiety of not knowing where your next rent check would come from, working a shift at Arby's or a 14-hour day on the construction site and still not making ends meet. That is why I am voting for this bill.

As imperfect as it is—and there are plenty of things that are not in this bill that should be—it will provide immediate relief for millions of families and small businesses that simply can't wait any longer, including those that are left out of prior rounds of relief.

For example, my district is one of the most diverse districts in the Nation and home to thousands of refugees and immigrants. That is why I have been fighting hard to get payments to mixed-status ITIN families in this bill. We have been successful in doing that.

Madam Speaker, I look forward to working with the Biden administration to provide more relief in the coming months so that we can tackle this crisis together.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. BARR).

Mr. BARR. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of long-delayed legislation to deliver more resources to the

American people to defeat the COVID-19 virus and provide much-needed relief from government-imposed lockdowns of the economy.

Ultimately, no amount of government spending can substitute for a fully functioning, open economy free of government lockdowns. But I am confident this relief package, which provides over \$284 billion to reload and strengthen the Paycheck Protection Program, will help bridge the gap until COVID-19 vaccines are widely distributed. It does so in a fiscally responsible way by rescinding and reallocating \$429 billion in Federal Reserve emergency lending authority, and it repurposes unused PPP funds.

Importantly, this bill provides effective, targeted relief, with a net price tag of approximately \$325 billion in new outlays. It does not include the liberal wish list that was the centerpiece of the Speaker's originally \$3.4 trillion Heroes Act, a fiscally reckless proposal that needlessly delayed this reasonable bipartisan compromise.

For months, I have called on Congress and the administration to simplify the PPP forgiveness application for small businesses to ease their burdens and ensure lender participation. Today, that goal becomes a reality.

I also support the bill's extension of troubled debt restructuring relief, which allows lenders to work with their borrowers to modify loans. And I strongly support its provision to ensure small businesses can deduct expenses covered by PPP loans.

While it has taken far too long to get to this point, the bill we are passing today will bring much-needed help to American workers, families, and small businesses, without putting more massive amounts of debt on the American people.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this bill.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON).

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation.

To be clear, this package is nowhere near enough. House Democrats passed three times more relief in May; our Republican colleagues did nothing. We passed more than double this 2 months ago; our Republican colleagues did nothing.

This package is not commensurate to the magnitude of the crisis we face. We need to do more, including vital aid to State and local governments and help for our restaurant industry, but this is a start.

This legislation includes important funding for vaccine distribution, schools, small businesses, and a desperately needed extension of unemployment insurance.

I am pleased that this legislation also extends clean energy tax credits, provides disaster tax assistance, helps prevent tragic flame jetting accidents, and provides help for the hardworking

men and women in my district and across this country.

Madam Speaker, this is not enough, but it is a start. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes."

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I just want to quickly respond to my friend from California. It is just, frankly, not accurate to say Republicans did nothing.

The reality is Democrats in the Senate did nothing. They were offered a bill about the size of this bill. Actually, it was a little bit larger—as I recall, it was about a trillion dollars—than this bill, and they wouldn't allow it to come to the floor to be heard.

This deal has been on the table since July. My friends chose to hold it up, for whatever reason—be it political or in hopes of getting a better deal—and they prolonged the suffering of the American people, and I regret that.

But we are here today. We have worked together. We have got all the appropriations bills done. I am proud of that. We have got a good relief package here. I am proud of that. We have taken care of some important issues.

I see my friend, the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means. He had a lot to do with that, on surprise medical billing. That is a bipartisan accomplishment and achievement.

So let's build on the things that we have and see what we need to do in the next Congress.

But, again, for one side to point fingers at the other here is just simply, in my view, not appropriate.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL), the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means.

Mr. NEAL. Madam Speaker, let me proceed with acknowledging that this legislation had many crucial elements that were developed and constructed by the Committee on Ways and Means on a bipartisan basis.

We expanded on unemployment insurance. We provided an additional supplement of \$300 a week. We expanded the retention tax credit, which was very important. We maintained and expanded the EITC and the child credit.

Madam Speaker, I want to thank DONNA SHALALA, because perhaps one of the most important elements in this successful piece of legislation was addressing surprise medical billing. She supported my position on this from day one, and she couldn't have been more earnest. She has been a terrific Member of this House.

We provide direct payments. We provide assistance in terms of tax extenders. We add a really good job on the renewable tax credits as well, and we expand eligibility for a round of checks to include people in mixed-status families.

Many of these pieces of legislation people said couldn't happen and, indeed, they did.

We addressed those who are most vulnerable.

And, the truth is, we also give Joe Biden a chance after January 20, because of the duration of this legislation, to provide what we all know is going to be a plan for additional assistance to members of the American family.

Madam Speaker, there are 20 million Americans collecting unemployment insurance right now in America. For people at the lower end of the economic spectrum, this has been cruel and callous. We need to come to their assistance.

People with white-collar jobs, they have held on and their unemployment rate is significantly less. But we know how stubborn this problem is, and until we defeat the virus, it is hard to see how we get to a full economic recovery.

I hope that the argument will not be, once Mr. Biden takes the oath, that we need to proceed to austerity. What we do in this legislation with these checks is we provide additional liquidity, which then provides additional demand for people at the lower end of the economic spectrum.

This is a very good piece of legislation. I am very proud of what the Committee on Ways and Means did to help get us to this day.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. ESPAILLAT).

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the rule and the underlying COVID relief package:

Another \$284 billion for PPP loans for small businesses that have been hurting for so long, particularly restaurants that are struggling. Finally, Main Street will get some help. We bailed out Wall Street a long time ago and all the big guys; now we have got to bail out Main Street.

\$20 billion in EIDL grants for small businesses; another \$600 in stimulus checks for individuals and children. It should have been \$1,200, but we will take the \$600 now, and we will be back after January 20.

\$300 for unemployment benefits. It should have been a little bit more. You can't live on \$300.

\$13 billion for SNAP. People are starving, the lines are longer, made up of families and children.

\$14 billion for public transit, in addition to \$4 billion for the MTA. The MTA has a \$12 billion gap. We will be back for the rest of that money.

\$68 billion for purchasing vaccines, and \$20 billion for distributing them.

Broadband.

And, finally, Madam Speaker, \$4 billion for Gavi, an international vaccine distribution alliance, because it is not an epidemic; it is a pandemic.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. JACKSON LEE). The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. ESPAILLAT. We will not be free of COVID unless the rest of the world is.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. CLARK).

Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts. Madam Speaker, House Democrats took the necessary action to defeat this virus and restore the economy in May, but MITCH MCCONNELL said let's hit the pause button. So Americans have waited 7 long months for the GOP to take action to end their suffering.

As over 300,000 Americans lost their lives, they said more testing was not necessary.

As veterans and children waited in lines at food banks, they proposed a tax credit for business lunches.

As 8 million more Americans fell into poverty, they proposed cutting unemployment benefits.

As one out of four women have lost their jobs and have left the workforce, they fought to limit paid leave and access to childcare.

As 40 million Americans teeter on the verge of homelessness, they fought to shield corporations from negligence and deny workers basic safety protections.

This bill is too little too late, but it is a lifesaving bridge to a better time and a new President who will focus on restoring Americans' jobs and their health.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I beg to differ with my good friend from Massachusetts. It wasn't Republicans who did nothing; it was Democrats who did nothing.

The Senate actually offered a trillion-dollar package in July. We are here approving a \$900 billion package today.

The President of the United States offered a \$1.8 trillion package at one point. We are approving a \$900 billion package today.

Now, we may do more later, but the reality is my friends drug this out all summer long. We could have had a package very similar to what we had many months ago. That would have helped unemployed Americans. It would have helped Americans with small businesses. It would have reassured the economy. My friends chose not to do that.

I am glad, since the election, they have decided to bargain in good faith. We have bargained in good faith. We have what we think is a good product in front of us. But if we want to write history, we have a considerably different version of it than has been portrayed on the floor here today, put in front of the American people.

Madam Speaker, I also just want to add for the record that, while we are busy bashing the President, I haven't heard too many people thank the President of the United States for Operation Warp Speed, unprecedented triumphs to actually deliver a vaccine in less than a year. All the critics said, not possible. The President deserves

credit for that, and so does his administration.

That is something that all of us, regardless of party and partisanship, should be proud of; and, frankly, it is something we owe the President a great deal of gratitude for.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, let me remind my distinguished colleague from Oklahoma that we sent the Heroes Act in May.

And while I agree that the administration should get some credit for Operation Warp Speed, as I have pointed out, it has been decades of investment in the science that led to Operation Warp Speed.

Many of us would have appreciated, and we would have saved lives, if we had made the same kind of investments in testing so that we had an instant test, and we would have ended up saving lives.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GOTTHEIMER).

Mr. GOTTHEIMER. Madam Speaker, they said it couldn't be done, that Democrats and Republicans could actually come together, not only in the House, but in the Senate, too, and pass a COVID-19 emergency relief package. But, finally, after 9 months, it is about to happen. We are about to cross the finish line. This is as close to a Christmas miracle as you can find in a normally polarized Washington.

□ 1700

With the virus raging across the Nation, the 50-Member strong bipartisan Problem Solvers Caucus put country over party and helped craft a package that will help put food on the table, distribute vaccines to our communities, save our struggling small businesses, put money in the pockets of American families, and help keep families in their homes.

This emergency relief package wouldn't have happened without the weeks of hard work from the Problem Solvers Caucus and a bipartisan group of Senators who put country ahead of party to help our families, small businesses, and communities. This was truly a model for how we should govern in Washington.

This marks a critical downpayment in our ongoing fight against COVID. If you look in New Jersey, where 1 in 500 have lost their lives, and 30 percent of small businesses are out, we clearly have more work to do.

Madam Speaker, I urge support for this bipartisan, bicameral legislation, which is a critical step forward.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to agree with the distinguished gentlewoman from Florida, my good friend, about the investments in NIH. I want to remind her that it was Newt Gingrich and John Porter who doubled the NIH during the Clinton years, who actually put more money in

there than the administration requested.

I will also remind my good friend that when I was chairman, we put more money in than the Obama administration requested; and we continued to do more money than the Trump administration requested, which actually requested some cuts.

So the reality is that Congress—on a bipartisan basis, I must say, because I had the support of my ranking member, now our distinguished chairwoman of the Labor, Health, and Human Services Subcommittee, ROSA DELAUNO, in those decisions—Congress, but particularly Republicans, have made those investments over the years.

And I think the country—I agree with my friend—has reaped enormous benefits from that. I hope we continue down that road in the next Congress with the next administration. I am looking forward to that possibility. But I simply wanted to let the record reflect what the contribution from our side of the aisle has been in that regard.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I don't disagree with my colleague, but it was bipartisan, and I think that is the important thing about those investments over the years.

My point was it was those investments that made it possible for Operation Warp Speed. I never suggested that it was one party versus another that made that bipartisan investment. It has been decades of bipartisan investments that have made a difference.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY).

Mrs. BEATTY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Florida for yielding.

I rise today to support this legislation because my constituents desperately need the unemployment benefits, the stimulus checks, the food assistance, the eviction moratoriums, the small business Minority Development Institution funds and the CDFI assistance funds, and other protections in this bill.

Seven months after the House passed the Heroes Act, Republicans have finally relented to allow more support to the American people who are struggling through the three pandemics: COVID-19, economic hardships, and social injustices.

So let me be clear: The GOP has had a knee on Americans' necks by holding this bill up, and it still falls short of what we need to get through this crisis.

But I will continue to stand up for my constituents of the Third Congressional District and for people across this Nation and my colleagues to work with the Biden administration to get people the support they need to build back better.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to remind my friends who engage in revisionist history, we haven't

held anything up. The Senate put a \$1 trillion offer on the table back in July. My friends didn't think that was good enough. The President put a \$1.8 trillion deal on the table. They didn't think that was good enough.

We are here now with a bipartisan, good bill. We may need to do more later. We will see. But the reality is our friends held unrealistic positions with a lot of policy riders on them that they knew were never going to be accepted, and they did that throughout the election season.

Fine. The election is over. All of a sudden we are back and we are able to compromise after the election. It would have been better for the American people had we done that back in July. My friends chose a different path, but I am glad they have changed their mind since the election. I am glad we have something in front of us that is genuinely bipartisan. We will pass it on a bipartisan basis today.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. AGUILAR).

Mr. AGUILAR. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

The agreement before us today recognizes that to get our economy moving again, to return to something that feels like normalcy, we must get this virus under control.

In this agreement, Democrats secured billions to rapidly distribute a free and safe vaccine and to invest in nationwide testing and tracing measures that will save lives.

It isn't perfect, and more action is required to address the challenges that Americans are facing, but it is everything we can do right now with this Senate and this President.

With this bill, we have averted a sudden end to unemployment benefits that millions would have faced and added \$300 per week to the benefit.

We funded a new round of survival checks and emergency rental assistance, included an eviction moratorium, and boosted SNAP and child nutrition benefits.

And for the small businesses struggling to keep the lights on, this bill provides billions in economic assistance to forgivable loans.

Across this country, people in communities like mine are hurting. Frankly, they have been hurting for far too long.

We are going to work with the Biden-Harris administration to build on this progress and deliver for the American people, and I am confident that brighter days are ahead.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, I begin by saying what a privilege it has been to serve with my good friend from Florida these last 2 years, not only in Congress, but most especially on the Rules Committee. And what a particular pleasure it is for me to have the opportunity to

manage and work with her on her very last rule on the floor.

In closing, Madam Speaker, I thank all my colleagues for their consideration of this bipartisan package. Though it has taken us a long time to get to this particular point, it is a real bipartisan compromise. And I think, at the end of the day, it will pass with a substantial bipartisan vote and it will benefit every American.

The package today will fully fund the government through September 30th of 2021, and will ensure that taxpayer dollars are spent where they are needed most. I think that is an important point because, lost in all of this, the appropriations process has actually done what it was supposed to do.

I compliment my friends on the Appropriations Committee; particularly our distinguished chair, Mrs. LOWEY, and our distinguished ranking member, Ms. GRANGER. They brought all 12 bills through the committee. They got a full committee process. They were reported out of committee. Ten of those bills came to the floor. On the floor, those bills got full consideration. We sat and bargained with our friends in the United States Senate, and now I have brought these bills back. That is the way Congress ought to work. I am proud the Appropriations Committee worked that way.

We also will be taking up in this particular bill a pandemic relief bill, ensuring extensions for programs like unemployment insurance and the Paycheck Protection Program, which will protect millions of Americans who have lost their jobs and lost income as a result of this pandemic.

The package is a very important package. And we have some disagreements on it. We have had some back and forth on it in the last several months, but still, at the end of the day, we have come together, we have done something important, and I think we have set the stage for perhaps something later in the next Congress. We will see. But this is an important relief measure for the American people, and I certainly urge all my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support it.

Finally, the package also includes a bipartisan compromise on surprise medical billing and a number of issues to provide targeted tax relief to people who have suffered through the coronavirus crisis and particular industries. I think that is appropriate. I thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle and multiple committees that have been part of that particular compromise.

Madam Speaker, it is often said on this floor that it is not a perfect bill, but a \$900 billion relief package that is badly needed to the American people close to Christmas Eve is something that every Member on this floor ought to be proud of, and I hope every Member in this Chamber will see fit to support.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the rule and,

most importantly, to vote "yes" on the underlying measure.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I thank my colleague, Mr. COLE, for his generous remarks. I agree with him, this is a bipartisan bill that is not perfect. I don't think anyone in this Chamber believes that it is perfect.

Madam Speaker, the time to pass this bill was yesterday. It was 6 months ago. We pray that most Americans will be able to get a vaccine to stop this killer. But it is not just Americans. To be safe, people around the world must get the vaccine.

There will be a lot of darkness before enough Americans and people around the world have the vaccine to bring our lives back to normal. Before that time, the government must help.

By the way, it is not our money. It is the people in our communities who have been paying taxes for years. We are taking the resources back to them. We have to help the unemployed, the shuttered small businesses, the strained hospitals, and, most importantly, the hungry child.

Madam Speaker, I strongly urge a "yes" vote on the rule and the previous question.

Mr. HALL. Madam Speaker, I rise today during a critical time in the history of the nation.

Since the beginning of the pandemic, 315,000 Americans have died, as a result of the Administration's mismanagement of the federal response to COVID-19.

It has especially impacted the African American community and other communities of color.

Millions of jobs have been lost, countless small businesses have closed, and many others hospitalized.

For the sake of controlling the virus we have all quarantined, as we eagerly await a vaccine.

It is against this backdrop that we fashion a relief bill.

Scripture teaches that which you do for the least of these you do for Him.

I am proud to fight for the least of these during these critical times and support this bipartisan solution for COVID and the budget.

I want to commend all leaders who helped make this deal possible, especially Speaker NANCY PELOSI, Leader STENY HOYER and Democratic Caucus Chairman HAKEEM JEFFRIES.

This bill is critical as it helps all those impacted by COVID, especially the black people and other communities of color that have been disproportionately impacted by this pandemic.

I am proud to support this bill, which provides \$900 billion for COVID relief.

This is important as the agreement outlined provides another round of \$600 in economic stimulus checks and another \$300 per-week in unemployment benefits, and it supports small businesses, which are the engines of our economy.

It also provides funds to support local school districts and provides much-needed funds for coronavirus testing and vaccine distribution.

I am also proud to help secure this legislation because it supports paid sick leave, provides 25 billion in rent relief and an extension of the eviction moratorium.

This relief bill is going in the right direction but make no mistake, this is not enough and must only be a down-payment.

The \$1,200 stimulus checks in the CARES Act from earlier this year was an important factor in controlling the economic fallout from the initial onset of the coronavirus, and I am disappointed that tonight that this Congress will not now act as we did in the Spring.

The situation is more dire now and calls for more—not less—economic stimulus.

And, I am especially proud that the disbursements from this relief bill starts on the first day of Kwanzaa, December 26.

And, critically, this legislation supports Community Development Financial Institutions and Minority Depository Institutions by as much as \$12 billion:

The agreement includes dedicated PPP set-asides for very small businesses and lending through community-based lenders like Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFIs) and Minority Depository Institutions (MDIs); \$9 billion in emergency U.S. Treasury capital investments in CDFIs and MDIs to support lending in low-income and underserved communities, including persistent poverty counties, that may be disproportionately impacted by the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; and \$3 billion in emergency support for CDFIs through the CDFI Fund to respond to the economic impact of the pandemic on underserved low-income and minority communities.

The legislation we will pass today also includes 4.2 billion for mental health and substance abuse help and another \$7 billion for broadband.

This bill includes SBA grants, not just loans so it is critical for all applicants that they complete paperwork accurately so that there is no delay in submitting paperwork.

I encourage all constituents to reach out to my office if they need help.

And the legislation we will pass today also allocates \$1.4 trillion for the budget which is why we must consider the COVID relief bill today together with the budget bill.

This bill also helps secure \$10 billion for assistance in child-care to help get parents back to work so we can be ready to return to some semblance of normalcy.

I am also proud to support this bill because this legislation supports paid sick leave, provides tens of billions in rent relief and an extension of the eviction moratorium, another \$14 billion in SNAP benefits and \$80 billion in funding for our colleges and universities."

It is often said that our budget is a blueprint of our values and with the budget we pass today, Madam Speaker, is an affirmation of the Gospel of Matthew.

Ms. SHALALA. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on adoption of the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 965, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 227, nays 180, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 249]

YEAS—227

Adams	Golden	Norcross
Aguilar	Gomez	O'Halleran
Allred	Gonzalez (TX)	Pallone
Axne	Gottheimer	Panetta
Barragán	Green, Al (TX)	Pappas
Bass	Grijalva	Pascrell
Beatty	Haaland	Payne
Bera	Hall	Perlmutter
Beyer	Harder (CA)	Peters
Bishop (GA)	Hastings	Peterson
Blumenauer	Hayes	Phillips
Blunt Rochester	Heck	Pingree
Bonamici	Higgins (NY)	Pocan
Boyle, Brendan F.	Himes	Porter
Brindisi	Horn, Kendra S.	Price (NC)
Brown (MD)	Houlahan	Quigley
Brownley (CA)	Hoyer	Raskin
Bustos	Huffman	Rice (NY)
Butterfield	Jackson Lee	Richmond
Carbajal	Jayapal	Rose (NY)
Cárdenas	Jeffries	Rouda
Carson (IN)	Johnson (GA)	Roybal-Allard
Cartwright	Johnson (TX)	Ruiz
Case	Kaptur	Ruppersberger
Casten (IL)	Keating	Rush
Castor (FL)	Kelly (IL)	Ryan
Castro (TX)	Kennedy	Sánchez
Chu, Judy	Khanna	Sarbanes
Ciциlline	Kildee	Scanlon
Cisneros	Kilmer	Schakowsky
Clark (MA)	Kim	Schiff
Clarke (NY)	Kind	Schneider
Clay	Kirkpatrick	Schrader
Cleaver	Krishnamoorthi	Schrier
Clyburn	Kuster (NH)	Scott (VA)
Cohen	Lamb	Scott, David
Connolly	Langevin	Serrano
Cooper	Larsen (WA)	Sewell (AL)
Correa	Larson (CT)	Shalala
Costa	Lawrence	Sherman
Courtney	Lawson (FL)	Sherrill
Cox (CA)	Lee (CA)	Sires
Craig	Lee (NV)	Slotkin
Crist	Levin (CA)	Smith (WA)
Crow	Levin (MI)	Soto
Cuellar	Lieu, Ted	Spanberger
Cunningham	Lipinski	Speier
Davids (KS)	Loeb sack	Stanton
Davis (CA)	Lofgren	Stevens
Davis, Danny K.	Lowenthal	Suozzi
Dean	Lowe y	Swalwell (CA)
DeFazio	Luján	Takano
DeGette	Luria	Thompson (CA)
DeLauro	Lynch	Thompson (MS)
DelBene	Malinowski	Titus
Delgado	Maloney,	Tonko
Demings	Carolyn B.	Torres (CA)
DeSaulnier	Maloney, Sean	Torres Small
Deutch	Matsui	(NM)
Dingell	McAdams	Trahan
Doggett	McBath	Trone
Doyle, Michael F.	McCollum	Underwood
Engel	McEachin	Vargas
Escobar	McGovern	Veasey
Eshoo	McNerney	Vela
Españillat	Meeks	Velázquez
Evans	Meng	Visclosky
Finkenauer	Mfume	Wasserman
Fletcher	Mitchell	Schultz
Foster	Moore	Waters
Frankel	Morelle	Watson Coleman
Fudge	Moulton	Welch
Gallego	Mucarsel-Powell	Wexton
Garamendi	Murphy (FL)	Wild
García (IL)	Nadler	Wilson (FL)
García (TX)	Napolitano	Yarmuth
	Neal	
	Neguse	

NAYS—180

Aderholt	Baird	Bost
Allen	Balderson	Brady
Amash	Banks	Brooks (AL)
Amodei	Barr	Buchanan
Armstrong	Bergman	Buck
Arrington	Biggs	Bucshon
Babin	Bilirakis	Budd
Bacon	Bishop (NC)	Burchett

Burgess	Hollingsworth	Reschenthaler
Byrne	Hudson	Rice (SC)
Calvert	Huizenga	Riggleman
Carter (GA)	Hurd (TX)	Roby
Chabot	Jacobs	Rodgers (WA)
Cline	Johnson (LA)	Roe, David P.
Cloud	Johnson (OH)	Rogers (KY)
Cole	Johnson (SD)	Rose, John W.
Collins (GA)	Jordan	Rouzer
Comer	Joyce (OH)	Roy
Conaway	Joyce (PA)	Rutherford
Crawford	Katko	Scalise
Crenshaw	Keller	Schweikert
Curtis	Kelly (MS)	Scott, Austin
Davidson (OH)	Kelly (PA)	Sensenbrenner
Davis, Rodney	King (NY)	Shimkus
DesJarlais	Kininger	Simpson
Diaz-Balart	Kustoff (TN)	Smith (MO)
Emmer	LaHood	Smith (NE)
Estes	LaMalfa	Smith (NJ)
Ferguson	Lamborn	Smucker
Fitzpatrick	Latta	Staubert
Fleischmann	Lesko	Stefanik
Flores	Long	Steil
Fortenberry	Lucas	Steube
Fox (NC)	Luetkemeyer	Stewart
Fulcher	Marshall	Stivers
Gabbard	Massie	Taylor
Gaetz	Mast	Thompson (PA)
Gallagher	McCarthy	Thornberry
Garcia (CA)	McCaul	Tiffany
Gibbs	McClintock	Timmons
Gohmert	McHenry	Tipton
Gonzalez (OH)	McKinley	Tlaib
Gooden	Meuser	Turner
Gosar	Miller	Upton
Granger	Moolenaar	Van Drew
Graves (LA)	Mooney (WV)	Wagner
Graves (MO)	Mullin	Walberg
Green (TN)	Newhouse	Walden
Griffith	Norman	Walorski
Grothman	Nunes	Waltz
Guest	Ocasio-Cortez	Watkins
Guthrie	Olson	Weber (TX)
Hagedorn	Omar	Wenstrup
Harris	Palazzo	Westerman
Hartzer	Palmer	Williams
Hern, Kevin	Pence	Wittman
Herrera Beutler	Perry	Womack
Hice (GA)	Posey	Woodall
Higgins (LA)	Pressley	Young
Hill (AR)	Reed	Zeldin

NOT VOTING—22

Abraham	Holding	Spano
Bishop (UT)	Horsford	Walker
Brooks (IN)	King (IA)	Webster (FL)
Carter (TX)	Loudermilk	Wilson (SC)
Cheney	Marchant	Wright
Duncan	Murphy (NC)	Yoho
Dunn	Rogers (AL)	
Gianforte	Rooney (FL)	

□ 1807

Messrs. SCHWEIKERT, KING of New York, EMMER, RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, BILIRAKIS, and Ms. HERRERA BEUTLER changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Allred (Wexton)	Carson (IN)	DeGette (Blunt)
Axne (Davids (KS))	(Butterfield)	Rochester)
	Case	DelBene
Barragán (Beyer)	(Cartwright)	(Ciциlline)
Bera (Aguilar)	Castor (FL)	DeSaulnier
Bishop (GA)	(Demings)	(Matsui)
(Butterfield)	Cisneros	Deutch (Rice)
Blumenauer	(Carbajal)	(NY))
(Beyer)	Clay	Doggett (Raskin)
Bonamici (Clark (MA))	(Butterfield)	Escobar (Garcia (TX))
Boyle, Brendan F. (Jeffries)	Cleaver (Davids (KS))	Eshoo
Brownley (CA)	Cohen (Beyer)	(Thompson (CA))
(Clark (MA))	Costa (Correa)	Finkenauer
Bustos (Kuster (NH))	Davis (CA)	(Underwood)
Cárdenas	(Scanlon)	Fletcher
(Carbajal)	Dean (Scanlon)	(Raskin)
	DeFazio (Davids (KS))	

Frankel (Clark (MA))	Lofgren (Jeffries)	Richmond (Butterfield)
Garamendi (Sherman)	Lowenthal (Beyer)	Rouda (Aguilar)
Gonzalez (TX) (Gomez)	McEachin (Wexton)	Roybal-Allard (Garcia (TX))
Grijalva (Garcia (IL))	McNerney (Raskin)	Ruiz (Dingell)
Haaland (Davids (KS))	Meng (Clark (MA))	Rush (Underwood)
Hastings (Wasserman)	Mitchell (Spanberger)	Ryan (Kildee)
Schultz (Moore (Beyer))	Moulton (McGovern)	Schakowsky (Underwood)
Heck (Kildee)	Jayapal (Raskin)	Schneider (Casten (IL))
Johnson (TX) (Jeffries)	Johnson (TX) (Jeffries)	Schrier (Spanberger)
Kelly (IL) (Clarke (NY))	Nadler (Jeffries)	Serrano (Jeffries)
Kennedy (McGovern)	Napolitano (Correa)	Sewell (AL) (Cicilline)
Khanna (Sherman)	Neal (Lynch)	Shimkus (Pallone)
Kilmer (Kildee)	Neguse (Perlmutter)	Sires (Pallone)
Kim (Davids (KS))	Pascarell (Pallone)	Smith (WA) (Courtney)
Kirkpatrick (Stanton)	Payne (Wasserman)	Speier (Scanlon)
Lamb (Sherrill)	Schultz (Schultz)	Thompson (MS) (Fudge)
Langevin (Lynch)	Peters (Kildee)	Titus (Connolly)
Lawrence (Kildee)	Peterson (McCollum)	Vargas (Correa)
Lawson (FL) (Demings)	Pingree (Cicilline)	Veasey (Beyer)
Lieu, Ted (Beyer)	Pocan (Raskin)	Velázquez (Clarke (NY))
Lipinski (Schrader)	Porter (Wexton)	Watson Coleman (Pallone)
	Price (NC)	Welch (McGovern)
	(Butterfield)	Wilson (FL) (Hayes)

PURPLE BOOK CONTINUITY ACT OF 2019

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the adoption of House Resolution 1271, the Senate amendment to H.R. 1520 is considered as agreed to with an amendment consisting of the text of the Rules Committee Print 116-69.

Senate amendment:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

The Act may be cited as the "Purple Book Continuity Act of 2020".

SEC. 2. BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT PATENT TRANSPARENCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(k)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(9) PUBLIC LISTING.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—

"(i) INITIAL PUBLICATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Purple Book Continuity Act of 2020, the Secretary shall publish and make available to the public in a searchable, electronic format—

"(I) a list of each biological product, by non-proprietary name (proper name), for which, as of such date of enactment, a biologics license under subsection (a) or this subsection is in effect, or that, as of such date of enactment, is deemed to be licensed under this section pursuant to section 7002(e)(4) of the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009;

"(II) the date of licensure of the marketing application and the application number; and

"(III) with respect to each biological product described in subclause (I), the licensure status, and, as available, the marketing status.

"(ii) REVISIONS.—Every 30 days after the publication of the first list under clause (i), the Secretary shall revise the list to include each biological product which has been licensed under subsection (a) or this subsection during the 30-day period or deemed licensed under this section pursuant to section 7002(e)(4) of the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009.

"(iii) PATENT INFORMATION.—Not later than 30 days after a list of patents under subsection

(l)(3)(A), or a supplement to such list under subsection (l)(7), has been provided by the reference product sponsor to the subsection (k) applicant respecting a biological product included on the list published under this subparagraph, the reference product sponsor shall provide such list of patents (or supplement thereto) and their corresponding expiry dates to the Secretary, and the Secretary shall, in revisions made under clause (ii), include such information for such biological product. Within 30 days of providing any subsequent or supplemental list of patents to any subsequent subsection (k) applicant under subsection (l)(3)(A) or (l)(7), the reference product sponsor shall update the information provided to the Secretary under this clause with any additional patents from such subsequent or supplemental list and their corresponding expiry dates.

"(iv) LISTING OF EXCLUSIVITIES.—For each biological product included on the list published under this subparagraph, the Secretary shall specify each exclusivity period under paragraph (6) or paragraph (7) for which the Secretary has determined such biological product to be eligible and that has not concluded.

"(B) REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF LICENSE.—If the license of a biological product is determined by the Secretary to have been revoked or suspended for safety, purity, or potency reasons, it may not be published in the list under subparagraph (A). If such revocation or suspension occurred after inclusion of such biological product in the list published under subparagraph (A), the reference product sponsor shall notify the Secretary that—

"(i) the biological product shall be immediately removed from such list for the same period as the revocation or suspension; and

"(ii) a notice of the removal shall be published in the Federal Register."

(b) REVIEW AND REPORT ON TYPES OF INFORMATION TO BE LISTED.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall—

(1) solicit public comment regarding the type of information, if any, that should be added to or removed from the list required by paragraph (9) of section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(k)), as added by subsection (a); and

(2) transmit to Congress an evaluation of such comments, including any recommendations about the types of information that should be added to or removed from the list.

The text of the House amendment to the Senate amendment is as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate, insert the following:

That the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2021 (division A of Public Law 116-159) is further amended by striking the date specified in section 106(3) and inserting "December 28, 2020".

This Act may be cited as the "Further Extension of Continuing Appropriations Act, 2021".

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from Senate by Ms. Byrd, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed a bill of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 371. An act to provide regulatory relief to charitable organizations that provide housing assistance, and for other purposes.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BROWN of Maryland) laid before the

House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, December 21, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on December 21, 2020, at 1:24 p.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 2346.
That the Senate passed S. 2716.
That the Senate passed S. 2827.
That the Senate passed S. 3099.
That the Senate passed S. 3100.
That the Senate passed S. 3948.
That the Senate passed S. 3952.
That the Senate passed S. 4556.
That the Senate passed S. 5076.
That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 1240.
That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 4031.
That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5458.
That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5852.
That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 6535.
That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 7460.

With best wishes, I am,
Sincerely,

CHERYL L. JOHNSON,
Clerk.

DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO MAKE A CORRECTION IN THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 133

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a concurrent resolution and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 127

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That, in the enrollment of the bill H.R. 133 the Clerk of the House of Representatives shall make the following correction:

Amend the title so as to read: "Making consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2021, providing coronavirus emergency response and relief, and for other purposes."

The concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO MAKE A CORRECTION IN THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 1520

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a concurrent resolution and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 128

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That, in the enrollment of the bill H.R. 1520 the Clerk of the House of Representatives shall make the following correction:

Amend the title so as to read: "Making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021, and for other purposes."

The concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SUBMISSION OF MATERIAL EXPLANATORY OF THE AMENDMENT OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO THE AMENDMENT OF THE SENATE TO H.R. 133

Pursuant to section 5 of House Resolution 1271, the chair of the Committee on Appropriations submitted explanatory material relating to the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 133. The contents of this submission will be published in Books III and IV of this RECORD.

UNITED STATES-MEXICO ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP ACT

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 1271, I call up the bill (H.R. 133) to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico, with the Senate amendment thereto, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the Senate amendment.

Senate amendment:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "United States-Mexico Economic Partnership Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) The United States and Mexico have benefited from a bilateral, mutually beneficial partnership focused on advancing the economic interests of both countries.

(2) In 2013, Mexico adopted major energy reforms that opened its energy sector to private investment, increasing energy cooperation between Mexico and the United States and opening new opportunities for United States energy engagement.

(3) On January 18, 2018, the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs at the Department of State stated, "Our exchange programs build enduring relationships and networks to advance U.S. national interests and foreign policy goals . . . The role of our exchanges . . . in advancing U.S. national security and economic interests

enjoys broad bipartisan support from Congress and other stakeholders, and provides a strong return on investment."

(4) According to the Institute of International Education, in the 2015–2016 academic year, more than 56,000 United States students studied in other countries in the Western Hemisphere region while more than 84,000 non-United States students from the region studied in the United States, but only 5,000 of those United States students studied in Mexico and only 16,000 of those non-United States students were from Mexico.

SEC. 3. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

It is the policy of the United States—

(1) to continue deepening economic cooperation between the United States and Mexico;

(2) to seek to prioritize and expand educational and professional exchange programs with Mexico, including through frameworks such as the 100,000 Strong in the Americas Initiative, the Young Leaders of the Americas Initiative, Jóvenes en Acción (Youth in Action), the Fulbright Foreign Student Program, and the Fulbright Visiting Scholar Program; and

(3) to promote positive cross-border relations as a priority for advancing United States foreign policy and programs.

SEC. 4. STRATEGY TO PRIORITIZE AND EXPAND EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS WITH MEXICO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State shall develop a strategy to carry out the policy described in section 3, to include prioritizing and expanding educational and professional exchange programs with Mexico through frameworks such as those referred to in section 3(2).

(b) ELEMENTS.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall—

(1) encourage more academic exchanges between the United States and Mexico at the secondary, post-secondary, and post-graduate levels;

(2) encourage United States and Mexican academic institutions and businesses to collaborate to assist prospective and developing entrepreneurs in strengthening their business skills and promoting cooperation and joint business initiatives across the United States and Mexico;

(3) promote energy infrastructure coordination and cooperation through support of vocational-level education, internships, and exchanges between the United States and Mexico; and

(4) assess the feasibility of fostering partnerships between universities in the United States and medical school and nursing programs in Mexico to ensure that medical school and nursing programs in Mexico have comparable accreditation standards as medical school and nursing programs in the United States by the Accreditation and Standards in Foreign Medical Education, in addition to the Accreditation Commission For Education in Nursing, so that medical students can pass medical licensing board exams, and nursing students can pass nursing licensing exams, in the United States.

(c) BRIEFING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall brief the appropriate congressional committees regarding the strategy required under subsection (a).

SEC. 5. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 6. SUNSET PROVISION.

This Act shall remain in effect until December 31, 2023.

MOTION TO CONCUR

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the motion.

The text of the motion is as follows:

Mrs. LOWEY moves that the House concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 133 with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116-68.

(For text of the House amendment to the Senate amendment, see Book II of this RECORD.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 1271, the motion shall be debatable for one hour, equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations.

The gentleman from New York (Mrs. LOWEY) and the gentleman from Texas (Ms. GRANGER) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

□ 1815

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 133.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to present legislation that comprises all 12 fiscal year 2021 appropriations bills, a number of items agreed to on a bipartisan basis between authorizing committees, and a coronavirus relief package.

The 12 appropriations bills include a strong increase in nondefense discretionary funding, allowing Congress to make important investments for the people.

I am particularly proud that we have been able to fund better education for our students; more affordable housing; food security initiatives; safer communities, with funding to address America's gun violence epidemic; clean air and water; action on the climate crisis; and care for our veterans, with a special emphasis on suicide prevention and gender-specific care.

As chairwoman of the Subcommittee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs, I am also proud that this legislation bolsters international security and stability. In particular, the Middle East Partnership for Peace fund will support people-to-people exchanges and economic partnerships between Israelis and Palestinians.

Turning to the coronavirus relief portion of the legislation, the bill provides \$892 billion to confront the pandemic.

While Republican intransigence means the legislation doesn't include the State and local relief that is desperately needed, the amounts it provides for education, public health, and transportation will greatly benefit our local communities.

Of particular importance to me, the \$4 billion for GAVI will help children

across the world receive the coronavirus vaccine, though far greater investments are needed to support international COVID-19 response.

President-elect Biden has spoken about the dark winter that we face because of this pandemic. It is my hope that this bill will be a source of light as we face that winter.

I am proud that, through the careful use of Federal funds, the appropriations in this bill will help set our Nation on a course to build back better.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this bill that will provide funding for the Federal Government through the end of the fiscal year as well as provide another round of desperately needed relief for those affected by the coronavirus pandemic.

The appropriations package we will consider today is critical because it will allow us to prevent an unnecessary government shutdown and avoid a wasteful continuing resolution that simply straight-lines funding until the end of next year.

The bill makes us safer by ensuring we have the funding and the tools necessary to both discourage our enemies and defend ourselves against them.

The funds provided in this bill are also critical to continue the fight against public health threats both at home and abroad.

The bill ensures that longstanding provisions to protect life, reduce burdensome regulation, and preserve our way of life are retained.

I would like to highlight some specific programs in the appropriations package.

This bill supports another significant increase for the National Institutes of Health, including funding for vital research on Alzheimer's disease and to find cures for cancer.

It provides more than half a billion dollars for NASA, to help maintain our superiority in space. We will need to continue to do more in future years to establish a sustainable presence on the Moon.

The bill also funds the U.S. Space Force so the United States has a leading edge against China and Russia.

The bill keeps us safe by providing the same level of funding as last year for the border wall. It also rolls back attempts to limit the President's authority to provide additional funds, if needed.

The bill continues to rebuild our military, modernize our nuclear weapons stockpile, and strengthen our allies, such as Israel. This bill strongly supports our veterans and our troops by increasing pay for our military; addressing the healthcare needs of our brave warfighters and their families, as well as those who previously served; and ensuring that the United States military has cutting-edge equipment, such as the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter

and the V-22 Osprey, so that they can discourage and take on any fight against our enemies.

The bill retains longstanding Second Amendment and pro-life protections that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle wanted to eliminate. In fact, it secures the largest pro-life victory in a generation by maintaining the Trump administration's Title X Family Planning regulations.

It drops the dangerous policy provisions my colleagues on the other side of the aisle included in the House bills, including riders aimed at defunding the police.

Billions of dollars of unnecessary emergency spending that the majority included in their bills is stripped away in this package. Many of these provisions are considered poison pills that were opposed by Republicans and the White House.

Also before us today is another round of coronavirus relief. Specifically, our package will: ensure the timely distribution of vaccines across the country; help small businesses affected by the economic downturn; and provide much-needed relief for airports and the airline industry, which is so important for thousands of hardworking Texans in and around my district.

I am glad we were able to come together on this agreement today, and I want to thank my colleagues, Mrs. LOWEY, Senator SHELBY, and Senator LEAHY, for working together in good faith.

Plain and simple, this package is good for our economic security and our national security because it addresses the most pressing threats we face as a country. I hope it will be supported.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in voting in favor of this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR), the distinguished chairwoman of the Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, America is grateful for Mrs. LOWEY's years of honorable, dedicated, and enlightened service. We will so miss her leadership, bright smile, and affable nature.

I would also like to thank Ranking Member SIMPSON, who has been a constant and truly valued partner, as well as our wonderful subcommittee staff: Jaime Shimek, Scott McKee, Mark Arnone, Farouk Ophaso, and Mike Brain, who have labored tirelessly to produce this bill.

The Energy and Water division of this bill captures the American spirit of ingenuity and national energy independence. It provides the foundation of critical investments to meet the challenge of climate change, to which \$12 billion, or 24 percent of our overall bill, is dedicated. Without question, our Energy and Water bill is this year's most important climate change legislation, with our overall bill dedicated to sustaining life on Earth.

Instead of the President's shortsighted and devastating cuts, our bill

invests in the future to meet serious national priorities: real jobs, as we achieve building back better by providing \$7.8 billion for the tremendous Army Corps of Engineers, \$145 million above 2020; real innovation to invest into the future in partnership with our universities and private-sector innovators; providing \$39.6 billion for the Department of Energy, \$1 billion above 2020; real security within the Department of Energy, as we responsibly fund a nuclear deterrent while boosting nonproliferation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR).

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, we achieve real impact, as our bill increases the Department's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy program, ARPA-E, Advanced Energy Research, and the Office of Science.

In sum, the Energy and Water division of this bill invests in innovative programs to yield future opportunities for new-age jobs.

I don't support the cuts in the ATVM program related to advanced technology for vehicles, but I will say that I support the legislation overall and believe that our bill is needed more than ever to heal our Nation and advance our leadership globally.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS), the former chairman of the full committee and ranking member of the Subcommittee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this bill, but I want to first congratulate our committee's chair and ranking member for their great work on putting together this enormous and enormously important bill.

First, I want to say how rare this day really is in another way. It is the first time that we have had a ranking member who is a female, Ms. GRANGER, and the first female chairman of the committee, NITA LOWEY. We will miss her enormously on this committee and in the Chamber.

This bill is enormous. It is not perfect, but it reflects a fair compromise that includes funding for many important priorities at home and abroad and vital assistance for all those negatively impacted by the coronavirus pandemic.

As ranking member of the Committee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs, I want to tell you, this bill provides critical funding for our national security. This includes \$3.3 billion in Foreign Military Financing for Israel and robust support to combat transnational crime and the flow of illegal drugs.

The bill is also tough on China, as it commits serious resources behind our Indo-Pacific strategy. This includes exposing the censorship and propaganda wielded by the Chinese Communist

Party and countering Beijing's debt-trap diplomacy through well-funded, open, and transparent U.S. development by the Development Finance Corporation and the Countering Chinese Influence Fund.

This bill also protects our core values. It maintains all pro-life protections from last year and rejects efforts to undo the President's historic policies that protect the sanctity of life.

This bill is also great for my district in Kentucky, if I can be parochial. It provides the resources we need to continue to fight the opioid epidemic, which is now more important than ever.

It fully funds my AML pilot program, which is truly breathing life back into my southern and eastern Kentucky communities. It helps our small businesses and so many Kentuckians who are fighting tooth and nail to survive the coronavirus pandemic.

This package does not have everything that we wanted, but it is a good bill, and I think a fair compromise.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues' support.

Mr. Speaker, I want to wish everyone a merry Christmas.

□ 1830

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN), the distinguished majority whip.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, while today's vote to provide relief to families who are suffering due to circumstances far beyond their control is welcome, it is long overdue and insufficient to fully meet their needs.

Democrats put forth our priorities last May to bring relief to those who are sick, unemployed, homeless, and hungry. Republicans instead chose to ignore and obfuscate the widespread suffering.

This short-term package will provide direct payments and unemployment benefits extension and some assistance to help families afford rent, food, water, and broadband. I applaud our Speaker for negotiating these critical provisions and my colleagues who came together in a bipartisan way to drive this compromise, but this package must be viewed only as a downpayment.

Most of us can hardly wait to begin the new year with renewed hope. Our resolve as we come into 2021 with a new Congress and a new administration is to provide the leadership and support Americans need and deserve to get us beyond this pandemic. There can be no greater pursuit in 2021.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. SIMPSON), the ranking member of the Energy and Water Development, and Related Agencies Subcommittee.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the fiscal year 2021 omnibus appropriations bill, and particularly the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act.

As ranking member of the subcommittee, I am pleased this bill is significantly improved from the House bill in many ways.

First and foremost, the bill strongly supports our national nuclear security programs, providing \$15.3 billion for the Weapons Activities account, an increase of \$2.9 billion above fiscal year 2020. This funding supports the maintenance and modernization of our nuclear weapons system, which will ensure a credible and reliable nuclear deterrent.

One of my personal priorities is promoting innovation and growth in nuclear energy. This bill provides almost \$1.4 billion for research, development, and demonstration activities, including increased funding for the Advanced Small Modular Reactors Program and the Advanced Reactors Demonstration Program, both programs focused on building the next generation of carbon-free technologies to be deployed here and abroad.

The bill also includes critical water storage projects in the drought-prone West, such as the Anderson Ranch Dam raise in my district in Idaho.

Mr. Speaker, before I yield back, I would like to thank the full committee ranking member, KAY GRANGER, who has so ably led our Republican Conference on appropriations matters all Congress long. And full committee Chairwoman LOWEY deserves our thanks for her many years of service in this committee and to the Nation. We will miss her.

Energy and Water Development, and Related Agencies Chairwoman MARCY KAPTUR and I, again, worked well with our Senate partners, LAMAR ALEXANDER and DIANNE FEINSTEIN, to advance national and constituent interests throughout the programs that are funded in this bill.

Finally, I would like to thank staff on both sides of the aisle for their hard work—extremely hard work—particularly this last month, working to help bring this bill to completion.

Mr. Speaker, this appropriations package, which includes the Energy and Water division, is a strong bill, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Indiana (Mr. VISCLOSKEY), the chairman of the Subcommittee on Defense, who has spent the last 35 years in the Congress.

Mr. Speaker, we all share his knowledge, which he shares with us so willingly, and we appreciate his service, not just to the committee, but to the Congress, to the country, and we wish him the very, very best. We thank him for his service in the Congress and on this committee.

(Mr. VISCLOSKEY asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VISCLOSKEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairwoman for yielding and her kind remarks.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues support H.R. 133.

The Defense portion of this bill continues to focus on the well-being and morale of those in uniform. For example, the bill provides an additional \$116 million for upgrades to childcare facilities and directs the military services to present innovative ideas to address the serious backlog for childcare.

However, I must also share my concerns over DOD and its lack of compliance with many congressionally directed reporting requirements. For example, last year, the committee directed the Department to submit a report on its contracts for advertising services with socially and economically disadvantaged small businesses. The report was 5 months late.

The Department has also habitually redirected funding in contravention of congressional intent. One DOD official referred to these transfers of billions of dollars as anomalies. I refer to them as habitual abuses. I hope in the future it will recognize Congress' constitutional prerogatives.

Mr. Speaker, finally, since it was mentioned, this will be my last floor statement after nearly 44 years of working in the House. I want to thank all of the wonderful staff who have made what successes we have enjoyed possible.

I also want to thank my parents, John and Helen Visclosky, and my sister, Annamarie Visclosky, who believed in me when I was an unemployed, young former staffer and made my incredible life journey possible.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. CALVERT), the ranking member of the Defense Subcommittee.

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation.

First, I want to thank Chairwoman LOWEY for her hard work and her dedication to this institution, and I wish her well in her retirement.

And I certainly want to thank our ranking member for her hard work and all the things that went into getting this bill together. I know it is difficult. We certainly appreciate it.

And, finally, Chairman PETE VISCLOSKEY, not only my chairman, but my close friend, who has worked hard on this bill, and he has done a wonderful job over the years and is certainly a patriot who deserves the credit in putting this Defense bill together.

The Constitution grants Congress the explicit and sacred responsibility to provide for our Nation's defense. This bill before us today does just that. It provides funding for many key programs consistent with the National Defense Strategy and its focus on great power competition with China and Russia.

The bill prioritizes and funds programs essential to our continued military dominance. It provides our combatant commanders with the resources and equipment they need to carry out their missions around the world.

We continue key investments in fifth-generation combat aircraft, ships,

and two Virginia-class submarines, while also continuing to invest in the essential research and development of new technologies essential to maintaining U.S. military superiority.

We continue to prioritize the health and welfare of our men and women in uniform. The funding in this bill reflects the longstanding concerns that so many of our Members have had with Defense health programs, sexual assault prevention, suicide prevention, and the long-awaited electronic health record, which we need to get fixed.

We must get this bill signed into law as soon as possible. According to the DOD comptroller, a continuing resolution wastes \$1.7 billion per month and stops many of the modernization priorities that are the cornerstone of maintaining our military's superiority over near-peer threats such as China and Russia.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that we were able to overcome politically charged issues to negotiate this final passage. I urge all the Members to vote for it.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to yield 1½ minutes to the distinguished gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO), the chair of the Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, and now the chair of the full committee, where I know she will serve with her great knowledge with distinction.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from New York, and I hope to fill her shoes in what she has done to promote the welfare of the lives of the people of this country. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this bill.

We are at a critical moment. We are not just living through a public health crisis, but an economic one; two crises, which have magnified existing inequalities.

Tens of millions of workers are unemployed. Millions of families are facing hunger, many for the first time in their lives. Small businesses are going under, and over 300,000 Americans have lost their lives.

The COVID relief package that we are voting on today is a start, and I thank my colleagues in the House of Representatives for elevating it to provide important relief.

It brings back the enhanced Federal unemployment insurance, direct payments, a second round of PPP loans, \$13 billion in emergency food assistance, and a temporary boost to the monthly food stamp program. And, critically, it includes my Preventing Online Sales of E-Cigarettes Act.

Despite strong Republican opposition, it provides nearly \$2 billion to maintain the paid leave option. I will fight for paid sick days and paid family and medical leave in the new year so that no worker is left behind.

In the Labor-HHS provisions, we were able to secure \$155 billion in life-

or-death funding: \$73 billion for the Department of Health and Human Services and \$82 billion for the Department of Education.

However, it is only a start, and we must do so much more. We need aid to cities and States. We need a child tax credit and much more money for childcare.

Let us act and get the people the help they need.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. COLE), the ranking member of the Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Subcommittee.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased today to have the Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies' bill included in this important appropriations package. As we have done every year since I have served on the subcommittee, we have been able to reach a compromise. I want to highlight a few of the many provisions in the Labor-HHS section of the bill.

The agreement boosts funding for the National Institutes of Health by \$1.25 billion. I am proud to say this increase represents the sixth straight year of sustained increases for the NIH, thanks to bipartisan and bicameral support.

And funding resources, expertise, and investments we have made over the past 6 years in biomedical research, along with public health planning through mechanisms like the Infectious Disease Rapid Response Reserve Fund, are helping us come out of this pandemic faster than predicted.

Make no mistake, this is not an accident. It is a result of years of quiet investment, planning, and scientific dedication. I am glad this conference agreement continues these investments in biomedical research. It will benefit the Nation.

Finally, the bill also funds the President's childhood cancer initiative and continues support to end the HIV epidemic.

This conference agreement before us today also increases funding for education and training programs, including \$52 million for an increase in career and technical education, a \$10 million increase for TRIO and GEAR UP. We have increased funds for Impact Aid and special education and provided funds for veterans to integrate back into the workforce.

Finally, the bill continues existing funding restrictions, including those important to the pro-life community, and drops new controversial language.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to conclude by thanking Ranking Member KAY GRANGER and Labor, Health and Human Services Chair ROSA DELAURO. Ms. GRANGER has been a valiant leader navigating our members through some difficult decisions to bring us here today.

Mr. Speaker, I also want to acknowledge the negotiating skills of the chair of the Labor-HHS Subcommittee, Ms.

DELAURO. I very much look forward to serving with her as both the chair of my subcommittee, as well as the chair of the full committee in the next Congress.

Last, but certainly not least, I also want to thank our full committee chair, Mrs. LOWEY, who has had an outstanding career and navigated us to this point.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I am delighted to yield 1½ minutes to the distinguished gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ), the chairwoman of the Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies.

□ 1845

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the combined fiscal year 2021 government spending and coronavirus relief package.

The package before us today does not have everything the American people need. It shortchanges key relief programs, like aid to our first responders and State and local governments.

But the American people are suffering and need immediate relief. Support from Congress is long overdue.

The package includes critical Democratic priorities we fought for, like money for small businesses, extended unemployment benefits, individual stimulus checks, and public health funding to eradicate the coronavirus.

It contains legislation I authored to reauthorize the EARLY Act, which educates young and at-risk women with breast cancer.

The appropriations bills in this package advance key priorities, like \$250 million for Everglades restoration, fighting child exploitation on the internet, and protecting migrants and holding DHS accountable.

As chair of the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Subcommittee, we fund improved healthcare for veterans, modernize the VA electronic health record system, and improve military infrastructure to ensure readiness.

And we did not include funding to reimburse the President for his theft of military funds for the racist border wall.

The Democratic House passed our coronavirus relief bill in May and our appropriations bills in July. Republicans failed to do their job. They have showcased unprecedented incompetence and cruelty this year.

Why did Republicans block this relief package until now?

They thought we were too generous with the American people, and they wanted to protect corporations who put workers in harm's way, and then tried to shackle the Federal Reserve in a last-minute effort to make it harder for the Biden administration to help struggling small businesses.

Democrats will keep fighting for additional aid once President-elect Biden takes office. That cannot come soon enough.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MOOLENAAR), a member of the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. MOOLENAAR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I support the legislation finishing the appropriations process for 2021 because it funds important priorities for Michigan families. It includes funding for the construction of a new lock at the Soo Locks, the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative, rural broadband internet access, and NIH research into cures for cancer and Alzheimer's.

Of course, there is more work to do. Many communities have been affected by disasters this year, including my district, where two dams failed and communities were flooded.

Congress should do more to help all communities affected by natural disasters this year, and I will be doing everything I can to help those in my district apply to receive Federal assistance.

I am glad we have this bill done for the American people, and I look forward to working with my colleagues across the aisle to craft bipartisan legislation again in the new year.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia, (Mr. SCOTT), the chairman of the Committee on Education and Labor.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding and for her long career helping working men and women throughout the Nation.

I rise in support of this historic legislation. Under this bill, we were able to secure expansion of Pell grants to make it easier for students to access aid by streamlining the free application for Federal student aid. We were able to eliminate the ban on Pell grants for incarcerated students. We were able to discharge debts for many historically Black colleges and universities. And we were able to invest \$82 billion in schools and colleges.

I am particularly proud that we were able to provide an end to the legal prohibition of the use of Federal funds in transportation to promote school integration. That is right. It is still illegal until this bill passes.

Finally, I want to praise the inclusion in this legislation of the fact that we are finally ending surprise medical bills and the problems along those lines.

Mr. Speaker, I hope we will pass the bill and do a lot for students and workers.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART), the ranking member of the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development Subcommittee.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding. I also thank the chair, Mrs. LOWEY, for her long career helping working men and women throughout the Nation.

I thank Chairman PRICE for working with me on the transportation and housing title of this bill.

Mr. Speaker, this bill includes \$230 million for port infrastructure programs, which is crucial to coastal States, but also, frankly, to our entire freight network.

I am particularly pleased that this bill includes \$390 million to the Maritime Academy Training Ship Program.

This bill also renews housing assistance for millions of Americans in need. It is our duty to meet this commitment, especially for our elderly; our disabled; and our heroes, our veterans.

I am also very pleased the bill provides \$3 billion for homeless assistance grants.

I have seen the results of those programs firsthand, as we effectively eliminated homelessness among veterans in Miami-Dade County.

As a final point on the THUD bill, I would note that this agreement drops the controversial riders, allowing us to move forward.

This omnibus itself continues strong investments also into our national defense. It funds Everglades restoration and prioritizes school safety initiatives.

Once again, Chairman LOWEY and Ranking Member GRANGER led the way through these very difficult times by working day and night and never giving up.

A final word to Chairwoman LOWEY as she manages her final bill. You know, you can agree or disagree with this honorable Member from New York, but she is always honorable, straightforward, a true gentlewoman, and she has been a huge asset to this institution and to our country. Godspeed, Madam Chairwoman.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE). We came to Congress together, and he is the chairman of the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

I rise in strong support of this final omnibus package that includes critical legislation from the Energy and Commerce Committee.

First, this agreement includes \$69 billion to crush the coronavirus by supporting the rapid and equitable distribution of COVID-19 vaccines, as well as critical testing and contact tracing.

Second, this package finally ends surprise medical bills for American consumers. These surprise bills have burdened millions of patients with crushing medical debts. It saves money, which pays for a 3-year extension to fund community health centers and other vital healthcare programs.

Third, the bill phases down HFCs. This is a big win in the fight against climate change, along with the reauthorization of the Pipeline Safety Act, which reduces methane leaks.

And, fourth, we provide major assistance for struggling families to better afford their internet service.

These are just a few of the key provisions from the Energy and Commerce Committee.

But I want to end by thanking the chair, NITA LOWEY. As she mentioned, she and ELIOT ENGEL, myself, and Rich Neal are the last in our class. I hate to say it that way. She has been an outstanding legislator and a great friend. My only regret is that she is going to just leave Richie Neal and myself to carry on here. So I really wish she would not leave, but I know she wants to, and I wish her the best. I love you, Nita.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. FORTENBERRY), the ranking member of the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Subcommittee.

Mr. FORTENBERRY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for yielding.

I also add my thanks to my good friend, Chair LOWEY, upon her retirement from Congress. Thank you so much for your grace-filled leadership all these years. I am very happy for you in this new phase of life.

Also, Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman of the Agricultural, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Subcommittee publicly here, Chair SANFORD BISHOP. He has such a professionalism and courtesy and a working, friendly bipartisan spirit. I really think it is important that America hears that.

Mr. Speaker, this bill underwrites the stabilization policies for our farmers and ranchers, the protection of our drug supply, and what I call the farm of the future.

Now, these elongated deliberations have created extra time for us here in Congress. I know you would probably prefer to be in Maryland. I certainly would prefer to be in Nebraska. But we have had important work to do here.

Given the extra amount of time, I took some liberties and I visited with a young farmer near here, Mr. Speaker. He lives in the Shenandoah Valley. And on a cold Virginia night, I actually sanitized my own shoes and walked with him in his poultry house, and we talked. We talked about costs, fertilizer reuse, mechanical versus digital monitors, and options for integrating renewable energy into his operations.

Checking his birds is a routine he dutifully performs. And that is what America's farmers are about: constancy, vigilance, hard work. And whether it is in the field or among livestock, the day in and day out life of the farmer in Nebraska or Virginia or Georgia is what keeps America strong and helps feed the world.

This bill supports our production agricultural system in many traditional ways, while we also witness an opportunity to expand the farm family. New forms of small-scale niche agriculture marry high-tech with high-touch, connecting the rural to the urban, the farmer to the family, and the farm to the table. This is the future of farming.

Of particular importance, the bill supports rural broadband, as well as protecting our drug supply. It is an important bill from the constructs of the most basic systems in America.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentleman from Nebraska.

Mr. FORTENBERRY. Mr. Speaker, the bill supports rural broadband. And that is more than wires laid. It is about creating an ecosystem of livability so that the benefits of telehealth and tele-education and telework, along with precision agriculture, can be distributed equitably throughout the country.

The inspiring COVID vaccine approvals by the FDA have shown us what we can do together, and this bill also protects our drug supply while keeping unsafe drugs out.

Mr. Speaker, it is important that these provisions make it into law. That is why I am happy to support this bill.

Again, Chair LOWEY, thank you for your leadership.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), the chairwoman of the Committee on Financial Services.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

The relief in this bill is desperately needed, as families struggle during the pandemic crisis. The negotiations were difficult. They were tough. I wish we could have done even more, but I am pleased we have done as well as we could have done to provide relief for so many in desperate need for their government to come to their aid.

As chairwoman of the Financial Services Committee, I am proud to have secured \$25 billion in emergency rental assistance. We need much more. We also got an extension of the eviction moratorium and \$12 billion in low-cost, long-term capital and grants to the minority depository institutions and the credit unions and the community development financial institutions.

I thank Ranking Member MCHENRY, Chairman CRAPO, and Ranking Member BROWN for working with me on these provisions.

I am so pleased that the legislation includes stimulus payments for families and individuals. Not enough, but I am pleased.

I am also pleased it includes new funding for unemployment insurance. Not enough, but I am pleased.

I am also pleased we have support for Los Angeles International Airport in my district and small business forgivable PPP loans. This bill will also expand the amount of PPP loans for which restaurants are eligible by a considerable amount.

Let me be clear: Much more is needed, but this bill is a most important first step, and I am very pleased that we are able to come to the aid of all of our constituents.

I thank NANCY PELOSI for the tremendous job that she did in negotiating to get us to this point.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. FLEISCHMANN), the ranking member of the Homeland Security Subcommittee.

Mr. FLEISCHMANN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Before I begin, I wish to convey my warm thoughts and sentiments to Mrs. LOWEY from New York, who is chairman of this committee. It has been a privilege to work with you for almost a decade in my capacity, and I wish you the best in your future. And I thank you for your service to this great House.

□ 1900

Mr. Speaker, tonight, I rise in support of the bill we have before us, which is the result of months of negotiations on how best to manage our government's resources in fiscal year 2021 and respond to the COVID pandemic that has gripped so many of our communities.

Mr. Speaker, as the ranking member of the Homeland Security Subcommittee, I thank my full committee leader, Ms. GRANGER, for her great leadership in pulling this omnibus together. I also thank Subcommittee Chairwoman Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD from California, along with Senators CAPITO and TESTER across the hall, for their work and comity in resolving these difficult and challenging issues. It is a pleasure to have served with Chairwoman ROYBAL-ALLARD in this role.

Mr. Speaker, I think we have a very balanced agreement. We continue to provide \$1.375 billion for border security and funds flexibility for Immigration and Customs Enforcement to respond to detention needs.

Further, we have significant investments in many of our Nation's security components, including the great United States Coast Guard, cybersecurity and infrastructure, and FEMA grants to our States and localities to respond to disasters.

Further, the bill before us eliminates the riders and policy provisions contained in the House-reported bill that would have inhibited the Department of Homeland Security from fulfilling its law enforcement responsibilities at our borders and in the interior of our country.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on this package. It is time for the fiscal year to get underway.

Mr. Speaker, I wish all a happy and healthy new year.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LEE), a senior member of the Appropriations Committee.

Ms. LEE of California. Mr. Speaker, first, let me thank Chairwoman NITA LOWEY for once again doing such a phenomenal job. I am going to miss her tremendously.

Let me just say how much I support this survival bill, which provides a few

months of relief for those suffering from the severe impacts of COVID.

Mr. Speaker, I also thank Congresswoman Chair DELAURO and Chairman PALLONE for their work with our Tri-Caucus to include \$2.8 billion for testing and vaccine support in the communities of color hardest hit by COVID.

Mr. Speaker, I also thank our subcommittee chairs and staff for including so many of our priorities and for their diligent work on this.

It is really shameful that it has taken Republicans so long to realize that their constituents are desperate for help and need their government to give them a lifeline during this terrible time, also.

Eight million people have slipped into poverty since the start of this pandemic, and one in four adults are suffering from hunger during COVID-19. 318,000 people have died from the Trump administration's scandalous mismanagement.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 15 seconds to the gentlewoman.

Ms. LEE of California. Mr. Speaker, I support this bill. Let's give this lifeline to people. Let's move forward and build on this downpayment.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARRINGTON).

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation to provide relief to our fellow Americans, accelerate our Nation's economic recovery, and ensure our great Nation comes back better, stronger, and healthier than ever.

I don't want to belabor my deep concerns with the process except to say, Mr. Speaker, that it is fundamentally broken and that it was unnecessarily prolonged for purely political reasons. The American people waited, and waited for months. They deserve better, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I thank my dear friend, fellow Texan and ranking member, KAY GRANGER; our leader, KEVIN MCCARTHY; KEVIN BRADY; and many others who helped finalize this agreement.

It is far from perfect. I haven't met perfect legislation since coming to Washington. But it does a good job of supporting our struggling families, small businesses, healthcare professionals, teachers, and other frontline workers at a time when many desperately need it, and all of this at a fraction of Speaker PELOSI's \$3.3 trillion bailout bonanza, which included cash for illegals, legalizing marijuana, and a host of other unnecessary and irresponsible provisions.

Mr. Speaker, it is no small feat to keep our annual spending below the budget cap, and I commend my colleagues, including Chairwoman LOWEY, for that.

To do that and prevail in protecting troops and including their pay raise, funding for the border wall, preserving

the sacred protections for our unborn, I have got to tell you, that is pretty darn good.

It is hard for me to stand for some of this stuff in this bill, this omnibus. But on balance, it is good for the country, and I am standing with Chairwoman LOWEY, and I am standing with my fellow Texan, KAY GRANGER.

God bless America.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI), my friend for 32 years in the House, the outstanding Speaker of the House.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for bringing this important legislation to the floor. I thank her and Ranking Member KAY GRANGER for bringing this in a very strong bipartisan way.

I spoke this morning at some length, so it is on the record as to my attitude here. I salute the legislation that is here and urge passage, but I do want to take a minute to thank the Madam Chair.

She has served in the Congress for a long time. We have sat side by side over the years with ROSA DELAURO, STENY HOYER, and Mr. CLYBURN as appropriators. I have seen her writings-based astute political knowledge, her strategic thinking, her encyclopedic knowledge of the legislation produce the results, understanding what the process will bear and what the country needs first and foremost.

So, Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairwoman LOWEY. I don't know how many more times we will thank her, but hopefully, when the coronavirus goes away, we can all join in embracing her great leadership and expressing gratitude.

Mr. Speaker, I want to just again take a moment in recognition of the other chairs who worked to make this legislation so, so effective with direct payments through the employee retention tax credit, the unemployment insurance, to name a few.

Congresswoman VELÁZQUEZ with Small Business, under her leadership and working in a bipartisan way, small businesses, which are the heart of our economy, have received almost \$1 trillion in these coronavirus bills, almost \$1 trillion. We support that, but I also would hope there would be some commensurate recognition of the jobs of small business, the jobs of State and local government. They have received only \$160 billion in all of these bills, \$160 billion.

Does that sound familiar? That is exactly the amount of money that the Republicans put in the CARES bill to give to the wealthiest in our country, yet for all the States and localities, and it was retroactive, having nothing to do with coronavirus, \$160 billion. They thought that was commensurate with the responsibilities of our healthcare workers, our State and local police and fire, first responders, transportation, sanitation, food workers, our teachers, our teachers, our teachers.

So, yes, there is more work to do, and it will cost some money, but it will protect jobs. Most importantly, it will meet the needs of the American people to crush the virus and to do so in a way that brings us all into the future in a very safe way.

I thank Congresswoman VELÁZQUEZ for her extraordinary leadership in that regard.

Mr. Speaker, I thank MAXINE WATERS for coming to the need of people, renters, landlords, et cetera, with her important legislation—of course, we want more, but for now, this will see us through—her Community Development Financial Institutions legislation, her MDIs, making all that available in the small business piece, available to so many more people.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. SCOTT from Virginia. Student loans, childcare, I thank him for making it right.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. PALLONE for his leadership again and again. Vaccines, the vaccine issue is so important, how it is delivered, how it is produced, distributed. Going from vaccine to vaccination, from lab to arm, so much is required, and much of it is in this bill. Testing and tracing are still needed. We still need to provide a relief fund that goes along with that. I thank him for the personal interest he took in broadband.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. DEFAZIO on transportation and WRDA. Yesterday morning, we didn't even have WRDA in the bill. That is why this all has taken longer to do. So, I thank him for the important role that he played. We all know about the airlines and the rest, but there is so much more.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. PETERSON for his work on nutrition. People are hungry in our country, and we had to fight for nutrition money, but I thank him for his persistence.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. MCGOVERN for his advocacy in the Congress for children and hunger, as well as ROSA DELAURO. But Mr. MCGOVERN has gone on hunger strikes and the rest. He really values what is in this bill on nutrition to feed the hungry in our country.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Mrs. MALONEY for saving our Postal Service.

Just a brief reference to say thank you. This has been a long, difficult negotiation. These chairs, their members of the committees, and their staffs have been invaluable. Shalanda and Chris, I thank them so much for making all this happen.

Mr. Speaker, I reference the statement I made this morning about one thing and another, about the attitude we have to this and the need for us to recognize this as a first step and the need for us to address the important contribution of our health workers, police and fire first responders, sanitation, transportation, food workers, our teachers, our teachers, our teachers.

If we are going to safely reenter the economy and our schools, we must crush the virus.

I have hope to crush the virus. That is why we could support this bill. It

doesn't go all the way, but it takes us down the path, a first step.

I have hope of crushing the virus, and I have hope because of the election of Joe Biden as President of the United States, a President who will follow science. He will follow science, and he will recognize that we have to meet the needs of all the American people wherever they live in our country, especially addressing BARBARA LEE's concerns about the communities of color that have been underserved in so much of what we have done.

Mr. Speaker, I have great appreciation for Madam Chair NITA LOWEY.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the majority leader.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank Madam Chair for yielding, and I thank Ranking Member KAY GRANGER for her work.

Mr. Speaker, I had the opportunity to do a video, as we all are communicating with one another virtually, about my colleague and friend with whom I have served for over three decades, NITA LOWEY.

I went to school in NITA LOWEY's district many, many years ago. When she came here, we became friends. Shortly thereafter, she came on the Appropriations Committee, and we served together, as Speaker PELOSI said, on the Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies Subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee. I served on that committee for 23 years. I have great affection for that committee.

We served under a gentleman named Bill Natcher from the State of Kentucky. He used to stand when he presented that bill to the floor and say: "This is the people's bill."

Mr. Speaker, this is the people's bill.

□ 1915

This is a bill that must pass. They say that good things come to those who wait. They have waited too long, had too much pain, physically; too many deaths; too much psychological damage; too many lost jobs. This is, however, a good thing that will come to those who need it so badly.

I want to thank Chairwoman LOWEY, who has performed such extraordinary service on the Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies for a long time; and the Subcommittee on State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs for a long time; and for the Appropriations Committee.

I want to thank my friend, KAY GRANGER. We are perceived as being awfully partisan, and this has been a partisan project as well. It took us from May 15 until today to get this done. We passed, in the interim, October 1, another bill of substantially lesser sum than the one we passed in May, and a little more than what we are passing—actually, twice what we are passing today. All of it was needed.

The American people have been waiting and watching and hoping that Congress would not only be able to avert a shutdown but also to provide much-needed COVID-19 relief.

Mr. Speaker, we now have a bipartisan agreement, and the legislation will achieve both of those aims.

KAY GRANGER, as I said, is somebody who was a mayor in Texas, and mayors know they have to get things done, and Congresswoman GRANGER has been somebody who wants to get things done. She and NITA LOWEY have been a team in getting things done. I thank both of them.

This bill completes the appropriations process by investing in the American people, in our national defense, in economic opportunity, in strengthening safety net programs that keep Americans out of poverty.

As I said, I thank both NITA LOWEY and KAY GRANGER.

I particularly want to thank an extraordinary Member of this body. She is not called a Congresswoman. She is not a Member of this body, but she is a person without whom we would not be nearly as successful as we have been over this last year. She has made this year less painful for millions and millions and millions of Americans.

Her name is Shalanda Young. She sits right behind me, an extraordinary talent whose leadership makes seminal contributions to all the sections of this bill and to previous bills dealing with appropriations and the COVID-19 pandemic.

She is, in many ways, an indispensable person when we come to dealing with bills of this magnitude. She works for Mrs. LOWEY, as does Chris Bigelow. Both have done extraordinary service.

Shalanda Young, Mr. Speaker, represents the best of us. I say that because she represents a lot of the staff we have here. She is extraordinarily talented, extraordinarily patriotic, and extraordinarily dedicated to the work of the American people, and extraordinarily underpaid.

Members are going to be underpaid in this bill, too. We beat our chest, and we have money in there that says we don't get a COLA one more time. Aren't we so courageous. I am disgusted by that, Mr. Speaker, and I want all of America to know; I want all of my constituents to know. Every Member in this place, whether I agree with them or not, is worth a COLA, at least trying to keep them even with the cost of living in this country. We struck it one more time, as we pretend that we think the COLA is unjust.

However, that is de minimis to this bill, but, certainly, will not dissuade me or ought not to dissuade anybody from voting enthusiastically, energetically, and proudly for this bill.

As a result of this omnibus, the next President will be able to start his administration focusing on immediate challenges instead of trying to finish the previous year's work.

I want to say something on that one more time. I have talked to Ms.

GRANGER, who is going to be here. There is no reason, Mr. Speaker, why we can't pass appropriation bills by September 30, the ending of the fiscal year, October 1 being the beginning of the new fiscal year.

I am frustrated, as the majority leader who is supposed to be able to make things work here, with my colleagues. We did make it work here, but our Senate colleagues did not pass a single bill prior to the election, so here we are.

In addition, this end-of-the-year package includes critical clean-energy legislation passed by the House earlier this year that makes important progress toward addressing the climate crisis while ensuring that America can create good jobs by leading the clean-energy economy.

We were also able, in this bill, Mr. Speaker, to include legislation that protects patients from surprise bills by removing them from the fight between insurers and providers and implementing a fairer process for resolving disputes.

On COVID-19 relief, while we were unable to secure agreement on every priority that Democrats and some Republicans wanted—we don't always get everything we want. So be it. That is the process.

We were able, however, to include many of the provisions we included in previous bills on May 15 and October 1, which I referred to earlier, for which Democrats have been fighting for months and that Americans desperately need.

These include resumption of expanded unemployment benefits, another round of direct payments, relief for renters, and assistance to make sure that Americans can put food on the table. In the richest country on the face of the Earth, we have people in food lines who can't feed themselves. That is not only wrong, but it is immoral and inconsistent with my faith and, I think, the faith of most.

There is additional help for small business—appropriate—and resources to help schools reopen safely.

In addition, we secured another \$3.36 billion for GAVI, and I thank the chair. It is a small program, relatively speaking, but it is about keeping people healthy around the world.

We are a shrinking globe, and this COVID crisis came from abroad—wherever it came from, Europe, contrary to the President saying it came only from China. It came from Europe; it came from China. We have a moral responsibility to make sure that it doesn't keep coming and that we help our brothers and sisters abroad as well so that we, too, can be healthy.

In the new year, we will continue to work to ensure that our country can meet the challenges of COVID-19, including help for State, local, Tribal, and territorial governments that are on the front line. Who is delivering the shot in the arm? States and local governments.

No compromise is perfect, of course, and this is not perfect. So many people

have said that, but that goes without saying. We don't do perfect. We are human beings. If we do the right thing, we do the best we can. It is essential that we move forward and do our jobs for the American people.

That is why the House did its job by passing the Heroes Act in May and again in October and why we passed appropriation bills to fund nearly all of government by July 26.

It is disappointing, as I have said, that the Senate waited until November to begin serious consideration of appropriation bills. I don't mean the subcommittees didn't work; they just didn't report anything out.

Thankfully, though, we are taking action together. America, we are taking action together. And you can be pleased when you see the board light up, mostly overwhelmingly green.

I urge the President to sign this legislation without delay, just, Mr. Speaker, as I urge him to sign the National Defense Authorization Act in which you played such a critical role, Mr. Speaker, and we passed earlier this month. I fear that he will veto this bill for an unrelated, totally nongermane issue, and we may be back here on the 28th.

If we are not here on the 28th, again, NITA LOWEY, I want to say to you: Thank you. How much we appreciate your contribution, and how much we appreciate the contribution of all of those who might be leaving either voluntarily or involuntarily. We thank them for their service.

Mr. Speaker, let's finish the work of the 116th Congress.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY), the ranking member on the Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. BRADY. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Ranking Member GRANGER for her terrific leadership on this bill and throughout this session.

This agreement is a big, important win for American workers, healthcare providers, Main Street businesses, and families.

In this agreement, we have Republican tax relief for American families. We permanently make it easier to deduct high medical costs and lifetime learning costs. We extend for 5 years the tax credit for paid family and medical leave, plus we take it one step further by helping businesses pay off student loans for workers.

We have big wins for patients and families together. We end surprise medical bills when you visit the ER or have scheduled medical procedures. We require that patients be given a true and honest bill ahead of a scheduled procedure, and we make it easier to find in-network doctors and providers.

In addition to helping patients, we also help our doctors. We increase their pay and stop two-thirds of planned Medicare cuts for certain providers. We also increase doctor pay by over \$3 billion and add 1,000 new graduate medical education slots to help train more doctors.

We took needed action to improve healthcare in rural areas.

It also contains strong antifraud safeguards for unemployment.

We offer crucial tax help for millions of Americans and small businesses with another round of stimulus checks, more funds and flexibility for PPP loans.

We also include important technical corrections to the new U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement, which will help our economy rebuild from this pandemic.

Maybe most importantly, we have big wins in this package to help us defeat the virus once and for all with billions more in funding for vaccines, testing, distribution, and more.

This bipartisan solution is so important to the American people. This is a strong and needed package. Its benefits will be felt for years to come.

I also want to finish by thanking our committee chairman, RICHIE NEAL, who worked so closely with me and all of our committee members on these wins for the American people. It has been an honor to work with him this Congress.

I am also very proud of the work and leadership that Republicans have exemplified throughout this Congress, especially among the Ways and Means Committee. I will gladly and strongly vote in support of this bill, and I encourage all of my colleagues to do the same.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Before I close, I want to take a moment to recognize my good friend and our full committee chairman, NITA LOWEY, as this will be the last bill that she will take to the floor.

She is the first woman to hold the gavel of the Appropriations Committee, and her retirement is a huge loss to our country, to our committee, and to me personally.

When I was named as ranking member and she was named as chair, she called me into her office. We really had never worked together. We were on different committees. I will never forget what she said. She said: We are going to do it on time and on budget, and we will become best friends.

□ 1930

I wasn't sure she wasn't crazy, but I said: I will do that.

We became good friends, and we did things on time and on budget. Everything was a joy working with her because she always knew what she wanted and what she wanted to do. She was inclusive, and we did turn and have a friendship that I will always cherish.

So I wanted to make sure that you knew that I realized I had a unique opportunity in working with you. I learned a lot, and we had a good time, didn't we?

I also want to take a minute to thank the members of our committee and our staff for their hard work this

year. This really is a must-pass bill that I look forward to getting signed into law.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in voting in favor of these bills, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time, and I rise to address this House for the final time.

For 32 years, it has been my privilege to serve as United States Representative from New York; and in the 116th Congress, it has been my distinct honor to be the first chairwoman of the House Appropriations Committee.

By wielding the power of the purse, this bill will make a profound difference in the lives of millions of Americans and people around the world.

I am proud to have worked with so many of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to assemble this bill and other legislative successes. You have challenged and inspired me, and I treasure the friendships that we have made.

My dear friend, KAY GRANGER, it has been such an honor getting to know you. Texas values, New York values, no matter what they say, we became good friends and worked so well together. Thank you, thank you, thank you.

I would be remiss if I did not thank the talented staff who have worked so hard to put this bill together, led by Clerk and Staff Director Shalanda Young, the extraordinary Shalanda Young, who is way back there.

Thank you, Shalanda Young.

And also the extraordinary deputy staff director, Chris Bigelow. What a team. They manage. No matter how much paper and no matter what the challenge, they seem to be able to put it all together and get it right.

Shalanda and Chris, I thank you—and always with an assist by my chief of staff, Elizabeth Stanley.

I do want to thank the staff director of the State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Subcommittee, which I chair, Steve Marchese.

There is one principle that has guided my 32 years in public service: When you see a problem, whether it is here or in the district or in another community, do something about it.

Too many people see a problem. They are good people, but they will all go off to this personal activity or another personal activity. Members of Congress know, when they see a problem, we have a responsibility to address it and do something about it to make life better for our community, the Nation, and the world. This bill does something to crush this virus and set us on the course for a strong and equitable recovery.

Mr. Speaker, for the last time and with a deep sense of gratitude for the honor of serving in this House, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 that funds our government and

provides desperately needed relief to American families. I'm proud to support many of the provisions in this legislation, understanding that no compromise is perfect. Frontline workers, including public health workers, firefighters, and other essential workers employed by state and local governments continue to need our support.

The bill before us today provides a lifeline to those who are struggling from the COVID-19 pandemic and the recession it has caused. It extends critical programs first authorized by the CARES Act including \$300 per week in additional unemployment benefits, a second round of direct payments of up to \$600, \$284 billion for additional small business loans under the Paycheck Protection Program, and \$13 billion in nutrition assistance for Americans facing hunger. It also provides \$25 billion to help renters pay their rent and stay in their homes. I'm deeply disappointed that the Administration and the Senate Majority Leader would not allow restaurants and their workers to receive our help. With over 300 bipartisan cosponsors on the RESTAURANT Act, these businesses and their workers should have been in this legislation.

In addition to pandemic relief, the bill funds the government through the remainder of the fiscal year, avoiding a costly government shutdown before the holidays, and invests in critical priorities, including clean energy, affordable housing, public schools, and broadband. I'm proud to have secured \$100 million for the electrification of Caltrain, \$8.9 million for environmental conservation of the San Francisco Bay, \$33 million for construction of the Linac Coherent Light Source upgrade (LCLS-II) at SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, and \$85.2 million for the Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA) at NASA Ames.

Our country is in the midst of the worst public health crisis in a century, and I'm proud to say that the Democrats on the Energy and Commerce Health Subcommittee, which I have had the privilege to lead, have stepped up to the challenge by securing provisions that include the following:

Provide billions to ensure the free, timely, and equitable distribution of safe, effective vaccines and more resources for a national testing strategy:

End surprise medical billing. No patient will face an unexpected, expensive bill just because they are caught in a web of providers.

Assist doctors and public and rural hospitals survive the pandemic by stopping planned Medicare and Medicaid cuts.

Provide three years of funding for critical public health programs including Community Health Centers, Teaching Health Centers, the National Health Service Corps, and the special diabetes programs.

Restore Medicaid coverage for the citizens of the freely associated states living in the U.S., to whom America has for too long abandoned its commitments to.

Improve Medicare coverage for beneficiaries across the country by simplifying Part B enrollment; permanently authorizing the use of telehealth for mental health care; eliminating cost-sharing for colorectal cancer screenings; and extending coverage for immunosuppressive drugs for kidney transplant patients. I'm especially proud to have co-led and championed the immunosuppressive drug coverage legislation to correct a short-sighted coverage policy

which will save 375 kidney transplants each year. This provision appears in Section 402 of Division CC and is based on H.R. 5534, the Comprehensive Immunosuppressive Drug Coverage for Kidney Transplant Patients Act of 2019.

Improve the Medicaid program by expanding access to certified community behavioral health clinics; eliminate spousal impoverishment for partners of Medicaid beneficiaries receiving home and community-based services; and continuing the Money Follows the Person rebalancing demonstration which makes it possible for people on Medicaid to transition to a safer home or community-based environment and still maintain Medicaid funding.

Lower health care costs by strengthening parity in mental health and substance use disorder benefits; removing gag clauses on health price and quality information; ending a loophole that allowed drugs for opioid use disorder to benefit from the orphan drug designation; and making it easier for biosimilar products to come to market by increasing patent and exclusivity transparency. This final provision appears in Section 325 of Division BB, and it is a bill I'm proud to have sponsored, H.R. 1520, the Purple Book Continuity Act. It requires patent information for biologics to be submitted to the FDA and published in the publicly-available "Purple Book." By creating a single, searchable list of licensed biologics, manufacturers will be able to plan a pipeline of lower-cost biosimilar products for years to come.

I'm also proud to have several health appropriations which I requested included in today's agreement. These items represent the critical advancement of life-saving research and care, including: \$15 million for the Pancreatic Cancer Research Program at the DOD, which I've long championed and which comes as the world continues to mourn the deaths this year of several iconic Americans from this very aggressive cancer, including Congressman John Lewis and Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg; \$42.9 billion for the NIH for forward thinking investments in medical research; \$597 million for critical biodefense and public health emergency preparedness at BARDA, which my legislation created. Another \$19.7 billion is in the COVID-19 relief agreement for BARDA to manufacture and procure vaccines; \$350 million for the Children's Hospitals Graduate Medical Education Program to support pediatric medical residents' training; and \$5.4 million for research on Chronic Fatigue Syndrome at the CDC to better understand this terrible disease.

There's still so much more the American people need. We must increase federal funding for the Medicaid program during this health and economic crisis, including home-and-community-based services, improve infection control and quality in our nation's nursing homes, and address the public health crises that continue during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as America's unacceptable rates of maternal mortality, suicides, and overdoses. I'm proud of what we've accomplished in the Health Subcommittee this year and energized to continue the fight in 2021.

Today's agreement includes important clean energy provisions, including my legislation, H.R. 1420, the Energy Efficient Government Technology Act, which appears as Sections 1003 and 1004 of Division Z. The bill requires government agencies to develop plans to im-

plement best practices for energy management, purchase more energy efficient information and communications technologies, and submit to periodic evaluation of their data centers for energy efficiency.

Data centers are a critical part of our national infrastructure and are found in nearly every sector of our economy. The federal government alone has more than 2,000 data centers which store everything from Social Security and tax records, toe-books at the Library of Congress. Despite their importance to our government and our economy, many are extremely inefficient when it comes to energy use.

The good news is many data centers can significantly reduce their energy use using existing technology and best practices. This will reduce not only the government's carbon footprint but also its energy bills. My bipartisan legislation has the potential to save taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars in reduced energy costs in the future, while setting an example for the private sector to reduce energy usage at data centers.

We must ensure students in need can continue their studies during the pandemic as colleges begin another semester of remote learning, and I'm proud that our agreement includes funding for these students. Section 902 of Division N is modeled on H.R. 6814, the Supporting Connectivity for Higher Education Students in Need Act, bicameral legislation I introduced on May 13, 2020.

The provision provides \$285 million to expand connectivity for historically Black colleges and universities, Tribal colleges and universities, Hispanic-serving institutions and other minority-serving institutions, their students, and minority-owned businesses near those colleges. The funding can be used to purchase routers, modems, wi-fi hotspots, tablets, and laptops. Funding recipients must prioritize low-income students. The legislation also establishes the Office of Minority Broadband Initiatives within the NTIA to carry out programs expand access to broadband at and in communities around HBCUs, TCUs, HSIs and other MSIs.

Between 2012 and 2018, over \$1.2 billion in 9-1-1 fees were diverted to uses other than 9-1-1. While most states curtailed this horrific practice, four states continue diverting 9-1-1 fees: New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Nevada. I first co-led the bipartisan 9-1-1 Fee Integrity Act to require by statute that 9-1-1 fees can only be used for 9-1-1 purposes on September 14, 2018. I'm pleased that the legislation appears in Section 902 of Division FF.

I'm pleased that today's agreement includes funding for AI R&D. The Joint Explanatory Statement for Division B includes direction for AI R&D to expand at NSF and NIST, including with a focus on increasing AI workforce diversity and developing a framework for ethical and safe AI. I've twice written to the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies requesting robust AI R&D funding, and I thank them for their leadership in taking an important step to increase AI funding.

I'm pleased that this legislation includes funding for several technology and telecommunications matters that are priorities for me and for our country, including \$3.2 billion for a \$50 per month emergency broadband benefit for low income families, Pell Grant re-

cipients, students eligible for free or reduced lunch, and recently unemployed individuals; \$1.9 billion to 'rip and replace' telecommunications equipment made by insecure suppliers, like Huawei and ZTE, which I first asked the FCC to investigate in 2010; \$250 million for the FCC's COVID-19 Telehealth Program; and \$65 million to develop reliable broadband maps which are critical for the federal government and all states to know where broadband support funding would be most effective.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I rise to emphasize the appropriate application and interpretation of Section 404 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, which has been included as Division W of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021.

Section 404 was authored by Chairman BENNIE THOMPSON of the Committee on Homeland Security. Its essence is to establish a specialized fellowship program related to cybersecurity and intelligence within the Department of Homeland Security. The program is meant for certain undergraduate students from diverse racial, ethnic, cultural, and other backgrounds. And the Secretary of Homeland Security—who shall administer Section 404—also will have authority to hire successful fellowship participants as Department employees, and into positions involving cyber or intelligence.

The broader and most compelling goal being, of course, to ensure that the Department and the Federal government are doing their utmost to recruit, hire and retain a highly diverse workforce. The language of Section 404 must be interpreted and implemented by the Department broadly, with a mind towards attainment of this lawful objective; under no circumstances should it be read in an inappropriately narrow or needlessly restrictive fashion.

Although Section 404 does not require that students attend Historically Black Colleges or Universities or Minority-Serving Institutions in order to participate in the fellowship program, the Secretary of Homeland Security—who will administer this authority—should make extensive efforts to promote the fellowship among students from HBCUs and MSIs.

Together with the other Members of the Homeland Security and Intelligence Committees, I will look forward to receiving the report required by Section 404, which will permit Congress to confirm that, in fact, the Department is conducting the necessary outreach to HBCUs, MSIs, and other Institutions of Higher Education; and, consistent with the approach I have set forth here, reading and applying Section 404's language in a manner that fulfills the initiative's overarching goal.

Mr. ADERHOLT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to lend my support of this fiscal year 2021 appropriations package.

I thank the Chair of the Appropriations Committee, Mrs. LOWEY, and my friend, Chairman SERRANO, as they prepare to retire for their many years of dedication and hard work to this institution and I wish them both well.

I also want to thank Ranking Member GRANGER for her outstanding leadership this year.

I'm pleased that this bill supports the Trump Administration's Moon to Mars Artemis initiative and rejects radical demands to defund the police. It also restores long-standing Second Amendment protections that have enjoyed historical, bipartisan support yet were excluded

from the House-passed Commerce, Justice, Science Appropriations Act.

I am also pleased that this bill rejects issues which previously held up a COVID relief agreement. This bill provides individuals, families, health care providers, and businesses the assistance which we can agree on, to help everyone get through this pandemic. It also looks to the future by providing additional funding to expand internet access in rural areas.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, The House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 133, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, includes many important provisions. Among them is Section 203 of Title II, Division BB, based on H.R. 7539, the Strengthening Behavioral Health Parity Act—an important step forward in improving the effective enforcement of mental health parity laws that govern group and individual health plans and coverage. I am pleased to see this legislation advance as part of our continuing efforts to ensure the promise of parity is realized for all.

As Chairman of the Committee on Education and Labor, which has legislative jurisdiction over employee health benefit plans (including provisions of the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act or ERISA) as well as oversight jurisdiction over the United States Department of Labor, I would like to provide additional clarification for the record regarding the interactions between this legislation and existing enforcement and compliance efforts by the Secretary of Labor.

First, no provision of Section 203 in any way restricts, alters, or otherwise interferes with the existing enforcement and oversight authority of the Secretary of Labor, including the Secretary's authority to investigate, audit, and seek equitable or other relief to enforce any requirements of federal law. The requirement that the Secretary examine the comparative analyses of at least 20 plans annually serves as a floor, not a ceiling, on the Department's enforcement actions, and the Department remains authorized to continue to utilize its broad authority under Sections 502, 504, 506 of ERISA and other laws to enforce all requirements of this bill, Part 7 of ERISA, and any other requirements of federal law.

Second, no provision of Section 203 in any way restricts, alters, or otherwise interferes with the rights of group health plan participants to bring an action to enforce their rights under Section 502 of ERISA, nor does it impact judicial review of any statutory violations. This bill also should not be interpreted to create any additional presumption in favor of health plans and issuers during judicial review of nonquantitative treatment limitation (NQL) determinations, and the Secretary remains authorized to seek equitable or other relief, including relief regarding the re-adjudication of claims. All provisions should be interpreted to ensure the broadest access to relief for plan participants.

Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the 900 billion dollar economic relief package which has been agreed to by Members of Congress on both sides of the aisle. While this package is far from what is actually needed, it is necessary to act right now and we do not have any additional time to wait. This bill will direct billions of dollars in aid to unemployed individuals who are suffering with no other hope or help in sight.

It will provide up to \$600 in stimulus checks, it will extend unemployment benefit checks, extend a moratorium on evictions for 1 month, 325 billion for business relief with 275 billion going to paycheck protection, 82 billion for schools, 20 billion for vaccine distribution. While this is not quite exactly what I was looking for, it will hold us until President Biden takes the reins and leads us on.

Mr. LARSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, the American people are incredibly frustrated, and rightly so. This relief package, while a step forward, should have been passed months ago. House Democrats first passed the Heroes Act in May; at the same time, Majority Leader MITCH MCCONNELL called for a 'pause' and Senate Republicans refused to act until now.

More than 315,000 Americans have died from COVID-19. Experts have said if we had made a larger investment in testing and tracing, many of these deaths could have been prevented. Millions of Americans are unemployed and many are facing food insecurity. Our state and local governments, including first responders, have been on the frontlines of this pandemic but are being left out of this relief package. We can and we must do more.

As I have said before, we cannot get the economy up and running until we conquer the virus.

Today's relief package makes significant investments in testing and tracing efforts, vaccines, therapeutics, and medical supplies and funding for underserved communities, all of which are critical to crushing this pandemic.

This package provides help for the millions of Americans who are struggling right now. It strengthens critical programs for those who are unemployed by extending and enhancing unemployment insurance and rental assistance. It also offers \$600 in direct payments to low- and moderate-income Americans and includes a \$13 billion increase in food assistance programs to help the millions of families facing food insecurity.

This bill also includes vital funding for small businesses, including specific funding for arts and cultural programs and smaller businesses who weren't able to access the initial relief funding.

These are welcome steps forward, but they are just a down payment on what needs to be done.

Ms. BONAMICI. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, which includes my bipartisan Water Power Research and Development Act.

As we work to mitigate the climate crisis and transition to a 100 percent clean energy economy, we cannot ignore our ocean. It covers more than 70 percent of the surface of our planet, and we can capture the power of its waves, currents, and tides to power our homes, buildings, and communities.

Marine energy has tremendous potential as one of the last untapped renewable energy sources, and federal investment can help unlock it. Earlier this year, I was pleased to work with my House Oceans Caucus CoChair, Congressman DON YOUNG, and another marine energy enthusiast from the east coast, Congressman TED DEUTCH, to introduce the bipartisan Water Power Research and Development Act. The bill would reauthorize funding for research, development, demonstration, and commercialization of marine energy within the Department of Energy's Water Power Tech-

nologies Office. Importantly, the bill authorizes funding for existing and new National Marine Energy Centers, including the Pacific Marine Energy Center—operated by Oregon State University, the University of Washington, and the University of Alaska Fairbanks.

Researchers at Oregon State University are leading the way on this innovative work. Through the Pacific Marine Energy Center, OSU is currently in the process of developing PacWave, the first of its kind wave energy test facility off the Oregon Coast. Their leadership scaling up the PacWave testing facility will catalyze this nascent industry. Additionally, we are fortunate to have the advanced manufacturing capacity of companies like Vigor, which recently constructed an 826-ton wave energy device that was deployed off the shores of Hawaii. It was not until I visited Vigor to see the device that I grasped the scale of this resource and what we can gain from it.

I appreciate the support of Chairwoman JOHNSON in helping to secure the inclusion of the Water Power Research and Development Act in today's comprehensive energy package and end of year spending bill, and I am thrilled that it will soon be signed into law. I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill, which is an important step forward in advancing ocean climate action.

Ms. VELAZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this relief bill because the American people cannot wait another day.

Over 315,000 Americans are dead. Three out of every 4 small businesses have seen their revenues fall, and thousands have shut their doors for good.

Food insecurity has doubled for families with children, and millions of Americans are turning to food banks for the first time.

In most states, 1 in 5 households are behind on rent. More than 10 million people are unemployed.

But it's been over six months since the House passed the Heroes Act, comprehensive legislation to address these issues months ago.

And all this time, Republicans have refused to lift a finger to provide relief to struggling families. It is shameful that it took this long for my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to come to the table.

And let me be clear—\$600 in direct payments and an additional \$300 per week in unemployment is not enough—in fact, it's just half of the aid that we passed in the CARES Act.

So, while I am disappointed in my Republican colleagues and recognize this bill is not ideal or as comprehensive as the Heroes Act—it will provide some much-needed relief to the American people until we can pass a more robust stimulus under the Biden Administration.

I'd particularly like to thank all the staff from member offices, to committee offices, to leadership and floor staff, who worked long hours and through the weekend to help put this package together.

For small businesses, this bill takes action to authorize a second round of PPP loans to the hardest hit businesses. Importantly, it will set aside funding reserved for small businesses with 10 or fewer employees and those in underserved communities. It also utilizes small banks and mission-based community lenders to guarantee fair access to small employers.

And finally, this bill provides for what we have been hearing on the ground from small

businesses—the need for cash infusions through grants. By establishing new guardrails and allocating \$20 billion to the EIDL grant program, we are targeting the hardest hit small businesses to receive these grants.

Meanwhile, as shuttered entertainment venues, including our cultural institutions and movie theaters, that depend on large crowds to survive have been closed by the pandemic and faced difficulties accessing PPP and other SBA programs, this bill creates a new \$15 billion dollar grant program to target these establishments that have experienced a dramatic decline in revenue.

But our efforts do not end here. We will continue to seek more assistance next year because Main Street, especially our independent restaurants, and working families deserve for us to come back to the table to provide a lifeline while we await vaccine distributions.

So today, I'm voting yes but I urge my fellow members, let's not stop here. Let's come back in January and pass more relief for the American people.

Mr. HUFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to call attention to one of the many important provisions of today's legislation. In particular, I rise to discuss Section 1109 of Title XI of Division FF, relating to aquatic ecosystem protection, which comes from the FUTURE Western Water Infrastructure and Drought Resiliency title that I authored in H.R. 2 earlier this year.

Today's provision is an improved version of that legislation, and it is the result of the ongoing bicameral water infrastructure negotiations between the committees of jurisdiction. I am grateful for the work done on both sides of the Capitol and both sides of the aisle to bring this to fruition. This aquatic ecosystem protection provision is designed to fund broadly-supported fish passage projects in the western states, and the specific intent is to help projects like the one in the Eel and Russian River basins in California, where we have a chance to significantly improve fish passage and habitat on the Eel River while providing long term certainty and reliability for Russian River water users.

The Potter Valley Project Ad Hoc Committee has been refining this effort for several years, and we now have a Two-Basin Partnership that is taking the next steps. The intent of this new aquatic ecosystem protection statute is to support the important work of the Two-Basin Partnership, as well as other community-supported restoration projects like it around the west.

I am including in the RECORD letters of support from the Round Valley Indian Tribes, Humboldt County, Sonoma County Water Agency, Mendocino County Inland Water and Power Commission, and California Trout—collectively known as the Two-Basin Partnership—as well as from the Nature Conservancy, Trout Unlimited, and California Trout—collectively known as the California Salmon and Steelhead Coalition.

I thank my colleagues for working with me to bring this legislation to fruition, and thank the staff in both the House and Senate who have helped in this effort, especially Matthew Muirragui from the House Natural Resources Committee and John Driscoll, Logan Ferree, and Ben Miller on my personal staff. I look forward to working with the next administration to support this win-win outcome for the North Coast and North Bay.

DEAR CHAIRMAN HUFFMAN: The Round Valley Indian Tribes, Humboldt County,

Sonoma County Water Agency, Mendocino County Inland Water and Power Commission, and California Trout, collectively known as the Two-Basin Partnership, write in strong support of the aquatic ecosystem restoration provision included in H.R. 2, which we understand you and your staff have negotiated for inclusion in the omnibus appropriations bill.

The Potter Valley Project is a hydroelectric facility that, in addition to generating a small amount of electricity, diverts water from the Eel River into the Russian River basin. The Project's main facilities include two dams on the Eel River, a diversion tunnel and a hydroelectric plant. Citing economic concerns, current Project owner Pacific Gas & Electric announced in January 2019 that it would not seek a new license from Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to continue operating the facilities. PG&E's decision to not re-license the Project left an uncertain future for both Eel and Russian River interests. Instead of leaving it up to the utility and federal regulators to determine the region's water future, local leaders decided to work together to protect the interests of both river basins. The Two-Basin Partnership is a direct outgrowth of that collaborative effort, which brought together diverse stakeholders to develop a compromise solution for the future of this aging and non-economically viable hydroelectric project.

The Partners have joined together as the applicant for the Project, with the collective goal of restoring 288 linear river miles of spawning habitat at the headwaters of the Eel through the removal of Scott Dam, while ensuring water supply reliability on the Russian River. Additional components of the proposed Project Plan include improving a water diversion infrastructure at Cape Horn Dam and developing infrastructure to provide water to meet summer irrigation needs for farmers and ranchers in Potter Valley.

As you know, this legislation would directly support the Two-Basin Solution's shared objectives, which include: minimizing or avoiding adverse impacts to water supply reliability, fisheries, water quality and recreation in the Russian River and Eel River basins; improving fish passage and habitat on the Eel River sufficient to support recovery of naturally reproducing, self-sustaining and harvestable native anadromous fish populations including migratory access upstream and downstream at current project dam locations; and protecting tribal cultural, economic, and other interests in both the Eel and Russian River basins.

On behalf of the Two-Basin Partners, we strongly support this inclusion and your continued support of our efforts on the Eel and Russian Rivers.

Sincerely,

KATHLEEN WILLITS,
Councilmember, Round Valley Indian Tribes.

GRANT DAVIS,
General Manager, Sonoma Water.

HANK SEEMANN,
Deputy Director-Environmental Services, Humboldt County Public Works Department.

JANET PAULI,
Chair, Mendocino County Inland Water and Power Commission.

CURTIS KNIGHT,
California Trout.

DECEMBER 18, 2020.

DEAR CHAIRMAN HUFFMAN: The Nature Conservancy, Trout Unlimited, and California Trout, collectively known as the California Salmon and Steelhead Coalition, write in strong support of the aquatic ecosystem restoration provision included in H.R. 2, which we understand you and your staff have negotiated for inclusion in the omnibus appropriations bill.

The Coalition is a strategic partnership founded to increase streamflows in California's North and Central Coast watersheds, with the goal of restoring and protecting wild salmon and steelhead and creating water reliability for people. We are working toward a California where water use management harmonizes and meets the needs of people, needs of fish and ecosystems. It is for these reasons that the Coalition is working together to support the Potter Valley Project relicensing effort as a major restoration opportunity.

The current Potter Valley Project Plan is built around a unique collaboration among conservationists, county governments, tribes, farmers and other water interests to shape a new future for the Project, a set of obsolete hydroelectric facilities in the upper Eel River and Russian River Watersheds. This effort, if successful, will implement one of the largest dam removals in the history of the United States while strengthening the security of local water supplies.

The project consists of two dams on the upper Eel River—Scott and Cape Horn—as well as a system of trans-basin tunnels that divert approximately 60,000 acre-feet of water per year from the Eel to the Russian to generate hydroelectric power. This water ultimately flows to agricultural and municipal users in the Russian River Watershed. But, perhaps more importantly, the project blocks fish from reaching 288 linear stream miles above Scott Dam that were once the spawning grounds for some of the largest salmon and steelhead runs in California.

In January 2019, PG&E chose to discontinue its efforts to renew the project's license, which expires in 2022, from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). This left the door open for a different entity to license the project. Seeing this as an opportunity to remove the dams blocking the headwaters of the Eel River, California Trout joined with a diverse coalition—Sonoma Water, Mendocino County Inland Water and Power Commission, and Humboldt County—to notify FERC that they would explore taking over the re-licensing of the project. Over the subsequent year, those parties—joined by the Round Valley Tribe—worked with a team of technical consultants to develop a proposed plan to take over the project and implement a “Two-Basin Solution” that would remove Scott Dam, improve fish passage at Cape Horn Dam and build new infrastructure to deliver secure water supplies to farmers who currently rely on the project.

In the spring of 2020, the three Coalition groups helped negotiate an initial plan proposing the removal of Scott Dam and the implementation of a Two-Basin Solution to modernize this project to improve water supply reliability for farms and communities in the Russian and Eel River Basins; the plan will also help to restore struggling salmon and steelhead runs by reconnecting the river to its headwaters. In April the Coalition secured a \$1.1 million CDFW grant that will be used to develop that proposal into a complete re-licensing plan. We have also made inroads with the communities that would be most affected by dam removal and lobbied for state and federal funding that will be needed to further develop and implement the plan.

We strongly support the inclusion of aquatic ecosystem restoration funding in the omnibus that could facilitate the watershed-level restoration of a key salmon river in California. Thank you for your leadership and support.

Sincerely,

CURTIS KNIGHT,
Executive Director,
California Trout.

MATT CLIFFORD,
Staff Attorney, California Water Project, Trout Unlimited.

JAY ZIEGLER,
California Director of External Affairs and Policy, The Nature Conservancy.

Mr. HALL. Mr. Speaker, the first wave of stimulus spending proved frustrating for a lot of small and medium sized business.

Many mom and pop businesses ran in to loan processing problems because there were problems with their applications.

Many applicants did not know that their applications needed to be cured from any defects or that there were defects with their application.

Some other small businesses were rejected because their lender ran out of allocation because the lenders were focused on larger loans.

That is why, Democrats were able to secure \$325 billion in small business aid this time around.

Democrats secured critical funding and policy changes to help small businesses, including minority-owned businesses, and nonprofits recover from the pandemic.

This deal includes over \$284 billion for first and second forgivable PPP loans, dedicated set-asides for very small businesses and lending through community-based lenders like Community Development Financial Institutions and Minority Depository Institutions, and expanded PPP eligibility for 501(c)(6) nonprofits, including destination marketing organizations, and local newspapers, TV and radio broadcasters. \$20 billion is included for new EIDL Grants for businesses in low-income communities, \$3.5 billion for continued SBA debt relief payments, and \$2 billion for enhancements to SBA lending.

This deal also includes \$15 billion in dedicated funding for live venues, independent movie theaters, and cultural institutions.

Small businesses that took a PPP loan and saw their revenues fall by 25% will be eligible for a second loan.

Congress will also allow PPP borrowers to take tax deductions for covered business expenses.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 1271, the previous question is ordered.

The question of adoption of the motion is divided.

The first portion of the divided question is: Will the House concur in the Senate amendment with the matter proposed to be inserted as Divisions B, C, E, and F of the amendment of the House?

The question is on the first portion of the divided question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 965, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 327, nays 85, not voting 18, as follows:

[Roll No. 250]

YEAS—327

Adams	Estes	Lawson (FL)
Aderholt	Evans	Lee (NV)
Aguiar	Ferguson	Lesko
Allen	Finkenauer	Levin (CA)
Allred	Fitzpatrick	Lieu, Ted
Amodei	Fleischmann	Lipinski
Arrington	Fletcher	Loeback
Axne	Flores	Lowenthal
Bacon	Fortenberry	Lowey
Baird	Poster	Lucas
Balderson	Foxx (NC)	Luetkemeyer
Barr	Frankel	Luján
Barragán	Fudge	Luria
Bass	Fulcher	Lynch
Beatty	Gaetz	Malinowski
Bera	Gallego	Maloney, Sean
Bergman	Garamendi	Marshall
Beyer	Garcia (CA)	Matsui
Bilirakis	Garcia (TX)	McAdams
Bishop (GA)	Gianforte	McBath
Blunt Rochester	Gibbs	McCarthy
Bonamici	Golden	McCaul
Bost	Gonzalez (OH)	McCollum
Brady	Gottheimer	McEachin
Brindisi	Granger	McHenry
Brooks (AL)	Graves (LA)	McKinley
Brown (MD)	Graves (MO)	McNerney
Brownley (CA)	Green, Al (TX)	Meeks
Buchanan	Griffith	Meuser
Bucshon	Grothman	Mfume
Burgess	Guest	Miller
Bustos	Haaland	Mitchell
Butterfield	Hagedorn	Moolenaar
Byrne	Hall	Morelle
Calvert	Harder (CA)	Moulton
Carbajal	Harris	Mucarsels-Powell
Cárdenas	Hartzler	Murphy (FL)
Carson (IN)	Hastings	Nadler
Carter (GA)	Hayes	Napolitano
Cartwright	Heck	Neal
Case	Hern, Kevin	Neguse
Casten (IL)	Herrera Beutler	Newhouse
Castor (FL)	Higgins (NY)	Norcross
Chabot	Hill (AR)	Nunes
Cheney	Himes	O'Halleran
Chu, Judy	Holding	Olson
Cicilline	Horn, Kendra S.	Palazzo
Cisneros	Horsford	Pallone
Clark (MA)	Houlahan	Palmer
Clay	Hoyer	Panetta
Cleaver	Hudson	Pappas
Cline	Huizenga	Pascrell
Clyburn	Hurd (TX)	Payne
Cohen	Jackson Lee	Pelosi
Cole	Jacobs	Pence
Collins (GA)	Johnson (GA)	Perlmutter
Conaway	Johnson (LA)	Peters
Connolly	Johnson (OH)	Peterson
Cooper	Johnson (SD)	Phillips
Costa	Johnson (TX)	Pingree
Courtney	Joyce (OH)	Porter
Cox (CA)	Joyce (PA)	Price (NC)
Craig	Kaptur	Quigley
Crawford	Katko	Reed
Crenshaw	Keating	Reschenthaler
Crist	Kelly (IL)	Rice (NY)
Crow	Kelly (PA)	Richmond
Cunningham	Kildee	Riggleman
Davids (KS)	Kilmer	Roby
Davis (CA)	Kim	Rodgers (WA)
Davis, Danny K.	Kind	Roe, David P.
Davis, Rodney	King (NY)	Rogers (KY)
Dean	Kinzing	Rooney (FL)
DeGette	Kirkpatrick	Rose (NY)
DeLauro	Krishnamoorthi	Rouda
DelBene	Kuster (NH)	Rouzer
Delgado	Kustoff (TN)	Roybal-Allard
Demings	LaHood	Ruiz
Deutsch	LaMalfa	Ruppersberger
Diaz-Balart	Lamb	Rush
Dingell	Lamborn	Rutherford
Doyle, Michael	Langevin	Ryan
F.	Larsen (WA)	Sánchez
Emmer	Larson (CT)	Sarbanes
Engel	Latta	Scalise
Eshoo	Lawrence	Scanlon

Schiff	Stanton	Van Drew
Schneider	Stauber	Veasey
Schrader	Stefanik	Visclosky
Schrier	Steil	Wagner
Scott (VA)	Stevens	Walberg
Scott, Austin	Stewart	Walden
Scott, David	Stivers	Walorski
Serrano	Suozzi	Waltz
Sewell (AL)	Swalwell (CA)	Wasserman
Shalala	Thompson (CA)	Schultz
Sherman	Thompson (MS)	Waters
Sherrill	Thompson (PA)	Watkins
Shimkus	Thornberry	Wenstrup
Simpson	Tipton	Westerman
Sires	Titus	Wexton
Slotkin	Tonko	Wild
Smith (MO)	Torres (CA)	Williams
Smith (NE)	Torres Small	Wilson (FL)
Smith (NJ)	(NM)	Wittman
Smith (WA)	Trahan	Womack
Smucker	Trone	Woodall
Soto	Turner	Yarmuth
Spanberger	Underwood	Young
Speier	Upton	Zeldin

NAYS—85

Amash	Gohmert	Mooney (WV)
Armstrong	Gomez	Moore
Babin	Gonzalez (TX)	Mullin
Banks	Gooden	Norman
Biggs	Gosar	Ocasio-Cortez
Bishop (NC)	Green (TN)	Omar
Blumenauer	Grijalva	Perry
Boyle, Brendan	Hice (GA)	Pocan
F.	Higgins (LA)	Posey
Buck	Hollingsworth	Pressley
Budd	Huffman	Raskin
Burchett	Jayapal	Rice (SC)
Castro (TX)	Jeffries	Rose, John W.
Clarke (NY)	Jordan	Roy
Cloud	Keller	Schakowsky
Comer	Kelly (MS)	Schweikert
Correa	Kennedy	Sensenbrenner
Cuellar	Khanna	Steube
Curtis	Lee (CA)	Takano
Davidson (OH)	Levin (MI)	Taylor
DeFazio	Lofgren	Tiffany
DeSaulnier	Long	Timmons
DesJarlais	Maloney,	Tlaib
Doggett	Carolyn B.	Vargas
Escobar	Massie	Vela
Españat	Mast	Velázquez
Gabbard	McClintock	Watson Coleman
Gallagher	McGovern	Weber (TX)
Garcia (IL)	Meng	Welch

NOT VOTING—18

Abraham	Guthrie	Spano
Bishop (UT)	King (IA)	Walker
Brooks (IN)	Loudermilk	Webster (FL)
Carter (TX)	Marchant	Wilson (SC)
Duncan	Murphy (NC)	Wright
Dunn	Rogers (AL)	Yoho

□ 2025

Messrs. GRIJALVA, JEFFRIES, and WEBER of Texas changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. MCCARTHY changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the first portion of the divided question was adopted.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE
RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Allred (Wexton)	Carson (IN)	DelBene
Axne (Davids	(Butterfield)	(Cicilline)
(KS))	Case	DeSaulnier
Barragán (Beyer)	(Cartwright)	(Matsui)
Bera (Aguiar)	Castor (FL)	Deutch (Rice
Bishop (GA)	(Demings)	(NY))
(Butterfield)	Cisneros	Doggett (Raskin)
Blumenauer	(Carbajal)	Escobar (Garcia
(Beyer)	Cleaver (Davids	(TX))
Bonamici (Clark	(KS))	Eshoo
(MA))	Cohen (Beyer)	(Thompson
Boyle, Brendan	Costa (Correa)	(CA))
F. (Jeffries)	Davis (CA)	Finkenauer
Brownley (CA)	(Scanlon)	(Underwood)
(Clark (MA))	Dean (Scanlon)	Fletcher
Bustos (Kuster	DeFazio (Davids	(Raskin)
(NH))	(KS))	Frankel (Clark
Cárdenas	DeGette (Blunt	(MA))
(Carbajal)	Rochester)	

Garamendi (Sherman)	Lofgren (Jeffries)	Rooney (FL)	DelBene	Kirkpatrick	Roby	Brooks (AL)	Green (TN)	Posey
Gianforte (Beyer)	Lowenthal (Beyer)	(Beyer)	Delgado	Krishnamoorthi	Rodgers (WA)	Buck	Griffith	Rose, John W.
(Suozi)	McEachin	Rouda (Aguilar)	Demings	Kuster (NH)	Roe, David P.	Budd	Grothman	Roy
Gonzalez (TX)	(Wexton)	Royalb-Allard	DeSaulnier	Kustoff (TN)	Rogers (KY)	Burchett	Hice (GA)	Schweikert
(Gomez)	McNerney	(Garcia (TX))	Deutsch	LaHood	Rooney (FL)	Burgess	Higgins (LA)	Sensenbrenner
Grijalva (Garcia (IL))	(Raskin)	Ruiz (Dingell)	Diaz-Balart	LaMalfa	Rose (NY)	Cloud	Hollingsworth	Smith (MO)
Haaland (Davids (KS))	Meng (Clark (MA))	Rush	Dingell	Lamb	Rouda	Conaway	Jordan	Stell
Hastings	Mitchell	(Underwood)	Doggett	Langevin	Rouzer	Curtis	Keller	Steube
(Wasserman Schultz)	(Spanberger)	Ryan (Kildee)	Doyle, Michael F.	Larsen (WA)	Royalb-Allard	Davidson (OH)	Kelly (MS)	Stewart
Heck (Kildee)	Moore (Beyer)	Schakowsky	Emmer	Latta	Ruiz	DesJarlais	Lamborn	Taylor
Jayapal (Raskin)	Moulton	(Underwood)	Engel	Lawrence	Ruppersberger	Gaetz	Massie	Tiffany
Johnson (TX)	(McGovern)	(Casten (IL))	Escobar	Lawson (FL)	Rush	Gallagher	McClintock	Timmons
(Jeffries)	Mucarsel-Powell	Schrier	Eshoo	Lee (CA)	Rutherford	Gohmert	Mooney (WV)	Tipton
Kelly (IL)	(Spanberger)	(Serrano)	Esipallat	Lee (NV)	Ryan	Gooden	Mullin	Tlaib
(Clarke (NY))	Nadler (Jeffries)	(Jeffries)	Estes	Lesko	Sánchez	Gosar	Norman	Weber (TX)
Kennedy	Napolitano	(Sewell (AL))	Evans	Levin (CA)	Sarbanes		Perry	
(McGovern)	(Correa)	(Cicilline)	Ferguson	Levin (MI)	Scalise			
Khanna	Neal (Lynch)	Shimkus	Finkenauer	Lieu, Ted	Scanlon	Abraham	King (IA)	Walker
(Sherman)	Neguse	(Pallone)	Fitzpatrick	Lipinski	Schakowsky	Bishop (UT)	Loudermilk	Webster (FL)
Kilmer (Kildee)	(Perlmutter)	Sires	Fleischmann	Loeb	Schiff	Brooks (IN)	Marchant	Wilson (SC)
Kim (Davids (KS))	Pascarell	Smith (WA)	Fletcher	Lofgren	Schneider	Carter (TX)	Murphy (NC)	Wright
Kirkpatrick	(Pallone)	(Courtney)	Flores	Long	Schrader	Duncan	Rogers (AL)	Yoho
(Stanton)	Payne	Speier (Scanlon)	Fortenberry	Lowenthal	Schrier	Dunn	Spano	
Lamb (Sherrill)	(Wasserman Schultz)	Thompson (MS)	Foster	Lowey	Scott (VA)			
Langevin	Peters (Kildee)	(Fudge)	Fox (NC)	Lucas	Scott, Austin			
(Lynch)	Peterson	Titus (Connolly)	Frankel	Luetkemeyer	Scott, David			
Larson (CT)	(McCollum)	Vargas (Correa)	Fudge	Lujan	Serrano			
(Cicilline)	Pingree	Veasey (Beyer)	Gulcher	Luria	Sewell (AL)			
Lawrence	(Cicilline)	Velázquez	Gallego	Lynch	Shalala			
(Kildee)	Pocan (Raskin)	(Clarke (NY))	Garamendi	Maloney	Sherman			
Lawson (FL)	Porter (Wexton)	Watson Coleman	Garcia (CA)	Carolyn B.	Sherrill			
(Demings)	Price (NC)	(Pallone)	Garcia (IL)	Maloney, Sean	Shimkus			
Lieu, Ted (Beyer)	(Butterfield)	Welch	Garcia (TX)	Marshall	Simpson			
Lipinski	Richmond	(McGovern)	Gianforte	Mast	Sires			
(Schrader)	(Butterfield)	(Hayes)	Gibbs	Matsui	Slotkin			
			Golden	McAdams	Smith (NE)			
			Gomez	McBath	Smith (NJ)			
			Gonzalez (OH)	McCarthy	Smith (WA)			
			Gonzalez (TX)	Smucker				
			Gottheimer	McCaul	Soto			
			Granger	McCollum	Spanberger			
			Graves (LA)	McEachin	Speier			
			Graves (MO)	McGovern	Stanton			
			Green, Al (TX)	McHenry	Stauber			
			Grijalva	McKinley	Stefanik			
			Guest	McNerney	Stevens			
			Guthrie	Meeks	Stivers			
			Haaland	Meng	Suozi			
			Hagedorn	Meuser	Swalwell (CA)			
			Hall	Mfume				
			Harder (CA)	Miller	Takano			
			Harris	Mitchell	Thompson (CA)			
			Hartzler	Moolenaar	Thompson (MS)			
			Hastings	Moore	Thompson (PA)			
			Hayes	Morelle	Thornberry			
			Heck	Moulton	Titus			
			Hern, Kevin	Mucarsel-Powell	Tonko			
			Herrera Beutler	Murphy (FL)	Torres (CA)			
			Higgins (NY)	Nadler	Torres Small			
			Hill (AR)	Napolitano	(NM)			
			Himes	Neal	Trahan			
			Holding	Neguse	Trone			
			Horn, Kendra S.	Newhouse	Turner			
			Horsford	Norcross	Underwood			

Schakowsky (Underwood)	Shimkus (Pallone)	Veasey (Beyer)
Schneider (Casten (IL))	Sires (Pallone)	Velázquez (Clarke (NY))
Schrier (Spanberger)	Smith (WA) (Courtney)	Watson Coleman (Pallone)
Serrano (Jeffries)	Speier (Scanlon)	Welch (McGovern)
Sewell (AL) (Cicilline)	Thompson (MS) (Fudge)	Wilson (FL) (Hayes)
	Titus (Connolly)	
	Vargas (Correa)	

BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2020

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (S. 4996) to ensure funding of the United States trustees, extend temporary bankruptcy judgeships, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MFUME). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Rhode Island?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 4996

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Bankruptcy Administration Improvement Act of 2020".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Because of the importance of the goal that the bankruptcy system is self-funded, at no cost to the taxpayer, Congress has closely monitored the funding needs of the bankruptcy system, including by requiring periodic reporting by the Attorney General regarding the United States Trustee System Fund.

(2) Congress has amended the various bankruptcy fees as necessary to ensure that the bankruptcy system remains self-supporting, while also fairly allocating the costs of the system among those who use the system.

(3) Because the bankruptcy system is interconnected, the result has been a system of fees, including filing fees, quarterly fees in chapter 11 cases, and other fees, that together fund the courts, judges, United States trustees, and chapter 7 case trustees necessary for the bankruptcy system to function.

(4) This Act and the amendments made by this Act—

(A) ensure adequate funding of the United States trustees, supports the preservation of existing bankruptcy judgeships that are urgently needed to handle existing and anticipated increases in business and consumer caseloads, and provides long-overdue additional compensation for chapter 7 case trustees whose caseloads include chapter 11 reorganization cases that were converted to chapter 7 liquidation cases; and

(B) confirm the longstanding intention of Congress that quarterly fee requirements remain consistent across all Federal judicial districts.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act and the amendments made by this Act is to further the long-standing goal of Congress of ensuring that the bankruptcy system is self-funded, at no cost to the taxpayer.

SEC. 3. UNITED STATES TRUSTEE SYSTEM FUND; BANKRUPTCY FEES.

(a) DEPOSITS OF CERTAIN FEES FOR FISCAL YEARS 2021 THROUGH 2026.—Notwithstanding

section 589a(b) of title 28, United States Code, for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2026—

(1) the fees collected under section 1930(a)(6) of such title, less the amount specified in subparagraph (2), shall be deposited as specified in subsection (b); and

(2) \$5,400,000 of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(6) of such title shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury.

(b) UNITED STATES TRUSTEE SYSTEM FUND.—Section 589a of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(f)(1) During each of fiscal years 2021 through 2026 and notwithstanding subsections (b) and (c), the fees collected under section 1930(a)(6), less the amount specified in paragraph (2), shall be deposited as follows, in the following order:

"(A) First, the amounts specified in the Department of Justice appropriations for that fiscal year, shall be deposited as discretionary offsetting collections to the 'United States Trustee System Fund', pursuant to subsection (a), to remain available until expended.

"(B) Second, the amounts determined annually by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts that are necessary to reimburse the judiciary for the costs of administering payments under section 330(e) of title 11, shall be deposited as mandatory offsetting collections to the 'United States Trustee System Fund', and transferred and deposited into the special fund established under section 1931(a), and notwithstanding subsection (a), shall be available for expenditure without further appropriation.

"(C) Third, the amounts determined annually by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts that are necessary to pay trustee compensation authorized by section 330(e)(2) of title 11, shall be deposited as mandatory offsetting collections to the 'United States Trustee System Fund', and transferred and deposited into the Chapter 7 Trustee Fund established under section 330(e) of title 11 for payment to trustees serving in cases under chapter 7 of title 11 (in addition to the amounts paid under section 330(b) of title 11), in accordance with that section, and notwithstanding subsection (a), shall be available for expenditure without further appropriation.

"(D) Fourth, any remaining amounts shall be deposited as discretionary offsetting collections to the 'United States Trustee System Fund', to remain available until expended.

"(2) Notwithstanding subsection (b), for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2026, \$5,400,000 of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(6) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury."

(c) COMPENSATION OF OFFICERS.—Section 330 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e)(1) There is established a fund in the Treasury of the United States, to be known as the 'Chapter 7 Trustee Fund', which shall be administered by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

"(2) Deposits into the Chapter 7 Trustee Fund under section 589a(f)(1)(C) of title 28 shall be available until expended for the purposes described in paragraph (3).

"(3) For fiscal years 2021 through 2026, the Chapter 7 Trustee Fund shall be available to pay the trustee serving in a case that is filed under chapter 7 or a case that is converted to a chapter 7 case in the most recent fiscal year (referred to in this subsection as a 'chapter 7 case') the amount described in paragraph (4) for the chapter 7 case in which the trustee has rendered services in that fiscal year.

"(4) The amount described in this paragraph shall be the lesser of—

"(A) \$60; or

"(B) a pro rata share, for each chapter 7 case, of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(6) of title 28 and deposited to the United States Trustee System Fund under section 589a(f)(1) of title 28, less the amounts specified in section 589a(f)(1)(A) and (B) of title 28.

"(5) The payment received by a trustee under paragraph (3) shall be paid in addition to the amount paid under subsection (b).

"(6) Not later than September 30, 2021, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall promulgate regulations for the administration of this subsection."

(d) BANKRUPTCY FEES.—Section 1930(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (6)(B) and inserting the following:

"(B)(i) During the 5-year period beginning on January 1, 2021, in addition to the filing fee paid to the clerk, a quarterly fee shall be paid to the United States trustee, for deposit in the Treasury, in each open and reopened case under chapter 11 of title 11, other than under subchapter V, for each quarter (including any fraction thereof) until the case is closed, converted, or dismissed, whichever occurs first.

"(ii) The fee shall be the greater of—

"(I) 0.4 percent of disbursements or \$250 for each quarter in which disbursements total less than \$1,000,000; and

"(II) 0.8 percent of disbursements but not more than \$250,000 for each quarter in which disbursements total at least \$1,000,000.

"(iii) The fee shall be payable on the last day of the calendar month following the calendar quarter for which the fee is owed."; and

(2) in paragraph (7), in the first sentence, by striking "may" and inserting "shall".

(e) APPLICABILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—

(A) COMPENSATION OF OFFICERS.—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to any case filed on or after the date of enactment of this Act—

(i) under chapter 7 of title 11, United States Code; or

(ii)(I) under chapter 11, 12, or 13 of that title; and

(II) converted to a chapter 7 case under that title.

(B) BANKRUPTCY FEES.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall apply to—

(i) any case pending under chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, on or after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(ii) quarterly fees payable under section 1930(a)(6) of title 28, United States Code, as amended by subsection (d), for disbursements made in any calendar quarter that begins on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES IN CERTAIN JUDICIAL DISTRICTS.

(a) TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2017.—

(1) EXTENSIONS.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judges authorized by section 1003(a) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) for the district of Delaware and the eastern district of Michigan are extended until the applicable vacancy specified in paragraph (2) in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the respective district occurs.

(2) VACANCIES.—

(A) DISTRICT OF DELAWARE.—The 1st and 2d vacancies in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Delaware—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1003(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(B) EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN.—The 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of Michigan—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1003(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (1) and (2), all other provisions of section 1003 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judges referred to in paragraph (1).

(b) TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2005 AND EXTENDED BY THE TEMPORARY BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS EXTENSION ACT OF 2012 AND THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2017.—

(1) EXTENSIONS.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judges authorized for the following districts by section 1223(b) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), extended by section 2(a) of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and further extended by section 1002(a) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) are extended until the applicable vacancy specified in paragraph (2) in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the respective district occurs:

- (A) The district of Delaware.
- (B) The southern district of Florida.
- (C) The district of Maryland.
- (D) The eastern district of Michigan.
- (E) The district of Nevada.
- (F) The eastern district of North Carolina.
- (G) The district of Puerto Rico.
- (H) The eastern district of Virginia.

(2) VACANCIES.—

(A) SINGLE VACANCIES.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), (D), (E), and (F), the 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for each district specified in paragraph (1)—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(B) DISTRICT OF DELAWARE.—The 3d, 4th, 5th, and 6th vacancies in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Delaware—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(a)(2) of Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(C) SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA.—The 1st and 2d vacancies in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the southern district of Florida—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(a)(2) of the Bank-

ruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(D) DISTRICT OF MARYLAND.—The 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Maryland—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(E) EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN.—The 2d vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of Michigan—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(F) DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO.—The 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Puerto Rico—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (1) and (2), all other provisions of section 1223 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), section 2 of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and section 1002 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judges referred to in paragraph (1).

(c) TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2005 AND EXTENDED BY THE TEMPORARY BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS EXTENSION ACT OF 2012.—

(1) EXTENSIONS.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judges authorized for the following districts by section 1223(b) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) and extended by section 2(a) of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) are extended until the applicable vacancy specified in paragraph (2) in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the respective district occurs:

- (A) The southern district of Georgia.
- (B) The district of Maryland.
- (C) The district of New Jersey.
- (D) The northern district of New York.
- (E) The district of South Carolina.

(2) VACANCIES.—

(A) SINGLE VACANCIES.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for each district specified in paragraph (1)—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date of the enactment of this Act, and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(B) DISTRICT OF MARYLAND.—The 2d and 3d vacancies in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Maryland—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date of the enactment of this Act, and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (1) and (2), all other provisions of section 1223 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) and section 2 of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judges referred to in paragraph (1).

(d) TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGES AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 1992 AND EXTENDED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2005, THE TEMPORARY BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS EXTENSION ACT OF 2012, AND THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2017.—

(1) EXTENSIONS.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judges authorized by section 3(a) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), extended by section 1223(c) of Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), extended by section 2(b) of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and further extended by section 1002(b) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) for the district of Delaware and the district of Puerto Rico are extended until the applicable vacancy specified in paragraph (2) in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the respective district occurs.

(2) VACANCIES.—

(A) DISTRICT OF DELAWARE.—The 7th vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Delaware—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(B) DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO.—The 2d vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district of Puerto Rico—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the date established by section 1002(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (1) and (2), all other provisions of section 3 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), section 1223 of Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), section 2 of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and section 1002 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2017 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judges referred to in paragraph (1).

(e) TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGE AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 1992 AND EXTENDED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2005 AND THE TEMPORARY BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS EXTENSION ACT OF 2012.—

(1) EXTENSIONS.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judge authorized by section 3(a) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), extended by section 1223(c) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and further extended by section 2(b) of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) for the eastern district of Tennessee is extended until the applicable vacancy specified in paragraph (2) in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district occurs.

(2) VACANCY.—The 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of Tennessee—

(A) occurring 5 years or more after the date of the enactment of this Act, and

(B) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (1) and (2), all other provisions of section 3 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), section 1223 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C. 152 note), and section 2 of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judge referred to in paragraph (1).

(f) TEMPORARY OFFICE OF BANKRUPTCY JUDGE AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 1992 AND EXTENDED BY THE TEMPORARY BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS EXTENSION ACT OF 2012.—

(1) EXTENSIONS.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judge authorized by section 3(a) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) and extended by section 2(c) of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) for the middle district of North Carolina is extended until the applicable vacancy specified in paragraph (2) in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the district occurs.

(2) VACANCY.—The 1st vacancy in the office of a bankruptcy judge for the middle district of North Carolina—

(A) occurring 5 years or more after the date of the enactment of this Act, and

(B) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge, shall not be filled.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (1) and (2), all other provisions of section 3 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) and section 2 of the Temporary Bankruptcy Judgeships Extension Act of 2012 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judge referred to in paragraph (1).

SEC. 5. REGULATIONS.

Section 375(h) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking “may” and inserting “shall”.

The bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL STATEMENT ON THE INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021, SUBMITTED BY MR. SCHIFF, CHAIRMAN OF THE HOUSE PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

The following is the explanation of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (hereinafter, “the Act”).

This explanation reflects the result of negotiations and disposition of issues reached between the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) and the (hereinafter, “the Agreement”). The explanation shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of the Act as if it were a joint explana-

tory statement of a conference committee. The term “Committees” refers to both SSCI and HPSCI.

The explanation comprises three parts: an overview of the application of the annex to accompany this statement; unclassified congressional direction; and a section-by-section analysis of the legislative text.

PART I: APPLICATION OF THE CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The classified nature of U.S. intelligence activities prevents the SSCI and HPSCI (collectively, the “congressional intelligence committees”) from publicly disclosing many details concerning the conclusions and recommendations of the Agreement. Therefore, a classified Schedule of Authorizations and a classified annex have been prepared to describe in detail the scope and intent of the congressional intelligence committees’ actions. The Agreement authorizes the Intelligence Community (IC) to obligate and expend funds not altered or modified by the classified Schedule of Authorizations as requested in the President’s budget, subject to modification under applicable reprogramming procedures.

The classified annex is the result of negotiations between the congressional intelligence committees. They reconcile the differences between the congressional intelligence committees’ respective versions of the bill for the National Intelligence Program (NIP) for Fiscal Year 2021. The Agreement also makes recommendations for the Military Intelligence Program (MIP) and the Information Systems Security Program (ISSP), consistent with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, and provides certain direction for these two programs. The Agreement applies to IC activities for Fiscal Year 2021.

The classified Schedule of Authorizations is incorporated into the bill pursuant to Section 102. It has the status of law. The classified annex supplements and adds detail to clarify the authorization levels found in the bill and the classified Schedule of Authorizations. The congressional intelligence committees view direction and recommendations, whether contained in this explanation or in the classified annex, as requiring compliance by the Executive Branch.

PART II: SELECT UNCLASSIFIED CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTION

This Joint Explanatory Statement incorporates by reference, and the Executive Branch shall comply with, all direction contained in the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Report to accompany the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (S. Rept. 116-233) and in the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence Report to accompany the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (H. Rept. 116-565).

PART III: SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF LEGISLATIVE TEXT

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Section 101. Authorization of appropriations.

Section 101 lists the United States Government departments, agencies, and other elements for which the Act authorizes appropriations for intelligence and intelligence-related activities for Fiscal Year 2021.

Section 102. Classified Schedule of Authorizations.

Section 102 provides that the details of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for intelligence and intelligence-related activities for Fiscal Year 2021 are contained in the classified Schedule of Authorizations and that the classified Schedule of Authorizations shall be made available to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives and to the President.

Section 103. Intelligence Community Management Account.

Section 103 authorizes appropriations for the Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA) of the ODNI for Fiscal Year 2021.

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

Section 201. Authorization of appropriations.

Section 201 authorizes appropriations for the CIA Retirement and Disability Fund for Fiscal Year 2021.

TITLE III—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MATTERS

Subtitle A—General Intelligence Community Matters

Section 301. Restriction on conduct of intelligence activities.

Section 301 provides that the authorization of appropriations by the Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of any intelligence activity that is not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Section 302. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law.

Section 302 provides that funds authorized to be appropriated by the Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in compensation or benefits authorized by law.

Section 303. Continuity of operations plans for certain elements of the intelligence community in the case of a national emergency.

Section 303 requires the Directors of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), National Security Agency (NSA), and National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) to establish continuity of operations plans for use in the case of certain national emergencies as defined in statute, and share those with the congressional intelligence committees within 7 days of a national emergency being declared. Furthermore, Section 303 requires these agencies to provide the committees with any updates to those plans as the conditions of the national emergency require.

Section 304. Application of Executive Schedule level III to position of Director of National Reconnaissance Office.

Section 304 provides that the Director of the NRO shall be designated as Level III on the Executive Schedule, the equivalent of an Under Secretary. The Committee further clarifies that this provision shall apply to a

successor civilian occupying the position of Director of the NRO.

Section 305. National Intelligence University.

Section 305 provides the National Intelligence University (NIU) with degree-granting authority and requires reporting on personnel and compensation. Section 305 also sustains an independent, external board of visitors to provide oversight of the NIU.

Section 306. Data collection on attrition in intelligence community.

Section 306 requires the DNI to set standards and issue an annual report on the reasons why different categories of IC employees separate from service or applicants to IC positions withdraw from the hiring process after they have been issued a conditional offer of employment. Data on workforce attrition should include demographics, specialties, and length of service. Such reasons may include an alternative job opportunity, a loss of interest in joining the IC, or the length of time to complete the clearance process.

Section 307. Limitation on delegation of responsibility for program management of information-sharing environment.

Section 307 stipulates that the President must delegate responsibilities under Section 1016(b) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 to an official other than the DNI.

Section 308. Requirement to buy certain satellite component from American sources.

Section 308 prohibits an element of the IC to award a contract for a national security satellite if the satellite uses a star tracker that is not produced in the United States, with certain limited exceptions.

Section 309. Limitation on construction of facilities to be used primarily by intelligence community.

Section 309 amends the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 regarding funding and authorities for facility construction.

Section 310. Intelligence community student loan repayment programs.

Section 310 requires the DNI to establish minimum student loan repayment standards for IC employees.

*Subtitle B—Reports and Assessments
Pertaining to the Intelligence Community*

Section 321. Assessment by the Comptroller General of the United States on efforts of the Intelligence Community and the Department of Defense to identify and mitigate risks posed to the intelligence community and the Department by the use of direct-to-consumer genetic testing by the Government of the People's Republic of China.

Section 321 directs the Comptroller General to assess efforts in the IC and Department of Defense (DoD) to identify and mitigate the risks posed to the IC and DoD by direct-to-consumer genetic testing by the Government of the People's Republic of China. Section 321 further requires the report to include key national security risks and vulnerabilities, an assessment of the IC's and DoD's identification and mitigation of such risks and vulnerabilities, and recommendations for the IC and DoD to improve identification and mitigation of such risks and vulnerabilities.

Section 322. Report on use by intelligence community of hiring flexibilities and expedited human resources practices to assure quality and diversity in the workforce of the intelligence community.

Section 322 requires the DNI to submit a report describing how IC elements are exercising hiring flexibilities and expedited human resources practices afforded under 5

U.S.C. §3326 and related regulations, including the identification of any obstacles encountered by the IC in exercising such authorities.

Section 323. Report on signals intelligence priorities and requirements.

Section 323 requires the DNI to submit a report detailing signals intelligence priorities and requirements subject to Presidential Policy Directive-28 that stipulates “why, whether, when, and how the United States conducts signals intelligence activities.” This report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

Section 324. Assessment of demand for student loan repayment program benefit.

Section 324 requires the head of each IC element to calculate the number of personnel who qualify for a student loan repayment program benefit, and compare it to the number of personnel who apply for such a benefit. The information provided will include recommendations for how to optimize participation and enhance the effectiveness of the benefit as a retention tool, to identify any shortfall in funds or authorities needed to provide such benefit, and to include such materials with the budget request for Fiscal Year 2022.

Section 325. Assessment of intelligence community demand for child care.

Section 325 requires the DNI in coordination with the heads of other IC elements to provide a report that includes: a calculation of the total annual demand for child care by employees at NSA, NSA, DIA, NRO, CIA, and ODNI; an identification of any shortfalls between demand and the child care support by these IC elements; an assessment of options for addressing any such shortfall; an identification of the advantages, disadvantages, security requirements, and costs associated with each option; a plan to meet, within five years after the date of the report, the demand for childcare, and an assessment of specific considerations that impact the alternatives available to these IC elements.

Section 326. Open source intelligence strategies and plans for the intelligence community.

Section 326 requires the DNI in coordination with the heads of each IC element, to conduct a survey of the open source intelligence requirements, goals, investments, and capabilities for each element of the IC and to evaluate the usability of the Open Source Enterprise (OSE). Based on such findings, it further mandates the DNI shall develop, in coordination with the heads of each IC element, a strategy for open source intelligence collection, analysis, and production across the IC; create a plan for improving usability of the OSE; and conduct a risk and benefit analysis of creating an independent open source center.

Using the findings above, Section 326 further requires the DNI to develop a plan for a centralized data repository of open source intelligence. Finally, it mandates the DNI develop a cost-sharing model that leverages the open source intelligence investments of each IC element for the beneficial use of the entire IC. It also requires the heads of ODNI, CIA, DIA, NSA, and NSA to jointly brief the congressional intelligence committees on the progress developing the aforementioned plans.

TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

Section 401. Establishment of Office of the Ombudsman for Analytic Objectivity.

Section 401 establishes in the CIA an Office of the Ombudsman for Analytic Objectivity to implement required procedures and conduct required activities.

Section 402. Expansion of personnel management authority to attract experts in science and engineering.

Section 402 facilitates NSA's recruitment of experts in science or engineering for research and development projects.

Section 403. Senior Chief Petty Officer Shannon Kent Award for distinguished female personnel of the National Security Agency.

Section 403 requires the Director of the NSA to establish an honorary award for the recognition of female personnel of the NSA for distinguished career contributions in support of the mission of the NSA.

Section 404. Department of Homeland Security intelligence and cybersecurity diversity fellowship program.

Section 404 requires the Secretary of DHS to carry out an intelligence and cybersecurity diversity fellowship program that provides paid internships, tuition assistance, and potential employment opportunities.

Section 405. Climate Security Advisory Council.

Section 405 requires the DNI, in coordination with the appropriate heads of other IC elements, to conduct a study on the effectiveness of the Climate Security Advisory Council as a potential model for future advisory councils.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

Section 501. Requirements and authorities for Director of Central Intelligence Agency to improve education in science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics.

Section 501 ensures that the Director of the CIA has the legal authorities required to improve the skills in science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics (known as STEAM) necessary to meet long-term national security needs.

Section 502. Seedling investment in next-generation microelectronics in support of artificial intelligence.

Section 502 requires the DNI, acting through the Director of the Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity, to award contracts or grants, or enter into other transactions, to encourage microelectronics research.

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 601. Report on attempts by foreign adversaries to build telecommunications and cybersecurity equipment and services for, or to provide such equipment and services to, certain allies of the United States.

Section 601 requires the CIA, NSA, and DIA to submit to the congressional intelligence and armed services committees a joint report that describes the United States intelligence sharing and military posture in Five Eyes countries that currently have or intend to use adversary telecommunications or cybersecurity equipment, especially as provided by China or Russia, with a description of potential vulnerabilities of that information and assessment of mitigation options.

Section 602. Report on threats posed by use by foreign governments and entities of commercially available cyber intrusion and surveillance technology.

Section 602 requires the DNI to submit a report on the threats posed by foreign governments and foreign entities using and appropriating commercially available cyber intrusion and other surveillance technology.

Section 603. Reports on recommendations of the Cyberspace Solarium Commission.

Section 603 requires the ODNI, Department of Homeland Security (acting through the Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis), Department of

Energy (acting through the Director of Intelligence and Counterintelligence of the Department of Energy), Department of Commerce, and DoD to report to Congress their assessment of the recommendations submitted by the Cyberspace Solarium Commission pursuant to Section 1652(j) of the *John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2019*, and to describe actions that each agency expects to take to implement these recommendations.

Section 604. Assessment of critical technology trends relating to artificial intelligence, microchips, and semiconductors and related supply chains.

Section 604 requires the DNI to complete an assessment of export controls related to artificial intelligence (AI), microchips, advanced manufacturing equipment, and other AI-enabled technologies, including the identification of opportunities for further cooperation with international partners.

Section 605. Combating Chinese influence operations in the United States and strengthening civil liberties protections.

Section 605 provides additional requirements to annual reports in 50 U.S.C. § 3237(B) on Influence Operations and Campaigns in the United States by the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) by mandating an identification of influence operations by the CCP against the science and technology sector in the United States. Section 605 also requires the FBI to create a plan, in consultation with stakeholders outside the Intelligence Community to increase public awareness of influence activities by the CCP. Finally, section 605 requires the FBI, in consultation with the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Rights and the Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer of the Department of Justice, to develop recommendations to strengthen relationships with communities targeted by the CCP and to build trust with such communities through local and regional grassroots outreach.

Section 606. Annual report on corrupt activities of senior officials of the Chinese Communist Party.

Section 606 requires the CIA, in coordination with the Department of Treasury's Office of Intelligence and Analysis and the FBI, to submit to designated congressional committees annually through 2025 a report that describes and assesses the wealth and corruption of senior officials of the CCP, as well as targeted financial measures, including potential targets for sanctions designation. Section 606 further expresses the Sense of Congress that the United States should undertake every effort and pursue every opportunity to expose the corruption and illicit practices of senior officials of the CCP, including President Xi Jinping.

Section 607. Report on corrupt activities of Russian and other Eastern European oligarchs.

Section 607 requires the CIA, in coordination with the Department of the Treasury's Office of Intelligence and Analysis and the FBI, to submit to designated congressional committees and the Under Secretary of State for Public Diplomacy, a report that describes the corruption and corrupt or illegal activities among Russian and other Eastern European oligarchs who support the Russian government and Russian President Vladimir Putin, and the impact of those activities on the economy and citizens of Russia. Section 607 further requires the CIA, in coordination with the Department of Treasury's Office of Intelligence and Analysis, to describe potential sanctions that could be imposed for such activities.

Section 608. Report on biosecurity risk and disinformation by the Chinese Communist Party and the Government of the People's Republic of China.

Section 608 requires the DNI to submit to the designated congressional committees a report identifying whether and how CCP officials and the Government of the People's Republic of China may have sought to suppress or exploit for national advantage information regarding the novel coronavirus pandemic, including specific related assessments. Section 608 further provides that the report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may have a classified annex.

Section 609. Report on effect of lifting of United Nations arms embargo on Islamic Republic of Iran.

Section 609 requires the DIA to submit to designated congressional committees a report on the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran's plans to acquire military arms if the ban on arms transfers to or from such government under United Nations Security Council resolutions are lifted, as well as the effects such arms acquisitions may have on regional security and stability.

Section 610. Report on Iranian activities relating to nuclear nonproliferation.

Section 610 directs the DNI to submit a report on any relevant activities relating to nuclear weapons research and development by the Islamic Republic of Iran and any relevant efforts to afford or deny international access to related facilities in accordance with international non-proliferation agreements.

Section 611. Annual reports on security services of the People's Republic of China in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

Section 611 requires the DNI to submit a report on the presence and activities of Chinese security services operating within the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

Section 612. Research partnership on activities of People's Republic of China.

Section 612 requires the Director of the NGA to seek to enter into a partnership with an academic or non-profit research institution to carry out joint unclassified geospatial intelligence analyses of the activities of the People's Republic of China that pose national security risks to the United States, and to make publicly available unclassified products relating to such analyses.

Section 613. Report on the pharmaceutical and personal protective equipment regulatory practices of the People's Republic of China.

Section 613 requires the DNI to submit a report on the pharmaceutical and personal protective equipment regulatory practices of the People's Republic of China.

Section 614. National Intelligence Estimate on situation in Afghanistan.

Section 614 requires the DNI, acting through the National Intelligence Council, to produce a National Intelligence Estimate on the situation in Afghanistan.

Section 615. Assessment regarding tensions between Armenia and Azerbaijan.

Section 615 requires the DNI to submit an assessment regarding tensions between the governments of Armenia and Azerbaijan.

Section 616. Sense of Congress on Third Option Foundation.

Section 616 expresses the sense of Congress that the Third Option Foundation's work on behalf of the CIA's special operations community and their families is invaluable, such that the Director of the CIA should work with the Foundation to implement section 6412 of the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew

Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020, which provided special rules for certain monthly workers' compensation payments and other payments to CIA personnel.

Section 617. Annual reports on worldwide threats.

Section 617 requires the DNI, in coordination with IC element heads, to submit an annual report with an IC assessment of worldwide threats to United States national security. Section 617 further required the DNI, together with IC element heads determined appropriate by the congressional intelligence and defense committees in consultation with the DNI, to testify at an open hearing before such committees upon request. It is the intent of the Committees that a request by one of the congressional intelligence or defense committees, or a number of them, shall trigger the obligation of IC element heads to testify at an open hearing before a requesting committee, as specified under Section 617.

Section 618. Annual report on Climate Security Advisory Council.

Section 618 requires the chair of the Climate Security Advisory Council to submit an annual report regarding the Council's prior year activities.

Section 619. Improvements to funding for National Security Education program.

Section 619 authorizes funds for national security-related scholarship, fellowship, and grant programs.

Section 620. Report on best practices to protect privacy, civil liberties, and civil rights of Chinese Americans.

Section 620 makes certain technical and conforming amendments to a reporting provision enacted pursuant to the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020.

Section 621. National Intelligence Estimate on the threat of global pandemic disease.

Section 621 requires the DNI, acting through the National Intelligence Council, to produce a National Intelligence Estimate on the threat of global pandemic disease.

Section 622. Modification of requirement for briefings on national security effects of emerging infectious disease and pandemics.

Section 622 amends a quinquennial reporting provision enacted pursuant to the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020 to require annual reporting.

Section 623. Independent study on open-source intelligence.

Section 623 requires the DNI to seek to enter into an agreement with a federally funded research and development center or a nongovernmental entity to conduct a study on the future of the collection, processing, exploitation, analysis, dissemination, and evaluation of open-source intelligence by the IC, with certain requirements and criteria.

Section 624. Survey on Open Source Enterprise.

Section 624 requires the Director of the CIA (as the open source functional manager for the IC), in consultation with the DNI and any other IC element head that the Director determines appropriate, to conduct a survey to measure the satisfaction of customers of open-source intelligence with the Open Source Enterprise of the CIA.

Section 625. Sense of Congress on report on murder of Jamal Khashoggi.

Section 625 provides that it is the sense of Congress that the DNI should reasonably have been able to produce an unclassified report, as required pursuant to section 5714 of

the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020, and section 1277 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020.

PUBLICATION OF BUDGETARY MATERIAL

REVISION TO THE AGGREGATES, ALLOCATIONS, AND OTHER BUDGETARY LEVELS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET,
Washington, DC, December 21, 2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (CBA), the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (BBEDCA), and the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019 (BBA), I hereby submit for printing in the Congressional Record a revision to the aggregates and allocations set forth in the statement of aggregates, allocations, and other budgetary levels for fiscal year 2021 published in the Congressional Record on May 1, 2020, as adjusted.

In accordance with these Acts, this revision includes an allowable adjustment for Overseas Contingency Operations and disaster relief pursuant to section 251(b) of BBEDCA. These amounts are contained in the House Amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 133, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

Accordingly, I am revising aggregate spending levels for fiscal year 2021 and the allocation for the House Committee on Appropriations for fiscal year 2021. For purposes of enforcing titles III and IV of the CBA and other budgetary enforcement provisions, the revised aggregates and allocations are to be considered as aggregates and allocations included in the budget resolution, pursuant to the statement published in the Congressional Record on May 1, 2020, as adjusted.

Questions may be directed to Jennifer Wheelock or Raquel Spencer of the Budget Committee staff.

JOHN YARMUTH.

TABLE 1.—REVISION TO ON-BUDGET AGGREGATES

(On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	2021	2021–2030
Current Aggregates:		
Budget Authority	3,876,749	n.a.
Outlays	3,840,592	n.a.
Revenues	2,800,378	35,724,078
Revision for the Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY21 (H.R. 133):		
Budget Authority	12,082	n.a.
Outlays	3,360	n.a.
Revenues	—	—
Revised Aggregates:		
Budget Authority	3,888,831	n.a.
Outlays	3,843,952	n.a.
Revenues	2,800,378	35,724,078

n.a. = Not applicable because annual appropriations acts for fiscal years 2022 through 2030 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

TABLE 2.—ALLOCATION OF SPENDING AUTHORITY TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

(Unified amounts in millions of dollars)

	2021
Current Discretionary Allocation:	
BA	1,384,434
OT	1,457,837
Revision for Overseas Contingency Operations (H.R. 133):	
BA	—
OT	314
Revision for Disaster Relief (H.R. 133):	
BA	12,082
OT	3,046
Revised Discretionary Allocation:	
BA	1,396,516
OT	1,461,197
Current Law Mandatory:	
BA	1,172,969
OT	1,152,482

JOINT RESOLUTION AND ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

Gloria J. Lett, Deputy Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled a joint resolution of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker on Sunday, December 20, 2020:

H.J. Res. 110. Joint resolution making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021, and for other purposes.

Cheryl L. Johnson, Clerk of the House, further reported and found truly enrolled bills of the House of the following titles, which were thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 1966. An act to direct the Comptroller General of the United States to complete a study on barriers to participation in federally funded cancer clinical trials by populations that have been traditionally underrepresented in such trials.

H.R. 5023. An act to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community based outpatient clinic in Youngstown, Ohio, as the “Carl Nunziato VA Clinic”.

H.R. 5459. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to correct a land ownership error within the boundary of Rocky Mountain National Park, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6237. An act to amend the Indian Health Care Improvement Act to clarify the requirement of the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Defense to reimburse the Indian Health Service for certain health care services.

H.R. 7898. An act to amend the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act to require the Secretary of Health and Human Services to consider certain recognized security practices of covered entities and business associates when making certain determinations, and for other purposes.

H.R. 8906. To amend title XXIX of the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize the program under such title relating to lifespan respite care.

SENATE ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The Speaker announced her signature to enrolled bills of the Senate of the following titles:

S. 979.—An act to amend the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 to incorporate the recommendations made by the Government Accountability Office relating to advance contracts, and for other purposes.

S. 1694.—An act to require the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to add recommendations and inform other relevant agencies of information relating to the principle of due regard and the limitation of harmful interference with Apollo landing site artifacts, and for other purposes.

S. 2174.—An act to the extent provided in advance in appropriations Act, the Attorney General is authorized to use funds appropriated for the operationalization, maintenance, and expansion of the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) for the purpose of carrying out this Act.

S. 2683.—An act to establish a task force to assist States in implementing hiring requirements for child care staff members to improve child safety.

S. 2730.—An act to establish and ensure an inclusive and transparent Drone Advisory Committee.

S. 3312.—An act to establish a crisis stabilization and community reentry grant program, and for other purposes.

S. 3418.—An act to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to allow the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency to provide capitalization grants to States to establish revolving funds to provide hazard mitigation assistance to reduce risks from disasters and natural hazards, and other related environmental harm.

S. 3989.—An act to amend the United States Semiquincentennial Commission Act of 2016 to modify certain membership and other requirements of the United States Semiquincentennial Commission, and for other purposes.

S. 5036.—An act to amend the Overtime Pay for Protective Service Act of 2016 to extend the Secret Service overtime pay exception through 2023, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 4(b) of House Resolution 967, the House stands adjourned until 9 a.m. on Thursday, December 24, 2020.

Thereupon (at 9 o'clock and 13 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Thursday, December 24, 2020, at 9 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

EC-5982. A letter from the Program Specialist, Chief Counsel's Office, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Licensing Amendments [Docket ID: OCC-2019-0024] (RIN: 1557-AE71) received December 14, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Financial Services.

EC-5983. A letter from the Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Implementing Legal Requirements Regarding the Equal Opportunity Clause's Religious Exemption (RIN: 1250-AA09) received December 14, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

EC-5984. A letter from the Section Chief, Diversion Control Division, DEA, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department's interim final rule — Schedules of Controlled Substances: Placement of Oliceridine in Schedule II [Docket No.: DEA-715] received December 14, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-5985. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulatory Clean Up Initiative [Docket Number: HHS-OS-2020-0015] (RIN: 0991-AC19) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-5986. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendments to the HHS-Operated Risk Adjustment Data Validation (HHS-RADV) under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act's HHS-Operated Risk Adjustment Program [CMS-9913-F]

(RIN: 0938-AU23) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-5987. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Partial Approval and Partial Disapproval; California; San Diego [EPA-R09-OAR-2020-0136; FRL-10016-79-Region 9] received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-5988. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Test Methods and Performance Specifications for Air Emission Sources; Correction [EPA-HQ-OAR-2018-0815; FRL-10016-14-OAR] (RIN: 2060-AU39) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-5989. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Information Blocking and the ONC Health IT Certification Program: Extension of Compliance Dates and Timeframes in Response to the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency; Correction (RIN: 0955-AA02) received December 17, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-5990. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department's final rule — Procedures for Asylum and Withholding of Removal; Credible Fear and Reasonable Fear Review [EOIR Docket No.: 18-0102; A.G. Order No. 4922-2020] (RIN: 1125-AA94) received December 16, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-5991. A letter from the Associate Administrator for Policy, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's interim final rule — Hours of Service of Drivers; Definition of Agricultural Commodity [Docket No.: FMCSA-2018-0348] (RIN: 2126-AC24) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

EC-5992. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of the Alisos Canyon Viticultural Area [Docket No.: TTB-2019-0007; T.D. TTB-161; Ref: Notice No.: 185] (RIN: 1513-AC51) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5993. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of the Tualatin Hills and Laurelwood District Viticultural Areas [Docket No.: TTB-2019-0003; T.D. TTB-160; Ref: Notice No.: 181] (RIN: 1513-AC52) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5994. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Changes to Certain Alcohol-Related

Regulations Governing Bond Requirements and Tax Return Filing Periods [Docket No.: TTB-2016-0013; T.D. TTB-159; Re: T.D. TTB-146; Notice No.: 167] (RIN: 1513-AC30) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5995. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of the Royal Slope Viticultural Area [Docket No.: TTB-2019-0008; T.D. TTB-162; Ref: Notice No. 186] (RIN: 1513-AC53) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5996. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of the Candy Mountain Viticultural Area and Modification of the Yakima Valley Viticultural Area [Docket No.: TTB-2019-0006; T.D. TTB-163; Ref: Notice No. 184] (RIN: 1513-AC42) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5997. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of the Tehachapi Mountains Viticultural Area [Docket No.: TTB-2020-0006; T.D. TTB-164; Ref: Notice No. 191] (RIN: 1513-AC69) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5998. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Services final rule — Unrelated Business Taxable Income Separately Computed for Each Trade or Business [TD 9933] (RIN: 1545-B079) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-5999. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final regulations — Coordination of Extraordinary Disposition and Disqualified Basis Rules [TD 9934] (RIN: 1545-BP57) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-6000. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Revenue Ruling: 2020 Base Period T-Bill Rate (Rev. Rul. 2020-25) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-6001. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final regulations — Guidance Clarifying Premium Tax Credit Unaffected by Suspension of Personal Exemption Deduction [TD 9912] (RIN: 1545-BP76) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-6002. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — 2020 Required Amendments List for Qualified Retirement Plans and Sec. 403(b) Retirement Plans [Notice 2020-83] received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-6003. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service,

transmitting the Service's final rule — Withholding of Tax and Information Reporting with Respect to Interests in Partnerships Engaged in a U.S. Trade or Business [TD 9926] (RIN: 1545-B060) received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

EC-6004. A letter from the Director, Legal Processing Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's IRB only rule — Implementation of the CARES Act Extended January 1, 2021 Due Date for Contributions to Defined Benefit Plans [Notice 2020-82] received December 9, 2020, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Ms. SHALALA: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 1271. Resolution providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 133) to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico; providing for disposition of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 1520) to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological projects and for other purposes; and for other purposes (Rept. 116-679). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. GRIJALVA: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 2245. A bill to amend the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to prohibit import and export of any species listed or proposed to be listed under such Act as a threatened species or endangered species, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 116-680, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. DEFAZIO: Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. H.R. 1108. A bill to provide for funding from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund for all Federal Aviation Administration activities in the event of a Government shutdown, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-681, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Ms. WATERS: Committee on Financial Services. H.R. 4545. A bill to provide for the discharge of a private education loan in the case of death or total and permanent disability of a student obligor, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-682, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi: Committee on Homeland Security. H.R. 4782. A bill to establish a national commission on online platforms and homeland security, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 116-683, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia: Committee of Education and Labor. H.R. 865. A bill to provide for the long-term improvement of public school facilities, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 116-684, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Ways and Means discharged from further consideration. H.R. 865 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Ways and Means discharged from further consideration. H.R. 1108 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committees on Foreign Affairs and Ways and Means discharged from further consideration. H.R. 2245 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Ways and Means discharged from further consideration. H.R. 4545 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Energy and Commerce discharged from further consideration. H.R. 4782 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. AMASH:

H.R. 9039. A bill to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to operate alternatives to detention programs, to prioritize certain vulnerable populations for those programs, and to require the Secretary to justify the allocation of resources and decisions on whether to detain people based on ensuring compliance with immigration proceedings in the most cost-effective ways possible, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. AMASH:

H.R. 9040. A bill to protect aliens seeking asylum in the United States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. AMASH:

H.R. 9041. A bill to amend the National Emergencies Act to provide for a sunset of a national emergency declared by the President 2 days after the declaration unless Congress enacts a joint resolution affirming such declaration and the emergency powers approved for use by the President, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committees on Foreign Affairs, and Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BUDD:

H.R. 9042. A bill to amend the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965 to prohibit the Secretary of Commerce from issuing certain grants to States or political subdivisions of States that restrict or prohibit indoor and outdoor dining, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Trans-

portation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Financial Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. GOTTHEIMER (for himself and Mr. FITZPATRICK):

H.R. 9043. A bill to require social media companies to establish an office dedicated to identifying and removing violent or extremist content associated with or distributed by any entity identified as a terrorist organization; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. JOYCE of Ohio (for himself and Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire):

H.R. 9044. A bill to expand and enhance programs and activities of the Department of Defense for prevention of and response to domestic violence and child abuse and neglect among military families, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. JEFFRIES):

H.R. 9045. A bill to prohibit searches based on race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, or sex, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mrs. LOWEY:

H. Con. Res. 127. Concurrent resolution directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 133; considered and agreed to.

By Mrs. LOWEY:

H. Con. Res. 128. Concurrent resolution directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1520; considered and agreed to.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT,

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. AMASH:

H.R. 9039.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 4.

By Mr. AMASH:

H.R. 9040.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Fifth Amendment

By Mr. AMASH:

H.R. 9041.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 1.

By Mr. BUDD:

H.R. 9042.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution

By Mr. GOTTHEIMER:

H.R. 9043.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

clause 3 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution.

By Mr. JOYCE of Ohio:

H.R. 9044.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 14.

By Mr. KENNEDY:

H.R. 9045.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS TO PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 808: Mr. STEUBE.

H.R. 1634: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 1766: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 1897: Mr. HALL.

H.R. 2350: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 2442: Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York.

H.R. 3229: Ms. BASS and Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.

H.R. 3464: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 3509: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 4098: Mr. KELLY of Mississippi.

H.R. 5002: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 5084: Ms. HOULAHAN.

H.R. 5176: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 5297: Mr. STEUBE.

H.R. 5534: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 6402: Mrs. HAYES, Ms. NORTON, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. TLAI, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. SCHAKOWSKY, and Ms. CLARKE of New York.

H.R. 6492: Mr. MFUME.

H.R. 6556: Mr. LEVIN of California.

H.R. 6560: Ms. FINKENAUER.

H.R. 6585: Mr. HALL.

H.R. 6763: Mr. HALL.

H.R. 6802: Mr. KELLY of Mississippi.

H.R. 6958: Mr. GOTTHEIMER.

H.R. 7052: Mr. RUIZ.

H.R. 8003: Mr. MFUME.

H.R. 8044: Ms. DELBENE.

H.R. 8113: Mr. NEAL.

H.R. 8196: Mr. HALL.

H.R. 8302: Mrs. HAYES.

H.R. 8362: Ms. PRESSLEY, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. CLEAVER, Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. SHALALA, Mr. CISNEROS, Ms. NORTON, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. COOPER, Mr. LYNCH, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. CLAY, and Mr. SHERMAN.

H.R. 8525: Ms. SCANLON.

H.R. 8662: Mrs. TORRES of California and Mr. BRINDISI.

H.R. 8769: Ms. NORTON.

H.R. 8782: Mr. PANETTA.

H.R. 8801: Ms. HOULAHAN, Mr. KILMER, and Mr. HASTINGS.

H.R. 8845: Mr. KIND, Mr. WENSTRUP, Mr. RYAN, Mr. FERGUSON, Mr. MARSHALL, and Mr. DUNN.

H.R. 8912: Mr. COHEN and Mr. ESPAILLAT.

H.R. 8962: Mr. GOSAR and Mr. HICE of Georgia.

H.R. 8969: Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi.

H.R. 9029: Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois and Mr. POCAN.

H. Res. 1106: Mr. SIRES, Mrs. DINGELL, and Mrs. NAPOLITANO.

H. Res. 1268: Mr. BACON, Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mrs. WAGNER, and Mr. GALLAGHER.



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 116th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 166

WASHINGTON, MONDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2020

No. 218

Senate

The Senate met at 12 noon and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Beautiful Savior, Your mighty power makes us glad. We celebrate the victories You have given us in the past and trust You with our future.

Lord, You have been our dwelling place in all generations, and we are sustained by Your steadfast love. Today, surround our Senators with the shield of Your favor as they labor to keep our Nation strong. Inspire them to be obedient to Your commands, doing what You desire of them as You fill them with Your wisdom.

Lord, manifest Your power through their labors so that this Nation will fulfill Your purposes. May Your angels guard us in all our ways.

We pray in Your mighty Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. YOUNG). The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for 1 minute in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, history will look back at 2020 and find many lessons and even some silver linings to unpack.

The loss of more than 300,000 American lives so far will be mourned for

years to come. Operation Warp Speed, which President Trump launched, turned out to be a historic public-private partnership. It has delivered a lifesaving vaccine in record time, shattering scientific benchmarks along the way. For the last 9 months, Americans have pulled together in shared sacrifice to do their part.

As the COVID-19 vaccine makes its way into the communities across our country as it is right now, I encourage my fellow Iowans to keep pulling together. When public health officials say it is your turn to get a vaccine, roll up your sleeve. I will be doing the same when my turn arrives.

Getting immunized is the only way we will beat the virus and get back to the normal way of American life. History will show Americans turned the page on the pandemic when all of us roll up our sleeves.

I yield the floor.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, yesterday leaders in the Senate and the House and the Secretary of the Treasury reached a major agreement that struggling Americans have needed for months.

We are going to pass another historic rescue package to help American families through this pandemic. We are going to pass full-year government funding so the Armed Forces and all Federal Departments have the resources and the certainty they need. And we are going to do both of these things as soon as possible.

Senate Republicans have been trying since July—July—to get more targeted, bipartisan relief into the hands of the American people. Back in July,

we proposed to send about \$1 trillion to priorities including a second round of the Paycheck Protection Program, direct checks for households, and funding for healthcare providers, testing, and K-12 schools—back in July.

Democrats said no. They said they would block anything short of their multitrillion-dollar leftwing wish list. Here was one headline that particularly underscores their view: “\$2 trillion or bust: Democrats draw red line in coronavirus spending battle.”

So, in July and in August, when Republican Senators tried to extend expiring Federal unemployment benefits, Democrats blocked us. Laid-off workers lost their benefits.

In September and again in October, as people kept hurting, the virus kept spreading, and schools tried to find footing, every Republican voted for a multihundred-billion-dollar package. Both times Democrats blocked the relief. Their view was all or nothing.

But a few days ago, with a new President-elect of their own party, everything changed. Democrats suddenly came around to our position that we should find consensus, make a law where we agree, and get urgent help out the door.

In a few days of hard work, we have assembled another historic bipartisan rescue package: just under \$900 billion of relief targeted toward our fellow Americans who need help the most.

I will begin where this pandemic will end: vaccinations. Thanks to the genius of science and the leadership of President Trump, Operation Warp Speed has produced safe and effective vaccines. Now, we need to distribute them nationwide.

This rescue package provides many billions more dollars to expand vaccine purchasing and vaccine distribution. Until we have won, we need to keep wearing masks and taking precautions. Even so, more Americans will fall ill, so this legislation continues to fund health providers and COVID testing.

• This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S7887

The pandemic has fallen especially hard on children and parents. Our legislation includes major funding—more than \$80 billion—for K–12 schools to reopen safely and get kids' educations back on track. There are billions more for childcare providers to reopen safely as well, and new investments in rural broadband will improve both education and telehealth down the road.

Then there are Americans' personal finances, the impossible kitchen table questions that millions of working families have faced this year through no fault of their own.

Back in March, thanks to Chairman RUBIO, Senator COLLINS, and Senator CARDIN, we created a Paycheck Protection Program. It saved small businesses and helped millions of American workers keep receiving paychecks rather than pink slips.

It would be insanity for us to have saved these jobs all this time only to drop the ball with the end in sight, so this bill will send more than \$280 billion to reopen the PPP for a targeted second round, and we made sure churches and faith-based organizations will continue to be eligible.

Of course, millions have already been laid off, so months after Republicans tried to stop benefits from expiring in the first place, this package will resume a temporary Federal supplement to unemployment insurance, and it extends other programs for the self-employed and gig workers that would have expired.

Thanks to the particular leadership and direction of President Trump and Secretary Mnuchin, households will receive a second round of direct relief checks—\$600 per adult and per child. This is just some of the aid that will be heading Americans' way in a matter of hours. No sprawling leftwing wish list, no unconstrained bailouts for State and local government with no connection to COVID needs—just smart, targeted, bipartisan policies, what Senate Republicans have been recommending since the summer.

I cited a figure of \$900 billion, but listen to this. The net new cost—new cost—is less than roughly \$350 billion. We are recovering more than half a trillion dollars in unspent money that Congress had already set aside and channeling it to these urgent needs.

Thanks to our colleague Senator TOOMEY, this legislation winds down some of the temporary emergency powers we lent the Federal Reserve to make sure our financial system survived last spring.

A lot of talented leaders helped make this happen. Leader MCCARTHY has been an invaluable partner. White House Chief of Staff MARK MEADOWS has been central. Colleagues such as Senators COLLINS, MURKOWSKI, ROMNEY, CASSIDY, and PORTMAN helped prod the Senate toward consensus with their bipartisan work. And I just mentioned Senator TOOMEY.

I want to give particular thanks to the Secretary of the Treasury, Sec-

retary Mnuchin. Before the pandemic, Steven was already a crucial partner for the Republican majorities in Congress. We enacted the most consequential tax reform in a generation. We helped create the conditions for explosive job growth, wage growth, and record-low unemployment. Our country had the strongest possible starting point to weather this storm.

This year, the Secretary has been even more essential. He helped Congress develop and pass the historic CARES Act in record time. It prevented a complete economic collapse at the hands of the virus. From drafting CARES to implementing it, to the intervening months, to this latest package, Secretary Mnuchin has been an extremely capable and patient partner. He helped guide our Nation through this dark period toward the daybreak that lies ahead.

On behalf of the Senate and the country, I thank the Secretary for his countless hours of work and his incredible effectiveness in extraordinary times.

GOVERNMENT FUNDING

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, on a related matter, while this rescue package will dominate headlines, we are also set to fund the entire Federal Government on a bipartisan basis.

We must not overlook the tireless work from Chairman SHELBY, Senator LEAHY, and our Appropriations Committees in both Chambers. Their hard work goes beyond just avoiding shutdowns. Full-year funding bills give our Armed Forces the certainty to make plans and budgets so we can continue to modernize our capabilities and keep pace with competitors like Russia and China.

This year's bills also tackle important domestic priorities. Everything from agricultural research to the fight against opioid abuse, to border security and law enforcement are provided for. We aren't defunding the police or abolishing ICE around here—not on our watch.

Federal law enforcement from the U.S. Marshals to the Border Patrol will get the resources they need to protect innocent Americans and uphold the rule of law.

And I want to especially thank Chairman SHELBY and Congresswoman GRANGER for beating back a number of far-left poison pills. These bills maintain pro-life guardrails on funding, secure President Trump's approach to title X, and respect our citizens' Second Amendment rights.

The Senate is about to cast some incredibly impactful votes. None of us think any of this legislation is perfect, but a big bipartisan majority of us recognize the incredible amount of good it will do when we send it to the President's desk.

The American people have waited long enough. I am glad for our country that we are now moving ahead together.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

WINTER SOLSTICE

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, before I say anything else, I want to say happy winter solstice. And 2020 is almost gone. It is an announcement I made to my family this morning, and I hope America shares it. We are turning a corner as a nation and to a new year and a new season, and, I hope, a much better day for all of us across this country.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, 9 months ago, in March, we created the relief known as the CARES Act, and it passed the Senate by a vote of 96 to 0. It was a measure intended to address the pandemic and the resultant economic downturn in America. I have heard various estimates of the total cost, but it is somewhere in the range of \$2 trillion to \$3 trillion. It was the largest single investment in our Nation in our history. It was a massive national response to a massive national health crisis, and it worked, at least on the economic front. I believe that it created demand in our economy that otherwise would not have been there, and it gave some businesses a chance to survive. Sadly, all did not, and many are still suffering. But it was necessary. It was done on a bipartisan basis. It was massive, and I believe it achieved its goal.

It started us on the course of dealing with the COVID-19 coronavirus, and one has to look back and say it only had limited success in that regard. As of today, we have lost more than 317,000 American lives, and millions have been infected. Our hospitals are still overrun with patients. But we did the right thing, and we quickly realized what we did could make a difference.

The unemployment compensation, which we provided for millions of Americans, was not only the humane thing to do but, as economists would tell you, it was the best single thing you could do to fight a recession. A

person who is unemployed, without a paycheck, will spend virtually every penny they are given into the economy, not bank it away for another day. That spending created consumer demand and gave some businesses a fighting chance.

The Paycheck Protection Program, through the Small Business Administration, was the work product of many, but I want to single out Senators BEN CARDIN and MARCO RUBIO for their bipartisan effort. I later saw Senator COLLINS and Senator SHAHEEN working to give it another day. But here was a program which extended a lifeline to American businesses—forgivable loans if the money were spent on the necessities: utilities and rent and mortgage and payroll.

I will quickly add that we have a responsibility to taxpayers to make an honest assessment of how that program was implemented. I am sorry to say that I have already heard anecdotal evidence that some of the loans were not carefully made. That is to be expected in something of this magnitude. But, by and large, this program was essential. Money that we put into healthcare made a difference. The CARES Act also protected those who were renting from eviction, delayed the payment of student loans, and a litany of other measures that made a difference.

That bill—the CARES Act of March of 2020—was really written with a notion that this was a short- to medium-term challenge. Many thought that, by the middle of this year, we would be turning the corner. Sadly, that was not the case. As of July, it was apparent that the worst was yet to come.

Speaker PELOSI, of the U.S. House of Representatives, introduced a measure known as the Heroes Act in an attempt to have a follow-on relief bill after the CARES Act. It was passed but was not considered in this Chamber.

The Republican leader, who spoke earlier today, said at the time that there were two things he wanted to make clear. First, he wanted to measure whether it was a needed follow-on bill. Second, he was drawing a redline that said unless we provided immunity from liability for corporations and businesses, he wouldn't consider another relief act. And the matter stalled.

The Speaker went on to pass another bill, a smaller one in size, but nothing happened. She went into conversations with Mr. Mnuchin and Senator SCHUMER on the Democratic side here, but little was produced from that exchange. We were stuck, stalled. As of the election day of November 3, it wasn't clear that there would ever be another relief bill this year.

I want to say a word about what happened next because I know more detail about that than some. It was about 4 weeks ago when a Republican Senator and a Democratic Senator invited six of their colleagues for dinner. It was a bipartisan group. When Senator

McCONNELL mentioned the participants earlier, he only mentioned Republicans. I want to let you know who was in on it on both sides, Democrats and Republicans. Yes, it was Senator COLLINS, Senator MURKOWSKI, Senator CASSIDY, and Senator ROMNEY at the initial meeting; on the Democratic side, Senator MANCHIN, Senator WARNER, myself, and Senator SHAHEEN. Our ranks changed over the several weeks when we were debating to include MAGGIE HASSAN of New Hampshire, ANGUS KING of Maine, and ROB PORTMAN of Ohio.

For 3 or 4 weeks, we tried to write a relief bill. We did it by phone, by Zoom, and through staff work that was endless. Finally, Tuesday of last week, we were able to announce it. Let me get this number right, a \$748 billion consensus bill for relief. We were unable to reach a final agreement when it came to State and local funding, as well as the question of liability. We set those aside, but we produced this \$748 billion bill, much of which is included in this relief package we are going to consider today.

I want to thank my colleagues, Democrats and Republicans, for their patience and determination to reach that point. I really believe that we ended up opening the conversation. The leaders, thank goodness, moved in to follow on and make it a reality.

Today, we are going to seriously consider a measure to keep America's economy moving and give us a fighting chance against the coronavirus. I think this bipartisan effort, this grassroots bipartisan effort by the 8 of us—soon to be 10 or 11 before it was all over—will make a significant difference in this Nation. We are going to come through with dramatic offers of relief across the board. It is in the range of \$900 billion, is the total. I don't know the exact amount.

It is going to provide several more weeks of unemployment compensation. The final agreement, I am told, reduced the number of weeks that we proposed, and I am sorry for that, but it did include a cash payment, which, under the right circumstances—I don't know all the details—could be a godsend for many families across the United States who are desperately trying to survive in troubling and difficult times.

It also extends the PPP program I mentioned earlier for small businesses to give them a chance for the kinds of loans and forgivable loans that might give them an opportunity to see another day.

Money is there especially for coronavirus vaccine distribution and logistics—testing, tracing, and the vaccine.

I would say this. In fairness, I agree with the Republican leader, who gave credit to the Trump administration for the Warp Speed program. That has been a dramatic success. To think that we have come up with not just one but two vaccines that work against this

COVID-19 is an amazing achievement, and I am glad that it received the high priority it deserved under this administration and particularly glad that the researchers and scientists who spent countless hours exploring opportunities for this vaccine were ultimately successful. America owes them a great, great debt of gratitude.

What is going to happen next? There are some parts of this measure which, as we study it, we will realize are inadequate. Merely extending unemployment benefits for 10 or 11 weeks may not be long enough. We may have to return to take a look at it. Whether we have enough money for logistical support for vaccines remains to be seen. Whether the businesses of Americans need another helping hand, we also have to consider that as well.

Let us hope that in the new year and the new President's administration, that we will have a more positive, bipartisan approach. This experience this year was disappointing in some respects, but it ended well with the bill we are going to consider this afternoon.

I want to thank all the colleagues, Senators, who joined me in this bipartisan effort, who started the conversation on Capitol Hill last week. We have more work to be done. We are not out of the woods. We have to consider measures that will address the reality of the economy in the future. We want to make sure that Americans have a chance to get back to work and businesses have a chance to survive in this time of COVID-19.

By the middle of next year, it has been estimated—this is not for certain, but I hope it is right—by the middle of next year, all Americans who are seeking a vaccination will be able to receive one, and that will be a day when we can finally hope that we will have reached that magic number of herd immunity and turn the corner on this terrible pandemic.

I want to thank Senator SCHUMER for coming to the floor and asking me to say a word or two more. I want to say this about the Members of the Senate, both Democrats and Republicans. There has been more activity on the floor of the Senate in the last several days than I have ever seen. And it isn't just rollcalls; it is Members standing on the floor to discuss the details of this agreement. There were parts we were never going to agree on, that is for sure, but so many times, I would step into a conversation on the floor where they would be hammering out the final details of an agreement. It was heartening. There has been so little of that activity on the floor in the past year or two. It is perilously close to legislating to have Members of the Senate of both political parties working toward agreeable language that can solve America's problems. Let's hope we have more of that.

Unfortunately, the Senate has drifted away from its traditional role of deliberation and legislation. This year, for

example, we have only considered 29 amendments in the entire year in the Senate, absent the impeachment proceeding. Twenty-nine amendments. The year before, 2019, there were 22 amendments. That is a waste of talent.

The men and women of the Senate should come together, hopefully on a bipartisan basis, but regardless, should come together to debate the issues and offer their best ideas and, having offered them, be given the chance to vote up or down. I think that appetite is strong on both sides of the aisle.

On the Democratic side, Senator MERKLEY of Oregon has been a leader in discussing changes in the Senate rules, and we reached out to Republicans as well to engage in that conversation. I think we are a better Senate for it if we do it and a better Nation for it if the debate becomes relevant to the issues of the day from people across America who are watching closely to see if we understand what they are struggling with economically and politically.

I yield the floor.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, first, I heard the remarks of the Senator from Illinois. It is a hope that we can change the way the Senate operates and do more amendments and do more debating on the floor. We haven't seen much give from some of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle, but hopefully that could and will happen.

Now, about remarks here, every day, it seems, for the past week or so, I have come to the floor ready to talk about the merits of bipartisan legislation we have been drafting, not wanting to be critical at all. Then I listen to the Republican leader. The leader's remarks just about every day this week as he has opened the Senate have been so nastily partisan and in so many ways false that I have no choice but to correct the record as the Democratic leader.

The Republican leader's accusation that the blame for this bill's delay lies totally on one side is just ridiculous. It is "Alice in Wonderland" thinking. It defies all the facts as to what we have seen. Then his comparison—that the agreement we are voting on today and the most recent Republican offer are so similar—is absurd. The two bills are nothing alike, and I had to point that out several times.

I have a chart here.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have this chart printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

BIPARTISAN EMERGENCY COVID RELIEF LEGISLATION SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVES ON MCCONNELL'S INADEQUATE PROPOSAL

Item	New Bipartisan Relief Agreement	December 1 GOP Proposal
Unemployment Insurance ..	\$300 per week enhanced UI and other program extensions through March 14, 2021	\$0 enhanced UI and program extensions end on January 31, 2021
Direct Payments	Additional round of payments—\$600 individual, \$1,200/married couple, and \$600/child dependent	\$0
Corporate Immunity	Excluded	McConnell/Cornyn Corporate Immunity "Red Line"
SNAP	\$13 billion	\$0
Rental Assistance	\$25 billion	\$0
Transportation	\$45 billion	\$0
Support for Small Businesses (PPP)	\$284.5 billion	\$257.7 billion
Support for Community Development Financial Institutions and Minority Depository Institutions ..	\$12 billion	\$0
SBA Grants	\$20 billion	\$0
Debt Relief Payments and Enhancements for SBA Lending Programs	\$5.5 billion	\$0
SAMHSA Funding for Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder	\$4.25 billion	\$0
NIH COVID Research	\$1.25 billion	\$0
Broadband	\$7 billion	\$0

Mr. SCHUMER. I am just going to read from it, comparing the new, bipartisan relief agreement to the December 1 GOP proposal of Leader MCCONNELL.

How about direct payments? This bill has \$600 per individual, \$1,200 per married couple, \$600 child dependent. Many of us didn't think that was enough, but it is in the bill. Do you know how much was in the Republican leader's proposal? Zero.

Unemployment insurance. This bill that we are voting on has \$300 per week of enhanced UI and other program extensions through March 14. What does the Republican leader's bill have? Zero enhanced UI. Program extensions end January 31.

This bill has \$13 billion in SNAP; the Republican leader's bill, zero.

This bill has \$25 billion in rental assistance; the Republican leader's bill, zero.

This bill has \$45 billion in transportation for airlines and mass transit and buses and airports and highways. What does the Republican leader's bill have? Zero.

This bill has, very importantly, money for community development financial institutions and minority institutions, \$12 billion. What does the Republican leader's bill have? Zero.

SBA grants, \$20 billion this year; Republican leader's bill, zero.

Debt payments and enhancements for SBA. This bill, \$5.5 billion; Republican bill, zero.

SAMHSA funding for mental health and substance use disorder. This bill, \$4.25 billion; Republican leader's bill, zero.

NIH COVID research, \$1.25 billion; Republican bill, zero.

Broadband so homes can get broadband. This bill, \$7 billion; Republican leader's bill, zero.

The list could go on. There is a complete difference between the two bills.

We all know as well that the Republican leader, who blames Democrats for

delay, said for several months that the Senate should be on pause. As Democrats were demanding more action, the Republican leader was unmoved. The Republican leader's answer was that 20 Republican Senators wanted to do nothing more at all. When he finally proposed legislation, it was completely partisan, insufficient, and littered with poison pills.

I forgot to add one thing that was in the leader's bill but not in this bill—the broad corporate liability immunity provision, which the Senator from Illinois tried to straighten out. Another huge difference—a poison pill.

So when the leader finally proposed legislation because of public pressure to do something, it was partisan—no Democratic input, zero—insufficient, much too little in so many areas, as I mentioned, and littered with poison pills designed to ensure the bill would fail. Most notably was a provision to give corporations, no matter how egregious their behavior, sweeping immunity from legal accountability. Leader MCCONNELL said on the floor that for Republicans, corporate immunity was a red line.

And he blames the Democrats, as he did again today, for why this bill is being debated now? It is just turning truth on its head. It is like "Alice in Wonderland."

Even in the recent negotiations, the Republican majority made an eleventh hour demand that had nothing to do with helping people during this pandemic but, rather, sabotaged the incoming Biden administration's recovery effort and restricted the Federal Reserve's ability to save jobs and right the economy in a time of crisis.

Thankfully, the agreement we reached contains neither the leader's corporate immunity provision nor Senator TOOMEY's last-minute provision to handicap the Fed's authority to stabilize the economy in a crisis. And it will do a whole lot of good, besides, some of the programs I mentioned.

Look, after months of tense and difficult negotiations, we have this agreement. It is not as large as Democrats want. It is certainly larger than what many Republicans want. That is the nature of compromise. It does us no good to end the year with the kind of bitter, partisan fighting that has defined too much of the year. In a new session and under a new administration, we can and should do better because our job is far from over.

The bill today is a good bill. Today is a good day, but it is certainly not the end of the story. It cannot be the end of the story. Anyone who thinks this bill is enough doesn't know what is going on in America. Anyone who thinks this bill is enough hasn't heard the desperation in the voices of their constituents, has not looked into the eyes of a small business owner on the brink of ruin.

By all rights, there should be direct assistance in this bill for State and local governments. The checks should

be larger. While this agreement includes a new and larger forgivable PPP loan for restaurants, we need to do much more for restaurants. We have bipartisan legislation to deliver the relief that is truly needed, the RESTAURANTS Act, which, regrettably, did not make it into this legislation. We must do all we can to save restaurants, and I will not stop fighting until we pass the RESTAURANTS Act into law. This bill cannot and will not be the final word on congressional relief from the coronavirus pandemic. This is an emergency survival package.

When we come back in January, our No. 1 job will be to fill in the gaps left by the bill and then get the economy moving with strong Federal input. Still, the significance of this package should not be underestimated. It will be the second largest bill—the second largest Federal input—in the history of our country. It will be the second largest amount of Federal dollars going to the people ever. The times demand it. Even some of our conservative Republican friends will vote for it, and it is good we have it. For much of the year, it looked unlikely that it would ever get done, and our success today, our ability to pass this bill today, should give us confidence we can do more. We can end the year on a rare note of optimism.

Now, Queen Elizabeth, every year, gives a talk to her subjects about the status of the monarchy and the British royal family. In a very challenging year, she called the year *annus horribilis*—a horrible year. Unlike in 1992, which was the year Elizabeth referred to the problems with Charles and Diana, this year has been an *annus horribilis* not just for Great Britain and the royal family, which she was talking about, but an *annus horribilis* for the entire world.

The global COVID-19 pandemic has infected more than 70 million people across the globe. Another 500 million have gone, likely, undiagnosed. There are 1.6 million people who have died, 20 percent of whom have been Americans, more than 315,000—more than the entire population of Pittsburgh or St. Louis, more than all of the American combat deaths in World War II. The September 11 attacks to my fair city shaped much of the first decade of this century. In 2020, our dear country has suffered the equivalent of a 9/11 attack every day for 106 days in a row.

We have lost so much. We have missed holidays and reunions, retirements and graduations, bar mitzvahs and confirmations, weddings and funerals. Trapped in our homes, our companions were isolation and loneliness and the faint glow of tiny screens. The image of seeing people on the screen, watching their loved ones pass away when they couldn't be with them, will stay with us forever. Doctors had to stack iPads in waiting rooms for end-of-life conversations—how tragic, how awful. There were cars lined up, bumper to bumper, for food assistance.

Grandchildren, wrapped in protective gear, waved goodbye to grandparents from across the silence of a hospital room.

It has been a horrible year—*annus horribilis*. Yet here, at the very end, finally, there is hope—not just one, not just two, but three strong beacons of hope. One, soon many Americans will have the vaccine. Two, Joe Biden will become President. He has the experience and the empathy to handle the COVID crisis and will replace a man who has shown no capacity or even interest in doing so. And, three, we are on the verge of passing another historic, bipartisan relief bill to deliver emergency assistance during a time of national emergency. So there are three beacons of hope: the vaccine, a new administration, and a bill that will help in an emergency.

Very soon, our country will close the book on the most chaotic President in recent history. Joe Biden, an experienced leader and a person of fundamental human decency, will become the 46th President of the United States. KAMALA HARRIS, my good friend and hard-working colleague, will become the first woman, the first Black person, and the first Asian American to ascend to the Vice Presidency of the United States. Together, they will return competency and compassion to our government after 4 long years of division and demonization, which far too many people have tolerated and gone along with.

Even though this disease has not been vanquished yet, there is light at the end of the tunnel in the form of a vaccine. Everyone should appreciate how miraculous that truly is. It usually takes between 5 and 10 years to develop a new vaccine—5 to 10 years. It took American doctors, biochemists, and medical researchers less than 10 months to produce not one but two viable vaccines for the coronavirus. The discovery of a vaccine in a single calendar year is the crowning scientific achievement of the 21st century—the medical Manhattan Project of our times. It is a reminder that, when we work together and persevere and sacrifice for one another, nothing—nothing—is beyond our capacity as a nation.

The same resilience and innovation and fortitude that saw our country through its darkest hours has emerged once again. COVID-19 has changed our country, but it has not changed our character. America is the night-shift nurse fashioning protective equipment from shoelaces and sheets of vinyl. America is a restaurant owner who sent meals to frontline workers for free. America is the home-stitched mask sent to friends and families. It is the metallic clang of pots and pans that celebrates essential workers. America is the grocery store clerk and the busdriver and the plasma donor and the lab technician, late at night, poring over the results of a clinical trial. It is the Brooklyn doctor, 62, on

the verge of retirement, who, for 2 straight weeks, worked day shifts at the ICU and night shifts at the nearby hospital before finally succumbing to the disease himself.

Last week, the first American—a nurse in Queens—was vaccinated against COVID-19. Many millions will soon follow. Eventually, our businesses will reopen, our economy will reopen, and life will reopen. We will travel and worship and send our kids to school and see our friends and be together again. It won't be tomorrow or next week or even next month, but it will happen, not because we merely waited long enough, not because we were patient, but because we persevered.

Our job right now is to help the country get from this stormy present to that hopeful future, to survive this dark winter until spring thaws the ice. Our job is to do what is necessary—pass this bill, pass another stronger bill next year—whatever it takes to hold our country together until we eradicate the awful scourge of this disease.

At the end of this *annus horribilis*—this horrible year—let us give the American people another reason to hope.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority whip.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I am, like many of my colleagues, very pleased that we have reached an agreement on a final COVID relief package and none too soon.

Last week, we celebrated what will, hopefully, be a turning point in the COVID fight—the first coronavirus vaccinations. We need to build on that momentum and make sure that vaccine distribution goes swiftly and smoothly so that we can vaccinate as many Americans as possible as quickly as possible. The COVID relief package will help us achieve that goal by providing important funding for vaccine distribution. It will also provide critical support to Americans to help them weather the rest of the pandemic, including a second round of paycheck protection funding for the hardest hit small businesses, money to help schools reopen safely and operate so that our kids aren't left behind, and more money for coronavirus treatment and other frontline medical priorities.

I am very pleased that the final package includes my Paycheck Protection for Producers Act, which will help more farmers and ranchers benefit from the Paycheck Protection Program. The bill also includes funding to allow the Department of Agriculture to provide additional assistance to farmers and ranchers. Ag producers were dealing with a challenging agricultural economy even before the pandemic hit, and the coronavirus has only made things tougher. I strongly advocated for including additional funding for farmers and ranchers in this legislation, and I am very glad that the final bill includes this support.

The final package also explicitly makes biofuels, like ethanol and biodiesel, eligible for USDA assistance at the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture. Biofuel producers have suffered from a drop in fuel demand during the pandemic, and I hope the Secretary will ensure that they are able to receive assistance, which will further help our ag economy recover.

I am very happy that the COVID relief package includes an extension of the Thune-Warner Employer Participation in Repayment Act. The Thune-Warner bill allows employers to make tax-free contributions to their employees' student loans of up to \$5,250 per year. This is a win for employees, who get help in paying off their student loans, and it is a win for employers as they look to attract and retain talented workers. Our bill was included in the CARES Act—the major coronavirus relief legislation we passed in March—but it was scheduled to expire at the end of the year. Under the coronavirus relief package, however, our legislation will be extended for an additional 5 years.

The COVID relief package also includes Senator CORNYN's Small Business Expense Protection Act, which I cosponsored. This legislation will ensure that small businesses that qualify for forgiveness of their Paycheck Protection Program loans can still deduct their ordinary business expenses on their taxes.

The relief package also includes legislation I introduced this summer with Senator ENZI that will establish anti-fraud measures within the Pandemic Unemployment Assistance program so that we can ensure that beneficiaries are truly eligible for the program.

We have addressed a lot of coronavirus priorities in this relief package, and I am very pleased that we are finally getting it out the door. Republicans spent months pushing for additional, targeted coronavirus relief, and I am glad the Democrats finally decided that they were ready to work with us in a bipartisan way to arrive at this legislation.

The Senate Democratic leader was just here, once again, attacking Republicans over their failure—the Democrats' failure—to work with us to get a coronavirus relief bill sooner. We brought up multiple times on the floor legislation that could have passed if there had been a little cooperation from the Democrats. He pointed out that this bill we are going to be voting on today looks nothing like the Republican bill, which isn't the case. There are a lot of similarities between the bill that we put on the floor in September and again in October—about \$600 billion in targeted relief that addresses the most fundamental needs the American people need right now. One is an unemployment insurance extension for those who are unemployed. The very amount that is in the bill that we will vote on today was in the Republican bill that we brought to the

floor in September and again in October and voted on here.

The vaccine money—the money that is out there to help with the vaccines that are going to be so effective in trying to get this pandemic under control—was also in the bill that was on the floor both in September and in October.

The relief for small businesses that have been hit hard by this pandemic and have seen their balance sheets and their income statements get depleted by its economic impact also would have been funded with additional Paycheck Protection Program relief in the bill that we brought before the Senate both in September and again in October. That very assistance is included in the legislation that we will vote on today.

Money for schools, as I mentioned earlier, to help them reopen safely—something that was in the legislation that we voted on in September, again in October—is in the legislation that we will vote on today.

The only things that are different, really—substantially different—from what we brought up on the floor back then are the assistance checks that are included in this legislation. That is something that was a priority. It was a priority for Members on the Republican side; it was a priority for Members on the Democratic side; it was a priority for the White House, so it ended up being included in this and, hopefully, will provide some much needed relief to people across this country who have been struggling with their personal finances and their family finances through the pandemic.

So those are all things that we have discussed and debated previously, and I would point out that, contrary to the assertions made by the Democratic leader just now, there were numerous attempts to try and move this legislation previously.

Now, it is fair to say that the House of Representatives did send the Senate a \$3.4 trillion package, which was bloated and included lots of nonpandemic, noncoronavirus relief-related items—things that were on their liberal wish list. That wasn't realistic, and they knew it. That was a campaign document designed to try and help them, at the time, win an election.

But I am glad they have decided to get down and negotiate in a serious way because the number that we are going to be passing today—a little under \$1 trillion, about \$900 billion—is very close to what Republicans put on the floor in September and again in October.

It is a far cry from the \$3.4 trillion bloated bill that the Democrats sent over from the House and the Democrats here in the Senate tried to advance and suggested that that should be what the Senate should vote on.

We have said all along that we need to address this in a targeted way, a fiscally responsible way, a way that recognizes the most critical needs out

there, both on the healthcare front and also on the economic front, and we have moved aggressively to address those needs not once, but twice.

Legislation, a real bill brought to the floor, which received a majority vote in the U.S. Senate—52 U.S. Senators in September and again in October voted here on the floor of the U.S. Senate to do the very things that I just mentioned—but it was blocked from even being considered by the Senate Democrats.

We all know here in the Senate it requires 60 votes to invoke cloture. It is a procedural motion to get on a bill. The Senate Democrats gave us no support to even get on the bill.

So, as a consequence, even though there was majority support—52 U.S. Senators voting in favor of getting on and debating the bill—because the Democrats blocked it, we didn't even have an opportunity to debate—not even to get on it, let alone offer amendments and have a discussion and a conversation and work on legislation. If they had objections to it or things they wanted to improve or things they wanted to make better, they would have had an opportunity to do that if we had simply been able to get on the bill.

So we are where we are today at this late hour in the year—December 21, Christmas week—doing this now because they didn't want to do it earlier, and some have publicly acknowledged that one of the reasons they didn't want to do it earlier is that there was a campaign underway, and they had hoped that there would be a new President, an opportunity to do it their way later.

But, nevertheless, we have before us now, finally, at long last, a piece of legislation that addresses the most critical needs that are out there, and it is very similar in many ways, in terms of the substance, the content, and the features of the bill and the overall pricetag, to what Republicans have brought on the floor of the U.S. Senate previously.

So I am glad that we are finally going to get this done, but I absolutely disagree with the statements that were made earlier by the Democratic leader, because they don't reflect reality. In fact, they don't reflect anything close to reality about what has been happening here in this Chamber over the past several months when it comes to trying to provide much needed relief to the American people who are suffering from this pandemic.

There are a couple of things that I would just mention briefly that aren't included in the bill, and I wish they were.

I have a bill called the Remote and Mobile Worker Relief Act, and I am sorry that was not included in the final bill.

This bipartisan legislation would have prevented unexpected tax bills and tax complications for medical professionals who traveled to other States

to help during the pandemic and for Americans who worked from home to help slow the virus's spread.

It is unfortunate that opposition from a handful of States with aggressive taxation policies—like the Senate Democratic leader's home State of New York—has so far prevented legislation like mine from getting through Congress. But I will continue to fight for tax relief for remote and mobile workers.

It has been a difficult year for our country. There are way too many virus infections, way too many hospitalizations, way too many people who have lost loved ones from this dreaded virus. It has affected people in so many ways—their health, their confidence, their economic standing and status, their mental health. There are just so many—so many—effects of this, and this winter is likely to be very challenging.

But the encouraging news is that there is light at the end of the tunnel. There is a vaccine out there that will get more widely out there, and thanks to the resources that we put into the first coronavirus bill—the CARES Act that passed last March—those vaccines have been moving forward at record speed—five times faster than any vaccine in history.

Light is at the end of the tunnel. The vaccines are coming. They are going to be proven to be very effective, and there is additional funding in this particular legislation that we will vote on today to make sure that it gets distributed as quickly as possible.

We are going to make it through this, and I look forward to sending the additional relief that is included in this legislation that we will move through the Senate today and put on the President's desk, where he can sign it into law. I look forward to seeing that additional relief get out to the American people.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BARRASSO). The Senator from North Carolina.

HONORING JASON SHUPING

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, I rise today to honor the life and service of Concord, NC, Police Officer Jason Shuping, who was tragically killed in the line of duty this week.

Officer Shuping was only 25 years old and had served the Concord Police Department for 1½ years with honor and distinction.

Officer Shuping and Officer Kaleb Robinson were responding to a 9-1-1 call for a car crash and an attempted carjacking. Officers Shuping and Robinson located the suspect and were prepared to bring him to justice. However, when Officer Shuping, Officer Robinson, and a third ALE officer approached, the suspect immediately began opening fire.

Officers Shuping and Robinson were both shot. Additional officers arrived on the scene and took out the suspect.

While Officer Robinson is, fortunately, expected to make a recovery, Officer Shuping, tragically, passed away from his injuries.

Jason Shuping was an outstanding police officer who courageously ran to danger to protect residents of Concord.

He was also a loving son and a husband to his wife Haylee. He was active in his community since his childhood. He attended East Rowan High School in Salisbury, and he was an honor student and track and field athlete at UNC-Pembroke.

He was a young man with a bright future ahead of him, described by those who knew him as a "gentle soul who made an impact."

His hometown paper, the Salisbury Post, noted: "Whether it was staying after practice to provide coaching to a youngster or coming back home from college to play the handbell in his church's ensemble, you could always count on Jason Shuping."

It is no surprise to see the outpouring of love coming from the community of Concord to honor his life and service. There have been a candlelight vigil and a touching law enforcement escort that brought many residents and a long line of American flags proudly displayed.

As we gather with our families this Christmas, my thoughts and prayers will be with the family of Officer Shuping, as well as the families of Mount Holly Police Officer Tyler Herndon and Nash County Deputy Sheriff Jared Allison, who also lost their lives this month in the line of duty.

Those families are going through an unimaginable period of grief, but they should know that the people of North Carolina are forever grateful for the selfless service of their loved ones, and I will never forget the ultimate sacrifice that they made in answering the call to protect others.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Minnesota.

CORONAVIRUS

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Mr. President, I rise today to thank all of my colleagues who worked so hard on the bill that is coming to the floor today, the pandemic relief package.

We know all the top priorities in there—the vaccine distribution—and I want to thank Senator SCHUMER and leaders and those on both sides of the aisle who put more funding into that.

I want to thank the group who has worked so hard on this agreement and this negotiation in the Senate for their work, including Senator MANCHIN and Senator ROMNEY and Senator WARNER and Senator SHAHEEN and Senator HASSAN and Senator DURBIN, as well as all of their Republican colleagues, Senator COLLINS and Senator MURKOWSKI, Senator CASSIDY, who worked so hard on this original agreement, with many others who joined in as well.

This bill contains such important priorities, including unemployment

and help for our hospitals and help for our rural areas—housing, rent, small businesses, unemployment, the direct checks.

I think we all know that there is more work to be done, including next year, including for our cities and our States, but it is so important that we get this done by the end of the year.

I wanted to focus on something that I have worked on for quite a while with Senator CORNYN from Texas. We have done this on a bipartisan basis from the beginning, and it is the Save Our Stages Act.

When we first introduced it in July, we knew that it was going to be a long road, and we also knew that the only way we would get this done is by sticking together as a team and by working with other Members of Congress from red and blue States. And by the end—this bill is included in full in this package—we had 57 Senators who sponsored this bill out of 100, with many more supporting it. We had over 200 House Members.

We worked so hard to make this about America and American music and American theater and American culture.

We all know that you can't go stand in a mosh pit in the middle of a pandemic. These live entertainment venues were among the first businesses to close, and they will almost certainly be among the last to reopen.

This was about, yes, Nashville and New York, but it was just as much about the Fargo Theater or a small, small country music venue in Texas. And while we see the light at the end of tunnel with the vaccines, we know that it will be quite a while before these businesses, which operate on such thin margins as it is, can keep going.

I think we also know the importance of the arts and music, not only as a cultural icon in America but also as an economic driver. It is one of our No. 1 exports, when you combine all of it. And the fact that we were able to stick together with not only the nitty-gritty of this bill and this coalition and actually add partners as we went along is a tribute to all the musicians out there, all the venues, all the lighting operators, all the truckers—everyone who came together and said: We are going to get this done.

I know when Senator CORNYN and I first introduced this, people kind of patted us on the head and said: Oh, this sounds nice. But I think when people started to hear the facts and how much this matters to economies and even small towns, it made a difference. In the end, to quote Minnesota's own Bob Dylan from "The Times They Are a-Changin'," he says:

Come senators, congressmen
Please heed the call
Don't stand in the doorway
Don't block the hall

No one blocked the hall.

I want to thank my colleagues, and I want to especially thank Senator CORNYN. We have led many bills together, and we had to go back and forth a lot.

I want to thank Dayna Frank, who is the head of First Avenue in Minnesota, made famous, of course, by Prince.

Prince wouldn't be Prince if not for First Avenue. Everyone in our State, when they think about First Avenue, they think about Prince.

She is the head of the National Venue Association. She called me one night in the beginning of the pandemic and said: I just can't make it through this without some help.

They already received PPP loans, but that is not enough for these venues because of the unique circumstance where they can't partially open. You can't go to a theater right now and sit elbow-to-elbow with your friends and family.

I also want to thank my legislative director, Doug Calidas, who has worked on this from the beginning, including all the last month's late-night negotiations. He did a wonderful job.

I want to thank Senators SCHUMER and MCCONNELL and Representatives Speaker PELOSI and Leader MCCARTHY for getting this over the finish line—Senator MCCONNELL for putting it in his original bill and Senator SCHUMER, who cares so much about this because of all of the great music and acts and everything coming out of New York State. It was certainly very, very helpful—and that would put it mildly—to have Senator SCHUMER in the room where it happened, where the last negotiations were made.

I also want to thank Senators SHAHEEN and COLLINS, who worked on this in the original negotiation; Senators CARDIN and RUBIO with the Small Business Committee, who made this a priority; and finally, our House authors, our bipartisan House authors out there, Representatives WELCH and WILLIAMS, for their work.

So how this works—the Small Business Administration will create a new \$15 billion grant program to help venues cover 6 months of expenses and make it through this pandemic. We are very hopeful that once the summer comes, we are going to see more and more openings because of the vaccine, because of what I hope will be, with a new administration, an increased emphasis on testing, and that we will see more and more venues able to open.

The grants can be used to cover all the major costs the venues have to pay to stay in business, including rent and mortgage, utilities, employee wages, key benefits, maintenance costs, State and local taxes, payments to contractors, and purchases of protective equipment.

Venues that are at the greatest risk of closing—sadly, we have already lost a number of our venues—will have priority access to the majority of the grant funding. All venues will be able to apply within 4 weeks of the program's launch with the Small Business Administration, but in the first 2 weeks, those venues that have suffered 90 percent revenue loss over the year before will be the first to be able to apply for these grants.

So we in Congress don't want to let the music die, and we don't want that to happen to any of our other places of culture in America either. That is why over the last month or so, we have worked with the museums and with the zoos, and I want to especially thank Senator SCHUMER for his work on that, as well as Senator BLUNT and many others who worked with us—as well as the movie theaters.

We wanted to make sure that if we expanded our coalition, that we didn't hurt the originals, which were these small, small theaters and small music venues across the country. We did not do that, because this new program will be a lifeline for small entertainment venues across the country, such as First Avenue and the Bluestem Amphitheater in Moorehead, MN.

It will also help the millions of Americans who work behind the scenes and who have been sidelined, from the engineers and truckdrivers to the ticket takers and the designers and the spot operators. It will help revive the local economies of neighborhoods and small towns across this Nation.

It is not every day that a coalition sticks together from beginning to end, that they kept with their original purpose, haven't been picked off, haven't gotten into infighting, but this group did it. Maybe it is because so many Americans at home right now cherish music and entertainment and that part of America like they have never done because they are watching things alone. They are listening to concerts by themselves. They are listening to them with their iPhones, or they are listening to them on their computers, and it is not quite the same.

We also know that all of these artists don't exactly get a big boost up by themselves with huge funding when they first start out. So many of them start out at these little venues—a country music band playing at the Bluestem Amphitheater; a little local theater troupe trying out a new play in Lanesboro, MN. They can't do it without these venues.

So today we celebrate the fact that we held together. Not only are we passing this bill as a part of this package, we actually brought in friends, and we brought in partners, and we made it an even bigger deal than it was to begin with.

So as I began by quoting the great Bob Dylan from Minnesota, I will end. He once said:

Well, I sing by night, wander by day.
I'm on the road and it looks like I'm here to stay.

Finally, we are reaching out to this group of employees and these businesses and saying: We want you to be here to stay.

So thank you to Save Our Stages and to all of our colleagues who worked so hard on this, and special thanks to my friend Senator CORNYN. Again, when we did this, we didn't know if we would be able to mount this grassroots effort, but it happened because artists and

fans just wouldn't give up. So thank you very much.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from West Virginia.

Mrs. CAPITO. Mr. President, I would like to thank, first of all, the preceding speaker, Senator KLOBUCHAR, for her efforts to help those venues in those entertainment areas that at this point have been left out. We certainly have some of those in West Virginia, and I am pleased to say that the coronavirus package we are putting together today will help those folks and hopefully get them over the hump.

Before I begin, I would like to wish everybody, as much as they can, a happy holiday and a joyful new year. Turning the page of 2020 is something I think we are all pretty anxious to do. Sometimes it seems like the longest year, and sometimes it seems like the shortest year, but it definitely seems like "Groundhog Day" a lot of the year.

I am very pleased that we have this relief package in front of us. While I am glad an agreement has been reached, we certainly should have done this earlier and could have done this earlier. We have been working since July to deliver targeted additional relief through efforts such as we put forward in the CARES Act, and it has been voted down twice—once in September and once in October.

To date, we, the Republicans, have offered targeted relief legislation, voted in favor of enhanced employment benefits, more money for our schools, which would have been great to have had in early September and should have had in early September. We voted in favor of stand-alone emergency funding for the Paycheck Protection Program, which we know is exceedingly important, and more dollars for vaccines and testing so that we could get the great news of the vaccine that we see coming forward out to everybody in this country. We offered all kinds of ideas to the other side, but they blocked it—all of it. I am glad to say that after all this, we have finally joined together, realizing that, yes, a deal is better than no deal.

Last week, unfortunately, a very good friend of mine passed away after battling coronavirus. At one point in her life, she was a small business owner. I thought so much about her over the last several weeks. I thought, what would she say if she knew that months ago, as a small business owner, we could have delivered the same help to her that we are delivering today.

We have to do better by the people we represent. It is disappointing that politics has gotten in the way. It is disappointing and, quite frankly, insulting, in my view, when I see the Speaker of the House admitting to holding out on this relief because she thought it would be beneficial politically in the end. We can do much better than this.

I am also a proud member of the Appropriations Committee. We have

worked hard to get these funds and resources where they are most needed, especially in a year like this one. But due to delays, critically needed resources to combat the opioid epidemic have had to wait; investments to improve broadband have had to wait; research dollars into Alzheimer's have had to wait; and the list goes on. This is so frustrating to me, as it should be to every American, and I know they are frustrated because they tell us they are.

But here now, we were able to include funds for things that are important to me in my State of West Virginia, such as fossil energy research, our universities, and the many Federal facilities that call West Virginia home.

I encourage my colleagues to support this important legislation that reflects our Nation's priorities and funds the government, which is our responsibility as Members of Congress.

Within the Omnibus appropriations act is the fiscal year 2021 Homeland Security appropriations bill. I chair that subcommittee, and it is with great pride that I can report to the American people and to West Virginians that this title invests billions of dollars to protect our homeland.

We maintain our commitment to border security through a border wall system to include physical barriers and enhanced technologies.

We avoided a drastic cut to our cyber security capabilities that we see we need now more than ever after all of the reports and the vicious cyber attack that we uncovered that has been launched against many of those in our country, not just the government but the private sector as well.

We continue our commitment to use every resource at our disposal in the effort to prevent those opioids that are killing our people. We see overdoses going up and deaths from overdoses going up during this pandemic. We tried to get the resources to our Homeland Security folks to prevent those drugs from entering into this country at all.

The men and women at the Department of Homeland Security work constantly against threats both old and new, traditional and emerging, and deserve the support this legislation gives them.

So while this is great news in the end, I will repeat what I said earlier, and that is, Congress can do better. We could have done this earlier, and it should have been done earlier. So there is no reason we should be standing here several days before Christmas discussing the items that were ready to go several months ago, but we are where we are. As we turn the page gleefully into 2021, I think we should all pledge to one another and to the country that we will do better, that we will work better with each other and prevent politics from infecting every decision that could positively impact so many people in this country.

Lastly, I give a hat tip to my friend, whom I will miss seeing and who was a

great friend to our family, a longtime friend of our family. Godspeed. I know she is dancing up there with her mom and dad because they loved to dance.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

GOVERNMENT FUNDING

Mr. PAUL. Mr. President, Republicans like to mock modern monetary theory—the idea that government can print money with impunity and that government can spend whatever it wants without the need to tax. Modern monetary theory is basically the Dick Cheney “deficits don't matter” crowd, trussed up with a new fancy title.

Most Republicans rightly lampoon this quackery; that is, when they are not practicing the quackery themselves. Today, many of these same Republicans will vote for a bill that makes modern monetary theory look like child's play in comparison. The monster spending bill presented today is not just a “deficits don't matter” disaster, it is everything Republicans say they don't believe in.

This bill is free money for everyone. Proponents don't care if you are fully employed or own your own house or own your own business. “Free money for everyone,” they cry. And yet, if free money were the answer and if money really grew on trees, why not give more free money? Why not give it out all the time? Why stop at \$600 a person? Why not \$1,000? Why not \$2,000? Maybe these new free-money Republicans should join the “everybody gets a guaranteed income” caucus. Why not \$20,000 a year for everybody? Why not \$30,000? If we can print up money with impunity, why not do it?

The Treasury could just keep printing the money; that is, until someone points out that the Emperor has no clothes and that the dollar no longer has value. To so-called conservatives who are quick to identify the socialism of Democrats, if you vote for this spending monstrosity, you are no better. When you vote to pass out free money, you lose your soul, and you abandon forever any semblance of moral or fiscal integrity.

So the next time you see Republicans in high moral dudgeon, claiming and complaining about spending of Democrats and socialism, remind them—remind them if they supported this monstrous bill, that really the difference between the parties is less Adam Smith versus Marx and more Marx versus Engels.

How bad is our fiscal situation? Well, the Federal Government brought in \$3.3 trillion last year and spent \$6.6 trillion. The deficit last year, a record-busting \$3.3 trillion. If you are looking for more COVID bailout money, we don't have any. The coffers are bare. We have no rainy day fund. We have no savings account. Congress has spent all the money long ago.

The economic damage from this pandemic is not the reason for this run-

away spending. This spending has been going on for decades. Every year, even before we get to all the extra COVID-free money, we have been spending \$1 trillion we don't have.

Today's money is gone, so Congress is spending tomorrow's money. The spending chart is a red line of red ink that goes on forever. When we talk about spending tomorrow's money, it is not just the money that we need next month. It is the money we might need in a decade. It is the money we will need in one, two, three generations from now—for national defense and for infrastructure. This is the money that your children and your grandchildren will pay back with interest.

The deficit doubling and tripling—under George Bush, it went from \$5 trillion to \$10 trillion. Under President Obama, it went from \$10 trillion to \$20 trillion. We are now at \$27 trillion, but we are adding it at \$1 trillion a year before we get to this COVID budget-busting bailout.

Every tax-paying American already owes over \$136,000, and they are staring at projections into the future that show no end. We are \$27 trillion in debt today. How do we expect a child to have the economic opportunity when this crushing debt is their inheritance from Congress? The numbers are mind-boggling. It is hard to conceive of what \$1 billion is, much less \$1 trillion.

How big is \$1 billion? Well, a billion seconds ago was 1988 and Reagan was President. A billion minutes ago, Jesus walked the shore of the Sea of Galilee. A billion hours ago, man still lived in caves. But \$1 billion ago, was just 80 minutes ago—\$1 billion ago, at the rate Congress spends money, was just 80 minutes ago.

All of this should be setting off alarm bells. But the only alarm bells in Congress are sounding the alarm for more spending and more debt. No cuts, no offsets, no pay-fors, and no prioritization. Just print it up. Print up more money and give it out to everybody because it is free money. Come and get yours while the getting is good. But it leads to a mountain of debt. Spend all this money and leave the future to figure itself out.

John Maynard Keynes was once asked: What about the long run?

He said: In the short run, you can make a stimulus. You can print money, and you can give it to everybody.

And Maynard Keynes, his response was: In the long run, we will all be dead; no concern for the future, only for the immediate.

Our budget deficit for 2020 was \$3.3 trillion, but this new spending package will also give us another \$2 trillion in the next fiscal year. By refusing to acknowledge the debt crisis, we are only hastening the day of economic reckoning.

Total debt was 55 percent of GDP just 20 years ago. Today, it is 128 percent of GDP. So our annual or our total debt is more than our GDP—128 percent of our GDP. The World Bank estimates there

is a tipping point of debt to GDP at about 77 percent. Every percentage point costs another 10th or so of economic growth. So every year, we are giving out somewhere between 5 to 8 percent of growth every year because of this burden of debt. This is thousands of jobs, every year—tens of thousands of jobs that we lose because of this burden of debt.

We are borrowing and worsening this debt crisis in part because too many Governors and mayors have imposed heavyhanded restrictions that crush business. It isn't the pandemic that is killing the economy; it is the government's overzealous response that is killing the economy. The pandemic itself was disruptive, but Congress is being asked to help to perpetuate these lockdowns. The more money we give to the States, the more they keep us in lockdown.

Every bailout dollar printed and passed out to the Governors only allows these tin-pot dictators to perpetuate the lockdowns. Their rules are arbitrary and unscientific. Governors and mayors across the country are picking winners and losers.

Businesses, some that have been in families for generations, are being wiped out because they are not allowed to open. Restaurants have to close their doors for indoor dining, but then they are told they can open at limited capacity, but then they are told they have to close again. Then they are told they can open outside, and then they are told they can't open outside. Confusing doesn't even explain the half of it.

Bars are told they can only serve alcohol if people are sitting and not standing and only if they have heavy foods on their menus.

Restaurants are told they can serve outdoors, and then they have their permission revoked after they have sunk time and money converting their restaurant to outdoor services, but a caterer is told they can still serve outside.

Businesses are told they have to close at an arbitrary time determined by government officials, as though the virus only comes out late at night. A business in one ZIP Code can open, but one in an adjoining ZIP Code across the street has to close, as if the virus can't cross an imaginary line.

Airlines are allowed to fly, but hotels have to limit their occupancy, so you may not have anywhere to stay when you get there.

Mom-and-pop stores and specialty stores are forced to close, but big-box store competitors are allowed to stay open.

How is any business expected to survive with this kind of arbitrary regulation that changes from day to day? Meanwhile, many schools remain closed—despite overwhelming evidence showing kids can learn safely in person—which means parents can't go to work, which forces parents to leave their jobs and take care of homebound

kids. Now they have no income because the government forced them to leave their jobs to take care of their kids. And many kids are struggling with this improvised virtual school.

The need for help is real. I hear it every day from Kentuckians and across the country. But it is clear that government has worsened the economic damage and acted as the biggest obstacle to economic recovery.

There is no free money that can get us out of this situation. The only thing that can save us is to open the economy. If we give these tin-pot dictators—these Governors—more money, they are less likely to open the economy.

The answer is not printing up and distributing “free money”; it is opening the economy. We are not even debating the real answer to this. We are like, just print up the money and shovel it out the door, the deficit be damned, the threat of the destruction of our currency be damned.

We can choose to let our economies open with guidance and precautions but not obstruction. Let people rebuild their livelihoods. Reopen our schools so our kids can return and parents can go back to work.

Congress should do away with automatic spending increases and scrutinize where in the budget we can find savings to pay for the pressing needs arising from the pandemic, but we shouldn't simply print up money and pass it out to everyone. Or Congress can follow the status quo. Congress can continue to borrow from our kids—the same children we have locked out of our schools. Congress can keep enabling and shutting down businesses by force, spend all of today's money and all of tomorrow's money, and then good luck. Good luck figuring out how to pay for all of this massive debt.

It doesn't have to be this way. There is another alternative that won't be debated, and that alternative is to open the economy. It is not too late to change our course. Cut unnecessary spending. Eliminate waste. Stop fighting a \$50-billion-a-year war in Afghanistan that hasn't had a military mission in at least a decade.

Make the hard decisions now. We can't keep pretending that more debt is a sustainable policy course. “Leadership” is not passing on the problem to someone who can't protest; “leadership” is making the hard choices now. This is what we have to do.

I will oppose this new debt, and I will continue to sound the alarm until we change our course. Our country can be saved. We can survive this if we pull together. But adding more debt is a mistake. It is not the solution, and we should resist it.

Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HAWLEY). The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I was going to speak in a few minutes, but things have been filed now appropriately.

Let me speak in my role not only as the Senator from Vermont but as the vice chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee. We have had months of delay and painstaking negotiations. Sometimes those negotiations have gone all weekend long, until midnight, 1 or 2 o'clock in the morning. But this afternoon, we will have before us a spending package. It includes all 12 appropriations bills for fiscal year 2021. It also includes a vitally important COVID relief package. Those are the numbers and figures, but let's talk about what it means.

It provides funding for programs that are critically important to the American people, and I would like to see it swiftly passed and on the President's desk. After all, it is not like we are suddenly rushing things. We are 2 months and 20 days into the fiscal year. It would be absolutely outrageous if we delayed it further.

As vice chairman of the Appropriations Committee, I worked hard to reach agreement on this Omnibus appropriations bill that will fund the Federal government through the remainder of the fiscal year, without relying on a long-term continuing resolution, as sometimes has been done in the past. That was not an easy task.

The budget caps are very lean this year, and we had to stay within those. They provided a less than 1-percent increase in nondefense discretionary spending, and that is to meet the needs of a nation that is reeling from the worst public health pandemic in a century.

Under normal circumstances, that would be difficult, but it is made even more difficult because of the global health and economic crisis we face. Notwithstanding the tight top line, we have produced a bill that provides important increases in programs that serve the American people and invest in our economy.

I think the bill finally drives a stake through the heart of the administration's effort to substantially diminish the role of government in helping Americans in need and in promoting economic growth.

We all know that President Trump's first budget proposed to substantially diminish the role of government. He wanted to cut nondefense spending by 9 percent in fiscal 2018 and 18 percent by 2021. He wanted to completely eliminate programs millions of Americans rely on every day. For 4 years, in Congress, leading Republicans and Democrats came together and we rejected these ill-conceived, arbitrary, and reckless cuts.

This year, I will say to my colleagues—those who have worked hard with us on the Democratic side and on the Republican side and who came together on this, and, especially, those who worked with us in the Appropriations Committee—we are going to do the same in rejecting these arbitrary cuts.

Now, this agreement is the product of weeks of hard work and compromise.

This is not the bill I would have written on my own. It includes things I support and, I must admit, some things I oppose. But that is often the way legislation is. No one Senator gets everything that he or she wants. But together, we can get things that the country wants, and on balance, passage of this bill is unquestionably in the interest of the American people.

Let me talk about some of those things. The omnibus spending bill includes increases for education and early childhood programs. It provides more funding for substance abuse and mental health services. I think every one of us knows, from what we hear from back home, that these services are of utmost importance in these extremely difficult times. It provides more for food assistance programs both here and abroad—the assistance that is desperately needed as many families struggle to survive during this pandemic. And it includes increases for housing and homelessness services to help those who are the most vulnerable. These are all programs that my fellow Democrats fought hard to include.

I support this agreement. As I said, I appreciate those who have worked with us weekends, holidays, and after midnight on so many nights. But I am deeply disappointed that Congress is so unforgivably late in completing our work. There is absolutely no reason whatsoever why this bill could not have been finished months ago.

I thank Chairman SHELBY, Chairwoman LOWEY, and Ranking Member GRANGER for their cooperation and partnership. We worked through our differences on the Omnibus spending bill. As the Big 4, we realized we had to balance the needs and requests of all of our Members. I urge all Members to support it.

That is for the Omnibus.

Now, before us today is a much delayed COVID relief package. It, too, is the product of bipartisan compromise, and while it falls short in some critical areas, I support the agreement. It is also long overdue. The American people have been waiting for help for far too long, and I am worried our Republican leadership took a wait-and-see approach. We were ready to go on this last summer, but for 270 days Majority Leader MCCONNELL and the Senate Republicans have blocked every reasonable effort to provide desperately needed relief, even as Members of their party said quietly: We wish we could do something.

Now, this package is far from perfect, but time is not on our side. We cannot let the perfect be the enemy of the good. Let's look at what the good things are in here. It provides much needed investments in our economy with support for small businesses—small businesses like those in my State of Vermont or those in the State of the Presiding Officer or anybody else here. It provides relief for unemployed workers by extending unemployment bene-

fits into March. It makes investments in vaccine production and distribution. It supports health providers, educators and farmers and transportation providers. It provides critical investments to expand broadband in rural and low-income areas, access which is vitally important during these difficult times, when many schools and many businesses are operating remotely.

It includes another round of direct payments to millions of Americans who are still struggling to pay their mortgage or their rent and feed their families and heat their homes and meet their monthly obligations. Many can't do all those things. Now they will at least get help.

I urged that this bill also includes \$4 billion in emergency funding for the Gavi Alliance. Let me explain that. And I appreciate those Senators who supported me on that money, the emergency funding for Gavi. This is for the procurement and delivery of vaccines to countries around the world whose rudimentary public health systems are being overwhelmed by COVID-19, whose economies are in free fall due to the virus. We cannot defeat this global pandemic, and international travel and Congress will not recover without fighting the virus overseas.

Just as we did during the Obama administration when we were faced with Ebola, the administration and the Congress came together and said: Sure, we will protect here in the United States, but we will also work at getting rid of it in other countries because if it flourishes in another country, it is an airplane trip away from our country.

I support the package, but I want to be very clear. This COVID bill is only a first step. We have to do more. Vermonters and the American people need more.

The direct payments included in this package are a fraction of what we should have provided, given the dire financial situation of millions of people across this Nation. People are hungry. Unemployment continues to plague our economies. We should have acted months ago, but let's at least act on this today. Families are struggling to pay their rent and put food on their table.

I will continue to fight for more. I made hundreds of phone calls from my own State of Vermont. I talked to people whom I never met, but I know that they are people who are typical of Vermonters, but they are typical of people in any one of the States we represent. I hear the fear in their voice. I hear the concern they have. In the middle of winter, as snow is coming down, do we heat or do we eat? How many meals should we, as parents, go without so we can make sure our children are fed? How are children going to do school if they are hungry?

Look at State and local governments. Around the country, they have laid off over 1.3 million teachers, first responders, and other employees since March. They need our help. Sometimes

there are things that we don't talk about. Rates of spousal abuse and child abuse have increased during the crisis. We should be providing funds for the Violence Against Women Act and child abuse prevention grants, just as Republicans and Democrats joined me a few years ago when I greatly expanded—with the help of Senator MIKE CRAPO in a bipartisan fashion—the Violence Against Women Act and the things we did. None of us, even at that time, could have conceived of the crisis we are facing now in the country.

In my State, Vermonters are facing the coldest, darkest months of winter. They are struggling to heat their homes. And families need help paying their utility bills through the LIHEAP program, and we will help that program. When it is 20 degrees below zero and you have had 15 inches of snow overnight, you can't really look at this as an abstract thing and say: Golly, maybe we should have a program to heat our home. You are going to die if you don't.

And we are finally making progress in delivering a vaccine to the American people, but the pandemic is far from over. We know that, notwithstanding a lot of the things said about this is on its way and everybody is going to get one, there are huge gaps in all parts of our country and getting the vaccine to them.

I will be the first at the negotiating table to work with President Biden and the 117th Congress to address the many needs that remain unmet in this bill.

The House will send this bill over to us. I would urge all Members to vote on it when it comes here.

Again, I have to look back at the history of this body. I have to look at the people who have worked so hard on so many things over the years. I know that we have people in both parties who are trying to address the needs of our country.

I don't say this with pleasure but with sadness, I am the dean of the United States Senate. Next year, I will start my 47th year in this body. I have seen us come together at a time when it is needed, but then I see one of the greatest needs I have seen in my years in the Senate that we ignored for month after month after month. All of this could have been done in July or August or September or October or November, not at the very last minute.

And why didn't we? We had to take time. We had to take time breaking long tradition—all of the promises that have been given by the other side. We had to take time to move one special interest-supported judge after another to lifetime jobs, but they will be paid well. They don't have to worry about paying their bills.

In all 50 of our States, we had people being tossed out of their homes, tossed out of their apartments, lost their jobs, unable to feed their children, or the fear and anxiety a parent has in telling a child: No, I don't know what tomorrow will be like. I don't know what

next month will be like. We will pray, and we will hope, but I don't know.

We could have stopped that anxiety in June, when the House bill came over here, or in July or in August or September and October and November. We are doing some of it now.

But I ask every Senator to search their conscience. Wouldn't it have been better if all of us from both sides did something and said: Put everything else aside, put aside all the special interest nominations. Put that aside, and let's care for the one special interest we should have and that is the American people—care for those men and women who elected us from either party, who rely on us.

I have never seen this country so split apart or having such fear except for a privileged few, and maybe that includes us. I am not here to represent me. I am here to represent over 600,000 Vermonters and fulfill my oath to the whole country, 320 million Americans. What we should be doing is saying that never again will we let these kind of partisan politics slow us and not allow us to go forward.

We know, and it is easy to say now, we should have taken the bill that came from the House of Representatives last summer and brought it up on the floor. If anybody didn't like it, file an amendment to change it. Vote for it or vote against it. Vote for or against the amendments you might bring up. That is what we usually do. I know how to vote. I voted over 16,000 times. Why don't we just vote? If we had done that this summer, it may not be a perfect bill, but it would be better than where we are. Every Member—Republican and Democratic alike—would have had a chance to bring up their amendment. They could have made their case, either win or lose. We go to the committee conference; we have the bill done.

I say all this not to just be a technocrat of what needs to be done but to say this is how you reflect the needs of the American people.

We faced the threat of Ebola in the last administration. We stood together, both parties. We helped the countries that were suffering from Ebola and, in doing so, we protected the United States of America, and we helped those in this country who might face it. That was a shining moment. That was a moment of America at its best. This is not.

I do hope we can do better next year. I know as senior Democrat on the Appropriations Committee, I will fight to do better. But I also use my voice and what example I might give as dean of the Senate to say to both parties: Here is what we do.

I think of such examples as Bob Dole, one of the best leaders this Senate had, a Republican. He came together with Senator Pat Moynihan, one of the most brilliant Senators I served with, a Democrat. And that Republican and Democrat came together and set aside their philosophical differences, cared

for the country, and saved Social Security.

I could give so many more examples. That was a Senate that acted as a conscience of the Nation, and how did they do that? They appealed to our conscience. I just use that one example because people said that they couldn't possibly do the difficult things necessary to save Social Security. Democrats wouldn't give this; Republicans wouldn't give that. Instead, you had two Senators of conscience who said: We can do it. Let's do it. Let's use our leadership and our conscience to bring others together. And that distinguished the Republican Senator Robert Dole, and that distinguished the Democratic Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan and they came together and we saved Social Security.

Those of us in the Senate in both parties who voted for the final package knew we were going to have to vote for some things that would be unpopular with constituencies. But instead of worrying about special interests or single-issue constituencies, we worried about the men and women of our States and what they would face if we didn't come together. And that is what we voted for, and we saved it.

I sometimes say that Senators are merely constitutional impediments to their staffs, but we could not do the work we do without the staff.

I want to thank the staff who worked tirelessly to produce the bill. By "tirelessly," I mean until after midnight many nights and weekends and holidays. When the rest the Senate had gone home, they were still working. I know them. Much of the time, I would be on the phone with them. I would be working with them and, finally, I would say: It is so late. Everybody should go to bed. When I woke up in the morning, there would be an email sent to me at 3 o'clock or 4 o'clock in the morning because they kept on working.

So I thank Chuck Kieffer, Chanda Betourney, Jessica Berry, Dianne Nellor, Jean Taol Eisen, Erik Raven, Doug Clapp, Ellen Murray, Scott Nance, Rachael Taylor, Alex Keenan, Michelle Dominguez, Tim Rieser, Dabney Hegg, and all the staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee on both bills. I would thank Chairman SHELBY's staff: Shannon Hines, Jonathan Graffeo, and David Adkins.

Normally, at this time, Senators might just put these names in the RECORD, but I wanted to say them out loud, on the floor, because they should hear their names said out loud and know how much I appreciate what they have done, not just for the U.S. Senate but for the United States of America.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ENCOURAGING THE GOVERNMENT AND THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES TO "BUY AMERICAN"

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. Mr. President, I rise today to encourage all Americans to join the fight to support our Nation and our jobs and stand up against the growing threat of Communist China.

I have been saying it for months: Buying American products is the No. 1 thing we can do to support American jobs and stand up to our foreign adversaries.

There is a new Cold War occurring between the United States and Communist China, and we must be crystal clear about the negative impacts of continuing to buy Chinese-made products.

General Secretary Xi is a dictator and human rights violator. He is yet another Communist leader trying to be the dominant world power.

The Communist Party of China is stripping the people of Hong Kong of their freedoms—as the Presiding Officer experienced when he was over there—cracking down on dissidents, militarizing the South China Sea, supporting Maduro's genocide in Venezuela, surveilling its citizens, and imprisoning more than 1 million Uighurs in internment camps simply because of their religion.

Communist China is stealing American jobs and technology and spying on our citizens.

Is this the kind of nation we want to be sending our money to?

Absolutely not.

Washington politicians have been too concerned with short-term political success and have long ignored the long-term threats of Communist China to our way of life but not anymore. It is time to take action.

Now, more than ever, Americans must remember that every time we buy a product made in China, we are putting another dollar into the pocket of the people stealing our jobs and our technology, denying their people basic human rights and propping up dangerous dictators like Maduro in Venezuela.

We cannot continue to rely on countries like Communist China, which lied about the coronavirus and refused to be a partner in solving this crisis, for critical supplies.

This outbreak has shown why we need to end our reliance on foreign supply chains. As a nation, we need to finally take a stand and demand that Communist China is removed from our supply chain.

I am proud to lead my colleagues in a bipartisan resolution calling on Americans to buy products made in the United States whenever possible.

Buying American is not partisan, and I am glad my colleagues from both sides of the aisle are continuing to

come together to encourage Americans to take a stand.

I know it is not always easy, but it is an important step we can all take at home to support American jobs, American producers, and American manufacturers, while helping build up the U.S. supply chain.

In my State, we take immense pride in products made in Florida. It is a driving force that led to our incredible economic turnaround.

A return to this pride in homegrown businesses and products ensures that America remains strong as the undisputed leader of the global economy.

We all must do our part to support our Nation and make it clear to Communist China that the United States won't stand for their behavior.

I am committed to supporting American businesses over Chinese products. I am urging my colleagues to join me in this effort and pass this resolution today.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation be discharged from further consideration and the Senate now proceed to S. Res. 625.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 625) encouraging the Government and the people of the United States to "Buy American".

There being no objection, the committee was discharged and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Scott amendment at the desk to the resolution be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2726) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute)

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following: "That—

(1) it is the policy of the United States Government to "Buy American" products for public use when fiscally and reasonably possible, in accordance with the Buy American Act of 1933 (41 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.); and

(2) the Senate supports American manufacturing and strengthening our American manufacturing base.

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. Mr. President, I know of no further debate on the resolution, as amended.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Hearing none, the question is, Shall the resolution, as amended, be agreed to?

The resolution (S. Res. 625), as amended, was agreed to.

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Scott amendment to the preamble be agreed to, the preamble, as amended, be agreed to, that the Scott amendment to the title be agreed to, and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2727) was agreed to as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the preamble)

Strike the preamble and insert the following:

Whereas, on July 15, 2019, President Donald J. Trump signed Executive Order 13881 titled "Maximizing Use of American-Made Goods, Products, and Materials" (84 Fed. Reg. 34257), which would enforce the Buy American Act of 1933 (41 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.) to the greatest extent permitted by law;

Whereas, the Buy American Act of 1933 requires Federal agencies to procure materials and products domestically—

(1) when the materials are intended for public use within the United States; and

(2) when the materials to be procured (or the materials from which they are manufactured) are present in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available commercial quantities of a satisfactory quality;

Whereas American-made products are developed under United States' regulations and undergo testing to ensure their compliance with United States' safety standards, which are among the highest standards in the world;

Whereas American-made products are produced by workers earning competitive wages and working in safe working conditions;

Whereas purchasing American-made products supports the producers of those products and their communities;

Whereas, according to the Manufacturing Institute, each dollar spent in sales of manufactured products supports \$1.33 in output from other sectors of the economy;

Whereas, according to the Manufacturers Alliance for Productivity and Innovation Foundation, for every full-time job in manufacturing, there are 3.4 full-time equivalent jobs created in non-manufacturing industries;

Whereas, according to a 2017 poll conducted by Reuters and Ipsos, when buying products, nearly 70 percent of Americans find it important that the products they buy were made in the United States;

Whereas strengthening American manufacturing supports employment in the United States, produces innovation and the seeds for future industries, and supports the global competitiveness of the United States;

Whereas a strong American manufacturing base is an important component in helping to revitalize the United States economy as it recovers from the global COVID-19 pandemic;

Whereas a strong domestic supply chain for certain goods and close cooperation with trusted allies can support national security and public safety;

Whereas the global COVID-19 pandemic presented significant challenges for the globally interconnected supply chain of medical products and has heightened the need for supply chain security of a variety of critical materials and products; and

Whereas, regarding the manufacturing of critical medical supplies and products essential to national security, national stockpiles and a healthy domestic industrial base would help handle any future surge in need for these supplies: Now, therefore, be it

The preamble, as amended, was agreed to.

The amendment (No. 2728) was agreed to as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the title)

Amend the title so as to read: "A resolution affirming the benefits of 'Buying American'".

The resolution, as amended, with its preamble, as amended, reads as follows:

S. RES. 625

Whereas, on July 15, 2019, President Donald J. Trump signed Executive Order 13881 titled "Maximizing Use of American-Made Goods, Products, and Materials" (84 Fed. Reg. 34257), which would enforce the Buy American Act of 1933 (41 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.) to the greatest extent permitted by law;

Whereas, the Buy American Act of 1933 requires Federal agencies to procure materials and products domestically—

(1) when the materials are intended for public use within the United States; and

(2) when the materials to be procured (or the materials from which they are manufactured) are present in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available commercial quantities of a satisfactory quality;

Whereas American-made products are developed under United States' regulations and undergo testing to ensure their compliance with United States' safety standards, which are among the highest standards in the world;

Whereas American-made products are produced by workers earning competitive wages and working in safe working conditions;

Whereas purchasing American-made products supports the producers of those products and their communities;

Whereas, according to the Manufacturing Institute, each dollar spent in sales of manufactured products supports \$1.33 in output from other sectors of the economy;

Whereas, according to the Manufacturers Alliance for Productivity and Innovation Foundation, for every full-time job in manufacturing, there are 3.4 full-time equivalent jobs created in non-manufacturing industries;

Whereas, according to a 2017 poll conducted by Reuters and Ipsos, when buying products, nearly 70 percent of Americans find it important that the products they buy were made in the United States;

Whereas strengthening American manufacturing supports employment in the United States, produces innovation and the seeds for future industries, and supports the global competitiveness of the United States;

Whereas a strong American manufacturing base is an important component in helping to revitalize the United States economy as it recovers from the global COVID-19 pandemic;

Whereas a strong domestic supply chain for certain goods and close cooperation with trusted allies can support national security and public safety;

Whereas the global COVID-19 pandemic presented significant challenges for the globally interconnected supply chain of medical products and has heightened the need for supply chain security of a variety of critical materials and products; and

Whereas, regarding the manufacturing of critical medical supplies and products essential to national security, national stockpiles and a healthy domestic industrial base would help handle any future surge in need for these supplies: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That—

(1) it is the policy of the United States Government to "Buy American" products for public use when fiscally and reasonably possible, in accordance with the Buy American Act of 1933 (41 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.); and

(2) the Senate supports American manufacturing and strengthening our American manufacturing base.

Mr. SCOTT of Florida. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, here we are, the 21st of December, 4 days till Christmas, and Congress is finally getting around to doing its duty on COVID-19 relief, as well as the Omnibus appropriations bill, which will keep the government open and keep the lights on through the end of the fiscal year next September.

I have to editorialize here that I think this is a terrible process. It is a terrible process. I just saw one of the Texas delegation House Members saying: I am expected to review thousands of pages of an appropriations bill and COVID-19 relief bill in the next couple of hours and then vote on it this evening?

Well, we will be in the same posture. As terrible as this process is, it was intentionally created. I am sure that the Appropriations chairman, RICHARD SHELBY, and Leader MCCONNELL would have loved to have had a regular appropriations process to vote out each of the subcommittee appropriations and pass them using regular order, giving the Members of the Congress a chance to offer amendments and maybe improve the bill, but we weren't provided that opportunity because, essentially, the Democratic leader and the Speaker of the House of Representatives understand that this kind of broken process empowers them to the detriment of individual Members of the Congress and to the detriment of the people we represent. It empowers them, not the rank-and-file Members.

So, I believe this is a—and the same thing is true on COVID-19, of course. We passed the CARES Act in March. We tried to come back and replenish some of those funds. We were successful in doing so, for example, in the Paycheck Protection Program, but it was obvious there was more need, and on at least three occasions—maybe four, but at least three—we offered another half trillion dollars in relief, only to be blocked by Democrats here in the Senate.

Speaker PELOSI was candid. She basically said: Well, this is about politics. We don't want President Trump to get credit for Congress stepping up in a bipartisan way to provide relief to the American people in the runup to the election.

So this is where we are. We know what we have to do. We have to prevent the government from shutting down. We know that there is genuine need across the country for more COVID-19 relief, so we need to do that. But I would also point out, we are going to do roughly \$900 billion of an additional COVID-19 relief bill when Speaker

PELOSI and the Democratic leader in the Senate, Senator SCHUMER, turned down a \$1.8 trillion offer from Secretary of the Treasury Mnuchin. So, rather than accept twice as much earlier because it didn't fit their political playbook, now they are accepting half when they realized they have run out of runway.

Well, the monthslong stalemate has finally broken. Yesterday evening, the so-called "four corners"—the Speaker, the Republican leader in the House, the Democratic minority leader here in the Senate, and Senator MCCONNELL—they reached agreement on a bipartisan package of bills to support the American people through the continued fight against COVID-19. And despite my comments about the process and what brings us here with so little time and ability to affect some of the content, I hope this legislation will pass and reach the President's desk in a matter of hours.

I think we could all agree that this bill could not have come soon enough. Since this summer, Republicans and Democrats have been miles apart on the size and shape of the next COVID-19 bill. Democrats, as I indicated, wanted a \$3 trillion bill they called the Heroes Act, while we supported a more targeted approach. That is when Speaker PELOSI uttered those now famous words: Nothing is better than something.

Well, I have always believed that something is better than nothing. But the big-picture disagreements prevented any progress from being made, even though we, by and large, agreed on about 80 percent of what needed to be done, and that is included in the bill that we will vote on here in the next few hours.

Well, after the election, the Speaker and Democratic leader here in the Senate, I guess, decided that they no longer needed to hold the American people hostage because the election had now come and gone. So there were bipartisan negotiations that broke out, which have led to targeted package bills—to a targeted package that could earn the support of both parties and the signature of President Trump. It is safe to say there could not be a more urgent need for action from Congress.

The last several days have proven that we are, indeed, at an inflection point—turning point—in our ongoing fight against the virus. One successful vaccine has already been administered to thousands of healthcare workers across the country. In my State alone, the Governor estimates that a million people will be vaccinated by the end of this month. That is something we should all be thankful for and applaud.

On Friday evening, the FDA authorized a second safe and effective vaccine made by Moderna, meaning that millions more doses will be reaching Americans—in particular, our frontline heroes—in the coming days. These are developments that we have been waiting, hoping, investing, and praying for,

but it is not a silver bullet. We are still battling this pandemic, and it is likely to be with us for the foreseeable future. But the good news is, people who are particularly vulnerable because of their age or underlying chronic illnesses will likely be able to get the vaccine no later than March. Dr. Fauci said that by June, anybody in America who wants the vaccine can get it.

This next phase of our fight will determine how quickly we are able to defeat COVID-19 and regrow our economy. This legislation will clearly help us get there.

First, it will provide the needed support for vaccine distribution. Not only has there been a modern-day medical miracle in developing these safe and effective vaccines, but now the logistics of getting it to 330 million people in America are completely daunting, but it is happening. Millions of doses have already been delivered to hospitals across the country, and in the coming months, tens of millions more will be distributed as we launch this massive vaccination campaign.

While the cost of the vaccine has already been covered, the range of associated expenses that come with it has not been. For everything from transportation infrastructure to deliver the vaccines to ultra-low temperature freezers to store them, to personal protective equipment for our healthcare heroes to safely administer them, those costs have added up and need to be provided for in this legislation. This legislation will, in fact, provide billions of dollars to help cover these kinds of costs and to ensure the race to distribute these vaccines is as successful as the race to develop them.

Part of that is through relief for airlines, which will transport the vaccines to communities across the country. This assistance will enable our airlines to carry out their important role in the vaccine relay race, all while keeping their employees on payroll and preparing for a strong postpandemic recovery.

These two successful vaccines so far are moving us closer and closer to the end of this crisis, but we still have a ways to go, and the American people need and deserve our support in getting there.

Millions of workers have no way to earn a paycheck. Food banks are experiencing Depression-era lines each week. Farmers and ranchers have lost their traditional markets. Small businesses are sinking, and parents and teachers are still worried about a safe return to in-person learning for our students.

This targeted relief package will send desperately needed support to each of these groups for each of those causes. The workers who had the rug pulled out from them earlier this year will continue to receive the federally enhanced unemployment benefits under the CARES Act that would otherwise expire the day after Christmas. And State unemployment benefits will be

bolstered, as I said, by \$300 a week in Federal benefits through March 14 of next year.

We will also provide a second, more targeted direct payment to the hardest hit Americans, with up to \$600 per individual. That means that a family of four making up to \$150,000 will receive \$2,400 in the coming days. This bill will ensure that the basic needs of low-income households, schoolchildren, and those in long lines at food banks will be met.

It also provides \$13 billion for a time-limited Federal nutrition benefit increase, as well as at least \$1.9 billion for the Coronavirus Food Assistance Program at food banks.

Our farmers and ranchers and producers who have lost significant or all the value of their crops will also receive \$13 billion in agricultural assistance to strengthen the farm safety net which benefits us all.

This has been a difficult year for millions of families in Texas and across the country as parents have worried about how to pay bills and buy groceries, let alone Christmas gifts under their tree for their children.

I know this will not erase all of the financial uncertainty, but it will go a long way to provide some help to those whose livelihoods have been thrown into chaos, and I hope it will also provide reassurance for the parents and teachers who have worried about a safe return to in-person learning.

This legislation provides \$82 billion for education and will help our K-12 students, colleges, and universities get their education programs back on track.

This relief bill will also extend additional support to Texas small businesses. Our small businesses continue to struggle with the arrival of winter weather. That has been especially true for restaurants and other businesses that have relied on outdoor seating.

Many of these businesses utilized the Paycheck Protection Program to help them cover payroll and other expenses earlier this year, but the program expired in August, and our hardest hit small businesses are in dire need of more support.

This legislation will provide another \$284 billion in the Paycheck Protection Program. This has been an enormously successful program in my State, with 414,000 loans that are convertible into grants under some circumstances worth some \$41 billion. This has been a lifesaver for many of our small businesses and the workers they employ. The hardest hit businesses will be able to take a second draw of the PPP and provide for stability for their businesses and their employee until this crisis ends.

I am glad this bill also includes language from a bill I introduced to clarify tax deductibility for business expenses paid with a forgiven PPP loan. The average PPP loan in Texas was \$99,000, and without this change, those businesses that received that PPP loan

and grant would face a \$36,000 tax liability.

That would start to show up in January with the estimated taxes being paid by many small businesses that pay on a quarterly basis. That sort of unexpected tax liability would be a slap in the face for those businesses that saw this life ring and decided to grab a hold of it. This change will ensure loan recipients aren't saddled with an unexpected tax liability that could absolutely sink their struggling businesses that have been hanging on by a thread.

I appreciate Chairman GRASSLEY of the Finance Committee, Ranking Member WYDEN, and their staffs for fighting to include this change, which will clarify that these expenses should have been tax deductible all along. That is what Congress intended in March when we passed the CARES Act.

I am also glad the Omnibus that is paired with this package includes other legislation that I introduced with our friend AMY KLOBUCHAR, the Senator from Minnesota, called the Save Our Stages Act, designed to help our small independent entertainment venues across the country from closing their doors for good.

These Main Street businesses were excluded from the original Paycheck Protection Program, even though they were among some of the hardest hit small businesses. Event venues were the first to close when COVID-19 hit, and they are likely to be the last to open once it is gone. This funding will help them stay afloat until that long-awaited day finally arrives.

I thank Senator KLOBUCHAR, who has been my partner in this bipartisan effort, as well as Senator RUBIO, who has been a champion for these venues during the final critical stages of negotiations.

The government funding legislation includes a number of other bipartisan bills which have had nearly unanimous support in both the House and the Senate, including a bill I introduced with our colleague BOB MENENDEZ from New Jersey.

This legislation will finally, after 25 years or more, establish a National Museum of the American Latino, which will improve Latino representation within the Smithsonian Institution. This museum will honor the contributions of Latinos throughout our Nation's history and give their stories a brick-and-mortar home here in our Nation's Capital. I am thrilled that generations of Americans will be able to get a more accurate view of our Nation's history when they visit this new Smithsonian museum, and I can't wait to be among its first visitors.

There is no question that there are additional measures I would have liked to have seen included in the coronavirus relief legislation, and I am sure that is true for every Member. But this targeted package includes critical funding and support for Texans and Americans at this watershed moment,

and it will break the stalemate which has paralyzed Congress for months now.

The American people are suffering. It is not time for politics as usual. It is a time to come together to compromise and to make good on our commitment to support them.

I appreciate the work of our colleagues who fought for a deal that will give our country added strength during this next critical phase of our fight, and I look forward to voting for this legislation.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BUILDING UP INDEPENDENT LIVES AND DREAMS ACT

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs be discharged from further consideration of S. 371 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 371) to provide regulatory relief to charitable organizations that provide housing assistance, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the Fischer substitute at the desk be considered and agreed to; that the bill, as amended, be considered read a third time and passed; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2729) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute)

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Building Up Independent Lives and Dreams Act" or the "BUILD Act".

SEC. 2. MORTGAGE LOAN TRANSACTION DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.

(a) TILA AMENDMENT.—Section 105 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1604) is amended by inserting after subsection (d) the following:

"(e) DISCLOSURE FOR CHARITABLE MORTGAGE LOAN TRANSACTIONS.—With respect to a mortgage loan transaction involving a residential mortgage loan offered at 0 percent interest with only bonafide and reasonable fees and that is primarily for charitable purposes by an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986

and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code, forms HUD-1 and GFE (as defined under section 1024.2(b) of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations) together with a disclosure substantially in the form of the Loan Model Form H-2 (as depicted in Appendix H to part 1026 of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations) shall, collectively, be an appropriate model form for purposes of subsection (b) of this section.”.

(b) RESPA AMENDMENT.—Section 4 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act of 1974 (12 U.S.C. 2603) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) DISCLOSURE FOR CHARITABLE MORTGAGE LOAN TRANSACTIONS.—With respect to a mortgage loan transaction involving a residential mortgage loan offered at 0 percent interest with only bona fide and reasonable fees and that is primarily for charitable purposes, an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code may use forms HUD-1 and GFE (as defined under section 1024.2(b) of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations) together with a disclosure substantially in the form of the Loan Model Form H-2 (as depicted in Appendix H to part 1026 of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations), collectively, in lieu of the disclosure published under subsection (a) of this section.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

The bill (S. 371), as amended, was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

RESTORING RESILIENT REEFS ACT OF 2019

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation be discharged from further consideration of S. 2429 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. ERNST). The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2429) to reauthorize the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 and to establish the United States Coral Reef Task Force, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the Rubio amendment at the desk be agreed to, and that the bill, as amended, be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2730), in the nature of a substitute, was agreed to.

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute.)

(The amendment is printed in today's RECORD under “Text of Amendments.”)

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. CORNYN. I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Hearing none, the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (S. 2429), as amended, was passed.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

JULIUS ROSENWALD AND THE ROSENWALD SCHOOLS ACT OF 2020

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I now ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 3250, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3250) to require the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a special resource study of the sites associated with the life and legacy of the noted American philanthropist and business executive Julius Rosenwald, with a special focus on the Rosenwald Schools, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. CORNYN. I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Hearing none, the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (H.R. 3250) was passed.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

JIMMY CARTER NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK REDESIGNATION ACT

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 5472, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 5472) to redesignate the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site as the “Jimmy Carter National Historical Park”.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 5472) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

DIRECT ENHANCEMENT OF SNAPPER CONSERVATION AND THE ECONOMY THROUGH NOVEL DEVICES ACT OF 2020

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 5126 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 5126) to require individuals fishing for Gulf reef fish to use certain descending devices, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. CORNYN. I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate on the bill, the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (H.R. 5126) was passed.

Mr. CORNYN. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CORNYN. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THANKING STAFF

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, so much of the Senate's work happens behind the scenes and out of the spotlight. Over the past few weeks, there are scores of Senate staffers—probably more than scores; hundreds, I would say—who have spent many late nights and some sleepless ones putting this emergency relief and omnibus bill together, so I wanted to come back to the floor today and acknowledge all of the hard-working Senate Democratic staff.

To create a law, there are staffs from committees and Senators' offices who are truly experts. They make sure what we Senators want to achieve is written properly. They have years—some, decades—of experience in their

subject area. They are libraries of information of how programs work. They are also creative thinkers and masters of accomplishing what Senators might think is easy—turning a few lines of our direction into legislative text—under tremendous time pressure and, lately, during the pandemic.

These are the names of some of the Senate's unsung heroes to whom we all owe an amazing debt of gratitude:

From the Committee on Appropriations: Charles "Chuck" Kieffer, Chanda Betourney, Hannah Chauvin, Dianne Nellor, Jean Toal Eisen, Erik Raven, Doug Clapp, Ellen Murray, Scott Nance, Rachael Taylor, Alex Keenan, Jessica Berry, Michelle Dominguez, Tim Rieser, and Dabney Hegg.

From the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: Beth Cooper, Megan Cheney, Homer Carlisle, Elisha Tuku, Corey Frayer, Jan Singelmann, Jeremy Hekhuis, Colin McGinnis, Phil Rudd, and Laura Swanson.

From the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: David Strickland, Melissa Porter, Ronce Almond, David Marten, Doug Anderson, Laurence Wildgoose, Kara Fischer, Michael Davisson, John Branscome, Shawn Bone, Alicia Brown, Mary Guenther, Jared Bomberg, Narda Jones, John Beezer, Sara Gonzalez-Rothi, Nikky Teutschel, and Chris Day.

From the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: Lena Chang, Annika Christensen, Alexa Noruk, Yelena Tsilker, and David Weinberg.

From the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: Evan Schatz, John Righter, Nick Bath, Andi Fristedt, Kara Marchione, Amanda Beaumont, Nikki McKinney, Joe Shantz, Kendra Isaacson, Colin Goldfinch, Manuel Contreras, Bryce McKibben, Mary Barry, Garrett Devenney, Leila Schochet, and Katlin Backfield.

From the Select Committee on Intelligence: Brett Freedman, Jon Rosenwasser, and Mike Casey.

From the Committee on Foreign Relations: Andrew Keller, Ruchi Gill, Heather Flynn, Sarah Arkin, and Shelby Boxenbaum.

From the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry: Joe Shultz, Jacquelyn Schneider, Mary Beth Schultz, Susan Keith, Kyle Varner, Katie Naessens, Claire Borzner, Sean Babington, and Adam Tarr.

From the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: Renae Black, Sam Fowler, Brie Van Cleve, Rory Stanley, Luke Bassett, Elliot Howard, Zahava Urecki, Nicole Buell, Armando Avila, Adam Berry, Bryan Petit, Melanie Thornton, and Rod Beresford.

From the Committee on Environment and Public Works: John Kane, Annie D'Amato, Mark Mendenhall, Andrew Rogers, Mary Frances Repko, Laura Gillam, Michal Freedhoff, and Lucy Xiao.

From the Committee on Finance: Bobby Andres, Chris Arneson, Adam

Carasso, Drew Crouch, Elizabeth Dervan, Anne Dwyer, Mike Evans, Jonathan Goldman, Taylor Harvey, Elizabeth Jurinka, Rachael Kauss, Tom Klouda, Sally Laing, Virginia Lenahan, Kristen Lunde, Greta Peisch, Sarah Schaefer, Ashley Schapitl, Joshua Sheinkman, Tiffany Smith, Kelly Szafara, Beth Vrabel, Jayme White, Arielle Woronoff, and Marisa Morin.

From the Special Committee on Aging: Stacy Sanders, Michael Gamel-McCormick, Samantha Koehler, Rashaga Green, Keith Miller, and Josh Kramer.

From the Committee on Indian Affairs: Kim Moxley, Josh Mahan, Anthony Sedillo, and Jennifer Romero.

I am sure this is very hard on our stenographers, but thank you very much.

From the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship: Sean Moore, Ron Storhaug, Kevin Wheeler, Jacob Press, and Therese Meers.

From the Committee on Rules and Administration: Dusty Brandenburg and Lindsey Kerr.

So to all of those great people and many more—and I apologize if there are some we have left out—thank you, thank you, thank you, to everyone on the committees.

I also want, for a moment, to thank my staff. Everyone believes they have the best staff on the Hill. I am certainly no exception. I would never ever, ever be able to do what I do without them. I know over the past several days many of them have tested the limits of exhaustion, hardly sleeping at all, but I want them to know that all their energy, ingenuity, and brilliance will have made the lives of their fellow citizens better in New York and across the country.

I have told them that they can look back at any age and know they have kept businesses going, kept people employed, kept people fed, kept people from getting sick, and even kept people from dying, kept people alive. That is the great work my staff and the other staffs have done.

So to my staff, whom I am so deeply, deeply grateful to, thank you, thank you. Thank you to my chief, Mike Lynch; my deputy chief, Erin Sager Vaughn; my policy director, Gerry Petrella, and my legislative director and his wife, Meghan Taira; my State director, Martin Brennan; deputy State director, Steve Mann; Steve Barton; Mike Ianelli; and all the regional directors from across the State.

The executive team in my office is a tremendous asset to me and to the Democratic caucus: Michelle Mittler, Megan Murphy Vlasto, Emily Sweda, Raisa Shah, and Sophia Coutavas.

The press team supports both me and the entire Democratic caucus day in and day out: Justin Goodman, Alex Nguyen, Monica Lee, Ken Meyer, Angelo Roefaro, Allison Biasotti, Paige Tepke, Alice Nam, and everyone at the Senate Democratic Media Center.

Jasmine Harris, Oriana Pina, and Dan Yoken and his amazing team of re-

searchers: Andrew Ogden, Leela Najafi, and Hanna Talley.

The whole team is supported by the great budding talent of our press assistants: Alexandra Robinson, Alexa Sledge, and Cyre Velez.

Cietta Kiandoli, Sol Ortega, and Julietta Lopez do fantastic engagement with many groups of people affected by the legislation we pass.

Josh Molofsky and Tony Rivera are my speechwriters and every day bring poetry and organization to my thoughts.

A special, special thanks to the Schummer "Legislative Team." These staffers are so incredibly hard-working and are always striving to find the best solution for our country and New York: Anna Taylor and Marisa Hawley; Jon Cardinal, Leeann Sinpatanasakul, and Rob Hickman; Mike Kuiken, Reza Zomorrodian, and Yazeed Abdelhaq; Matt Fuentes, Dili Sundaramoorthy, and Juan Negrete; Christina Henderson, who just won for city council in Washington, DC, and is leaving us; Ramon Carranza; Catalina Tam; Lane Bodian and Rodney Kazibwe; Veronica Watters; Zack Rosenblum, Ryan Eagan and Jasmin Aleman; Adrian Deveny, Tim Ryder; Sean Byrne, Annie Daly and Vandan Patel; Reggie Babin, Lucy Panza, Mark Patterson, Didier Barjon; Helena Contes; Charlie Ellsworth, Kai Vogel; Jim Secreto, Patricio Gonzalez, and Josh Gutmaker.

And, of course, I have to thank the brilliant floor staff led by Gary Myrick. What a blessing.

A special thank-you from me and the caucus and the entire staff to Tricia Engle, Dan Tinsley, Brad Watt, Stephanie Paone, Liza Patterson, Nate Oursler, and Rachel Jackson.

Thank you and also farewell to Reema Dodin, Senator DURBIN's tremendous floor staffer who for years has looked after the caucus and the floor. She is heading to the White House to help the President-elect, and we couldn't be happier and prouder to have a Senate denizen at the other end of Pennsylvania Avenue.

There are so many more incredible staffers who deserve recognition and thanks for making this institution come to life. My entire staff helped with the efforts this year, and as I conclude today, they are still helping. I would like to include all of their names in the RECORD.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the following names:

There being no objection; the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Yazeed Abdelhaq; Kathleen Achibair; Jasmin Aleman; Garrett Armwood; Reggie Babin; Robert Banez; Didier Barjon; Steve Barton; Sharon Battle; Jackie Benavides; Allison Biasotti; Tinae Bluiitt; Lane Bodian; Quinn Bowman; Martin Brennan; Caroline Burns; Sean Byrne; Jon Cardinal; Ramon Carranza; Joyce Chang Prepis.

Kristen Charlery; Emily Cole; Helena Contes; Andrew Cook; Dave Cooke; Sophie Coutavas; Annie Daly; Tushar Dayal; Adrian

Deveny; Jeff Dickson; Lindsay Dirienzo; Ryan Eagan; Charlie Ellsworth; Marissa Emanuel; Tricia Engle; Kelly Fado; Sam Flood; Matt Fuentes; Joel Geertsma; Megan Glander; Patricio Gonzalez; Justin Goodman; Hayley Gray-Hoehn; Joshua Gutmaker.

Gunnar Haberl; Jasmine Harris; Marisa Hawley; Christina Henderson; Rob Hickman; Jon Housley; Amber Huus; Mike Iannelli; Steven Heka; Rachel Jackson; Jessica Jamaica; Rodney Kazibwe; Cietta Kiandoli; Mike Kuiken; Monica Lee; Julietta Lopez; Mike Lynch; Grace Magaletta; Steve Mann; Amy Mannering; Anneliese Marcojohn; Jordan Marshall; Ryan Martin; Hemen Mehta.

Ken Meyer; Michelle Mittler; Josh Molofsky; Catey Moore; Michelle Moreno-Silva; Rachel Morgan; Megan Murphy Vlasto; Gary Myrick; Leela Najafi; Alice Nam; Juan Negrete; Joe Nehme; Alex Nguyen; Jordan Nicholson; Diana Nunez; Andrew Odgren; Lorenzo Olvera; Suzan Orlove; Sol Ortega; Rebecca Osmolski; Nate Oursler; Lucy Panza; Stephanie Paone.

Vandan Patel; Liza Patterson; Mark Patterson; Gerry Petrella; Oriana Pina; William Reese; Tony Rivera; Alexandra Robinson; Scott Rodman; Angelo Roefaro; Zack Rosenblum; Tim Ryder; Jim Secreto; Nelson Seijas; Raisa Shah; Tyson Sharbaugh; Leeann Sinpatanasakul; Amanda Skapnit; Alexa Sledge; Hannah Smith; Bre Sonnier-Thompson; Amanda Spellicy; Dili Sundaranoorthy.

Emily Sweda; Hanna Talley; Meghan Taira; Catalina Tarn; Anna Taylor; Taylor Terri; Paige Tepke; Kirnarah Timothy; Dan Tinsley; Erin Sager Vaughn; Cyre Velez; Nicole Vorgona; Kai Vogel; Karine Vorperian-Grillo; Brad Watt; Veronica Watters; Emma Weir; Dan Yoken; Maxwell Young; Nora Younklin; Chris Zeltrann; Reza Zommorrodian.

Mr. SCHUMER. It is a lot of names. I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska.

TRIBUTE TO MIKE ANDERSON

Mr. SULLIVAN. Madam President, I would like to recognize a critical member of my staff, Mike Anderson—he is known as “Big Mike”—who left my office in August to pursue a legal career in his hometown of Anchorage, AK—something he has aspired to do since a young age.

Mike was my communications director, but it was much more of an appropriate title for Mike because he directed so many things in my office, so much of our communication both internally and externally. You would often find Mike going from staff member to staff member, asking them questions, relaying information from one team to the next.

In our office, if you had a question about what anybody was up to, what anybody was doing in Alaska, here in our DC office, in our Alaskan offices, you would ask Mike. That is a special quality. He is an incredibly hard worker, incredibly gifted young man, and we are going to miss that talent in Mike very much.

Mike is no stranger to Alaska political offices. Fresh out of college at the University of Alaska Fairbanks, he took a job with Congressman YOUNG and then worked for Senator MURKOWSKI.

In 2014, he was looking for a little more adventure on the calm side. I was looking for someone to help with my communications on my campaign. It was my first run for office. I was running against an incumbent with big name recognition in our State. Mike came on board and took a chance on me. It is something I will never forget—loyal, great worker.

He had been taking a lot of classes at night at Catholic University of America for the past few years, working in my office, and balancing it all. He was on the clock around the clock and did it with grace, humor, hard work, and excellence.

As I mentioned, he was our office communicator, but he was also the office friend, the person you would go to for advice on things big and small, the person you would call on for an assist if you needed to move. It helped that he lived up to his name—6 feet, 8 inches. He is a big guy. Mike always showed up, working together—as we called in our office one team, one fight. We got big things done for our State.

Speaking of one team, one fight, my office has a pretty good hoops team. Mike, as you can imagine—UAF varsity basketball player back in Alaska—was the critical member of that team. We have won a lot of games, particularly against the Cruz Texans. In fact, Senator CRUZ once gave Mike the nickname “Denali” for his size and how he dominates the middle of the key on the hoops court.

Mike is going to make a great lawyer in Alaska. As a State, we have so much potential—the biggest fisheries in the country, the largest energy fields, huge military complexes, and fascinating, important Alaskan Native legal issues. More than anything, Alaska needs good leaders with integrity, brilliant lawyers, hard-working people like Mike who love their State and give it all back to their State, and that is what he is going to do.

We haven’t seen the last of Mike. He has always been part of our team, and I am sure that is what he will do, working continually in the great State of Alaska to make sure our State thrives.

Mike, great job. Good luck to you. You are going to be missed. Best wishes on a bright future ahead.

CHINA

Mr. SULLIVAN. Madam President, despite what you might be reading in the press, there are a lot of recent, important, bipartisan achievements happening right here in the Senate. We are going to vote soon on another major COVID relief bill, which is really important. That will be our fourth major COVID relief bill this year—much needed, of course, for the health of Americans and for our economy. I think that when the history of this very challenging year is written, that is what is going to be remembered—four major, bipartisan, important pieces of legislation, not the rancor in

the Senate, which has been part of our history, part of the Republic since the founding of the Republic.

A number of other major bipartisan accomplishments have also occurred just in the past few months—the National Defense Authorization Act, which passed with over 80 Senators; the Great American Outdoors Act, probably the biggest conservation act in over 50 years; and the Save Our Seas 2.0, a bill I was proud to author, the most comprehensive ocean cleanup legislation ever to come out of the Congress. This is just to name a few.

Let me name another important bipartisan accomplishment that is starting to occur in the Congress, and that is dealing with China, the important issue of China and China policy. I know people might be saying: Wait, are you crazy? China? There is bipartisan agreement on what is happening with regard to this relationship, the United States and China?

The answer is, yes, we have made significant progress on this issue, too, and it is important. I want to explain that a little bit because I think it is a topic that we need to be focusing on more and more in the U.S. Senate.

Like the Presiding Officer, I am honored to be completing my first term as a U.S. Senator and honored, like the Presiding Officer, to have been re-elected to continue my service.

Six years ago when I started my time here in the U.S. Senate, I started a series of speeches that focused on the U.S.-China relationship and the importance of it. We all have been focused post-9/11 certainly on al-Qaida, ISIS, the big issue of violent extremist organizations, which has been the appropriate focus. But as I started my career here 6 years ago, I started to give a series of speeches where I said the biggest challenge that we face long term from a geostrategic standpoint for the United States for decades to come is going to be our relationship with the rising power of China.

What I was saying 4 years ago, 5 years ago in this body is that nobody is talking about it. It is really important, and we are not focused on it. You can’t say that anymore. Now everybody is talking about China. There has been an American awakening about China. And that is good. That is important. That is progress. And it has been bipartisan.

I want to thank President Trump and his team because I think they deserve a lot of the credit.

They laid out their national security strategy, their national defense strategy. These are very well-written strategies that, in essence, said that in the United States of America, post 9/11, it was appropriate to focus on al-Qaida, ISIS, violent extremist organizations, getting weapons of mass destruction. That was clearly the main focus of our national security.

But what their strategies have been saying is that, yes, we need to continue to focus on that, but now we need to prioritize the great power competition

that is upon us with China as the pacing threat.

As you know, most Senators—Democrats and Republicans—particularly the ones who focus on national security and foreign policy issues, particularly those on the Armed Services Committee—they agree with us. They agree with this reorientation. Again, this is important. This is progress, bipartisan progress, on what is really going to be one of the most—what is the most important bilateral relationship in the world.

What we need to start doing—and I say “we,” this body, the Congress, the executive branch—is we need to start putting details and principles into a long-term strategy, a bipartisan strategy that will be enduring to address this challenge, to address the challenge that is the challenge for the next decade—the rise of China and how we, as the United States of America, need to deal with it. As I mentioned, I believe this is going to be the defining national security issue for our Nation for the next 50 to 100 years.

What I want to do today is lay out a couple of key principles on what I see are some of the ways in which we can bring a bipartisan approach to addressing this challenge. Last year, I was honored to be invited by the heritage center—the Heritage Foundation—as part of their lead lecture series on the Asia-Pacific to talk about this issue. I gave remarks, an address that I called “Winning the New Cold War with China and How America Should Respond.”

Some of the principles that I laid out in that address from some of my experiences in the U.S. relationship with China over the last quarter century are what I would like to talk about. Those experiences for me have kind of run the gamut as a U.S. marine; as a National Security Council staffer and Assistant Secretary of State under the exceptional leader, Condoleezza Rice, when she was National Security Advisor and then Secretary of State; as the State of Alaska cabinet official in charge of energy and natural resources—which are so important to my State but also to Asian markets—and as a U.S. Senator.

First things first: I believe, as I mentioned, there has been an awakening about the challenge posed by China. As I mentioned, 6 years ago in this body, not a lot of Senators were talking about it. Now everybody is, and that is important. I also think that there is a recognition—whatever you want to call the tensions that have arisen—that the U.S. and China have entered into a much more strategic competition era—phase—with tensions that I have referred to as a “new Cold War” with each other. This state of relations has only been exacerbated by the pandemic, which, of course, started in China and was covered up by the Chinese Communist Party.

When I talk about this issue of a new Cold War with China, I want to be clear on one thing. This is not a challenge—or tensions—of our choosing. It is the

result of a conscious decision by the Communist Party leadership of China to overturn key elements of the U.S.-led, rules-based international order, despite that order enabling China to emerge prosperous and strong from its so-called century of humiliation. This new Cold War is not an inevitable consequence of China’s rise or our status as an established power. Rather, I believe, it stems from China’s rejection of becoming a “responsible stakeholder” in the international system that the United States has led since the end of World War II—a system from which China probably, more than any other country in the world, has benefited from.

But recognizing that we have this new tension, that we have a new Cold War with China, does not mean that the nature of the global challenge is identical to that posed by the Soviet Union or that our response should be the same. However, it does mean that the United States and our allies need to recognize this challenge, address it, counter it in ways that avoid major conflict but in ways that also avoid compromising our core values and interests and principles in liberty.

Let me talk a little bit about what I call America’s awakening.

Since President Nixon initiated the opening of relationships with China, many hoped that the country’s political and economic system would open as the country developed and joined this broader, Western-led international system. Others believed that even if the Chinese Communist Party remained in control, its external behavior and relationship with the United States would not be affected. When the United States supported Chinese entry into the World Trade Organization, President Bill Clinton remarked that American workers and consumers would be the greatest beneficiaries—American workers. Ultimately, this has proven not to be true.

Equally misguided was the hope that as China grew economically, it would liberalize politically. The expectation was that China would lower its trade barriers and follow WTO practices, respecting intellectual property rights, promoting basic safety standards for exports, curbing subsidies of its main industries, and not subjecting imports—our imports—to illegal, non-tariff barriers. None of that has turned out to be true. China did not meet most of its commitments under the WTO and still hasn’t. Rather, it has employed its new access to Western markets—American markets—to pursue large-scale theft of technology, exploiting the openness of the American economy without allowing American companies reciprocal access to its markets as it is required to do.

Let me give one example of this that I have seen in my experience. In 2003, over 17 years ago, I was in an Oval Office meeting as a National Security Council staffer with President George W. Bush, Condoleezza Rice, and the

Vice Premier of China, Madam Wu Yi, at the time. The President, President Bush, strongly believed in the protection of intellectual property rights, and he raised this issue with Madam Wu Yi right there in the office—very aggressively, leaning over in his chair. Madam Wu Yi looked at the President of the United States and said: Mr. President, I am in charge of this. We are going to fix this. We are working on it. You have my commitment, Mr. President. That was in the Oval Office, 17 years ago.

Where are we on intellectual property theft from China? It is worse today than when Madam Wu Yi made that commitment in the Oval Office. As a matter of fact, the U.S. Trade Representative Office estimates that Chinese theft of American intellectual property costs the U.S. economy an estimated \$600 billion annually, not to mention the thousands of jobs lost. President Obama also tried to stem these blatantly unfair, nonreciprocal practices, but Beijing did not honor the common understanding reached by President Obama and Xi Jinping in 2015, curbing cyber hacking of government and corporate data for economic gain. Such theft continues unabated today.

These episodes raise an even bigger problem between the United States and China. It is the problem that I call “promise fatigue” with China. Think about it. Broken promises extend well beyond the economic sphere, like intellectual property.

Here is another example. Standing next to President Obama in the Rose Garden in 2015, President Xi Jinping promised the President of the United States not to militarize the South China Sea. The commitment was broken within months, when China took a very aggressive policy of militarizing many of the islands and built up islands in the South China Sea to the consternation of every single country in the region. After enduring this promise fatigue with the Chinese for decades, we, the Congress, the executive branch of the U.S. Government, are finally getting wise. Everybody thinks trade should be a win-win, but Chinese leaders appear to view it much more as a zero-sum game.

Ironically, this promise fatigue and China’s predatory, nonreciprocal trade practices have brought about—and did bring about—the new, much tougher, and, in my view, much needed approach from the Trump administration that we had prior to the pandemic.

We have this situation where we are not trusting our relationship with China with promises that have been made but have not been kept across a whole host of spheres, where the tensions in the South China Sea are growing. But this current state of affairs was not preordained.

In 2005, then Deputy Secretary of State and future World Bank President Robert Zoellick encouraged China in a very well-regarded speech to become a

“responsible stakeholder” in the international system, which had done so much to enable China’s rise in prosperity. Zoellick’s speech challenged China to change its behavior, to support and promote and, certainly, not undermine the U.S.-led economic order that had brought peace and prosperity to China and so many other countries in the Indo-Pacific.

For a time, it appeared that China’s leadership was contemplating this American offer to be a responsible stakeholder in this global system—the one that we had set up after World War II. In my trips as an Assistant Secretary of State to China, I heard China’s leadership in many meetings—including in meetings with Hu Jintao, the President, and other senior leaders—where they talked about being a responsible stakeholder, where this invitation on working through the system we had developed was clearly something they were contemplating. But over time, it has become increasingly clear that the Chinese Communist Party has rejected this concept, this idea to be a partner with us in bolstering the international order that has benefited China so significantly.

In fact, the opposite has happened. China is now working to systemically build an illiberal sphere of influence that threatens to exclude America and erode our alliances in the region that have kept the peace in the region for decades. The challenge we face today is rooted in the attempt by the Communist Party of China to popularize its authoritarian model abroad to ensure China’s rise as a great power under the Communist Party’s leadership. President Xi made this clear at the 19th Party Congress, where he championed China’s model as a new option for other countries and nations that want to speed up their development. We must always remember, the Chinese Communist Party’s primary goal in domestic and foreign policy is to ensure the survival and preeminence of the party.

The key driver of U.S.-China competition and tension today is China’s ambition to project its authoritarian model abroad. China’s development under a Leninist political model serves as an inspiration for many illiberal actors and aspiring autocrats around the world. It uses its economic influence as a means of exerting political pressure. Additionally, Chinese companies and state-owned and state-subsidized industries are not bound by the anti-corruption laws that American and Western companies must adhere to.

Chinese indifference to establishing standards of transparency, which we have certainly seen now with the pandemic, and project implementation through its Belt and Road Initiative result in elite deals that concede corruption abroad, weaken prospects for long-term prosperity, and undermine the sovereignty of weaker nations.

China is seeking to undermine democracy and human rights and the rule of law and international institutions—

from pushing its norms for controlling cyber space to silencing critics of its human rights record, including critics in the United States, to pushing for the enforcement of the Belt and Road Initiative at the United Nations. China is using its growing voice on the global stage to legitimize an approach at home and abroad that undermines American interests.

A recent Hoover Institution study argues that China is looking to gain influence in the United States to shape attitudes and, ultimately, American policy toward China. And although we have not experienced the same level of political interference as, say, some of our allies, like Australia, where politicians and donors linked to the Chinese Communist Party try to sway the country’s policies on sensitive issues, China is clearly engaged in what the National Endowment for Democracy calls a significant, sharp-powered campaign to influence American policy here at home. This recent spy scandal with a Congressman from California is just a recent example of this.

Fortunately, the Trump administration and Members of Congress on both sides of the aisle have awakened to the long-term challenge that China poses to America’s national security and economic security interests. As I noted, the Trump administration’s more realistic approach on China, laid out in its national security strategy and national defense strategy, offers a clear-eyed view of Chinese ambitions and our need to counter them. At a time when there is not enough bipartisan agreement—although, I think there is more than, certainly, we get credit for—there is broad, bipartisan focus and support within the U.S. Government and, I believe, in the U.S. Senate on the strategic challenges posed by China.

So we have had an important American awakening and a good beginning with the recent U.S. national security and national defense strategies, and I believe it is strongly in America’s interest for the incoming Biden-Harris administration to continue these strategies that have strong bipartisan support here. In fact, in my recent meeting with Secretary of Defense nominee GEN Lloyd Austin, I encouraged such an approach on national security issues, particularly as it related to China.

Yet these strategic documents that we are talking about need more meat on the bones. What are more details and principles that we can add to the national security strategy of our Nation that can ensure bipartisan support for a longer term U.S.-China strategy? Let me recommend five core elements that, I think, should be key in moving forward with regard to our relationship with China.

First, we need to demand reciprocity in all major spheres of the U.S.-China relationship. Second, we need to reinvigorate American competitiveness so we can outcompete and outinnovate China. Third, we need to continue to

rebuild our military’s strength and capability. Fourth, we need to deepen and expand our global network of alliances. Finally, we need to remember that employing our democratic values is a huge, critical, comparative advantage in countering China’s global authoritarian influence around the world. So let me briefly touch on each of these.

First, we need to demand reciprocity. The United States must insist that the relationship with China be defined by reciprocity and fairness. For too long, the United States has ignored the promise fatigue—that I have talked about—with China and accepted unfulfilled Chinese promises across so many spheres of the bilateral relationship. You have seen it. When you raise the issue of reciprocity with senior Chinese officials, whether that be in Beijing or with the Ambassador, and they finally acknowledge that, yes, the relationship isn’t reciprocal, they say it is because “China is still a developing country.”

I would respectfully tell senior Chinese officials: Don’t use that argument anymore. It is an insult to the intelligence of American officials. We need a reciprocal relationship because every American understands and agrees with this—that it is about fairness, basic fairness.

I posed an important question of reciprocity to former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger at a Senate Armed Services Committee hearing a couple of years ago, and he acknowledged that, to have an important, sustainable, great-power relationship between two of the most important countries in the world, reciprocity was critical. The citizens of our country need to feel that the relationship is fair and that a general policy of reciprocity is important and critical in that regard, but we all know it hasn’t been that way.

The Trump administration has made significant progress on pressing for more reciprocal relationships in our trading relationships, which is very important, but we all know that the reciprocal relationship doesn’t exist. Chinese companies and government-backed investment funds can come to the United States and buy companies, but we would have no opportunity to do the same. Yet it needs to go much further than economics. Let me give you an example. We need reciprocity in the free exchange of ideas. American journalists are not allowed to travel freely in China, and if they are not, then, why should Chinese journalists be allowed to travel freely in the United States?

Similarly—and this body is focused on this—there are over 100 Confucius Institutes, established by the Chinese Communist Party, at American universities. When I was in Beijing a couple of years ago and met with senior Chinese officials, I mentioned this.

I said: I was recently with the Ambassador, and he said that just to go on the campus of Beijing University you need to be accompanied by a Chinese

official. So if there were real reciprocity in the relationship, if you can have Confucius Institutes at American universities, how about we get James Madison Institutes of freedom and liberty at Chinese universities?

Of course, the Chinese wouldn't accept that.

They said: Well, Senator, Confucius Institutes only teach culture and language, and a James Madison Institute of freedom and liberty and democracy in China would be teaching propaganda.

That is what they said.

This is just one of many examples wherein we must have a reciprocal relationship between the United States and China going forward.

Second, we must reinvigorate American competitiveness. The United States is no stranger to global military and economic cooperation, as we have known throughout the Cold War with the Soviet Union. Our comparative advantages globally remain significant, but we can and should do more to bolster other areas at home. We should bolster STEM education, double down on basic research, and support Federal agencies like the National Science Foundation.

We need to be able to outcompete and outinnovate China, and, importantly, better understand China, its culture, its language, its history, and its strategy with the new generations of Americans who are focused on these issues, just as Russian and Soviet studies were emphasized during the Cold War with the Soviet Union. Many of our most significant challenges—our national debt, infrastructure projects that take years to permit, an education system that leaves too many Americans behind, a dysfunctional immigration system—are all self-inflicted wounds.

I believe that the real challenges posed by China, as they become more broadly apparent throughout our country, will start to spur the bipartisan motivation that will be needed to address these significant but solvable American challenges in order to make us stronger.

Third, we must continue to rebuild our military. From 2010 to 2016, the Department of Defense's budget was slashed by 25 percent. Readiness plummeted, and at the same time, the Chinese undertook a massive building of its military and the modernization of its forces while it also made concrete moves to militarize the South China Sea. History shows, particularly with regard to America's authoritarian rival, that American military weakness encourages authoritarian provocations globally. We must make sure that, as we continue to engage China, a strong U.S. military provides a hedge against Beijing's contemplating risky and destabilizing military actions as its military strength and capabilities continue to grow. China has a long history of using its military to achieve strategic ends when countries are not ready, and we must be ready.

As the Presiding Officer knows, I have mentioned that, gosh, almost 25 years ago, I was a young marine infantry officer who was deployed as part of an amphibious task force to the Taiwan Strait, which included two carrier battle groups as part of the U.S. response to Chinese provocations on the eve of the Presidential elections in Taiwan. It was a long time ago, but it was certainly an example of the American commitment and resolve of one of our allies during a period of heightened tensions in the Taiwan Strait that we need to remember and be able to react to with a strong military.

Fourth, we need to expand and deepen our alliances. The recalibration of our relationship with China should be done in partnership with our allies. The cultivation and nurturing of these relationships must be a foundational pillar of any American strategy as it deals with China.

Our greatest strategic advantage in dealing with China is this: We are now a rich nation with longstanding historical ties that have been reinforced by decades of diplomatic, military, and economic cooperation based on shared values with our friends and allies in the region. By contrast, China is an ally-poor nation, with North Korea as its closest friend and ally. The unity of the West and our Asian allies is essential to maintaining high global standards and transparency, accountability, anti-corruption, a peaceful resolution of conflict, and the importance of international law, particularly in the global areas of sea, space, and cyber space.

Finally, we must employ America's democratic values as a critical comparative advantage. We should never forget that our democratic values were critical in our successful victory over the Soviet Union during the last Cold War. In President Reagan's famous Westminster speech before the British Parliament in 1982, in which he launched the National Endowment for Democracy, he argued that America would win the Cold War not through hard power alone but through the power of our ideals.

As he reminded our audience and our close allies in Britain, "Any system is inherently unstable that has no peaceful means of legitimizing its leaders." China's unelected leaders, like all authoritarians, ultimately fear their own people. Our leaders do not.

It is fear that has driven China to develop an Orwellian social credit score to rank its people, while detaining as many as 1 million Chinese workers in concentration camps. Why else does the Chinese Communist Party invest so heavily in facial and gait recognition technology to monitor their own citizens? Why comprehensively censor the internet to preclude even the most glancing criticism of the Communist Party and its leaders? Why do China's internal security services employ more people than the People's Liberation Army, the world's largest military?

The answer lies in fear, and the goal, above all else, to make sure the Communist Party remains in power.

President Reagan saw the power and promise of our democratic ideals as a potent critical instrument to challenge America's global rival, then the Soviet Union, because the aspiration of freedom is universal and remains the core commonality that underpins the strongest partnerships of the United States with other nations. The belief that liberty, democracy, and free markets reflect and strengthen the size of our alliance system is something that is fundamental to the United States and our allies during the Cold War with the Soviet Union and now during our challenges with China. Helping countries protect their sovereignty so they can be responsive to their citizens and effective partners of our Nation is imperative at a time when Chinese influence risks pulling nations into a new "Sinosphere" hostile to American interests and our democratic ideals.

Let me conclude by predicting that the new challenges I describe with China are going to be with us for decades. We must face this fact with confidence and strategic resolve and bipartisan work in the U.S. Senate.

America has extraordinary advantages relative to China: our global network of alliances, our military power and economic leadership, our innovative society, our abundant energy supplies—we are now the No. 1 producer of oil, natural gas, and renewables in the world—our world-class universities, the world's most productive workforce, and a democratic value system that makes countries far more comfortable as American partners than subservient members of a new "Middle Kingdom" led by China.

As a result of the long twilight struggle with the Soviet Union, we also know what works—maintaining peace through strength, promoting free markets and free people at home, and having the confidence in George Kennan's insight that the Chinese Communist Party, like the Soviet Communist Party, likely bears within it the seeds of its own decay.

While democracies are resilient, adaptive, and self-renewing, there are many vulnerabilities embedded in China's perceived strengths.

One-man rule creates acute political risks. Historical grievance can breed violent nationalism. State-directed economic growth can produce massive overcapacity and mountains of debt. The gradual snuffing out of freedom in places like Hong Kong creates spontaneous protests of tens of thousands and huge global backlashes across the world. China's budding military power and historical view of itself as a nation and culture superior to others is beginning to alarm neighboring states, inspiring them to step up security cooperation with our Nation. Nearly half of all wealthy Chinese want to emigrate—and these are the winners from China's four decades of heady economic growth.

As we have in the past, Americans can prevail in this geopolitical and ideological contest, but doing so will require a new level of strategic initiative, organization, and confidence in who we are and what we stand for. This also means that we must redouble our efforts in making this strategic case to others around the world, particularly our allies, and we must continue to work on bipartisan solutions that have enduring support in this body for decades to come as it relates to our challenges with China.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BOOZMAN). The Senator from Alaska.

CORONAVIRUS

Ms. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, this is the time of year when we all look forward to wrapping things up, as they say.

Many around the country, when they think about wrapping things up, think about presents that they are going to put under the tree for their family. We think about it as closing up business for the balance of the year, and that is really where we are.

Hopefully, in a couple hours here, the House will proceed in taking up the omnibus bill, along with the COVID relief package, along with a host of other matters that the Congress has been working to address in this past year.

I would like to speak this evening for a few moments on the significance of where we are, but I want to start with just a story that I just now received from one of my staff people back in Alaska, and she got a video from the medical staff at the Sub-Regional Clinic there at St. Mary's. St. Mary's is a small community up on the Yukon River, and probably, I would say, 500 people, maybe more, in St. Mary's. But it is just a reminder to me that, regardless of where you are, hope is coming with the vaccine.

The comment that she shared is this video, a pictorial of the health aides, the PA—the physician's assistant—and personnel getting their COVID shots.

It was 13 degrees out. And the mobile office where the vaccine was administered was inside a chartered Cessna 208 Caravan sitting on the airport tarmac there in St. Mary's. After the shot, she and her staff hung out in their heated trucks for about a half an hour to see if they had any allergic reactions. When none showed signs, the Caravan took off to the next village airport.

It is just an example that no matter where you are, how remote you may be, the logistics that may be required to provide for this hope that comes by way of the vaccine.

People around the country are hopeful. Our job now, as we wrap up, is to make sure that we deliver this relief quickly.

I mention the COVID relief. I have been fortunate to be teaming with a bipartisan, bicameral group of lawmakers for the past 5 or 6 weeks to see

if we couldn't come up with a proposal that could kick-start the COVID talks.

We have had an opportunity for many of us to come to the floor to speak to not only how that came to be but the ultimate result, which was a multihundred-page document, legislation, that totaled \$908 billion. But it addressed everything from vaccine development and distribution to what we are going to do to assist our small businesses with additional rounds of PPP, to extensions to unemployment insurance, to what we can do with food assistance, nutrition assistance, and what more might be done to help with rental assistance. It was truly responsive to the need.

Where we are today is having moved that conversation and that debate forward, I think, in a constructive, in a positive way. We are here with a proposal that looks different than what we had produced, but that is the nature of what happens in a body where you have to come together to sort out the issues.

And what we will have is legislation that, again, like the CARES Act, is likely going to be proven imperfect, but we have to respond to the situation on the ground, whether it is in Alaska or whether it is in Arkansas, and we cannot do it too soon. So this is going to be key, and it is going to be critical.

I am very pleased that legislation that I had introduced that would extend the coronavirus relief funding—the opportunity for States and localities and Tribal governments to be able to spend those funds down. Running up against the deadline here of the end of this year was a real concern for so many, and so that has been included as part of this bigger package, in addition to so much that is good.

The carrier for all of this is the Omnibus appropriations bill. I have been really pleased to be a member of the Appropriations Committee. For some years now, I have been chairing the Appropriations Interior Subcommittee. This is significant, certainly, for our State, with oversight of our public lands, and also of Native affairs, including the EPA. It is a pretty broad portfolio.

We have been working on this dutifully as a subcommittee all year—all year. I certainly wish that I had had the opportunity to be able to bring my bill—our bill—to the floor for full debate by all Members, and then we could move to the Ag bill, to the T-HUD bill, to the Defense bill—do them all separately.

But for a host of different reasons—most of them all come back to politics—unfortunately, we have not been able to do that. That is something that I regret. That is something that I would hope that we, as Members of the Senate, can say: We can do better.

We pledge to do it better every year. We put our colleagues in a heck of a spot. Not all of us are on the Appropriations Committee. Not all of us have the privilege to be a chairman or a ranking member and know the guts

and the insides of each aspect of these bills.

But we come here with a process like this at a late hour, and we say: This is one where you need to know that we have been working it hard. We have taken into account all the priorities and considerations on both sides. We worked it back and forth. We worked it with the House, and here we are. But this is not a good process. We can and we must do better with that.

Now, having said that, I am very proud of the Interior bill that we have built. I am proud of my staff. We were a little bit leaner this year in terms of our staffing, but with good leadership, led by Emy Lesofski and Nona, as well as Lucas on the team, we were able to do the work that we needed to do and in a way that I am proud of and proud of their efforts.

There is so much that is wrapped up in this bigger, broader bill, and I think it is going to almost be gaspworthy when you see the 5,000-some-odd pages I am told that we will have.

It is not only the appropriations. It is the COVID bills. It is the water resources development bill, the WRDA bill—very, very significant. There are good bills from the Indian Affairs Committee that I have participated in, water bills that we have been working on.

But the one that I want to speak to a little more in detail this evening—and I was hoping that my ranking member, Senator MANCHIN, might be here on the floor, but not yet—but I wanted to speak about title Z in the omnibus bill.

Z, I just imagine that they put it at the end because they figured it was the best or maybe because they knew that the process that the Energy Act had gone through had probably been more rigorous and lengthy than just about anything out there. But Z we are at.

I begin my comments with regard to this Energy Act that is contained in this bill by acknowledging that I am probably speaking on the floor for the last time as the chairman of the Energy and Natural Resources Committee because I have hit my limit, if you will. I have had the honor and the privilege to be both the chairman and the ranking member on the Energy and Natural Resources Committee now for a total of 12 years, which is pretty good.

It is pretty good to be in a position to be able to advance legislative policy. I have been very, very fortunate to work with great members. Senator Bingaman was the chairman when I was his ranking and, of course, I was with Senator WYDEN as the ranking member when he was chairman. I have had the great privilege to work with Senator CANTWELL when I was the chairman and now Senator MANCHIN. I think about these past 2 years and what we have been able to accomplish and just kind of the recap of where we have been and how productive we have been as a panel.

We started this Congress with passage of the John D. Dingell, Jr., Conservation, Management, and Recreation Act. This is a bipartisan package of more than 120 different measures focused on public lands, natural resources, and water.

We had worked on a lands package throughout the last several months of 2018. I want to give former Ranking Member CANTWELL a real big shout-out here because her leadership was very key in that process. We weren't able to get it across the finish line at the end of the last Congress, but we reintroduced it in January of 2019. We passed it through the Senate in February, and the President signed it into law in March. That was a pretty good start—120 bipartisan bills.

These were bills, when you think about it, that related to national parks, to forests, to sportsmen's access. We delivered on the Federal Government's promises to Alaska Natives who served during the Vietnam war. We permanently reauthorized the Land and Water Conservation Fund, and we provided new authorities to help protect from natural hazards such as volcanic eruptions. I will note that the Kilauea just erupted on the Big Island of Hawaii. I believe it was yesterday or the day before. So it is important to be addressing our natural hazards.

We did that at the beginning of the Congress. Then Senator MANCHIN and I set our sights on something that hadn't been done in a long time, and that was modernization of our Nation's energy policies to make real reforms and bring them in line with our current challenges and our opportunities. So we started with some very broad—very broad-based—hearings and then took a very specific and deep dive into some of the issues and the technologies.

Then we started moving bills. We were negotiating and we were reporting dozens of bills as part of our regular order committee process. Knowing how things work around here, we knew we weren't going to be able to move them each individually as stand-alone bills, so we wrapped them up into a broader bill. We called it the American Energy Innovation Act.

We brought that bill to the floor in February. We were going just great. We were working through, and it was kind of nice, again, to be the first bill on the floor when we began our legislative business. And we stalled out. We were interrupted. We were taken off the track by an unrelated dispute over a measure that is jurisdictional to another committee, and this was just at the start of the global coronavirus pandemic.

But I said: We are not done. We are not stopping just because we hit a roadblock here. We are going to keep working.

We kept working. We kept working, and we kept looking for a way to pass the first major Energy bill since 2007—and 2007 is a long time that we hadn't updated and reworked our energy pol-

icy, so it was worth working on. I kept saying: Don't count us out. Don't write us off.

Over the past few months, we found that opening. We worked with really good partners over on the House side over at Energy and Commerce and the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee, and we wrote what we have called simply the Energy Act of 2020—pretty simple, pretty beautiful, in my opinion.

But our Energy Act is included now as division Z in the year-end omnibus. It provides for reforms and new authorities related to a range of emerging technologies, including advanced nuclear; carbon capture, utilization, and storage; carbon removal; renewables; and energy storage. It reauthorizes programs that many of us are supportive of and want to advance: Weatherization Assistance and ARPA-E. It features the bulk of my language related to critical minerals and materials to help us rebuild our domestic supply chains, which we know are so critical. It reflects our strong commitment to cleaner energy to help us address climate change without raising the cost of energy or imposing divisive mandates.

So I would suggest to the Presiding Officer that this Energy bill is just the perfect bookend for this Congress. What started as a major lands package is now going to end with a major energy package. Again, as I mentioned, it has been since 2007. It is the first Energy bill—the energy side of the Energy and Natural Resources Committee—the first Energy bill in almost 13 years. It was President George W. Bush who signed the last one on December 19, 2007.

So I want to thank Ranking Member MANCHIN for his leadership on the energy package, as well as all of the committee members who contributed to it. We had great help within our committee. We had great help within this body. At last count we were looking at input and contributions from some 70 different Members.

I also want to acknowledge the extraordinary work of the staffs. I had the opportunity to give a tribute to my staff director, Brian Hughes, who is leaving after many years on the committee. He did a fabulous job of advancing this, as did Spencer Nelson, Pat McCormick, Annie Hoefler, Lucy Murfitt. There were so many others. That team was extraordinary.

But they were joined by an extraordinary team that Senator MANCHIN had, led by his staff director, Renae Black, and other individuals there who really helped us advance this—Sam Fowler and so many. We can't thank them enough.

I also want to really give sincere thanks to our House partners because they were this “six corner” working group here: FRANK PALLONE, the chairman at Energy and Commerce and the ranking member, GREG WALDEN; the Science chairwoman, BERNICE JOHNSON,

and the ranking member, FRANK LUCAS. We could not have reached an agreement without their leadership and their willingness to work together.

I also want to acknowledge and thank Leader MCCONNELL and Senator SCHUMER for agreeing to work with us and help us secure this opening and this commitment.

I can't remember how many times Leader MCCONNELL had said: You know, LISA, you are probably going to figure out a way to pull a rabbit out of the hat here. And I don't know if this is a rabbit out of the hat, but I think persistence certainly has paid off. So I want to thank the teams who really helped to make this happen.

In addition to a good energy bill, the year-end omnibus also includes the bipartisan water package featuring nine provisions that are important to Western States, such as Colorado, Montana, and Arizona. Lane Dickson on my Energy Committee staff was fabulous there. We added a few more lands bills into the final package. Lucy and Annie helped us on that. We really have worked to advance so much out of the committee.

All of these accomplishments—our lands package, our energy package, our water package, the lands bills that we tried to add to everything that moved and occasionally passed by UC, and measures I haven't spoken to, including the Great American Outdoors Act—these are all the hallmarks of a highly productive Congress and the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee. I am pleased to have been able to shepherd these measures into law.

But what I am proud of—more than any piece of legislation, more than anything out there in the time that I have been able to lead this committee, what I am most proud of is the bipartisan way in which this committee has operated. Our commitment to bipartisanship has produced continually good results for our country.

What I found as ranking member and now as chairman is that there is simply no substitute for working together and across party lines to find agreement wherever it is possible.

So I thank Senator MANCHIN for following that same approach, to our committee colleagues for their own bipartisanship and collegiality, to our House colleagues who have helped us with that.

I see that my friend from the great State of Washington and Pacific Northwest is here, and I want Senator CANTWELL to know that as I have spoken of the accomplishments of the Energy and Natural Resources Committee, I am very thankful and appreciative of her leadership and what she has provided over the years. Working together with her team, we have accomplished good things for the right reasons.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington is recognized.

Ms. CANTWELL. Mr. President, if I can just congratulate my colleague

from Alaska and the ranking member, Senator MANCHIN, for their great work of getting these energy provisions that have been—I don't know how many Members, but I am sure just about every Member in our body has had something to do with it and a lot of actual individual legislation. So this was a long effort by our colleague, the chair of the committee, and I thank her for her diligence on this. She has had many of us up to Alaska to look at hydropower and alternative resources and how their grid needs better connectivity and products that can withstand and provide energy in sub-zero weather and all sorts of challenges that they face.

But, clearly, these tools that will be in this legislation will move us forward. So thank you and thanks to Senator MANCHIN for working so diligently to get this finally over the goal line. It is a big moment. Thank you.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR—MOTION TO PROCEED

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to proceed to the motion to reconsider the vote by which cloture was not invoked on the nomination of Eric J. Soskin.

I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI), the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS) and the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 48, nays 46, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 285 Leg.]

YEAS—48

Alexander	Ernst	Paul
Barrasso	Fischer	Portman
Blackburn	Gardner	Risch
Blunt	Graham	Roberts
Boozman	Grassley	Romney
Braun	Hawley	Rubio
Burr	Hoeven	Sasse
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Scott (FL)
Cassidy	Inhofe	Scott (SC)
Collins	Johnson	Shelby
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Cramer	Lee	Tillis
Crapo	McConnell	Toomey
Cruz	Moran	Wicker
Daines	Murkowski	Young

NAYS—46		
Baldwin	Heinrich	Sanders
Bennet	Hirono	Schatz
Blumenthal	Jones	Schumer
Booker	Kaine	Shaheen
Brown	Kelly	Sinema
Cantwell	King	Smith
Cardin	Klobuchar	Stabenow
Carper	Leahy	Tester
Casey	Markey	Udall
Coons	Menendez	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warner
Duckworth	Murphy	Warren
Durbin	Murray	Whitehouse
Feinstein	Peters	Wyden
Gillibrand	Reed	
Hassan	Rosen	

NOT VOTING—6

Enzi	Loeffler	Perdue
Harris	Manchin	Rounds

The motion was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote for which cloture was not invoked on the nomination of Eric J. Soskin, and I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI), the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS) and the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 48, nays 46, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 286 Ex.]

YEAS—48

Alexander	Ernst	Paul
Barrasso	Fischer	Portman
Blackburn	Gardner	Risch
Blunt	Graham	Roberts
Boozman	Grassley	Romney
Braun	Hawley	Rubio
Burr	Hoeven	Sasse
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Scott (FL)
Cassidy	Inhofe	Scott (SC)
Collins	Johnson	Shelby
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Cramer	Lee	Tillis
Crapo	McConnell	Toomey
Cruz	Moran	Wicker
Daines	Murkowski	Young

NAYS—46

Baldwin	Heinrich	Sanders
Bennet	Hirono	Schatz
Blumenthal	Jones	Schumer
Booker	Kaine	Shaheen
Brown	Kelly	Sinema
Cantwell	King	Smith
Cardin	Klobuchar	Stabenow
Carper	Leahy	Tester
Casey	Markey	Udall
Coons	Menendez	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warner
Duckworth	Murphy	Warren
Durbin	Murray	Whitehouse
Feinstein	Peters	Wyden
Gillibrand	Reed	
Hassan	Rosen	

NOT VOTING—6

Enzi	Loeffler	Perdue
Harris	Manchin	Rounds

The motion was agreed to.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Eric J. Soskin, of Virginia, to be Inspector General, Department of Transportation.

Mitch McConnell, Lamar Alexander, Rick Scott, Tom Cotton, Mike Crapo, Cory Gardner, Ron Johnson, James Lankford, Roger F. Wicker, Marco Rubio, Cindy Hyde-Smith, Thom Tillis, Shelley Moore Capito, John Boozman, Joni Ernst, Mike Braun, Pat Roberts.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Eric J. Soskin, of Virginia, to be Inspector General, Department of Transportation, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI), the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. Kaine), the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN), and the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 48, nays 44, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 287 Ex.]

YEAS—48

Alexander	Ernst	Paul
Barrasso	Fischer	Portman
Blackburn	Gardner	Risch
Blunt	Graham	Roberts
Boozman	Grassley	Romney
Braun	Hawley	Rubio
Burr	Hoeven	Sasse
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Scott (FL)
Cassidy	Inhofe	Scott (SC)
Collins	Johnson	Shelby
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Cramer	Lee	Tillis
Crapo	McConnell	Toomey
Cruz	Moran	Wicker
Daines	Murkowski	Young

NAYS—44

Baldwin	Brown	Casey
Bennet	Cantwell	Coons
Blumenthal	Cardin	Cortez Masto
Booker	Carper	Duckworth

Durbin
Feinstein
Gillibrand
Hassan
Heinrich
Hirono
Jones
Kelly
King
Klobuchar
Leahy

Markey
Menendez
Merkley
Murphy
Murray
Peters
Reed
Rosen
Schatz
Schumer
Shaheen

Sinema
Smith
Stabenow
Tester
Udall
Van Hollen
Reed
Warner
Warren
Whitehouse
Wyden

NOT VOTING—8

Enzi
Harris
Kaine

Loeffler
Manchin
Perdue

Rounds
Sanders

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 48, the nays are 44.

Upon reconsideration, the motion is agreed to.

The Senator from North Carolina.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—H.R. 1964

Mr. BURR. Mr. President, I rise and in a moment I will ask unanimous consent to right a wrong that has lingered for over a century and to call up and pass H.R. 1964, the Lumbee Recognition Act. Prior to that, I want to say that I am honored, with my colleague Senator TILLIS, to represent the Lumbee people.

The Lumbee are the largest Native American Tribe east of the Mississippi River, with a membership of over 60,000. They have called the present-day counties of Robeson, Scotland, Hoke, and Cumberland their home in North Carolina. They have been a cohesive part of the community in North Carolina for centuries, and they have developed unique Tribal instructions that have served their membership well in the face of extraordinary adversity.

They have been teachers, farmers, doctors, small business owners, sheriffs, clerks of court, State legislators, and judges. Many have protected our Nation by serving in the Armed Forces. Their contribution to their community, to the State of North Carolina, and to the country is innumerable.

Their commitment to education is unparalleled. In the 1800s, the State authorized the Tribe to run the State's school district for Lumbee children. The State also authorized the opening of a specially advanced Indian school known as the Normal School to train teachers to teach in Lumbee Indian schools. Although the State provided no money for construction for the facilities, the Lumbees pulled together and constructed an Indian Normal School of their own. Since then, the Indian school has been in operation continuously. Today it is the University of North Carolina at Pembroke.

The State of North Carolina recognized the Lumbee Tribe in 1885. Three years later, the Tribe began its quest for full recognition. Over the next 50 years, they repeatedly petitioned the Federal Government for assistance but to no avail.

Then, during the height of the shameful termination era, Congress passed the Lumbee Act of 1956. This partial recognition designated the Lumbees residing in Robeson and adjoining counties of North Carolina as the "Lumbee Indians of North Caro-

lina," while simultaneously blocking the Lumbees from accessing Federal services and benefits available to other federally recognized Tribes. It is nothing short of discrimination.

To put this in context, four other Tribes were terminated by Congress in 1956, like the Lumbees. All have had their Federal recognition status restored. Only the Lumbees have yet to receive the full Federal recognition they deserve. As a matter of fact, in 2018, this Senate recognized Indian Tribes. In 2019, seven Tribes in Virginia were recognized by this Congress and this Senate.

It is unfortunate while the Lumbee Tribe is incredibly resilient, decades of discrimination have caused severe economic consequences for the people. Robeson is one of the poorest counties in the United States. The 1956 law has put them on an unequal footing compared to other federally recognized Tribes and has prevented them from obtaining access to critical services through the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Health Service. This is simply unjust and it is immoral.

To correct this injustice, since 1987, members of North Carolina's congressional delegation from both sides of the aisle have repeatedly come together to introduce the Lumbee Recognition Act, which would grant full Federal recognition to the Tribe.

Over the past 11 years, I have been proud to be the lead sponsor of this legislation in the Senate, and it has always been a bipartisan effort.

This year, the bill was led by a Democratic Member of the House of Representatives, G. K. BUTTERFIELD, and supported by Congressman HUDSON and Congressman BISHOP, both Republicans. Last month, the House passed the Lumbee Recognition bill by voice vote unanimously. Let me say that again—by voice vote unanimously.

You would think that this great deliberative body could process and understand the—really the discrimination that is going on here to these folks. People claim that the Lumbees are not a Tribe. Yet read the statistics—it is the largest Tribe east of the Mississippi River. I think they finally educated the House. But despite the overwhelming support for doing the right thing, Congress has yet to actually do it.

The time for excuses is over. The time for action is now. It is time to finally do what should have been done 130 years ago. It is time for the Federal Government to recognize the Lumbees for the Tribe they are.

I have had a great partner in Senator TILLIS, and I would yield to him for any comments he would like to make.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Carolina.

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, I thank Senator BURR for his leadership and leaning into this for many years before I even joined the Senate.

I thank Senator Dole for filing this bill that actually even had Senator MCCONNELL's cosponsorship many, many years ago.

I thank the Lumbee people for their patience, but, quite honestly, when you are talking about the ninth largest Tribe east of the Mississippi River—I am sorry—the ninth largest in the Nation and the largest Tribe east of the Mississippi River, a Tribe that has been seeking recognition since 1888—they were recognized as Indian by the State of North Carolina in 1885. In 1956, they were partially recognized.

We heard a unanimous vote coming out of the House. They were prepared to provide recognition. In November, we heard President Trump and Vice President Biden both say they support recognition. So we are so close.

There is a compelling case. It is a century in the making. And the fact that we couldn't get this through today when it passed unanimously out of the House just a month ago I think is a shame.

I appreciate Senator BURR for his patience and his tenacity. We are not going to let this go. Hopefully, it gets done tonight, but we have to recognize that the Lumbee people deserve recognition, they deserve respect. They have been fighting for it for a century, and I am going to fight for it as long as I am in the U.S. Senate.

I yield the floor.

Mr. BURR. Mr. President, I thank my colleague, and I reiterate that if for some reason there is an objection—and I think there will be tonight—either before we swear in a new Congress or after we swear in a new Congress, I promise my colleagues that this place will come to a grinding halt, and we will take up through regular order the Lumbee Recognition Act. We will debate it for as long as people want to, and we will make the case as to why this discrimination is despicable.

So, at this time, as if in legislative session, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Indian Affairs be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 1964 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The Senator from Montana.

Mr. TESTER. I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

Mr. BURR. Mr. President, I thank my colleagues for their time tonight, and I urge all of our colleagues to prepare. This will be back up.

I yield the floor.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Eric J. Soskin, of Virginia, to be Inspector General, Department of Transportation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Jersey.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—EXECUTIVE
CALENDAR

Mr. BOOKER. Mr. President, I appreciate the recognition. I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be discharged and the Senate proceed to the en bloc consideration of the following nominations: PN1938, PN2024, PN2101, PN2030, and PN2025; that the Senate vote on the nominations en bloc with no intervening action or debate; that if confirmed, the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table en bloc and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, first of all, let me say to my dear friend from New Jersey, I fully agree with the five nominees he has put in front of the Senate. Indeed, we had good confirmation hearings on all five of those, and none of these five—other than the one I am going to the talk about in a second—are political appointments. These are career people who have had long and distinguished service with the Secretary of State, and they are all very legitimate appointees to the places they are appointed to, which I am going to reiterate here in just a minute.

In any event, one was left off the list. I know Senator BOOKER had some issues with that, but I think, in the spirit of cooperation and in the spirit of bipartisanship, he offers five, and I will offer a compromise of one more. I think that is absolutely fair—five for one.

But I object, and indeed I would ask unanimous consent that we do as suggested by Senator BOOKER, and that is discharge from the committee and approve the following six nominations: PN1938, Mr. Kevin Blackstone, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador to the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste; PN2024, Ms. Cynthia Kierscht, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador to the Islamic Republic of Mauritania; PN2101, Mr. Brian D. McFeeters, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador to Malaysia; PN2025, the Honorable Geeta Pasi, Class of Career Minister, to be Ambassador to the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia; PN2030, the Honorable David Reimer, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador to the Republic of Sierra Leone; and finally, William E. Todd, PN1598, to be Ambassador to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

As I said, none of these are political. They have all had long and distinguished careers with the State Department. They all have great backgrounds to be Ambassadors, and I appreciate Senator BOOKER helping me move all six of these forward.

With that, I ask unanimous consent that all six of those be discharged and be considered and passed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the Senator so modify?

Mr. BOOKER. Mr. President, I just want to say I appreciate my friend and my colleague, and truly, when he invoked the spirit of cooperation and the spirit of bipartisanship, I felt lucky that he didn't invoke the spirit of Christmas as well.

Mr. RISCH. I would like to amend.

Mr. BOOKER. But I do want to let him know that, indeed, the five people are nonpolitical individuals with the State Department. I wish we could just move them and get confirmed. But I do have an objection to the sixth that he would seek to add, so I will not modify my request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the original request?

Mr. RISCH. I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

The Senator from New Jersey.

Mr. BOOKER. Mr. President, it is good to see you in that seat, sir. The post of Ambassador to Pakistan is one that is highly relevant to American national securities, as I think all 100 of us understand. The person selected to implement the U.S. foreign policy and engage diplomatically with the Pakistani Government must be someone who understands that American-Pakistani relationship and the complex and evolving challenges to regional stability in South Asia. That person must also be someone who can be trusted by the American people and by their colleagues at the State Department to carry out U.S. foreign policy decisions.

Unfortunately, there is a disturbing and documented pattern of behavior from Mr. Todd that I believe makes him unqualified to serve as our Ambassador to Pakistan.

From 2017 to 2019, Mr. Todd served in a variety of management positions at the State Department, including as Acting Director General and Assistant Secretary for Human Resources and as Acting Under Secretary for Management.

During that time, the House Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee opened investigations into allegations that senior State Department officials, including Mr. Todd, were engaging in prohibited personnel practices against other members of the State Department's career workforce.

As a result of these investigations, multiple State Department officials told the committees that the administration wanted to punish career officials at the State Department often for doing their jobs, for following procedures and protocols, and also punish them for just raising concerns about policies that they believed sincerely would be dangerous or deleterious to American interests.

Mr. Todd was one of the people political appointees turned to to help carry out a lot of those actions. When asked about those allegations in the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, it was reported clearly that he was unreasonably agitated, even raising his voice

when asked about just these routine issues.

The incoming administration has an enormous task. We know the challenges in that region. In the entire State Department, there have been challenges as well. We should give that new administration a chance and not promote someone for what is a really critical ambassadorship in a very complex and challenging region. We need to make sure that we have someone prominent in the role who can command the confidence of State Department officials, and that, in many ways, gives the incoming administration a chance to deal with that vacancy, which has been, I will note, vacant for 2 years without a nomination.

He clearly does not have the temperament or the track record of standing up for his own employees or for the institution of the State Department.

We should be doing everything in our power to restore credibility to American leadership, not just overall in the world stage, which I know we all agree on, but also in that region, and work to repair a lot of the harm that this individual may have been involved in creating that hurt morale so much.

I have a lot of confidence in the chairman's leadership. We have a committee that often works in a bipartisan manner, and I am grateful for that. It is one of the pleasures I have in serving in the Senate. This role is critical to the country—the U.S. Ambassador to Pakistan. Ambassador Hale left the post in September of 2018, and it was a year before the administration nominated someone to the post. So, again, I just find it, on top of my previous remarks, odd that the chairman and others are now saying that we need this Ambassador now. I believe that is not the case, and I believe that there hasn't been an urgency from the administration to fill this ambassadorship until today.

So it is for these reasons that I oppose Mr. Todd's nomination, and I hope that my colleague will move the other five. They are deserving Foreign Service officers and should be confirmed. They should not be held up because of objections to the nominee we have been discussing.

So we agree on these five nominees. I truly urge my colleague that we should not obstruct them because of our differences over one. There is a true urgency, I believe, in this season to get what we can agree on done, and disagreement rooted as substantially as I believe this one is should not hold up the other ones. That is why I think the nominees to Ethiopia, Mauritania, Malaysia, and Sierra Leone—I do really believe they should be confirmed today.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. RISCH. Mr. President, I thank Senator BOOKER for his kind words about the committee. I want to commit that we will continue to work in a

bipartisan fashion. On the other hand, we have a disagreement here regarding this particular person. He is a well-qualified career State Department nominee. He has been nominated, of course, to be Ambassador of Pakistan. Ambassador Todd is a career member of senior executive service. He is well qualified to serve as the Ambassador. He is currently serving as the Deputy Under Secretary of State for Management. He has a wealth of experience, having previously served as Ambassador twice, to Cambodia and Brunei.

His qualifications notwithstanding, now is really not the time to leave the ambassadorship and the mission in Islamabad vacant.

The others we have are also good. I don't think that it is unfair to ask that we have a trade of five for one.

In any event, we respectfully disagree at this point. I have no doubt, as we move forward, we will have other things we do agree on, but at this time, I am going to continue to object to the five.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wyoming.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I come to the floor today to discuss critical parts of today's funding bill that is before us this week. These are the result of bipartisan work that we have done. And the Presiding Officer is a member of the Environment and Public Works Committee, a valued member who has contributed significantly to the legislation before us.

As chairman of that committee, I am so grateful for your participation because I am going to talk about some things that we have been able to do on the Environment and Public Works Committee together and in a bipartisan way. You have done such a remarkable job with the Save Our Seas legislation, and we continue to support that.

Now I am going to talk about a couple of additional things that are part of this end-of-the-year legislation that we will be voting on later this evening.

The first is historic, bipartisan climate innovation legislation. The second is comprehensive legislation to upgrade America's water infrastructure. So I want to talk about two different things: One is the climate innovation legislation and then what is commonly known as the WRDA bill, the Water Resources Development Act. Both of these measures passed the committee with unanimous bipartisan support.

The Republicans and Democrats on this committee have worked together to reduce emissions and to do it through innovation—not taxation, not regulation, but do it through innovation—free market innovation, not punishing government regulations. I believe that is the best way to go as we address the challenges of our environment and our climate.

We have reached a historic agreement in the committee, and we will on the floor tonight in the Senate, to in-

clude environmental innovation provisions in this government funding bill.

The environmental innovation agreements include three specific bills that will significantly reduce greenhouse gases. I have had the privilege of working closely with my friend, the committee ranking member, TOM CARPER from Delaware, on each of these three.

The first is called the USE IT Act. This legislation ensures that Washington is a willing partner in the research and the development of carbon capture technologies and projects. Carbon capture holds the key to significant carbon emission reductions. These captured emissions can be used to create building materials, medical supplies, even clothing. They can also be used to extract more natural resources to provide more energy for all of us.

The USE IT Act is going to expedite permitting so that important carbon capture projects can get moving. It supports the research and the use of carbon capture. It includes direct air capture—actually, carbon dioxide from the atmosphere—that will take carbon emissions straight out of the air. It is very innovative.

This kind of research is now already happening in my home State of Wyoming, in Gillette, WY, at the Integrated Test Center, which is associated with the University of Wyoming School of Energy Resources.

I have worked closely with Senators WHITEHOUSE and CAPITO and CARPER on the USE IT Act in having it passed, first, through our committee, then through the full Senate, and tonight, in a bill that will be ultimately sent to the President of the United States for his signature.

The second measure, part of this innovative work, is a bill sponsored by Ranking Member CARPER to reauthorize the Diesel Emissions Reduction Act.

This program has already significantly reduced the amount of black carbon in our air by replacing older engines in buses—school buses and trucks and other vehicles. This is working well. These replacement engines make diesel equipment cleaner and more efficient.

The legislation that we will reauthorize this evening sees that this important program will then continue all the way through the end of 2024.

The last element of our bipartisan agreement will phase down the use of chemicals known as HFCs. These chemicals are in every home in America, from cars to refrigerators to air conditioners.

This agreement is going to authorize a 15-year phasedown on the production of these chemicals. Innovative breakthroughs in chemistry have led to the development of cleaner replacement chemicals. This legislation tonight will help protect our air while keeping costs down for the American people.

Importantly, the agreement, the bipartisan agreement we have come up with, safeguards the essential use of

HFC chemicals when substitute chemicals are less effective. Some examples including bear spray. We use it in Wyoming. I know they use it in Alaska. It protects hikers. It is also used in fire suppression systems on planes that protect travelers. And we need it.

I know in my hometown of Casper, the defense spray manufacturer, the Safariland Group, employs about 75 people. They use HFC chemicals to make defense sprays. It is a critically important part of their business.

If they were forced to use replacement chemicals, those sprays would be far less effective. Well, if you are around a bear, you want to make sure you have the most effective spray available. So with the changes we have negotiated into this important bill, companies like the Safariland Group will be able to continue making high-quality products that people want and people need and that can save their lives.

We also made sure that the manufacturers using these chemicals have a clear set of rules to go by—one clear set of rules to go by. So our agreement preempts State and local laws to ensure that the specific essentials that are being used are protected.

Now the manufacturers won't have to deal with different sets of rules in different States, which has been a real problem. This clears that up. The end result is clean air and clear rules. We need both of those.

I really want to thank Ranking Member CARPER, Senator JOHN KENNEDY of Louisiana for working with me to reach an agreement that will reduce the use of HFC chemicals while protecting consumers and manufacturers alike.

All of these three measures support market innovation. All three will reduce greenhouse gases, and they will do it in ways that will not harm the economy.

The government funding bill that we are going to be addressing a little later this evening also includes bipartisan water infrastructure legislation. I know in the Presiding Officer's home State of Alaska and in my home State of Wyoming, water is critical, as it is across the entire country. But you and I have focused specifically on this.

Certainly, in Wyoming, dams, levees, ports, reservoirs, and water systems are important to every community all across the country. The infrastructure is critical. People say the word is "infrastructure," but when we think about it, what it really means is dams, ports, reservoirs, levees, and water systems. That really, I think, shows more what we are talking about than just this overall word "infrastructure."

It protects all of us from dangerous floods and storms, while also providing water for our families and our farms.

The government spending bill tonight includes the Water Resources Development Act of 2020. It is the result of months of negotiations between committee leaders from the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The bipartisan legislation is fiscally responsible. It helps grow the economy; it cuts redtape; and it makes our communities safer. It will spur economic growth by creating jobs and by authorizing vital projects.

In my home State of Wyoming and across the Rocky Mountain West, access to consistent water supply is essential for ranchers and farmers. The water that we need in our home States to grow crops and raise cattle has to be delivered on time.

In several communities, the water reservoirs that serve farmers and ranchers happen to be old. These aging reservoirs and irrigation systems need maintenance or full rebuilding. This bill makes these projects a significant priority.

The legislation will also establish a new Army Corps of Engineers program for construction of new, small water storage projects or the expansion of existing ones. It will also authorize the Army Corps of Engineers to carry out sediment removal projects in water reservoirs. This improves water quality, and it increases water quantity.

The bill authorizes projects to maintain shipping lanes, to deepen ports, to upgrade aging dams, and to increase water storage across the West. Maintained shipping lanes ensure that American-made goods are shipped from the heartland to the coasts and around the world. Deepened ports result in increased commerce. If our major ports are dredged and deep, then more ships can use them to export more goods.

These projects that we are getting ready to adopt tonight will all create jobs and expand commerce in America's cities and the heartland. To ensure these important projects get moving, the bill cuts redtape to speed up the Army Corps of Engineers' process.

The Water Resources Development Act is going to expedite the permitting, the construction, the repair, and the maintenance of many Army Corps projects. Communities can't afford to wait years and years for flood management or water storage projects to be completed. They need them now.

Finally, this legislation will make communities safer. The critical infrastructure projects included here will help prevent damaging floods by maintaining dams and levees. Floods can take a terrible toll in property damage and on human life.

The legislation allows the Army Corps of Engineers to focus efforts on fixing aging flood management infrastructure. It prioritizes the dams and levees most at risk.

I would like to really thank the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee chairman, PETER DEFAZIO, and the ranking member, SAM GRAVES, for working with us to get this legislation to the finish line.

I want to thank Infrastructure Subcommittee Chair CAPITO and Ranking Member CARDIN for their work as well.

I say to the Presiding Officer, as I wrap this up and thank you for your in-

volvement, I want to thank every member of the Environment and Public Works Committee, and specifically the ranking member, TOM CARPER—whose staff has worked so closely with mine—for working with me on this bill. I am so grateful for his partnership as we have worked together over the last 4 years as I served as chair and he served as ranking member. We have not always agreed on every issue, but we have respected the positions of each of us. We have worked and found common ground, found solutions, and I believe made a real difference for the economy and, most importantly here, the environment.

Thank you.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. DAINES). The Senator from Pennsylvania.

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CYBER SECURITY

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I rise today to express concern over a monumental breach to the Nation's national security infrastructure.

Last week, the Washington Post, among other publications, reported hacks of several government agencies by way of the SolarWinds platform over several months.

The New York Times, on the 17th of this month, had a headline that included these words: "Officials Warn of 'Grave Risk.'" But in that report, the New York Times indicated that at least 40 companies, government agencies, and think tanks had been infiltrated. The infiltrated agencies include the Departments of Defense, State, Homeland Security, Treasury, Commerce, Energy, and Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration, as well as the National Institutes of Health. That is about seven different entities, if you include the Department of Energy as one entity, in the hacking of different parts of the Department, and there could be more.

All the reporting indicates that the hackers are affiliated with Russian intelligence. Russia has proven itself both capable and willing to invade and exploit our digital infrastructure for its own ends.

While Secretary of State Mike Pompeo confirmed that Russia was behind this damaging breach of our systems, President Trump is casting doubt—and that might be an understatement.

Even in the waning days of his administration, our national security continues to suffer at the hands of the President's deference to Vladimir Putin.

I have to say for the record, I hope this number changes, but only about 10 Republican Senators have expressed concerns. I hope that number grows exponentially in the next couple of days.

I have to ask: What if this breach occurred under a Democratic President—

President Obama, for example? Would there be more than 10 statements or 11 or 12? This should be categorically, roundly condemned by every U.S. Senator of either party.

These hacks renew the urgency for additional resources for defensive cyber security across our government. This need is not a new one. In fact, the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency, known as CISA, C-I-S-A, was stood up within the Department of Homeland Security in 2018 precisely to shore up the U.S. Government's defenses against such hacks, such intrusions.

However, as we all know, just days after the 2020 Presidential election, President Trump fired CISA's Director, Chris Krebs, for contradicting the President's own false claims regarding the election.

The President has failed to take this hack, this attack on our security, this attack on our government seriously. This hack raises serious questions about the nature of cyber espionage and what constitutes hostile acts by another country.

These are questions I look forward to exploring as we learn more about the scope and nature of this latest breach. While both of our countries certainly engage in espionage, we must be vigilant in the protection of our own data and technology from foreign interference, and we need to hear more from Senators on both sides of the aisle about this attack.

The second and related issue is the reporting just in the last day or so, reports that the President may be seeking to invoke the Insurrection Act to impose martial law and refuse to step down from the Presidency next month. The reporting indicates that these discussions took place in the Oval Office.

I would argue—I think most Americans would argue—that even the mere discussion of martial law, the mere mention of it, the mere invocation of the whole notion of martial law is both harmful and dangerous.

Republican Senators, so far, have not condemned these actions that blatantly violate democratic norms. Maybe one, maybe two—I hope that number grows as well—but the silence from the majority party in the Senate on this report is deafening. I would hope that every Senator would roundly condemn the mere mention of martial law.

Attempting to seize election machines—that would be the effect of the invocation of martial law if it were operationalized—election machines in a number of States seized by the government of the United States.

I have got to ask the question: In America? In the United States of America? Is that what the White House is really discussing?

All that America expects—and it is not much—is that Senators of both parties would condemn, in the strongest possible terms, even the discussion of martial law.

The Army Secretary and the Army Chief of Staff released a joint statement clarifying, based on these dangerous actions proposed by the President's team, that "there is no role for the U.S. military in determining the outcome of an American election."

It is hard to believe that those officials in our government who help defend our country would even have to say that based upon what the reporting indicates.

So I hope that, even in this holiday season, it is made very clear by every Member of the U.S. Senate that this will not be tolerated and has to be condemned. We can't just say: Oh, well, it is another outrage, another insult. It should be condemned, just like the actions of the Russian Government should be condemned as well.

CORONAVIRUS

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I just want to make a couple of brief comments about the legislation we are going to be voting on, we hope, tonight.

I will talk about, for purposes of time, not the spending bill or the spending portion, the so-called omnibus. I will talk about the COVID-19 relief provisions. I won't go through all of them, obviously, but there is a lot of good news for many Americans in this legislation.

The consensus on unemployment benefits will help a lot of Americans: \$300 per week for all Americans receiving unemployment benefits through March 14. The Pandemic Unemployment Assistance Program is extended to help self-employed individuals, gig workers, and others in nontraditional employment.

The economic impact payments for Americans across the country: \$600 for individuals making up to \$75,000 a year and \$1,200 for couples making up to \$150,000 per year, as well as a \$600 payment for each dependent child. That means a family of four would receive \$2,400 in direct payments.

The Paycheck Protection Program, so-called PPP: \$284 billion for the first and second PPP forgivable loans.

There is more money for transportation, more money for health, and, in particular, money for the procurement of vaccines and therapeutics, as well as money for vaccine distribution.

Education is funded for more than \$80 billion; emergency rental assistance, \$25 billion; the Postal Service, \$10 billion; childcare, \$10 billion—both very important.

The ones I am especially grateful for, even though on a lot of these I would vote for higher numbers—a lot of us would—are the nutrition provisions: \$26 billion in agriculture and nutrition funding. Half of that will be used for direct payments to farmers who have suffered losses due to the impact of the pandemic. The remaining 13, the other half of the 26—\$13 billion will be used to combat food insecurity, which is on

the rise due to the economic impact of the pandemic.

There is a lot in here that is positive for American families, for workers, for small businesses, and for so many other needs. It took way too long. This is months overdue. There are gaps in it.

I think this is a 3-month bill to help folks get through January, February, and March. I think we will need another bill after that. And, obviously, I am very disappointed we don't have dollars in here to help nursing homes, which I fought very hard for. We will keep up that fight.

It doesn't have the direct help to State and local governments, even though there are provisions that will help States but not nearly enough.

So it is far, far from perfect, but I think it is important that we pass it to provide direct help to people who have challenges, whether it is with employment or running a small business or supporting their families.

The last point I will make on this is that we gather here today—and we hope the vote will be tonight before midnight, but we don't know. But it is just ironic that today is the 21st of December, the winter solstice, the darkest day of the year.

I was noting this weekend Elizabeth Dias wrote an op-ed, a very thoughtful op-ed, in the New York Times about winter and all of the ways different cultures have dealt with the darkness and the severity of winter. But here is what she says, and I hope it provides a context for what we are about to pass and what we must do in the months ahead when the virus is still raging and the death number keeps climbing. Here is what Elizabeth Dias says:

The great irony of winter is that the moment darkness is greatest is also the moment light is about to return. Each year the winter solstice comes with the promise that the next day will be brighter.

In this season of hope and this holiday season, we hope and we pray that this legislation will bring some light to people's lives, that it will give them a sense, in addition to having a vaccine available now, that brighter days are ahead.

We can't underestimate the darkness that has engulfed so many families, but we hope tonight that we provide at least a measure of light to all the darkness that so many families have faced.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wyoming.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to engage in a colloquy with my friend and colleague from the State of Delaware, TOM CARPER.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I have just recently been talking about a number of pieces of legislation that

have come through the Environment and Public Works Committee: the water resources act, the USE IT Act, the act called DERA to eliminate and reduce emissions from diesels, as well as additional legislation on HFCs.

My partner in all of those is here on the floor now, and I would just like to ask him if he would—since he has been such a great partner for the last 4 years that I have chaired the committee—talk about this as an accomplishment for the environment, for the committee, and for the Senate and for the country.

So I am just kind of curious, from his standpoint, where he sees things as a sign of success.

Mr. CARPER. Mr. President, if my colleague will yield.

As Senator CASEY leaves, I thought his point about longest night and every day that follows will be brighter, and some of the provisions that Senator BARRASSO and I, along with JOHN NEELY KENNEDY, have included in the Nation's major budget piece of legislation ensure that it will be brighter.

One of the pieces of legislation, as Senator BARRASSO knows, deals with the phasedown of HFCs, hydrofluorocarbons. They are 1,000 times worse for climate change than carbon dioxide. Under the legislation that we have offered, it will phase down their utilization over the next 15 years by 85 percent. What is that worth? It is worth a half a degree Celsius—just this one thing, just this one provision.

A lot of people on this planet think the biggest challenge that we face on this planet right now, an existential threat, is the fact that our planet is getting warmer and that we need to do something about it. In this legislation, we do take a big bite out of it.

And my hope is what it will be is that it will serve as a source of encouragement for other Democrats and Republicans, maybe an Independent or two, to take other steps as we come back into the new year with the new administration and make further progress.

One of the great things that I love about our HFC legislation—thanks, colleague.

One of the great things, Mr. Chairman, that I love about our HFC legislation is that it shows that you can do good things for our planet and create jobs. That is what I said: do good things for our planet in the battle against climate change and create jobs. How many jobs? Tens of thousands of jobs—in this country, in this country; billions of dollars' worth of economic growth—in this country—and at the same time doing good things with respect to climate change.

Interestingly, it is not every day you find legislation supported by the National Association of Manufacturers, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, most major environmental groups, and co-sponsored by, gosh, two-thirds of the Senate—Democrats and Republicans alike.

In that case, we have done it. We threaded the needle. I just want to thank the chairman for his leadership and for his patience and the staff in working with us. And our thanks to Rich Russell, your staff leader on the majority side; Mary Frances Repko, the staff leader on our side; and everybody who worked with her.

But more than a decade ago, I remember standing next to my colleague JOHN BARRASSO—I remember standing right over close to where he is standing tonight, and a guy named George Voinovich came up to me—from Ohio. He and I had been Governors together for a number of years. Love the guy.

He said: I am looking for a Democratic cosponsor for a bill. He said: Do you want to think about it? I said: Well, what is it? He said: It is a proposal that fosters collaboration between businesses, folks who have buses with diesel engines, trains with diesel engines, boats with diesel engines, trucks with diesel engines; and it uses some Federal money, some government money, in order to use technology that is available to reduce the emissions from those diesel engines by more than 80 percent.

I said: Tell me more. And he told me more, and about a day or two later we signed on and introduced the legislation. He was kind enough to let me be his Democratic lead.

One month later, it was enacted. I have been here 20 years; I have never seen legislation with that kind of effect go through just like that. It was pretty amazing. Every so often, we reauthorize it; we increase the authorization. We have done it again with respect to reauthorizing the Diesel Emission Reduction Act.

One of the things I love about it is that it gets a lot of different stakeholders involved, and for a limited amount of Federal dollars, we can have a multiplier effect that can be, for every Federal dollar, \$5, \$6, \$7, \$8 that have come in from the private sector. That is the way we ought to do it.

The last one is USE IT. Not many people think Delaware is a farm State, but we raise a ton of chickens. I think for every person in my State, there are like 300 chickens or more. Those chickens eat corn and soybeans. We raise corn and soybeans. Our farmers have land that is close to the beach. We have five-star beaches in Delaware: Rehoboth, Bethany, Dewey, and others. There is always pressure for development and encroachment on our farms, and developers are trying to buy out the farmers and pave paradise and put up a parking lot. What we have figured out how to do is—and it is not just—we haven't figured out through this legislation, the so-called USE IT Act, but what we do is—the idea is to take carbon out of the air and to use it for a better purpose.

One of those better purposes is to replenish the soil in my State, in Wyoming, in Montana, and other places so that our farmers will be less inclined to

leave the land. They will stay on the land. They will be able to make money, support themselves and their families. It creates a virtuous cycle. That is a wonderful thing.

I don't think we are going to be joined here yet—I called him just to give him a heads-up, but I don't think he is able to get here that quickly, but JOHN NEELY KENNEDY from Louisiana was a big part of the work we did on HFCs. I just want to say, in his absence, a real big thank-you to him. I want to thank—gosh, I think 70-plus Senators—Democrats and Republicans alike—who cosponsored our HFC bill. I want to thank our leadership, especially on our side but on both sides, for pushing to make sure these three bills would be included in the final compromise.

In addition to all the other things that were accomplished—and Senator CASEY and others talked about that—we have actually done some good things for our planet. Senator BARRASSO is going to move over and be the senior Republican on the Energy and Natural Resources Committee come January. I will remain on Environment and Public Works, be the senior Democrat. I want to say that this has been a wonderful partnership, just a wonderful partnership—fun, funny, serious when we had to be, collegial, and really focused on getting things done for Wyoming, for Delaware, for the other 48 States as well.

So you are not going to be too far, and I will still be around. We will have a chance to work on other things. It has been a real joy to work with you on these three items, and hopefully in the future we will have many, many more.

Mr. BARRASSO. I thank Senator CARPER. I appreciate it.

You know, it is interesting: Senator ALEXANDER recently gave his speech, his farewell address, and he said that sometimes politics is like a split-screen television set. On the one half, you see all the fighting and contention and the dysfunctional side. And on the other side of the screen, you see the functioning side. But not many people look at that functioning side.

What we have been able to do as a partnership on the Environment and Public Works Committee was functional—in a bipartisan way, coming up with solutions. As you mentioned, there were over 70 cosponsors on the one piece of legislation. All of them, I think, got through the committee unanimously. That is something that made no news because there wasn't a fight. There was cooperation for the betterment—to make sure we protected the economy and, at the same time, preserved the environment. That is what we need do: Continue to make sure that we can protect both and continue with economic development as well as environmental stewardship for our Nation.

With that, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. CANTWELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

APPROPRIATIONS

Ms. CANTWELL. Mr. President, I come to the floor tonight to talk about many provisions that are currently moving their way through the House of Representatives and on our way to us. Obviously, our colleagues here are, I think, relieved that we have been able to give relief to the American people; that is, that we have been able to extend unemployment benefits and add bonuses to those unemployment benefits in the amount of 300 extra dollars per week, and we have been able to expand the COBRA tax credit programs to help people who have been unemployed and not been able to continue their insurance be able to continue health insurance. This is very important for laid-off workers in the State of Washington, like the aerospace workers.

We are very glad that we were able to give a stimulus check of \$600 per individual and \$1,200 for married couples; that we were able to get the PPP program that helps small businesses so that they can continue to try to make ends meet as we continue to work our way through this pandemic; and that we have been able to give \$9 billion to healthcare workers who are stretched so thin on these battle lines, who need every support that we can give them.

I want to talk about the rental assistance. We are at a point where, if we didn't act tonight, if we didn't act before we left here, many more people were going to be without homes. It is so important for us to have these provisions and programs like SNAP, the additional money for broadband, for healthcare, and for other things that are essential in helping to communicate during this pandemic for both healthcare and for education.

I also wanted to mention work that was done in tandem with this legislation, specifically on the affordable housing tax credit. The affordable housing tax credit was always important. Affordable housing was always important, but in a pandemic, in a COVID crisis, it becomes even more important. The affordable housing tax credit is something that Senator YOUNG and I worked on with Senator WYDEN and Senator Isakson, and we wanted to say that we needed to do more than what our current Tax Code could do in helping build more affordable housing.

The affordable housing tax credit has provisions that have been in law since 1986, but, actually, using the tax credits and how they worked have been a fluctuating rate, so the consequence is that the historic rate of what people could get to use the tax credit has changed, and this legislation will put a floor of 4 percent in to give investors a

bigger idea of what this investment can do for the market.

We believe that it will add, in the State of Washington, more housing that is needed immediately, more housing that is needed on the national basis, and help us stabilize things in the near term so that we can work on affordable housing and how we can put more incentives for people to build affordable housing.

People know affordable housing doesn't just get built. People don't just go out and say: I am going to build housing at this low rate.

The tax credit is a tax credit that helps people do it by giving those borrowers the ability to work with the tax credit. But the American people have been greatly impacted by so many trends—baby boomers reaching retirement age, not being able to save, people being pushed out of the last economic crisis into a rate of unaffordability. It really has been a dramatic increase.

According to the Hudson Institute, 60 percent of our affordable and low-cost housing was lost from the housing stock between 1985 and 2013. That is the housing that we had that was affordable. Basically, the time period ends, and they end up being swallowed up, like so many places in Puget Sound, into market-based rates. Literally, people are pushed out of their housing, and then there is no other affordable housing in the region.

These tax credits can help us build more affordable housing for the long run. Over the next decade, nearly 500,000 low-income housing tax credit unions and 655 other subsidized units will reach the end of their affordability period. Just like I was saying, they are going to get to a point where they are no longer in a protection of affordable housing, and the consequence is that growth and demand are pushing us even higher.

For example, seniors—10,000 baby boomers retire each day. That means that those low-income seniors, those woefully inadequate to pay for increasing housing costs, are going to be growing every single day and putting more demand on the system.

For veterans, nearly 2.5 million older veteran households—almost a quarter of men and women who helped protect our country—are cost-burdened. That means they spend more than 30 percent of their income on housing. The lack of affordable housing in our country has been a longstanding crisis, but the COVID crisis has made it much worse. One in five renters is behind on their rent, and the eviction moratorium expires in less than 2 weeks.

As I said, I am pleased that we are voting tonight, hopefully, on this legislation that includes a 1-month eviction moratorium and \$25 billion for rental assistance and that we will continue to work on these issues. And I am glad that we are working on the passage of not just the low-income housing tax credit fix of a 4-percent floor but also

that we will continue to work across the aisle on this legislation moving forward.

At a minimum, the 4 percent could increase affordable housing production by at least 130,000 affordable homes over the next decade. That is critically important—130,000 more affordable homes over the next decade. It could generate and help us with job growth—162,000 jobs and about \$18 billion in wages and impacts to the economy.

Building more affordable housing helps us solve lots of problems. We have an increase in demand, as I just articulated, and a COVID crisis. Investing in affordable housing is very good for us in helping our economy grow and putting a roof over people's heads.

I would also like to mention as part of the small business package, the Paycheck Protection package, a provision that was supported by so many of our colleagues to help make sure that we continue to get local news and information out to the public. People understand that local news has been threatened ever since the transition to an information age and has certainly been challenged by what I think are unfair practices by tech companies and the compensation for material and proper reimbursement on advertising.

The issue for us is that COVID has created an environment where we absolutely need to get the news, and we absolutely need to get the local news. It is imperative that we do what we can in this legislation to help small newspapers, small broadcasters, small radio stations that didn't previously get helped in the last COVID package so they can continue to communicate important local news to people throughout the United States.

I want to thank Senators SCHUMER, CARDIN, and, obviously, I want to thank specifically Senator BOOZMAN for working so hard on this legislation with me and introducing legislation. I want to thank Senators KLOBUCHAR, ERNST, and many of our other colleagues who signed on to that legislation, as well as our House colleagues, Representatives VELÁZQUEZ and CICILLINE and SENSENBRENNER, who also worked hard on getting this provision in, and Senators RUBIO and MCCONNELL for helping us in this process.

These provisions are important to help make sure that large public institutions, like the University of Washington and others, continue to also get information out to the public.

This news information and local information isn't going to go away with the help and support of this bill, but it may be a lifeline in helping us continue to make sure we have critical public health information available to the public at all times, and, hopefully, we will then go on to really understand what has happened to local news and information and do something in the future Congress to help fix the inequities that are existing today.

If I could, I also just mention quickly the issue about broadband. This legis-

lation that we are going to be voting on includes \$7 billion for more broadband priorities, \$1 billion for connectivity issues for Tribal country. I know, as the Presiding Officer knows, that Indian Country needs a lot of connectivity. So getting more broadband into those communities will be very helpful.

The COVID package also contains money, additional funding, that I know Senator WICKER and others worked on for telehealth. Telehealth is a critical path during the COVID crisis to make sure communities have the ability to get expert advice into our homes, into our communities, to connect people with information.

This telehealth grant is a very important program in the State of Washington. I think we probably got something like \$8 million previously from telehealth, and this will help us continue.

I am disappointed that we did not include priorities in here for the E-rate program to help close the homework gap. This is something Senator MARKEY and others worked on very hard, and it is very disappointing that we can't come to an agreement on something as important as E-rate. This legislation provides the ability for hotspots for people who may be going to college and not going to classes, but are in or around a university.

I think that is a good idea, but we were prohibited from doing the same thing for students at the K-12 level. One thing the pandemic has shown us is that students are at home and need to be able to connect to stay connected to their education schedule, to do their homework, and to be part of the education system. I hope that we will address this inequity in the bill in the future.

I am pleased that, working with Senator WICKER, we were able to include language that gives the Federal Trade Commission new authority to seek civil penalties for scams and deceptive practices related to the COVID pandemic. You probably think this is already something that was on the books, but in reality, the way the Federal Trade Commission has operated, they had to give a warning first, almost like a cease and desist. The next thing you know, the same practice would pop up over here, with somebody making a claim about how safe their product was or how this would stop COVID or something of that nature.

In my home State alone, the FTC reports that consumers have been scammed out of nearly \$5 million since the pandemic and the number is over \$200 million nationwide. These scams can range in everything from straightforward identity theft to making all sorts of accusations on people during the quarantine period.

I want to thank Senator WICKER for working with us on this important legislation. Now the FTC will be able to go after and find these people on first-

time offenses. I think this is so important to do to make sure that we are policing an environment where public health is so important.

I also want to thank Representative SCHAKOWSKY for leading this effort on the other side of the Capitol.

I also want to talk about very important aviation legislation that we were able to include as part of the larger omnibus package that is moving through the House, as I said, tonight.

It is so important that we make safety a No. 1 priority in the United States. If we want to be No. 1 in aviation, we have to be No. 1 in aviation safety. Chairman WICKER and I worked with our colleagues on both sides of the aisle to produce important legislation that improves the safety reforms needed at the FAA—the safety reforms of oversight of manufacturing and the certification process, and reforms that will help us here in Congress better stay on top of the information as far as the certification process.

This is so critical after the crash of the Lion Air 610 and Ethiopian Flight 302, both involving Boeing 737 Max aircrafts and the loss of 346 lives.

I can never say how painful this incident has been for those families. I can never say how much they have suffered in this horrific tragedy that has befallen them, but I know that these reforms are what are needed, and their help and support in passing this legislation has been critical.

I want to thank all of the families who helped us in communicating why the safety reforms are important, but I want to thank, particularly, Michael Stumo and his wife, Nadia Milleron, who lost their daughter Samya Stumo in the Ethiopian air crash, and to let them know that, even though we are putting a big down payment on safety reforms in the U.S. Congress by passing this legislation, this process does not stop with the passage of this legislation.

We need to continue to do more to improve aviation safety and to continue to act, even on a global basis, to be leaders—the United States leading the way on what aviation safety needs to be.

I also want to thank our staff: Doug Anderson, Ronce Almond, David Martin, Laurence Wildgoose, Jonathan Hale, Melissa Porter, and David Strickland for their work. And on the other side of the aisle: Mike Reynolds, James Mazol, Simone Perez, MaryAsa England, and John Keyes.

Many Members participated in the formation of this legislation. I want to thank Senator DUCKWORTH for her work with us on the NTSB recommendations and flight deck alert system, which were part of this package of bills to reform the FAA and the FAA process.

I want to thank Senator MORAN for his focus on helping us build those standards that we are talking about as far as the FAA going to ICAO and saying: These are the continued standards for improvement in aviation safety.

I want to thank Senator BLUNT for his leadership on the Air Grants Program, a very important program that we think will help build up the expertise and knowledge of very, very technical subject certification for people here throughout the process of oversight and legislating and the executive branch.

I want to thank Senators CRUZ and BLUMENTHAL for working on such critical elements in the legislation as to how the certification process itself works and what we needed to do to continue to bolster it.

Tonight, we are sitting one step closer to reforms that really will be meaningful. It will return the FAA to being in charge of what are the oversight members of manufacturers who are doing the work of the certification process. It is clear that the individuals who are part of this unit report to the FAA. They are supervised by the FAA. You can no longer have incentives at the FAA for expediting work. You, basically, now have a new whistleblower protection, thanks to my colleague Senator WICKER and his incredible work on this legislation. You have better accountability on misconduct. You create new safety reporting standards for the FAA employees.

We repeal authorities that would permit a certification by industry. That industry could continue to make recommendations about the certification process is something, in specific provisions, that are repealed here.

We also prohibit interference with FAA designees. That is, no one can try to influence a manager or someone directly involved in the certification of the process. We also tried to make sure the process itself worked better. And, again, many of my colleagues played critical roles in this.

On required safety system analysis on any plane coming through the process—whether it was new or a derivative—you still have to do a safety system analysis. You have to have regulations that are the most up-to-date as it relates to making sure you comply, as we said in this legislation, with the NTSB recommendations on flight crew alert systems and to mandate a safety management system for manufacturers. This is something that is long overdue. And in some instances, people said: Oh, the OMB and executive branch don't think we need to do these things. Oh, these things are cost prohibitive. These are regulations we don't need to put in place.

Trust me, having a safety management system is essential, and we should make sure that this is in place as this legislation requires within the next few years.

This legislation also requires disclosure of safety critical information. This was something, as I said, our colleagues, Senators BLUMENTHAL and CRUZ and many others, worked on as part of this legislation.

It also provides expert teams of panels. At the beginning of any kind of

certification process, the FAA will be assisted by the best experts in aviation, whether they come from NASA or the Air Force or outside individuals who understand the latest and greatest technology. Why do we have that? Because we know that we are in an era of unbelievable technological change in which now the human interface with technology is so critical. Whether you are going to be in driverless cars or whether you are in Amtrak with positive train control or you are in the flight deck of an airplane and the software that is working with it, we need to understand how people are going to respond to human factors.

We all get frustrated with our own computers and our own software, but we need to put safety first when it comes to a pilot interacting with that software in the cockpit of an airplane.

It also helps us make sure that the process for the certification appeals are strengthened and that we tighten the process. We need to listen to the engineers on the ground. The engineers are telling us what we need to do, and we need to listen to them. I hope that the leaders, both within manufacturing and the FAA, will do that.

As I mentioned, this legislation establishes a National Air Grant Program. Some of you can think of it here on Capitol Hill as similar to the Sea Grant Program, a program that was long-established to give us technical expertise on OSHA and maritime issues here in Congress. We want the same thing for aviation to exist.

It is too important of a sector and safety is too important to not have a technical skill set here that can stay on top and replace individuals as retirements happen throughout the aviation system.

It requires disclosures to Congress of post-crash assessments. If the FAA goes and investigates a crash, you have to notify Congress of that information. Why? We want to stay more on top of that information. It requires an identification of safety trends. I have no doubt that we are going to hear—when it comes back as these annual reports for the next several years—that this issue of human interaction in a technology cockpit is going to continue to be the largest safety trend issue, just as it is going to be in the automotive area and just as it is going to be in transportation.

Those are just some of the highlights of a very comprehensive safety reform legislation.

I want to thank my colleague Senator WICKER for his help, his leadership, his most recent report on whistleblower activity, and helping us get this legislation over the goal line.

I also, as I said, want to thank, again, those families. Our hearts and sympathies are still every day with you as you continue to face moving forward. We are not going to forget. We are going to continue to put safety as the biggest priority for us here in the U.S. Congress and continue to move

forward on a process that puts the individual engineers on the line responsible for safety to make sure everyone continues to listen to their directives and their recommendations.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kansas.

REMEMBERING ALLAN WEBER

Mr. MORAN. Mr. President, I rise out of respect and in memory of Gove County Sheriff Allan Weber, who served his community faithfully over the last two decades.

Allan was born and raised on his family's farm near Park, KS, and he went on to marry Connie, his high school sweetheart. The couple has three children—Andrea, Brandon, and Cory. To those who know Allan, it was always clear that his family meant everything to him. His many grandchildren were his pride and joy.

In 1996, he ran for Gove County sheriff and served his community faithfully for over two decades since first elected. Gove County is out in Western Kansas. It is flat. It is the prairie. It is windy. It is hot in the summer and cold in the winter. It is farmers and ranchers. It is often a struggle to earn a living in Gove County. I know the county well. Despite those challenges, it is made up of people who work hard, care for each other, and try to make good lives for themselves and their families.

In rural areas like Gove County, the sheriff oftentimes wears multiple hats, and Sheriff Weber was no different. In addition to serving as sheriff, he was also the public information officer and the emergency operations center community liaison.

Kansans also never fail to step up to help their neighbors in hard times, and Allan did the same. After the tragic death of a neighboring county's sheriff, he stepped up to serve as the interim sheriff in Sheridan County, making him the first person ever to serve two counties as sheriff at the same time—a first in Kansas's history. He saw his brothers and sisters in blue as an extended part of his family, and he held them in the highest regard.

His colleague in law enforcement, Sheriff Tim Morse of Jackson County, which is on the other side of the State, wrote this:

Kansas has lost a great sheriff. Gove County Sheriff Allan Weber has spent the last couple of months fighting COVID-19 in a Denver hospital. Today, Sheriff Weber was escorted back to Gove County by Colorado and Kansas Highway Patrols along with countless Kansas Sheriffs and law enforcement officers for one last time . . . Sheriff Weber was an honorable man who was respected by all. He will be greatly missed.

He respected those in blue, and those in blue respected him.

He was a dedicated public servant both in his home community and at the State level. He served the Kansas Sheriffs' Association on its board and as its president. I talked to the Kansas Sheriffs' Association today, and they wanted me to recognize Sheriff Weber's

professionalism and his dedication and that he served as president of the Association for two consecutive terms, which is a rare circumstance and speaks to his effectiveness in the role and the admiration that his colleagues held for him.

His community also loved and respected him. They came together to welcome him home one last time with an honor parade down Highway 212, in Quinter, this past Saturday night, with hundreds of cars and people lined up to recognize his service and sacrifice over a lifetime.

Connie, his wife, said:

It was such a beautiful homecoming. I know he was bursting with pride and love. After the November election, when he woke up in the intensive care unit, I told him he was sheriff for the next 4 years, and he gave me a small smile and nodded his head. He loved the Gove County community's mind and was always ready to give the ultimate sacrifice if he had to. There were times that his heart broke doing his job, but he did what was right. He lived life day-to-day and enjoyed it and everyone. He worked hard and loved gently. He will be missed by many.

The outpouring of love, support, and shared memories on their Quinter Community Facebook page has been constant and speaks to the interconnectedness of a small community.

I should tell you that the population of Gove County is about 2,612, and the county seat of Gove has a population of 70. It is in places like Quinter, like Gove, and like Gove County where the sheriff is known by everyone, and the sheriff is interwoven into the life of the community and the county.

So many of his friends and family have remarked on Allan's contagious smile, how ornery he was, but, most importantly, as to the selfless way he served people. Those of us in public service ought to look to Allan as a role model in that selfless service of others. Sheriff Allan Weber embodied so many values in Kansas—faith, family, fellowship, service, and good old-fashioned hard work. He will be missed by many.

Robba and I extend our deepest sympathies to his family—both his loved ones, which includes one of my staff members, Chelsey Ladd, his cousin, and his brothers and sisters in blue.

May God bless Allan Weber. May we praise him for the life he lived, and may we look to him as a role model to try to do what he has done.

I offer my condolences and respect, on behalf of the U.S. Senate, to the family of Sheriff Allan Weber.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. KENNEDY). The Senator from Maine.

CORONAVIRUS

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, later tonight, the Senate will vote on comprehensive legislation to fund the government throughout the remainder of fiscal year 2021 and to provide additional, long-overdue funding to address the ongoing emergency needs of our country during the persistent COVID-19 pandemic.

I am proud to have helped lead a bipartisan, bicameral coalition that lit-

erally worked night and day to develop a commonsense plan that provided the foundation for this final agreement.

There are many important components of the package before us, including assistance for struggling families; additional funding for testing, vaccine distribution, and healthcare providers; resources to help our schools operate safely in a COVID environment; and aid for the Postal Service and for airlines, airports, mass transit, and motor coach companies that keep our country moving.

I come to the floor tonight, however, to focus on one aspect of the agreement, and that is the extension and expansion of the Paycheck Protection Program, better known as PPP.

As the Presiding Officer well knows, the PPP has been a critical lifeline for more than 5 million small employers, at one point helping to sustain the jobs of upwards of 50 million Americans. It was one of the most successful programs in the CARES Act. It was so successful that it went through the initial allotment of money in just 13 days, and then we had to pass additional legislation replenishing it.

In the State of Maine, the PPP has provided nearly \$2.3 billion in forgivable loans to 28,000 small businesses—that is 3 out of 4 of the small businesses in my State—and has helped sustain more than 250,000 jobs.

In its original form, the program provided one-time loans sufficient to support 8 weeks of payroll, plus a limited amount to help cover certain overhead expenses. All of this was completely forgivable as long as the borrowers retained and paid their employees and kept within the other parameters of the program. Our whole purpose was to help our small businesses save jobs and pay their workers, keeping the employer-employee relationship alive so that they could reopen and Americans could quickly return to work when the pandemic subsided.

When Chairman MARCO RUBIO and I, together with Senators BEN CARDIN and JEANNE SHAHEEN, put the PPP together at the onset of the national emergency, none of us could have imagined that the pandemic would still be forcing shutdowns and curtailing operations and that extreme mitigation measures would be necessary these many months later. Yet, sadly, the virus is still spreading, and many of the steps taken to fight it, although they may be necessary to protect public health, threaten catastrophic damage to many of our small businesses and their employees—small businesses that have now spent their PPP loan funds but are still unable to return to normal operations.

I talked with a restaurant owner in Bangor, ME, where I live, who told me that he, because of the size of his restaurant and to comply with the public health spacing and social distancing guidelines, can only have four tables a night. Because the Governor of Maine has imposed a 9 p.m. curfew, he can't turn over those tables to compensate

for the reduced number of people he can serve at one time. It is so tough, and I could hear the pain in his voice when he talked about having to lay off some of his longstanding staff in order to just stay alive.

That is why the \$284.5 billion provided in this bill to extend and expand the PPP is so vital. The program improvements in this bill are the result of months of work on the part of Chairman RUBIO, Ranking Member CARDIN, Senator SHAHEEN, and myself. I am pleased to see that so much of the Continuing the Paycheck Protection Program Act that Chairman RUBIO and I introduced this fall is reflected in this final bill.

The final bill also reflects the very hard work that our bipartisan, bicameral coalition put into assistance to small business. Senator JEANNE SHAHEEN and I had countless meetings, Zooms, and phone calls to work out the details in conjunction with the rest of the members of our group.

I would like to take a moment to outline a few of the key features that are included in this package.

First, the bill will allow the hardest hit small businesses to receive a second forgivable PPP loan. To ensure that assistance is targeted to those most in need, eligibility for these second loans is generally limited to small businesses with 300 or fewer employees that have seen their gross revenues drop by 25 percent in some quarter this year compared to an identical quarter in 2019.

Second, recognizing the severe impact that the COVID-19 mitigation measures have had on our restaurants and hotels, the bill maintains the general terms for the loans for these entities but with an important enhancement. The maximum forgivable loan size for hotels and restaurants is increased to 3.5 times their average monthly payroll costs compared to 2.5 times for other borrowers. This increase in the loan amount will be so critical to the very survival of businesses like Simones' Hot Dog Stand and Restaurant in Lewiston, ME, and the Angler's Family Seafood Restaurant, which has locations in Hampden, Searsport, and Newport. These small businesses all received forgivable PPP loans during the first round, but, faced with continuing dining restrictions and a long, cold winter, they are really worried about their survival and about being able to retain and pay their valued employees.

Third, forgivable expenses under the program are expanded in very commonsense ways. Supplier costs and investments in facility modifications and personal protective equipment needed to operate safely during the pandemic will now be part of the forgivable overhead expenses. This is especially important to restaurants struggling to adapt dining restrictions or to get a high-quality food supply because, through no fault of their own, they have fallen behind on their bills.

Fourth, the bill extends the PPP to small 501(c)(6) organizations that are

not lobbying groups and that have 300 or fewer employees, such as local chambers of commerce and economic development organizations.

Fifth—and I want to give credit to our colleague Senator CRAMER for this concept—the bill greatly simplifies the loan forgiveness process for small borrowers with loans of no more than \$150,000 and directs the Small Business Administration and the Treasury Department to develop a simplified loan forgiveness form for these borrowers. I remember when the first form came out and it was 14 pages long and so complicated that you would need an advanced degree in economics or a CPA to help you figure it out, even if you had a very small loan.

The bill, to guard against fraud and abuse, also provides the SBA with \$50 million in additional audit funding.

Finally, the bill sets aside funding for smaller businesses and borrowers in underserved communities to ensure that they get the help they need through, for example, CDFIs and minority business associations and depository institutions. It also includes \$25 million for the Minority Business Development Agency.

Mr. President, the Paycheck Protection Program is the result of a bipartisan commitment to support our small businesses and their employees, to keep them afloat, the businesses afloat, and the employees paid during this pandemic. It has been by any measure a tremendous success, but many of these hardest hit small businesses require more help now in order to survive, and this bill aims to provide that help.

Before I close, I want to talk briefly about another provision of the bill, and that is the grant assistance provided for live venues, museums, and movie theaters. Let me make clear that I support funding for our community-based live venues. I know they have really been hurt. They have had to cancel their seasons. They have lost all their ticket revenues. They are in real danger.

I have enjoyed these throughout Maine, like the Ogunquit Playhouse and the Center Theater for the Performing Arts in Dover-Foxcroft. They are the heart and soul of our smaller communities.

I was disappointed to see that the final bill had stripped provisions from our bipartisan, bicameral group that we included in our package to try to keep the focus of these grants on these smaller entities. Specifically, our proposal would have limited the grant amounts that related entities could receive to no more than \$10 million and excluded museums with endowments larger than \$75 million—commonsense safeguards. Now, I do appreciate that the legislation sets aside \$2 billion for entities with 50 employees or fewer, but I am concerned that, as drafted, many venues and museums with significant endowments and other resources could be the largest bene-

ficiaries of this section because of the safeguards that were stripped out by the negotiators.

For example, a museum in New York City, say, with a billion-dollar endowment could receive an outright grant as long as the museum has an auditorium and meets the other eligibility requirements. That surely does not seem like an appropriate use of taxpayer dollars to me and was clearly designed to help large city venues that will survive without our help.

Nevertheless, I am pleased to support this package. This COVID relief is vitally needed for our struggling families, for our hard-hit small businesses, for our challenged schools, for our stressed healthcare system, to promote the distribution of the vaccine and more testing, and to help our overwhelmed Postal Service. I urge support for the package.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to complete my remarks before the vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection.

YEAR-END ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, with the Senate poised to complete some major business for the country and wrap up a most unusual year, I want to express some gratitude to the men and women behind the scenes who make our work possible.

Passing a historic rescue package and a full-year funding bill would be a serious undertaking even in ordinary circumstances. And, of course, this pandemic year has brought anything but ordinary circumstances to the Senate, just like everywhere else.

For about 10 months and counting, COVID-19 has imposed new challenges on hundreds of people who are already plenty busy enough.

After much of the Senate worked remotely in April, we came back to work in early May—like the essential institution that we are. Month after month, we have taken smart and careful precautions and remained an exemplary safe workplace. All along, we have not just continued to govern but completed landmark confirmations and historic legislation for the American people. None of this would have been possible without many people beyond the 100 of us with our names on these desks.

I want to thank the Office of the Secretary of the Senate, led by Julie Adams and Mary Suit Jones. This team encompasses everyone from the talented professionals who sit on the dais to the Official Reporters of Debate, to Disbursing and many other offices.

Thanks to our Parliamentarian, Elizabeth MacDonough, who faithfully calls the balls and strikes. She won the admiration of our temporary president, Chief Justice Roberts, before we reclaimed her as the Senate's own.

Thanks also to Senate Sergeant At Arms—Mike Stenger and Jennifer

Hemingway and their whole team, from the doorkeepers to the IT team that stood up enormous telework capabilities almost overnight, to the workers who clean offices, move furniture, and keep this place running in hundreds of ways.

Our Architect of the Capitol, Brett Blanton, assumed his role just before the pandemic hit. He never missed a beat. His team tackled cleaning in a way that exceeded industry standards, reconceived the food services, and, again, physically kept the Capitol functioning.

Of course, very special thanks are due to our Attending Physician, Dr. Brian Monahan, and the entire team he leads. Believe me, they already had a full-time job caring for Members of Congress and the Supreme Court. We have leaned on Dr. Monahan in countless ways all year long. His expertise and wise counsel have been a credit to the Senate throughout the pandemic.

Here in the Chamber, I want to thank our cloakroom teams, led by Gary Myrick on the Democratic side and Robert Duncan on ours. Duncan had only just stepped into his current role when the crisis began to unfold. He navigated about 10 years' worth of big challenges in his first 10 months in the top job. Thanks to him and his capable deputy, Chris Tuck.

Another key group these past months has been our Rules Committee, led by Chairman BLUNT and his staff director, Fitzhugh Elder. The committee has run point on coordinating many of the changes and adaptations that have helped keep this body safe. They have done an outstanding job without enough recognition or thanks.

We are grateful to the men and women of the Capitol Police, led by Chief Steven Sund, who have stood their posts even when most of the rest of us have worked remotely. They have stood strong during this pandemic, during protests both peaceful and otherwise, and during the other daily operations that they handle with courage and professionalism.

Across the board, I want to thank all of our Senate staff. Both the smaller footprint who have continued physically working at the Capitol and those who kept up their hard work remotely have confronted all kinds of novel challenges. Our institution and our country are grateful to you all.

Last but not least, I want to thank my own team. My personal office, led by departing chief of staff Phil Maxson, has fought every day for Kentuckians' needs and priorities throughout this crisis. Our State offices, steered by Terry Carmack, kept us connected to our constituents in new ways. My communications director, Robert, Steurer, and all their colleagues who staff these operations are invaluable to me and to Kentucky.

Here in the Capitol, my leadership staff has given an incredible amount of themselves to me, to our team, and to the Senate the entire year.

Remember, this is somehow still the same calendar year that began with an impeachment trial, continued through the CARES Act, other major legislation over the summer, a Supreme Court vacancy and confirmation this fall, and finally the all-out legislative sprint since Thanksgiving.

First, my two deputy chiefs of staff. Stef Muchow runs our operations in an incredibly historically complex time to handle operations. She has worn about 10 different hats all year long—as usual. Scott Raab handles policy. He has led his colleagues through a legislative year like no other, from mammoth negotiations and historic rescue packages to our most particular priorities and everything in between. We have all leaned on Scott heavily, and he has been indispensable.

Beneath them, within our operation, are a lot of talented folks I am lucky to have. I want to name them very briefly.

My chief counsel, Andrew Ferguson, offers brilliant advice, from impeachment to policy to the judiciary.

Robert Kareem, my defense policy expert, has brought critical institutional leadership as 2020 tested everything from national security to continuity of government.

Jane Lee handles her massive portfolio—and more besides—with incredible effectiveness and calm under fire, and she sings the best Christmas carols in the office.

Terry Van Doren is a policy master who delivers for Kentucky and the country every time.

Erica Suarez and Jim Neill are expert liaisons and advisers who keep me connected inside the Senate and beyond.

Steve Donaldson has been my point man for all things election law during this election year.

John Chapuis is a seasoned Senate veteran who picked quite the time to join our team and cover economic policy.

Jody Wright fights to get good people in good positions with good cheer.

Andy Quinn is a master of words and ideas.

David Popp heads my press team. He, Doug Andres, Scott Sloofman, and their whole operation keep my message and the Republican conference's message front and center.

Sarah Steinberg manages my days, and Alex Jenkins manages my office—both with great humor and even greater professionalism.

Moon Sulfab keeps our technology systems running and our spirits high.

I have to mention our healthcare expert Jen Kuskowski, who worked remotely this spring while expecting her baby boy and has been cheering us on while on maternity leave. We can't wait to get her back.

Thanks to Grace Graham and Peter Oppenheim, whom Senator ALEXANDER generously shared from his HELP Committee staff to help us in Jen's absence.

Rounding out our leadership team are crack researchers Robert Utsey and

David Hauptmann; skilled communicators Dylan Vorbach, Valerie Chicola, Suzanne Youngblood, Emily Hauck, and Katherine Grayson.

Our frontline troops, the jacks-of-all-trades who keep everybody in line, are Victoria Mason, Elise Stebick, and Spencer Abraham.

Finally, at the head of this entire team is our fearless leader, our chief of staff, Sharon Soderstrom. Everybody on Capitol Hill and everybody in Washington knows that Sharon is one of the smartest, most dedicated, and most effective staff leaders working at any level in any branch of government. She is my first call and my last call on every subject. She was in the leader's office before I was. She supported both Trent Lott and Bill Frist. So I count my blessings on a daily basis that I am lucky No. 3. Sharon bears the weight of the world on her shoulders and not only delivers but somehow prioritizes lightening everybody else's load while she is at it—a walking master class in leadership.

I am proud of all that we have accomplished for the country in this challenging year, and I couldn't be gladder to be heading into 2021 with these talented professionals at my side. So I thank them, Mr. President. I thank them, one and all.

VOTE ON SOSKIN NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Soskin nomination?

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI), the Senator from Georgia (Mrs. LOEFFLER), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. PERDUE), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS) is necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 48, nays 47, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 288 Ex.]

YEAS—48

Alexander	Ernst	Paul
Barrasso	Fischer	Portman
Blackburn	Gardner	Risch
Blunt	Graham	Roberts
Boozman	Grassley	Romney
Braun	Hawley	Rubio
Burr	Hoehn	Sasse
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Scott (FL)
Cassidy	Inhofe	Scott (SC)
Collins	Johnson	Shelby
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Cramer	Lee	Tillis
Crapo	McConnell	Toomey
Cruz	Moran	Wicker
Daines	Murkowski	Young

NAYS—47

Baldwin	Booker	Cardin
Bennet	Brown	Carper
Blumenthal	Cantwell	Casey

Coons	Klobuchar	Schumer
Cortez Masto	Leahy	Shaheen
Duckworth	Manchin	Sinema
Durbin	Markey	Smith
Feinstein	Menendez	Stabenow
Gillibrand	Merkley	Tester
Hassan	Murphy	Udall
Heinrich	Murray	Van Hollen
Hirono	Peters	Warner
Jones	Reed	Warren
Kaine	Rosen	Whitehouse
Kelly	Sanders	Wyden
King	Schatz	

NOT VOTING—5

Enzi	Loeffler	Rounds
Harris	Perdue	

The nomination was confirmed.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to legislative session and be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNITED STATES-MEXICO
ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP ACT

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask the Chair to lay before the Senate the message to accompany H.R. 133.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair lays before the Senate the following message from the House:

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Resolved, that the House agree to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 133) entitled "An Act to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico," with an amendment to the Senate amendment.

MOTION TO CONCUR

Mr. MCCONNELL. I move to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 133.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The motion is pending.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that there be 60 minutes of debate, equally divided between the leaders or their designees; and that following the use or yielding back of that time, the Senate vote on the motion to concur without further motions or amendments in order and that 60 affirmative votes be required to adopt the motion to concur.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from North Dakota.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST

Mr. HOEVEN. North Dakota is an energy powerhouse, and our late-night producers work around the clock to ensure homes and businesses in the Midwest have affordable and reliable access to power when it is needed most. But the PTC, the wind production tax credit, is creating artificially low prices in markets for power generation.

Qualified wind projects are receiving up to 2½ cents per kilowatt hour from the taxpayer. These subsidies distort the market and are forcing out the critical coal-fired baseload generation we need to keep the lights on.

Since Congress established a wind production tax credit in 1992, wind power has been able to transition from an emerging technology to a multibillion-dollar industry that is clearly commercially viable. That is why we worked on a bipartisan agreement in 2015 to phase down and sunset the wind tax credit at the end of 2019.

We had an agreement to do the phaseout, and the wind industry agreed to it. I worked with Senator THUNE and AWEA, the American Wind Energy Association, and others to do it. And they agreed. We had an agreement. That is why we are opposed to extending the PTC and offer an amendment to strike it.

We saw what happened in California over the summer, and we can't afford to have blackouts and brownouts during the coldest of winter weather months. We, instead, must strengthen grid resiliency and reliability by keeping diverse sources of generation available at all times, including when the wind isn't blowing or the sun isn't shining. That means baseload.

Instead of extending the production tax credit, we should be working on making technologies like carbon capture and sequestration commercially viable.

The American Wind Energy Association states on its website that "growth in the wind industry is expected to remain strong when the PTC is fully phased out." Why, then, are we considering another extension of this credit when the leading trade association expects to see strong growth for the wind industry without the credit?

We need to bring back a level playing field for competition in our electricity markets and reverse the trend of taxpayers continuing to subsidize a mature, multibillion-dollar wind industry.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and ensure that the wind production tax credit sunsets.

With that, I would like to ask for some words from my cosponsor on the amendment, Senator CRAMER.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Dakota.

Mr. CRAMER. Mr. President, I rise to join my colleague Senator HOEVEN in offering this amendment and urging our colleagues to support the amendment to strip the wind protection tax credit from this massive bill.

I feel like I am living in an episode of the "Twilight Zone," and I wish I could say that I am surprised. But I am not, because here we go again. Despite numerous requests and appeals and deals with the leader and the chairman of the Finance Committee to not jam this body with a 13th extension of the wind protection tax credit, here we are with another one in front of us.

Since the credit's inception in 1992—and for a lot of those years I was a util-

ity regulator—it has always been promised that it would be temporary and would expire. Last year, we got jammed at the last minute with another extension, and, rightfully, the people back home are really, really upset with us. And it didn't sit very well with me either.

That is why, in April of this year, I led a letter to Leader MCCONNELL with colleagues from West Virginia, Wyoming, and Georgia saying it was time to finally level the playing field and get rid of this market-distorting atrocity.

In July, I led another letter to Chairman GRASSLEY with even more colleagues—from West Virginia, Wyoming, Tennessee, Oklahoma, and Pennsylvania—with the same message: Let this credit expire.

Yet here we are again. The requests have fallen on deaf ears, and we have simply been given another pill to swallow with the extension today.

Some in this town have pointed to an extension in carbon sequestration credits like 45Q and 48A, as if they were an equal trade. They are not. Despite years of pleading, the Treasury Department—yes, this Treasury Department—still has not finalized regulations. So an extension of 45Q is moot if there is no way to actually monetize the credit.

Of equal importance, financial investors have said if renewable credits are extended, they will absorb whatever tax appetite exists because they are predictable, and those deals have been done many times.

Just to reiterate, they can't even utilize 45Q because Treasury hasn't finished the regulations 3 years after Congress expanded the credit.

More to the point, while there are some great proposed carbon sequestration projects planned in North Dakota, their benefit is targeted, while in contrast, hundreds of miners and the local communities they have built are being hurt by the extension of the production tax credit. I strongly support carbon sequester projects, but to assume that the potential benefits of 45Q or 48A are equal to the unilateral harm of the wind credit is disingenuous at best.

I have heard from utilities who actually use the wind PTC, but they said they don't need it because the market is so awash with wind credits, they can't even monetize them. It is completely upside down. In fact, the PTC credits are actually taking money away from other clean energy projects like nuclear clean coal, taking emissions-free energy right off the grid.

Just a few days ago, POLITICO said this: The simplest option for tax extenders would be to let all 33 that are scheduled to expire at the end of the year to be renewed.

I have a simpler plan. Let them all expire. K Street wouldn't like it, but it would be one less section in this giant package.

One final point, in all of my time in Congress—and that has been 8 years

now—the wind production tax credit has never been extended through regular order or an open discussion or even hearings. Despite our objections or promises from the wind industry that it should expire, in the 11th hour with the government shutdown looming, it gets dropped into the Members' laps. That alone should be a red flag that the only time it has enough chance to pass is when it rides the coattails of our national defense and the government operations. It is shameful.

I support the amendment from Senator HOEVEN, and I urge my colleagues to support it as well. Let the wind PTC expire.

I yield my time.

Mr. HOEVEN. Mr. President, I thank my colleague Senator CRAMER and turn to my colleague Senator LANKFORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oklahoma.

Mr. LANKFORD. Mr. President, I will be brief. I did what many of us did today. We spent the day digging through a 5,600-page bill, trying to find out what is in it. We broke it up into hundreds of pages of chunks and separated it among our staff and just started reading through it as quickly as we could, trying to be able to pull out the details.

We found a lot of things that we really like. We found a few surprises as well. So help us, we found, right in the middle of the document on tax policy, a zombie—the wind production tax credit. Something that we had heard had died—in fact, something that we had heard died 2 years ago; in fact, something that we had heard died 6 years ago when all of us agreed it should die. In fact, the plan was to take it down a little bit each and every year until it finally got to zero. The problem was, when it got to zero, some lobbyist helped somebody get it back in last year, and it suddenly, after going to zero, reappeared. And then, so help me, it reappeared again.

So this temporary credit that distorts the market, that literally changes the prices in all of our energy—whether that be oil or gas or coal or solar or hydroelectric or nuclear—gives a special perk to one, and all of the rest of them get furious. But for whatever reason, this simple credit can't seem to go away.

When we agree to something, we should probably stick to it, and we agreed years ago to phase this out. But yet this zombie keeps reappearing and walking the halls of the Senate.

Our simple challenge is this. Let's put this zombie in the daylight. Let's have the real argument over it and determine: Is this distorting the energy market for everybody else, including all of our renewables? Is it something we need to keep?

I live in Oklahoma, and if you know our song, you know "the wind comes sweeping down the plains." We have been called the Saudi Arabia of wind power. I promise, you can't drive very

far in Oklahoma without seeing a field of windmills. We have lots of wind power, and we think it is a great energy source. But it is a mature energy source, and it does not need the wind production tax credit. So let's sunset it.

With that, I yield.

Mr. HOEVEN. Mr. President, I would like to thank my colleague Senator LANKFORD. Also, we would like to thank Senator ALEXANDER, Senator BARRASSO, and others who support this legislation.

With that, I ask unanimous consent that our motion to concur with the amendment, which is at the desk, be agreed to; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. WYDEN. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President and colleagues, I will be brief. I want to give the Senate a sense of where we are with respect to this issue. In front of us right now is a bipartisan agreement to extend a variety of provisions to promote clean energy and reduce carbon emissions.

When I talk to colleagues on both sides of the aisle, there is enormous support for the effort to reduce carbon emissions. Now, I can look around this Chamber and point out colleagues who have worked with me on the renewable energy provisions that are part of this bipartisan agreement, which includes not just the credit for wind but also solar and other renewable energy. I would submit this is the best approach we have today, which is to make sure that we don't miss out on critical investments right now.

For the future, I have a plan to move to a technology-neutral system that would avoid picking winners and losers, take this mess of a Tax Code, with more than 40 separate energy provisions, throw it in the trash, and say we are going to focus on one thing—reducing carbon emissions. We aren't there today. What is here today is climate change. That is why it is so important that we pass this bill and reject this amendment.

With that, I want to thank my colleagues from North Dakota. I remember enjoying going to North Dakota with the sponsor of this amendment. I will tell you, if you are over 6 feet tall, make sure to exercise before you go to North Dakota because you will be in the smallest airline seat in the history of aviation.

JOHN HOEVEN is a very good and caring man. I am looking forward to working with him on these issues in the future.

And with that, I would object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

The Senator from North Dakota.

Mr. HOEVEN. I would ask my colleague from Oregon—and I did have

him in North Dakota. We had a great time, and I appreciate his coming out to see the energy we produce in our great State.

I would ask the gentleman for his help on carbon capture technologies. We put funding in place to advance those carbon capture technologies, and I ask for his help and his colleagues' help in that endeavor.

Mr. WYDEN. I would say to my colleague, I am always interested in working with him in the future. After we pass this bill, with these important provisions to meet our immediate needs, let's set as our lodestar reducing carbon emissions.

When you and I served on the Energy Committee together, that was an approach that brought together Republicans and Democrats.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Dakota.

Mr. HOEVEN. I thank my cosponsors on this amendment and our efforts will continue.

I yield the floor.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PURPLE BOOK CONTINUITY ACT OF 2020

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask the Chair to lay before the Senate the message to accompany H.R. 1520.

The Presiding Officer laid before the Senate the following message from the House of Representatives:

Resolved, That the House agree to the amendment of Senate to the bill (H.R. 1520) entitled "An Act to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological products, and for other purposes," do pass with an amendment to the Senate amendment.

MOTION TO CONCUR

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I move to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment, and I know of no further debate on the motion.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Hearing none, the question is on agreeing to the motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO MAKE A CORRECTION IN THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 1520

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H. Con. Res. 128, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 128) directing the clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1520.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 128) was agreed to.

UNITED STATES—MEXICO ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP ACT—Continued

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to engage in a colloquy with my colleague, Finance Committee Ranking Member WYDEN, to discuss a tax provision included in the omnibus appropriations bill currently before the Senate. The tax title in this bill contains important clarifications to, and expansions of, the Employee Retention Tax Credit established under section 2301 of the CARES Act. This credit has provided vital payroll support to struggling businesses in Iowa and across the country. The enhancements included in this bill are necessary to help more employers access the credit. Importantly, the bill clarifies that businesses that received Paycheck Protection Program loans, or PPP, are still eligible for the credit based on other wages and benefits paid. Does Member WYDEN agree that our intent is to allow struggling small businesses to access the retention credit, even if they have received a PPP loan?

Mr. WYDEN. That is correct. COVID-19 has shuttered small businesses across the Country. This is especially true in Oregon, where small businesses are the backbone of our economy. Ensuring businesses can access relief from both the Paycheck Protection Program and the Employee Retention Tax Credit is critical. The legislation before us today would allow businesses who took out a PPP loan to access the retention credit in two instances. First, those businesses that have had or will have their loan forgiven can claim the credit for any wages that were not paid for

with PPP loan proceeds. Second, a business that does not have its PPP loan forgiven can claim the credit for any wages. As this change will be retroactive, does the Chairman agree that it is equally as critical that these small businesses are able to quickly and easily claim these past credits they will now be eligible for?

Mr. GRASSLEY. Yes. That is why we are allowing these businesses, both those with forgiven loans and those without, to claim credits for wages paid in previous quarters that this bill makes eligible for the credit on their fourth quarter 2020 payroll tax filings. This will prevent small businesses from having to amend their previously filed payroll tax returns, easing the paperwork burden for both taxpayers and the Internal Revenue Service. I know Ranking Member WYDEN will join me in urging the IRS to do all they can to simplify and expedite the process for eligible businesses retroactively claiming the retention credit. The last thing these businesses need right now is additional, complex payroll tax filings.

I thank the ranking member for engaging in this colloquy to discuss this important issue and the clarification included in the pending appropriations bill.

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. President, I wish to enter remarks regarding the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, which I will refer to as the 2021 Approps Act.

Specifically, my remarks are about sections 1001 through 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act. I was the sponsor and principal drafter of these sections. I also negotiated the final legislative text of these sections with Treasury Secretary Steven Mnuchin and my Democratic colleagues in the Senate, including Democratic Minority Leader CHUCK SCHUMER.

These sections relate to the Federal Reserve's temporary emergency lending facilities under section 13(3) of the Federal Reserve Act that are creatures of the CARES Act P.L. 116-136. These facilities were established in response to the extreme turmoil in the credit markets caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020. They were made possible by \$500 billion in funding and authority provided by the CARES Act. As a result, these facilities are often referred to as the CARES Act facilities, which is how I will refer to them.

The CARES Act facilities are the Primary Market Corporate Credit Facility, the Secondary Market Corporate Credit Facility, the Municipal Liquidity Facility, the Main Street Lending Program, and the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF). The CARES Act required and Congress intended the CARES Act facilities to cease operations by December 31, 2020.

I was one of the two Republican Senators involved in drafting the CARES Act provisions that provided the funding and authority for the CAREES Act facilities. During the last 2 days—December 19, 2020 and December 20, 2020—

I have spoken at length on the Senate floor about the creation, intended purpose, and success of these facilities, as well as the impact of sections 1001 through 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act on these facilities and the reasons for enacting these sections. As a result, I will not repeat those remarks now.

Today, I would like to focus on the impact of one particular section of the 2021 Approps Act: section 1005. But let me first remind my colleagues of what sections 1001 through 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act do. Collectively, these sections rescind more than \$429 billion of unused money out of the CARES Act facilities and use that money for other important purposes; definitively end the CARES Act facilities by December 31, 2020, as Congress intended and the CARES Act requires; forbid the CARES Act facilities from being restarted; and prevent the CARES Act facilities from being replicated without congressional approval.

Specifically, section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act prevents the creation of any Federal Reserve emergency lending facility established under section 13(3) of the Federal Reserve Act that is "the same as" any CARES Act facility. Because an earlier version of TALF was established in 2008 prior to the CARES Act, section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act specifically allows TALF—but only TALF—to be replicated in the future without congressional approval. Under section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act, all of the other CARES Act facilities—the Primary Market Corporate Credit Facility, the Secondary Market Corporate Credit Facility, the Municipal Liquidity Facility, and the Main Street Lending Program—cannot be replicated in the future without congressional approval.

So what does it mean for a new facility to be "the same as" a CARES Act facility? That question can easily be answered by looking at the purpose of the CARES Act facilities. The purpose of each CARES Act facility is identified in its term sheet.

Let's walk through them. The purpose of the Primary Market Corporate Credit Facility was to lend directly to corporations by purchasing bonds or syndicated loans from them at issuance. The purpose of the Secondary Market Corporate Credit Facility was to purchase corporate bonds and corporate bond Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs) in the secondary market. The purpose of the Municipal Liquidity Facility was to lend directly to states and municipalities by purchasing their municipal bonds from them at issuance. The purpose of the Main Street Lending Program was to extend credit directly to small or medium sized businesses, including nonprofit organizations.

These purposes are clear and are what define each of the CARES Act facilities. A future lending facility that had the same purpose as a CARES Act facility would be the "same as" as CARES Act facility and therefore could

not be created without congressional approval. The Treasury and the Federal Reserve would need to come to Congress for approval, just as they did at the time of the creation of the CARES Act facilities.

Unfortunately, I have seen some uninformed reporters and outside commentators incorrectly assert that section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act only prevents the creation of new lending facilities if the facilities are “identical to” or “exactly the same as” the CARES Act facilities. That is manifestly not true. Section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act does not say that, nor was that the intent of Congress. I should know because unlike these reporters and commentators I drafted and negotiated the final text of section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act with my Democratic colleagues, including Minority Leader SCHUMER.

During the course of our negotiations, Democrats actually proposed that we use the phrase “identical to” in section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act. I specifically rejected this proposal because “identical to” is far too limited in scope. If section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act had used the word “identical to” than that would mean only a new facility that is identical to a CARES Act facility in every way would be prohibited. That would defeat the entire purpose of section 1005.

It would have allowed the Treasury and the Federal Reserve to essentially restart the CARES Act facilities, which section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act separately prohibits them from restarting, by simply tinkering with their terms and launching them under a new name. For example, the terms of the Municipal Liquidity Facility only allow it to purchase bonds directly from and state and municipal issuers that have a maturity of up to 3 years. If a new facility was the same in every way as the Municipal Liquidity Facility with the exception that it could purchase bonds with maturities up to 10 years, rather than up to 3 years, than it would not be “identical to” the Municipal Liquidity Facility and therefore could be created. However, such a facility would be the same as the current Municipal Liquidity Facility because it is lending directly to States and municipalities.

My Republican colleagues and I did not want to permit for such a loophole in the law. That is why I specifically rejected my Democratic colleagues’ proposal that we use the phrase “identical to” any CARES Act facility. In our negotiations, I told him that we did not want the Treasury and Federal Reserve to replicate the CARES Act facilities by tinkering with their terms and then launching them under different names. Ultimately, my Democratic colleagues conceded on this point and agreed to compromise by using the broader phrase “the same as” instead.

So where does that leave us? Section 1005 of the 2021 Approps Act prevents a

new Federal Reserve emergency lending facility from being established under section 13(3) of the Federal Reserve Act without congressional approval, if the facility has the same purpose as a CARES Act facility. The CARES Act facilities were unprecedented facilities that the Treasury, with the support of the Federal Reserve, requested that Congress make possible. Congress did so through the CARES Act. These facilities required legislation in March 2020 and the same types of facilities would require legislation in the future if the Treasury and the Federal Reserve believed they needed to engage in such bond purchasing and direct lending again.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I want to thank the Senator from Virginia, the vice chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, for his work on the Intelligence Authorization Act, which is now part of the omnibus appropriations bill. I wish to address a few provisions that have been removed or modified.

First, the IAA, as reported by the Senate Intelligence Committee in June, included a provision requiring the DNI to submit a report to the congressional intelligence committees on the implementation of Presidential Policy Directive 28. That report covers the classified annex referenced in section 3 of PPD-28.

This report is extremely important. It will allow the committees to conduct oversight of signals intelligence collection conducted pursuant to Executive Order 12333. It will also provide the committee the ability to understand how the government interprets and implements PPD-28, which has broad legal, policy, and diplomatic implications. In response to the outrage from our European allies regarding U.S. signals intelligence operations revealed by Edward Snowden, President Obama issued PPD-28 in January 2014. PPD-28 covers topics that are directly relevant to both Americans and foreigners, such as bulk collection. The directive, and its classified annex in particular, is designed to evaluate the benefits and risks of signals intelligence operations. It was intended to reassure our allies about the scope of U.S. signals intelligence collection and to serve as a cornerstone for data-sharing agreements, which are still ongoing. Unlike FISA collection, however, there is no judicial oversight of collection conducted pursuant to EO 12333 and governed by PPD-28. For all these reasons, therefore, it is absolutely critical that there be serious congressional oversight of PPD-28.

The PPD-28 reporting requirement was not merely part of the IAA reported by the committee. It was in the version of the IAA that was attached to the National Defense Authorization Act that passed the full Senate in July. That version was never passed into law, however, because the IAA fell off the NDAA, which is why the IAA is now part of the omnibus appropriations bill.

Unfortunately, during the negotiations leading up to this bill, the House Intelligence Committee minority insisted that this bipartisan, Senate-passed provision be modified so that the portion of the report on the classified annex of PPD-28 is submitted to the chairmen and ranking minority members of the congressional intelligence committee. To the extent this language could be misinterpreted to limit access by the full committees, it is unacceptable and unprecedented. Congress should not be in the position of passing legislation that could be seen as limiting its ability to fulfill its own oversight responsibilities.

Mr. WARNER. I thank the Senator from Oregon. I agree that the report required by the IAA on PPD-28 is critically important and central to the committee’s oversight responsibilities. I share the Senator’s dismay that this provision was modified in this way and through what I consider to be an unfortunate conference process that should not be repeated. More generally, I oppose legislation that would purport to restrict full committee access and impede the critical oversight provided by the full committee.

This provision, as modified, states that the DNI will submit the report to the chairman and vice chairman. It does not, however, preclude its provision to the other members of the committee. As vice chairman of the committee, it is my intent to push for the full committee to get this report. It is also my intent to seek to amend this language so that it is not misinterpreted to limit full committee access.

Mr. WYDEN. I thank the Senator from Virginia. On another topic, the vice chairman and I worked together to include in the IAA a number of critically important provisions protecting whistleblowers. Again, at the insistence of the House Intelligence Committee minority, those provisions were taken out. The latest was the removal of a provision that would help whistleblowers whose security clearances have been revoked as a form of reprisal. Those provisions need to be passed into law.

Mr. WARNER. I agree. I strongly supported each of the five whistleblower protection provisions in the IAA. It is my intent to keep fighting for them so that they are on next year’s IAA and are passed into law.

Mr. SCHUMER. I thank my friends from Oregon and Virginia for their hard work on the Senate Intelligence Committee. I agree with them that oversight by the full Senate Intelligence Committee on these and other intelligence matters is at the core of the Senate’s constitutional responsibilities. I, too, agree that the language should not be interpreted to limit that full committee oversight. I also strongly agree with the Senators’ views on the critical importance of protecting whistleblowers. The abuses of the outgoing administration have illustrated the urgent need for these legislative protections.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, Senator CARPER, ranking member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works, Senator JOHN KENNEDY, and I, as chairman of the Committee on Environment and Public Works, are the principal Senate authors of section 103 in Division S of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021—the American Innovation and Manufacturing, “AIM”, Act of 2020, hereinafter “section 103”. This bipartisan legislation will phase down the production and consumption of hydrofluorocarbons, HFCs, which are potent greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change. As authors, we submit these comments to provide the Senate with additional information regarding the development of section 103.

Section 103 establishes a new, national program administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, to phase down the production and consumption of certain HFC substances. Section 103 vests EPA with authority to phase down the production and consumption of these substances in a comprehensive manner. It is designed to provide regulatory certainty. Specifically, section 103 requires EPA to implement an 85 percent phase down of the production and consumption of regulated HFC substances, so those levels reach approximately 15 percent of their 2011–2013 average annual levels by 2036. Importantly, this section includes provisions to safeguard consumers and American manufacturers from cost increases during the phase down while still adhering to the phase down timetable in subsection (e)(2)(C).

The text of section 103 reflects bipartisan, necessary improvements to the original, introduced text in the Senate. On March 4, 2020, Senator KENNEDY filed amendment No. 1504 to S. 2657, which was identical to stand-alone legislation, S. 2754, the American Innovation and Manufacturing Act of 2019. Ranking Member CARPER of the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works Committee, EPW, cosponsored amendment No. 1504 and S. 2754. EPW Chairman BARRASSO opposed amendment No. 1504 and S. 2754 as introduced, hereinafter “introduced legislation”.

On March 25, 2020, Chairman BARRASSO and Ranking Member CARPER began an electronic information-gathering process on S. 2754 by EPW to solicit the views of stakeholders. This process allowed EPW to hear safely from many stakeholders during the COVID-19 pandemic. The extensive information-gathering process generated filings from a range of industries, States, interest groups, and individuals.

We relied on the valuable information gained through that process to improve the introduced legislation and to reach collective agreement on amended text. This agreement was filed as amendment No. 2655 to S. 2657 on September 10, 2020. Section 103 closely resembles the text of amendment No. 2655.

Our agreed-upon changes to the introduced legislation have focused in a few key areas identified by Chairman BARRASSO. The first key area is “essential uses” of regulated HFC substances. The introduced legislation offered immediate relief for some special circumstances, including feedstocks and process agents. For example, in a provision that has been present in all versions of the legislation, subsection (e)(4)(A) assures there are no regulatory requirements for “a regulated substance that is used and entirely consumed (except for trace quantities) in the manufacture of another chemical.” Where trace quantities of an HFC regulated substance, including impurities or unreacted feedstock chemical, remain through transformation of a regulated HFC substance into another product, that activity is covered by the exemption as soon as the Act is enacted into law.

The introduced legislation did not provide immediate protection for essential uses. Subsection (e)(4)(B) now provides that relief for essential uses. Congress has identified six essential uses in subsection (e)(4)(B)(iv) that are designated by law as essential uses upon enactment: No. 1, propellant in metered dose inhalers; No. 2, defense sprays; No. 3, structural composite preformed polyurethane foam for marine use and trailer use; No. 4, the etching of semiconductor material or wafers and the cleaning of chemical vapor deposition chambers within the semiconductor manufacturing sector; No. 5, mission-critical military end uses, such as armored vehicle engine and shipboard fire suppression systems and systems used in deployable and expeditionary applications; and No. 6, on-board aerospace fire suppression.

In implementing this legislation, EPA must allocate, by rule, the full quantity of allowances needed by each of these six congressionally designated uses for at least 5 years. This rule-making only determines the quantities of mandatory allowances that are allocated to each of the six uses above.

Under subsection (e)(4)(B)(i)–(iii), EPA may, by rule, designate other uses as essential uses and allocate any such use a quantity of allowances, provided certain criteria are met and subject to the applicable phasedown timelines and regulations for the production and consumption of HFCs under (e)(2)–(3). The Administrator is required to review each essential use application every 5 years and shall continue to make available essential use allowances if the Administrator determines, subject to notice and opportunity for public comment, that statutory criteria are met under subsection (e)(4)(B)(v).

The second key area of change from the introduced legislation is express preemption of certain State and local laws, reflected in subsection (k)(2). With respect to an exclusive use for which a mandatory allocation of allowances is provided under subsection

(e)(4)(B)(iv)(I), subsection (k)(2)(A) preempts any enforcement of a statute or administrative action by a State or political subdivision of a State for 5 years from the date of enactment. Preemption is potentially extendable for up to—but not more than—10 years, as provided in subsection (k)(2)(B).

The third key area of change from the introduced legislation is the protection of consumers and businesses from cost increases. Of particular note, under subsection (f)(2)(B), EPA cannot accelerate the 15-year regulatory timeline faster than HFC consumption levels that the market is already achieving. However, EPA must ensure any level set under this subsection is at least as stringent as the production and consumption levels of regulated substances required under subsection (e)(2)(C) for a given year, as provided in subsection (f)(6). Language to protect consumers and businesses, particularly residential and small business consumers, has also been added to regulatory provisions throughout the bill, including essential uses (subsection (e)(4)–(5)), accelerated schedule (subsection (f)), and technology transitions (subsection (i)).

Together we support section 103. We thank our House colleagues for working together with us to improve further our Senate agreement reached in September 2020. Through negotiations with leaders of the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce, we agreed to additional changes to improve legislative clarity, including language to help protect affordability for residential and small business consumers while also protecting the environment.

Ms. SMITH. Mr. President, section 201(f) of the unemployment extension provisions of the bill we are considering this evening contains language limiting retroactive Pandemic Unemployment Assistance compensation for applicants that had not applied by the date of enactment of this bill.

It is my understanding that this provision is intended to cover individuals who have known for months of their eligibility for benefits but failed to apply in a timely manner. However, it is also my understanding that this provision is not intended to apply in cases where the individuals have only recently learned they would be eligible for PUA and a State unemployment office had previously advised those individuals not to apply for benefits. This is the case, for instance, for secondary schools students in Minnesota, who were advised by the State that they were not eligible for PUA, but a court recently determined that the students were indeed eligible earlier this month.

It is also my understanding that this provision is not intended to apply to individuals who have filed a regular State unemployment insurance claim that remains in adjudication, who later find out that they are ineligible for regular unemployment compensation and must apply for PUA instead.

Senator WYDEN was the lead Democratic negotiator on the unemployment provisions of this bill. Does he share the same understanding of the intent of this provision?

Mr. WYDEN. Yes. That language was not intended to limit retroactive compensation for individuals who were previously advised by a State, that they were ineligible for PUA, nor was it intended to limit retroactive compensation for individuals who have a regular unemployment insurance claim in adjudication and later find out they need to apply for PUA.

Ms. SMITH. Thank you for the clarification and for your work in drafting the unemployment compensation language in this bill.

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I rise to talk about the inclusion of critical protections for renters in the bill before us today. These include \$25 billion in emergency rental assistance and an extension of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's nationwide eviction moratorium through January 31, 2021.

This bill does not include all that I have been calling for since this crisis began, nor is it the bill I would have written on my own, but it is a long overdue and essential start on the help families urgently need to stay or become safely and stably housed right now. And it is arriving as millions of renters across the country are on the precipice of an entirely preventable eviction crisis.

One in five renters are behind on rent right now. For renters in households with children, this number is one in four, and for Black renters, the rate is nearly one in three. Economist Mark Zandi estimates that renters are \$70 billion behind on rent, with average back rent of nearly \$6,000. With millions of families potentially facing eviction or displacement, without this bill, the current CDC eviction moratorium would have expired on December 31, making for a very unhappy new year for many renters across the country.

A wave of evictions in the middle of this pandemic will set back millions of families, interrupt jobs and educations, and exacerbate inequality in this country. It will also make it harder to keep people healthy and get the virus under control.

I have heard from Ohioans how badly people need housing assistance. A group of Ohio's homeless services organizations told me recently about the tremendous surge in family homelessness they are seeing during the pandemic. One reported that 80 percent of their shelter requests have been families with kids. These are families with nowhere to go and trying to balance work and school. How many of them could have stayed in their homes, and not disrupted their lives—and their kids' lives—if the Federal Government had just stepped in with rental assistance?

In Columbus, there are over 100 eviction trials every day, even with the

current CDC moratorium in place. An advocate I spoke with told me that he expected there to be a "massive flood" of eviction cases in January after the CDC moratorium expires.

We did not have to be here. Since the passage of the CARES Act in March, I have been calling for more help for renters and homeowners to withstand the COVID-19 pandemic and its economic effects. In May, I introduced S. 3865, the Emergency Rental Assistance and Rental Market Stabilization Act of 2020, to provide these resources throughout the country. The House passed this bill as part of the Heroes Act in May. Unfortunately, Senate Leader MCCONNELL did not see the urgency to act on COVID relief for families, and we are just coming to the floor with a bill to help address the COVID-19 crisis 7 months later.

Today's bill, while not going far enough, takes action to help renters remain or become stably housed and keep their utilities running. The \$25 billion in rental assistance and extension of the eviction moratorium will work together to protect renters from evictions in the midst of the pandemic in the middle of winter. The eviction moratorium extension helps prevent evictions while families await assistance. Rental assistance will ensure that families can pay their bills and remain in their homes during and after the pandemic without being forced to make impossible choices between rent and food or medicine.

Given how badly these resources are needed in the community today, the Department of Treasury must do all that it can to implement this rental assistance program quickly and successfully.

This means ensuring that States and communities can quickly provide funds to those who need them and minimize artificial paperwork and documentation barriers for applicants trying to access the funds Congress intended them to have. Treasury should avoid establishing requirements that are burdensome for both renters and grantees administering emergency rental assistance programs and that will slow down dollars going to keep the heat on and pay landlords.

The COVID-19 pandemic has had broad impacts on individuals, families, businesses, availability of government services and supports, and throughout our economy. It has changed where and how many people work. It has made it more difficult not just to keep a job, but also to find a new job, to get enough hours, and to find child care or someone to care for a sick loved one. All of these challenges brought on by the pandemic have made it more difficult for families to make ends meet. These effects are likely to exist for months and years to come. As Congress has stated in this bill, given the enormous documentation challenges facing families as businesses close and service jobs reduce in hours, an applicant's written attestation should be the only

documentation required to demonstrate a connection to the pandemic.

In addition to financial assistance for rent, utilities, and other housing costs, the bill permits grantees to fund housing stability services. This will allow grantees to offer households services they may need to remain or become stably housed, including case management, landlord-tenant mediation, legal services, eviction prevention services, rehousing services, services to connect eligible households to other public supports, and referrals to other services for behavioral, emotional, and mental health issues, domestic violence, child welfare, employment, and substance abuse treatment.

Finally, the emergency rental assistance fund in this bill provides non-taxable assistance for renter households that does not count toward income for calculating eligibility for other programs. Emergency assistance is just that—emergency assistance for an extraordinary event—and it should not be used to penalize families further. If there is any confusion about the taxability of this assistance, the Department of Treasury, in consultation with the Internal Revenue Service, should provide guidance to clarify this for grantees and participants.

I will continue to fight for the housing resources and protections our renters and homeowners need to stay in their homes. I also look forward to working to successfully deploy the historic resources and protections provided in this bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

VOTE ON MOTION TO CONCUR

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that all time be yielded back.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The question is on agreeing to the motion to concur.

The yeas and nays are ordered.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI) and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

(Mr. SASSE assumed the Chair.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. JOHNSON). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 92, nays 6, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 289 Leg.]

YEAS—92

Alexander	Boozman	Carper
Baldwin	Braun	Casey
Barrasso	Brown	Cassidy
Bennet	Burr	Collins
Blumenthal	Cantwell	Coons
Blunt	Capito	Cornyn
Booker	Cardin	Cortez Masto

Cotton	Kennedy	Sanders
Cramer	King	Sasse
Crapo	Klobuchar	Schatz
Daines	Lankford	Schumer
Duckworth	Leahy	Scott (SC)
Durbin	Loeffler	Shaheen
Ernst	Manchin	Shelby
Feinstein	Markey	Sinema
Fischer	McConnell	Smith
Gardner	Menendez	Stabenow
Gillibrand	Merkley	Sullivan
Graham	Moran	Tester
Grassley	Murkowski	Thune
Harris	Murphy	Tillis
Hassan	Murray	Toomey
Hawley	Perdue	Udall
Heinrich	Peters	Van Hollen
Hirono	Portman	Warner
Hooven	Reed	Warren
Hyde-Smith	Risch	Whitehouse
Inhofe	Roberts	Wicker
Jones	Romney	Wyden
Kaine	Rosen	Young
Kelly	Rubio	

NAYS—6

Blackburn	Johnson	Paul
Cruz	Lee	Scott (FL)

NOT VOTING—2

Enzi	Rounds
------	--------

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 92, the nays are 6.

The 60-vote threshold having been achieved, the motion to concur is agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from South Dakota.

SIGNING AUTHORITY

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the senior Senator from South Dakota, the senior Senator from Kansas, and the senior Senator from Missouri be authorized to sign duly enrolled bills and joint resolutions from December 21, 2020, to January 3, 2021.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

HONORING THE UNITED NATIONS WORLD FOOD PROGRAMME ON THE OCCASION OF BEING AWARDED THE 2020 NOBEL PEACE PRIZE

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Foreign Relations Committee be discharged from further consideration and the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 774.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 774) honoring the United Nations World Food Programme on the occasion of being awarded the 2020 Nobel Peace Prize.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the Boozman amendment to the resolution be considered and agreed to; the resolution, as amended, be agreed to; the Boozman amendment to the preamble be agreed to; the preamble, as amended, be agreed to; and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2733) was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the resolving clause)

On page 2, lines 10 and 11, strike “staff worldwide;” and insert “staff, who work tirelessly, and often at great personal risk, to combat hunger and save lives around the world;”.

On page 3, line 3, strike “nutrition” and insert “nutrition, including”.

The resolution (S. Res. 774), as amended, was agreed to.

The amendment (No. 2734) was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the preamble)

Beginning in the second whereas clause of the preamble, strike “Whereas the WFP” and all that follows through the semicolon in the fifth whereas clause and insert the following:

Whereas the WFP is the largest international humanitarian organization that addresses hunger, promotes food security, and saves lives, including in response to many of the most dangerous and complex crises in the world;

Whereas, in 2019, an estimated 135,000,000 people around the world suffered from acute hunger and the WFP provided nutrition assistance to nearly 100,000,000 people in 88 countries;

Whereas the 2020 coronavirus pandemic has contributed to a significant increase in hunger around the world, and the WFP has surged its capacity in order to meet that compounded need;

Whereas the United States played an integral role in the founding of the WFP, remains its strongest supporter, and provides, as of the date of adoption of this resolution, more than 40 percent of its annual resources;

In the seventh whereas clause of the preamble, strike “Price” and insert “Prize”.

The preamble, as amended, was agreed to.

The resolution, as amended, with its preamble, as amended, reads as follows:

S. RES. 774

Whereas, on October 9, 2020, the Norwegian Nobel Committee announced that the Nobel Peace Prize for 2020 has been awarded to the United Nations World Food Programme (referred to in this preamble as the “WFP”) “for its efforts to combat hunger, for its contribution to bettering conditions for peace in conflict-affected areas and for acting as a driving force in efforts to prevent the use of hunger as a weapon of war and conflict”;

Whereas the WFP is the largest international humanitarian organization that addresses hunger, promotes food security, and saves lives, including in response to many of the most dangerous and complex crises in the world;

Whereas, in 2019, an estimated 135,000,000 people around the world suffered from acute hunger and the WFP provided nutrition assistance to nearly 100,000,000 people in 88 countries;

Whereas the 2020 coronavirus pandemic has contributed to a significant increase in hunger around the world, and the WFP has surged its capacity in order to meet that compounded need;

Whereas the United States played an integral role in the founding of the WFP, remains its strongest supporter, and provides, as of the date of adoption of this resolution, more than 40 percent of its annual resources;

Whereas the WFP has stated, “Until the day we have a medical vaccine, food is the best vaccine against chaos”; and

Whereas the Norwegian Nobel Committee, in announcing the winner of the Nobel Peace Prize for 2020, stated, “The work of the World Food Programme to the benefit of humankind is an endeavour that all the nations of the world should be able to endorse and support”; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) joins the other countries of the world in—

(A) affirming the mission of the United Nations World Food Programme (referred to in this resolution as the “WFP”) on the occasion of being awarded the 2020 Nobel Peace Prize; and

(B) supporting the leadership of the WFP Executive Director, David Beasley, and the contributions of the more than 17,000 WFP staff, who work tirelessly, and often at great personal risk, to combat hunger and save lives around the world; and

(2) remains committed to the goal of the international community to end hunger, achieve food security, and improve nutrition, including through the work of the WFP.

RECOGNIZING THE DEVASTATING EXPLOSION THAT ROCKED THE PORT OF BEIRUT ON AUGUST 4, 2020

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 682 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 682) recognizing the devastating explosion that rocked the Port of Beirut on August 4, 2020, and expressing solidarity with the Lebanese people.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further debate?

Hearing none, the question is on adoption of the resolution.

The resolution (S. Res. 682) was agreed to.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I further ask that the preamble be agreed to, and that the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in the RECORD of August 13, 2020, under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

EXPANDING FINDINGS FOR FEDERAL OPIOID RESEARCH AND TREATMENT ACT

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 510, H.R. 3153.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3153) to direct the Director of the National Science Foundation to support research on opioid addiction, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; FINDINGS.

(a) *SHORT TITLE.*—This Act may be cited as the “Expanding Findings for Federal Opioid Research and Treatment Act” or the “EFFORT Act”.

(b) *FINDINGS.*—The Congress finds that—

(1) research gaps currently exist in the prevention and treatment of opioid addiction;

(2) the National Science Foundation’s research on opioid addiction has increased understanding of the neuroscience of addiction, substance abuse intervention, the role of illicit supply networks, the secondary effects on families, the use of technology to address the opioid epidemic, and options for alternative, non-addictive therapeutics for pain; and

(3) the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health have recognized that fundamental questions in basic, clinical, and translational research would benefit greatly from multidisciplinary approaches and collaboration.

SEC. 2. NSF SUPPORT OF RESEARCH ON OPIOID ADDICTION.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Director of the National Science Foundation, in consultation with the Director of the National Institutes of Health, shall support merit-reviewed and competitively-awarded research on the science of opioid addiction.

(b) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—To carry out this section, there are authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 through 2024.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported substitute be withdrawn, the Wicker substitute amendment at the desk be agreed to, and the bill, as amended, be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee-reported amendment in the nature of a substitute was withdrawn.

The amendment (No. 2732) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute)

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; FINDINGS.

(a) *SHORT TITLE.*—This Act may be cited as the “Expanding Findings for Federal Opioid Research and Treatment Act” or the “EFFORT Act”.

(b) *FINDINGS.*—The Congress finds that—

(1) research gaps currently exist in the prevention and treatment of opioid addiction;

(2) the National Science Foundation’s research on opioid addiction has increased understanding of the neuroscience of addiction, substance abuse intervention, the role of illicit supply networks, the secondary effects on families, the use of technology to address the opioid epidemic, and options for alternative, non-addictive therapeutics for pain; and

(3) the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health have recognized that fundamental questions in basic, clinical, and translational research would benefit greatly from multidisciplinary approaches and collaboration.

SEC. 2. NSF SUPPORT OF RESEARCH ON OPIOID ADDICTION.

The Director of the National Science Foundation, in consultation with the Director of the National Institutes of Health, shall support merit-reviewed and competitively awarded research on the science of opioid addiction.

The amendment was ordered to be engrossed and the bill to be read a third time.

The bill was read the third time.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I know of no further debate on the bill, as amended.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate, the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (H.R. 3153), as amended, was passed.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRANSPARENCY IN FEDERAL BUILDINGS PROJECTS ACT OF 2019

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Environment and Public Works be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 2502 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 2502) to amend title 40, United States Code, to require certain prospectuses for public buildings to be made publicly available, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 2502) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

COMPETITIVE HEALTH INSURANCE REFORM ACT OF 2020

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 1418 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1418) to restore the application of the Federal antitrust laws to the business of health insurance to protect competition and consumers.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 1418) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

YUCCA HOUSE NATIONAL MONUMENT EXPANSION ACT

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 432, H.R. 1492.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1492) to update the map of, and modify the maximum acreage available for inclusion in, the Yucca House National Monument.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I know of no further debate on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate, the bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

The bill (H.R. 1492) was passed.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO MAKE A CORRECTION IN THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 133

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H. Con. Res. 127, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 127) directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 133.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the concurrent resolution be agreed to and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res 127) was agreed to.

Mr. BOOZMAN. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BOOZMAN). Without objection, it is so ordered.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, here is where we are on the status of the Defense authorization bill.

The Senate has passed this annual bill to support our servicemembers and our national security every year for the last 59 years. As I indicated when I filed cloture on the NDAA conference report after Thanksgiving, my intention was and is to ensure the Senate continues fulfilling our obligation to the men and women of our Armed Forces.

I hope the President will not veto this bill, which redoubles our commitment to modernization, advances cutting-edge capabilities, and equips our military with the tools and resources they need to compete with our great power adversaries on land, on sea, in the air, and in cyberspace. These are the steps we need to take to continue to compete with Russia and China.

In the event that President Trump does elect to veto this bipartisan bill, it appears the House may choose to return after the holidays to set up a vote to consider the veto. The Democratic leader and I have agreed to a unanimous consent request as follows: The Senate will meet for pro forma sessions only until December 29, when we will return to session.

In the event that the President has vetoed the bill and the House has voted to override the veto, the Senate would have the opportunity to process the veto override at that time.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session and the Committee on Foreign Relations be

discharged and the Senate proceed to the en bloc consideration of the following nominations: PN1938, PN2024, PN2101, PN2030, and PN2025.

There being no objection, the committee was discharged, and the Senate proceeded to consider the nominations en bloc.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate vote on the nominations en bloc with no intervening action or debate; that if confirmed, the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table en bloc; and that the President be immediately notified of the Senate's actions.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nominations of C. Kevin Blackstone, of Virginia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste; Cynthia Kierscht, of Minnesota, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Islamic Republic of Mauritania; Brian D. McFeeters, of Virginia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to Malaysia; David Reimer, of Ohio, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Sierra Leone; Geeta Pasi, of New York, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Career Minister, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia?

The nominations were confirmed en bloc.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to legislative session for a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. President, section 1301 of title XIII of the FY21 consolidated appropriations act delays implementation of reforms to the U.S. Agency for Global Media outlined in section 1299Q of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Author-

ization Act for Fiscal Year 2021. While the FY2021 NDAA has not yet been enacted and may be enacted following the enactment of the 2021 Consolidated Appropriations Act, Chairman RISC and I believe it is Congress's intent that these two provisions be understood concurrently and that the reforms outlined in section 1299Q be delayed for 90 days following enactment of the FY2021 NDAA.

CASE Act

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, after many years of work to ensure small creators have a voice, I am glad to share the Copyright Alternatives in Small-Claims Enforcement Act, also known as CASE Act, passed the House and Senate and is awaiting the President's signature. This bipartisan and bicameral legislation is critical for protecting the creative middle class in Louisiana and across America who rely upon commercializing their creative works for their livelihood.

Photographers, visual artists, independent movie directors, musicians, authors, and other creators who make up the creative middle class rely on copyright to protect their works from unauthorized reproduction. However, under current law, copyright owners with small infringement claims cannot obtain relief because district court litigation costs are higher than the damages sought. That is where CASE Act comes in. This legislation creates the Copyright Claims Board within the U.S. Copyright Office to provide a simple, quick, and less expensive forum for small copyright owners to enforce their rights.

The creation of this bill began more than 7 years ago. In 2013, after a comprehensive study, the U.S. Copyright Office made several legislative recommendations to help independent creators in enforcing their constitutionally protected copyrights. Since then, Congress has worked in lock-step with the U.S. Copyright Office to create a framework to accomplish this goal. That framework culminated in CASE Act.

In response to concerns raised by the library community, the bill now includes a blanket opt-out provision for libraries and archives. This opt-out is expressly limited to activities covered by section 108 of the Copyright Act. It does not apply to activities that fall outside that section, such as websites making and offering unlicensed copies of works. A library or archive must remain in full compliance with section 108 at all times to be eligible for the blanket opt-out privilege and would lose its eligibility for the blanket opt-out if, at any time, it is found to have violated any of the conditions throughout section 108.

I want to extend my gratitude to the photographers, musicians, artists, authors, and many other creators who have helped make the passage of CASE Act a success. There are so many individuals who have been instrumental in

creating and passing this legislation that I cannot possibly name them all here, but a few groups that deserve special recognition are Copyright Alliance, Professional Photographers of America, Professional Photographers of Louisiana, American Bar Association, American Intellectual Property Law Association, American Society of Media Photographers, Association of American Publishers, Authors Guild, Graphic Artists Guild, Recording Academy, Songwriters Guild of America, and U.S. Chamber of Commerce. I also want to thank my staff, who worked tireless hours wading through copyright law to ensure we ended up with the best bill possible. And thank you to my colleagues in the House and Senate, particularly Senator DICK DURBIN and our original cosponsors, for supporting this legislation and agreeing to its passage.

PROTECTING LAWFUL STREAMING ACT

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, today I want to say a word about the need to revise title 18 so that criminal commercial enterprises that stream pirated content to users are subject to the same felony penalties as criminal commercial enterprises that distribute to users or reproduce pirated content. The provisions of the Protecting Lawful Streaming Act target clearly criminal conduct committed with criminal intent. Lawful internet and streaming services, licensees, other mainstream businesses, and users engaged in ordinary activities do not risk prosecution. Most importantly, businesses engaged in those activities are clearly excluded by the requirements that a defendant be engaged in conduct that is primarily designed, intentionally marketed, or has no commercially significant purpose or use other than for use in illegal streaming. Nor do those engaged in noncommercial activities risk prosecution under this statute. Noncommercial activities are explicitly excluded by the terms of section 2319C(a). It is intended that none of these activities shall be subject to any risk of criminal prosecution under this bill.

More generally, it is well established that criminal penalties are the exception rather than the rule in cases of copyright infringement. As the Department of Justice itself has noted, criminal sanctions are appropriate only with respect to certain types of infringement—generally when infringer knows the infringement is wrong, and when the infringement is particularly serious or the type of case renders civil enforcement by copyright owners especially difficult. As such, criminal prosecution has been and is appropriately reserved for serious forms of large-scale, commercial infringement, not as a means of targeting ordinary business disputes between legitimate companies or those which are otherwise adequately addressed through civil litigation. The new section 2319C, in par-

ticular, requires willfulness, which means that the statute does not apply in the absence of an intentional violation of a known legal duty.

Consistent with this, a provider of broadband internet access service would not be subject to prosecution under this statute, for example, based merely on the attributes or features of its service, nor could prosecution be predicated on the misuse of its service by its customers or others in furtherance of an infringement scheme, where the service provider does not itself share the requisite criminal intent of the underlying substantive offense and act with specific intent to further it. In this regard, offering high-speed connections that allow its customers to access the internet, failing to block or disable access to particular online locations, or failing to take measures to restrict the use of or deny its customers access to such service would not be sufficient to demonstrate the requisite criminal intent under the bill. This conduct would also not otherwise meet the prerequisites under the aiding and abetting statute, regardless of whether the broadband internet access service provider might be civilly liable in such circumstances under the differing standards for contributory or vicarious liability.

A person who willfully and for purposes of commercial advantage or private financial gain offers or provides to the public a digital transmission service violates the statute under section 2319C(a)(3) when that person intentionally promotes or directs the promotion of its use in publicly performing works protected under title 17 without the authority of the copyright owner or the law. The language of section 2319C makes clear that it is the offering of an illicit digital transmission service, as defined by section 2319C(a)(1)–(3), that is an offense, not the marketing activities done by or at the direction of a person offering an illicit digital transmission service, as referred to in section 2319C(a)(3). Thus, an entity that provides only commercial online marketing services and does not itself also provide an illicit digital transmission service would not be subject to prosecution under section 2319C(a). Further, it is not the intent of this legislation to create potential aiding and abetting liability for mainstream third party ad networks or marketers. An online marketing services provider could be liable for aiding and abetting an unrelated entity providing unlawful streaming services only where the online marketing services provider shared the same requisite criminal intent of each element of the underlying substantive offense and acted with specific intent to further it. Thus, an online marketing services provider which places an advertisement for an entity that is violating section 2319C(a) would face aiding and abetting liability only if the online marketing services provider was itself associated with the criminal venture of the illicit

digital transmission service to such an extent that it shares the criminal intent of the person offering the service and acted with the requisite specific intent to commit or facilitate the underlying offense.

Similarly, a service that streams content uploaded by users would not be subject to prosecution merely because some users might upload infringing content. The service would be subject to criminal liability only if it had the requisite criminal intent and acted with specific intent to further it.

The provisions of this statute also do not apply to any person acting in good faith and with an objectively reasonable basis in law to believe that their conduct is lawful. Thus, a bona fide commercial dispute over the scope or existence of a contract or license governing such conduct or a good-faith dispute regarding whether a particular activity is authorized by the Copyright Act would not provide a basis for prosecution. For example, neither a cloud-based DVR service nor an application provided by a multichannel video programming distributor, MVPD, to enable such MVPD's customers to access its video service utilizing a mobile device, which were the subject of prior civil copyright infringement challenges based on good faith disagreements regarding the scope of rights under the Copyright Act, would be actionable under this provision if the provider offering such services met this standard. By contrast, a party that merely asserts an applicable contract, an exception, or a belief that the person's conduct was lawful, in a case where the assertion is not made in good-faith, is merely a pretense, or is otherwise not based on an objectively reasonable interpretation of the law, would not avoid prosecution on that basis.

The statute provides for an enhanced penalty in section 2319C(b)(2) for someone who knowingly commits an offense in connection with 1 or more works being prepared for commercial public performance. The “should have known” standard in section 2319C(b)(2) applies only after a finder of fact determines beyond a reasonable doubt that the person committed an offense under subsection (a). The “should have known” standard should not be conflated with the standards of willfulness, not primarily designed, no commercially significant purpose, and intentionality set forth in section 2319C(a), all of which define the underlying offense and are intended to protect lawful internet and streaming services, content licensees, and non-commercial users.

Finally, the statute in section 2319C(d)(3) defines a work being prepared for commercial public performance, based on the definition of “work being prepared for commercial distribution” in section 506(a)(3) of the Copyright Act, while updating that definition to account for the challenges of piracy in the modern streaming environment. Section 2319C reflects the

fact that infringement threatens unique harm when it occurs prior to or in the earliest windows of commercial availability. The definition in 2319C(d)(3) recognizes that in the modern streaming environment, not all motion pictures are developed for theatrical distribution. The updated definition of a “work being prepared for commercial public performance” affords appropriately enhanced penalties for violations of the statute involving pre- and just-released film and television content, whether in a first theatrical window or immediately upon release to the public via a streaming or other platform. The legislation does not make corresponding changes to the definition of “work made for commercial distribution” in section 506(a)(3). Whether it is appropriate to harmonize the definitions is a question that is beyond the scope of this particular legislation, which does not otherwise make changes to title 17. Section 2319C(d)(1) defines “motion picture” as defined in the Copyright Act, which includes non-theatrical motion pictures, television shows, and broadcasts of live events.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

Mr. RUBIO. Mr. President, this explanation reflects the status of negotiations and disposition of issues reached between the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence for the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021.

The explanation shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of this act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a conference committee.

I ask unanimous consent that the joint explanatory statement for the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 be printed into the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

The following is the explanation of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (hereinafter, “the Act”).

This explanation reflects the result of negotiations and disposition of issues reached between the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) and the (hereinafter, “the Agreement”). The explanation shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of the Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a conference committee. The term “Committees” refers to both SSCI and HPSCI.

The explanation comprises three parts: an overview of the application of the annex to accompany this statement; unclassified congressional direction; and a section-by-section analysis of the legislative text.

PART I: APPLICATION OF THE CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The classified nature of U.S. intelligence activities prevents the SSCI and HPSCI (collectively, the “congressional intelligence committees”) from publicly disclosing many details concerning the conclusions and recommendations of the Agreement. Therefore,

a classified Schedule of Authorizations and a classified annex have been prepared to describe in detail the scope and intent of the congressional intelligence committees’ actions. The Agreement authorizes the Intelligence Community (IC) to obligate and expend funds not altered or modified by the classified Schedule of Authorizations as requested in the President’s budget, subject to modification under applicable reprogramming procedures.

The classified annex is the result of negotiations between the congressional intelligence committees. They reconcile the differences between the congressional intelligence committees’ respective versions of the bill for the National Intelligence Program (NIP) for Fiscal Year 2021. The Agreement also makes recommendations for the Military Intelligence Program (MIP) and the Information Systems Security Program (ISSP), consistent with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, and provides certain direction for these two programs. The Agreement applies to IC activities for Fiscal Year 2021.

The classified Schedule of Authorizations is incorporated into the bill pursuant to Section 102. It has the status of law. The classified annex supplements and adds detail to clarify the authorization levels found in the bill and the classified Schedule of Authorizations. The congressional intelligence committees view direction and recommendations, whether contained in this explanation or in the classified annex, as requiring compliance by the Executive Branch.

PART II: SELECT UNCLASSIFIED CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTION

This Joint Explanatory Statement incorporates by reference, and the Executive Branch shall comply with, all direction contained in the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Report to accompany the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (S. Rept. 116-233) and in the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence Report to accompany the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (H. Rept. 116-565).

PART III: SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF LEGISLATIVE TEXT

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Section 101. Authorization of appropriations

Section 101 lists the United States Government departments, agencies, and other elements for which the Act authorizes appropriations for intelligence and intelligence-related activities for Fiscal Year 2021.

Section 102. Classified Schedule of Authorizations

Section 102 provides that the details of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for intelligence and intelligence-related activities for Fiscal Year 2021 are contained in the classified Schedule of Authorizations and that the classified Schedule of Authorizations shall be made available to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives and to the President.

Section 103. Intelligence Community Management Account

Section 103 authorizes appropriations for the Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA) of the ODNI for Fiscal Year 2021.

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

Section 201. Authorization of appropriations

Section 201 authorizes appropriations for the CIA Retirement and Disability Fund for Fiscal Year 2021.

TITLE III—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MATTERS

Subtitle A—General Intelligence Community Matters

Section 301. Restriction on conduct of intelligence activities

Section 301 provides that the authorization of appropriations by the Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of any intelligence activity that is not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Section 302. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law

Section 302 provides that funds authorized to be appropriated by the Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in compensation or benefits authorized by law.

Section 303. Continuity of operations plans for certain elements of the intelligence community in the case of a national emergency

Section 303 requires the Directors of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), National Security Agency (NSA), and National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) to establish continuity of operations plans for use in the case of certain national emergencies as defined in statute, and share those with the congressional intelligence committees within 7 days of a national emergency being declared. Furthermore, Section 303 requires these agencies to provide the committees with any updates to those plans as the conditions of the national emergency require.

Section 304. Application of Executive Schedule level III to position of Director of National Reconnaissance Office

Section 304 provides that the Director of the NRO shall be designated as Level III on the Executive Schedule, the equivalent of an Under Secretary. The Committee further clarifies that this provision shall apply to a successor civilian occupying the position of Director of the NRO.

Section 305. National Intelligence University

Section 305 provides the National Intelligence University (NIU) with degree-granting authority and requires reporting on personnel and compensation. Section 305 also sustains an independent, external board of visitors to provide oversight of the NIU.

Section 306. Data collection on attrition in intelligence community

Section 306 requires the DNI to set standards and issue an annual report on the reasons why different categories of IC employees separate from service or applicants to IC positions withdraw from the hiring process after they have been issued a conditional offer of employment. Data on workforce attrition should include demographics, specialties, and length of service. Such reasons may include an alternative job opportunity, a loss of interest in joining the IC, or the length of time to complete the clearance process.

Section 307. Limitation on delegation of responsibility for program management of information-sharing environment

Section 307 stipulates that the President must delegate responsibilities under Section 1016(b) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 to an official other than the DNI.

Section 308. Requirement to buy certain satellite component from American sources

Section 308 prohibits an element of the IC to award a contract for a national security

satellite if the satellite uses a star tracker that is not produced in the United States, with certain limited exceptions.

Section 309. Limitation on construction of facilities to be used primarily by intelligence community

Section 309 amends the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 regarding funding and authorities for facility construction.

Section 310. Intelligence community student loan repayment programs

Section 310 requires the DNI to establish minimum student loan repayment standards for IC employees.

Subtitle B—Reports and Assessments
Pertaining to the Intelligence Community

Section 321. Assessment by the Comptroller General of the United States on efforts of the Intelligence Community and the Department of Defense to identify and mitigate risks posed to the intelligence community and the Department by the use of direct-to-consumer genetic testing by the Government of the People's Republic of China

Section 321 directs the Comptroller General to assess efforts in the IC and Department of Defense (DoD) to identify and mitigate the risks posed to the IC and DoD by direct-to-consumer genetic testing by the Government of the People's Republic of China. Section 321 further requires the report to include key national security risks and vulnerabilities, an assessment of the IC's and DoD's identification and mitigation of such risks and vulnerabilities, and recommendations for the IC and DoD to improve identification and mitigation of such risks and vulnerabilities.

Section 322. Report on use by intelligence community of hiring flexibilities and expedited human resources practices to assure quality and diversity in the workforce of the intelligence community.

Section 322 requires the DNI to submit a report describing how IC elements are exercising hiring flexibilities and expedited human resources practices afforded under 5 U.S.C. 3326 and related regulations, including the identification of any obstacles encountered by the IC in exercising such authorities.

Section 323. Report on signals intelligence priorities and requirements.

Section 323 requires the DNI to submit a report detailing signals intelligence priorities and requirements subject to Presidential Policy Directive-28 that stipulates "why, whether, when, and how the United States conducts signals intelligence activities." This report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

Section 324. Assessment of demand for student loan repayment program benefit.

Section 324 requires the head of each IC element to calculate the number of personnel who qualify for a student loan repayment program benefit, and compare it to the number of personnel who apply for such a benefit. The information provided will include recommendations for how to optimize participation and enhance the effectiveness of the benefit as a retention tool, to identify any shortfall in funds or authorities needed to provide such benefit, and to include such materials with the budget request for Fiscal Year 2022.

Section 325. Assessment of intelligence community demand for child care.

Section 325 requires the DNI in coordination with the heads of other IC elements to provide a report that includes: a calculation of the total annual demand for child care by

employees at NSA, NGA, DIA, NRO, CIA, and ODNI; an identification of any shortfalls between demand and the child care support by these IC elements; an assessment of options for addressing any such shortfall; an identification of the advantages, disadvantages, security requirements, and costs associated with each option; a plan to meet, within five years after the date of the report, the demand for childcare, and an assessment of specific considerations that impact the alternatives available to these IC elements.

Section 326. Open source intelligence strategies and plans for the intelligence community

Section 326 requires the DNI in coordination with the heads of each IC element, to conduct a survey of the open source intelligence requirements, goals, investments, and capabilities for each element of the IC and to evaluate the usability of the Open Source Enterprise (OSE). Based on such findings, it further mandates the DNI shall develop, in coordination with the heads of each IC element, a strategy for open source intelligence collection, analysis, and production across the IC; create a plan for improving usability of the OSE; and conduct a risk and benefit analysis of creating an independent open source center.

Using the findings above, Section 326 further requires the DNI to develop a plan for a centralized data repository of open source intelligence. Finally, it mandates the DNI develop a cost-sharing model that leverages the open source intelligence investments of each IC element for the beneficial use of the entire IC. It also requires the heads of ODNI, CIA, DIA, NGA, and NSA to jointly brief the congressional intelligence committees on the progress developing the aforementioned plans.

TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

Section 401. Establishment of Office of the Ombudsman for Analytic Objectivity

Section 401 establishes in the CIA an Office of the Ombudsman for Analytic Objectivity to implement required procedures and conduct required activities.

Section 402. Expansion of personnel management authority to attract experts in science and engineering

Section 402 facilitates NGA's recruitment of experts in science or engineering for research and development projects.

Section 403. Senior Chief Petty Officer Shannon Kent Award for distinguished female personnel of the National Security Agency

Section 403 requires the Director of the NSA to establish an honorary award for the recognition of female personnel of the NSA for distinguished career contributions in support of the mission of the NSA.

Section 404. Department of Homeland Security intelligence and cybersecurity diversity fellowship program

Section 404 requires the Secretary of DHS to carry out an intelligence and cybersecurity diversity fellowship program that provides paid internships, tuition assistance, and potential employment opportunities.

Section 405. Climate Security Advisory Council

Section 405 requires the DNI, in coordination with the appropriate heads of other IC elements, to conduct a study on the effectiveness of the Climate Security Advisory Council as a potential model for future advisory councils.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

Section 501. Requirements and authorities for Director of Central Intelligence Agency to improve education in science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics

Section 501 ensures that the Director of the CIA has the legal authorities required to improve the skills in science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics (known as STEAM) necessary to meet long-term national security needs.

Section 502. Seedling investment in next-generation microelectronics in support of artificial intelligence

Section 502 requires the DNI, acting through the Director of the Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity, to award contracts or grants, or enter into other transactions, to encourage microelectronics research.

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 601. Report on attempts by foreign adversaries to build telecommunications and cybersecurity equipment and services for, or to provide such equipment and services to, certain allies of the United States

Section 601 requires the CIA, NSA, and DIA to submit to the congressional intelligence and armed services committees a joint report that describes the United States intelligence sharing and military posture in Five Eyes countries that currently have or intend to use adversary telecommunications or cybersecurity equipment, especially as provided by China or Russia, with a description of potential vulnerabilities of that information and assessment of mitigation options.

Section 602. Report on threats posed by use by foreign governments and entities of commercially available cyber intrusion and surveillance technology

Section 602 requires the DNI to submit a report on the threats posed by foreign governments and foreign entities using and appropriating commercially available cyber intrusion and other surveillance technology.

Section 603. Reports on recommendations of the Cyberspace Solarium Commission

Section 603 requires the ODNI, Department of Homeland Security (acting through the Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis), Department of Energy (acting through the Director of Intelligence and Counterintelligence of the Department of Energy), Department of Commerce, and DoD to report to Congress their assessment of the recommendations submitted by the Cyberspace Solarium Commission pursuant to Section 1652(j) of the *John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2019*, and to describe actions that each agency expects to take to implement these recommendations.

Section 604. Assessment of critical technology trends relating to artificial intelligence, microchips, and semiconductors and related supply chains

Section 604 requires the DNI to complete an assessment of export controls related to artificial intelligence (AI), microchips, advanced manufacturing equipment, and other AI-enabled technologies, including the identification of opportunities for further cooperation with international partners.

Section 605. Combating Chinese influence operations in the United States and strengthening civil liberties protections

Section 605 provides additional requirements to annual reports in 50 U.S.C. 3237(B) on Influence Operations and Campaigns in the United States by the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) by mandating an identification

of influence operations by the CCP against the science and technology sector in the United States. Section 605 also requires the FBI to create a plan, in consultation with stakeholders outside the Intelligence Community to increase public awareness of influence activities by the CCP. Finally, section 605 requires the FBI, in consultation with the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Rights and the Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer of the Department of Justice, to develop recommendations to strengthen relationships with communities targeted by the CCP and to build trust with such communities through local and regional grassroots outreach.

Section 606. Annual report on corrupt activities of senior officials of the Chinese Communist Party

Section 606 requires the CIA, in coordination with the Department of Treasury's Office of Intelligence and Analysis and the FBI, to submit to designated congressional committees annually through 2025 a report that describes and assesses the wealth and corruption of senior officials of the CCP, as well as targeted financial measures, including potential targets for sanctions designation. Section 606 further expresses the Sense of Congress that the United States should undertake every effort and pursue every opportunity to expose the corruption and illicit practices of senior officials of the CCP, including President Xi Jinping.

Section 607. Report on corrupt activities of Russian and other Eastern European oligarchs

Section 607 requires the CIA, in coordination with the Department of the Treasury's Office of Intelligence and Analysis and the FBI, to submit to designated congressional committees and the Under Secretary of State for Public Diplomacy, a report that describes the corruption and corrupt or illegal activities among Russian and other Eastern European oligarchs who support the Russian government and Russian President Vladimir Putin, and the impact of those activities on the economy and citizens of Russia. Section 607 further requires the CIA, in coordination with the Department of Treasury's Office of Intelligence and Analysis, to describe potential sanctions that could be imposed for such activities.

Section 608. Report on biosecurity risk and disinformation by the Chinese Communist Party and the Government of the People's Republic of China

Section 608 requires the DNI to submit to the designated congressional committees a report identifying whether and how CCP officials and the Government of the People's Republic of China may have sought to suppress or exploit for national advantage information regarding the novel coronavirus pandemic, including specific related assessments. Section 608 further provides that the report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may have a classified annex.

Section 609. Report on effect of lifting of United Nations arms embargo on Islamic Republic of Iran

Section 609 requires the DIA to submit to designated congressional committees a report on the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran's plans to acquire military arms if the ban on arms transfers to or from such government under United Nations Security Council resolutions are lifted, as well as the effects such arms acquisitions may have on regional security and stability.

Section 610. Report on Iranian activities relating to nuclear nonproliferation

Section 610 directs the DNI to submit a report on any relevant activities relating to nuclear weapons research and development

by the Islamic Republic of Iran and any relevant efforts to afford or deny international access to related facilities in accordance with international non-proliferation agreements.

Section 611. Annual reports on security services of the People's Republic of China in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

Section 611 requires the DNI to submit a report on the presence and activities of Chinese security services operating within the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

Section 612. Research partnership on activities of People's Republic of China

Section 612 requires the Director of the NGA to seek to enter into a partnership with an academic or non-profit research institution to carry out joint unclassified geospatial intelligence analyses of the activities of the People's Republic of China that pose national security risks to the United States, and to make publicly available unclassified products relating to such analyses.

Section 613. Report on the pharmaceutical and personal protective equipment regulatory practices of the People's Republic of China

Section 613 requires the DNI to submit a report on the pharmaceutical and personal protective equipment regulatory practices of the People's Republic of China.

Section 614. National Intelligence Estimate on situation in Afghanistan

Section 614 requires the DNI, acting through the National Intelligence Council, to produce a National Intelligence Estimate on the situation in Afghanistan.

Section 615. Assessment regarding tensions between Armenia and Azerbaijan

Section 615 requires the DNI to submit an assessment regarding tensions between the governments of Armenia and Azerbaijan.

Section 616. Sense of Congress on Third Option Foundation

Section 616 expresses the sense of Congress that the Third Option Foundation's work on behalf of the CIA's special operations community and their families is invaluable, such that the Director of the CIA should work with the Foundation to implement section 6412 of the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020, which provided special rules for certain monthly workers' compensation payments and other payments to CIA personnel.

Section 617. Annual reports on worldwide threats

Section 617 requires the DNI, in coordination with IC element heads, to submit an annual report with an IC assessment of worldwide threats to United States national security. Section 617 further requires the DNI, together with IC element heads determined appropriate by the congressional intelligence and defense committees in consultation with the DNI, to testify at an open hearing before such committees upon request. It is the intent of the Committees that a request by one of the congressional intelligence or defense committees, or a number of them, shall trigger the obligation of IC element heads to testify at an open hearing before a requesting committee, as specified under Section 617.

Section 618. Annual report on Climate Security Advisory Council

Section 618 requires the chair of the Climate Security Advisory Council to submit an annual report regarding the Council's prior year activities.

Section 619. Improvements to funding for National Security Education program

Section 619 authorizes funds for national security-related scholarship, fellowship, and grant programs.

Section 620. Report on best practices to protect privacy, civil liberties, and civil rights of Chinese Americans

Section 620 makes certain technical and conforming amendments to a reporting provision enacted pursuant to the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020.

Section 621. National Intelligence Estimate on the threat of global pandemic disease

Section 621 requires the DNI, acting through the National Intelligence Council, to produce a National Intelligence Estimate on the threat of global pandemic disease.

Section 622. Modification of requirement for briefings on national security effects of emerging infectious disease and pandemics

Section 622 amends a quinquennial reporting provision enacted pursuant to the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020 to require annual reporting.

Section 623. Independent study on open-source intelligence

Section 623 requires the DNI to seek to enter into an agreement with a federally funded research and development center or a nongovernmental entity to conduct a study on the future of the collection, processing, exploitation, analysis, dissemination, and evaluation of open-source intelligence by the IC, with certain requirements and criteria.

Section 624. Survey on Open Source Enterprise

Section 624 requires the Director of the CIA (as the open source functional manager for the IC), in consultation with the DNI and any other IC element head that the Director determines appropriate, to conduct a survey to measure the satisfaction of customers of open-source intelligence with the Open Source Enterprise of the CIA.

Section 625. Sense of Congress on report on murder of Jamal Khashoggi

Section 625 provides that it is the sense of Congress that the DNI should reasonably have been able to produce an unclassified report, as required pursuant to section 5714 of the Damon Paul Nelson and Matthew Young Pollard Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, and 2020, and section 1277 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020.

UNITED STATES SENATE CHAMBER AND GALLERIES REGULATIONS

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. President, pursuant to Senate rule XXXIII, I wish to inform all Senators that on December 18, 2020, the Committee on Rules and Administration adopted the "U.S. Senate Alternate Vehicle Parking Regulations," which supersede and replace the current "Regulations Governing Use of Bicycle Racks Hart Office Building Garage."

The updated regulations describe the eligibility and process Senators and eligible staff use to request, register, and obtain parking permits for alternate vehicles. The proposed regulations also expand the use of the garage parking racks beyond bicycles to include newer commuting technology such as electric scooters.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the regulations as adopted be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. SENATE ALTERNATE VEHICLE PARKING REGULATIONS

[ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION ON DECEMBER 18, 2020, PURSUANT TO RULE XXXIII OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE]

1.0 Scope—These regulations describe the eligibility and processes Senators and eligible staff shall use to request, register, and obtain parking permits for alternate vehicles to be parked in Senate garages.

2.0 Definitions—For purposes of these regulations, the following terms shall have the meaning specified.

2.1 Rules Committee means the U.S. Senate Committee on Rules and Administration.

2.2 Alternate vehicle means a personally owned vehicle, other than an automobile, motorcycle, personal assistance mobility access device, or rental vehicle, including but not limited to bicycles, motorized skateboards, and manual and electric scooters.

2.3 Congressional ID means a current congressional identification badge issued by the Senate Sergeant at Arms.

2.4 Eligible Staff means employees of the Senate or employees of the Superintendent's Office.

2.5 Permit means an identification sticker affixed to an alternate vehicle permitting parking in a Senate garage.

2.6 Senate Garage means the underground parking areas administered by the Superintendent's Office.

2.7 Superintendent's Office means the Architect of the Capitol's Senate Superintendent Office.

2.8 TranSAAct means the web-based program administered by the Senate Sergeant at Arms for Senate offices that allows offices to request alternate vehicle parking permits.

2.9 USCP means the United States Capitol Police.

3.0 General Use of Garage Parking Racks—The use of the garage parking racks for alternate vehicles is on a first-come, first-served basis.

3.1 Permit holders must present their Congressional ID and display their parking permit to USCP at garage entrances.

3.2 Permit holders must park alternate vehicles in the designated garage parking racks in a manner that does not interfere with others' ability to utilize the racks and that does not interfere with adjacent parking spaces.

3.2.1 Personal property and alternate vehicles that obstruct access to a parking space or parking rack will be moved immediately.

3.3 Permits are not transferrable to other alternate vehicles.

3.4 Alternate vehicles may not use garage electric chargers.

3.5 The Senate, the Superintendent's Office, and the USCP are not responsible for lost, damaged, or stolen personal property.

4.0 Permit Eligibility—Senators and eligible staff with a valid Congressional ID granting 24-hour building access are eligible for a permit.

4.1 The Rules Committee issues permits on a first-come, first-served basis.

4.2 Eligibility for alternate vehicle parking permits is in addition to other parking and federal transit benefits.

4.3 A permit holder may register up to two alternate vehicles under one permit.

5.0 Permit Registration—Eligible participants must submit a permit application through their employing office's TranSAAct account.

5.1 The TranSAAct permit application requires the registrant's name, office phone

number, cell phone number, email address, employing office, and the make, model/style, and color of the alternate vehicle.

5.2 Approved permit holders must provide their Congressional ID and alternate vehicle to the Senate Garage Administrative Office, SH-G12, to receive the approved permit.

5.3 The Superintendent's Office will attach the permit in an easily identifiable location.

6.0 Permit Renewal—Permits must be renewed by a permit holder's employing office each Congress.

6.1 The Rules Committee will contact each office for permit renewal information.

6.2 Permit holders approved for renewed permits must bring their Congressional ID and alternate vehicle to the Senate Garage Administrative Office for the new permit.

6.3 Permit holders may request the Superintendent's Office either place the new permit over the previous permit or remove the previous permit and place the new permit in the same position. The Superintendent's Office is not responsible for damage caused by removal or placement of a permit.

6.4 Permit holders who either leave Senate employment or whose permits are not renewed must immediately stop using the garage racks and bring their alternate vehicle to the Senate Garage Administrative Office for permit removal.

7.0 Noncompliance—Alternate vehicles may not be stored in a Senate garage. The Superintendent's Office conducts regular inspections of the bicycle racks and will notify alternate vehicle owners of noncompliance with these regulations, including for the following: failure to display a valid permit; parking outside of a designated area; and storing or abandoning an alternate vehicle in a Senate garage.

7.1 Any alternate vehicle that remains unmoved for more than two weeks will be considered as being stored.

7.2 Notice of violation (s) will be placed on the alternate vehicle.

7.3 After the fourth notice of violation within a 30-day period without subsequent cure by the permit holder, the Superintendent's Office will notify the USCP to remove the alternate vehicle and process it as abandoned property.

7.4 Owners of alternate vehicles removed from Senate garages should contact the USCP for information regarding its abandoned property policy.

REMEMBERING PAUL SARBANES

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to my friend and former colleague, Senator Paul Sarbanes, who passed away December 6, 2020. I join the entire Nation in mourning his passing.

Paul was born in Salisbury, MD, on February 3, 1933. His first job was bus- ing tables and washing dishes at his parents' restaurant on Maryland's Eastern Shore. He attended Princeton University, where he received the Moses Taylor Pryne Honor Prize, Princeton's highest undergraduate honor. He was a Rhodes Scholar, which earned him admission to Balliol College of the University of Oxford in Oxford, England. Upon his return to the United States, Paul attended Harvard Law School.

In the early 1960s, Paul Sarbanes clerked for Fourth Circuit Judge Morris A. Soper before entering private practice with two Baltimore law firms. In 1966, Paul ran for the Maryland

House of Delegates in Baltimore City, where he served on both the Judiciary and the Ways and Means Committees.

Four years later in 1970, Sarbanes was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives, representing Maryland's Fourth and later Maryland's Third Congressional Districts from 1971 to 1977. Paul continued his public service when he was elected to the U.S. Senate in 1976. Senator Sarbanes served Maryland well. He sat on many committees but was chairman of the Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee prior to my years as chairman.

After serving five terms in the U.S. Senate, Paul retired to Maryland in 2007. The same year, he earned the Foreign Language Advocacy Award from the Northeast Conference on the Teaching of Foreign Languages in recognition of his lifelong commitment to the ancient world's values and languages.

Paul was admired by many and will be missed by his sons, JOHN and Michael, his daughter, Janet, and his seven grandchildren. He was known for his intellect and tenacity and will be remembered for his devotion to Maryland and the Nation. I ask the entire Senate to join me in recognizing and honoring the life of Paul Sarbanes.

TRIBUTE TO LAMAR ALEXANDER

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I would like to start by thanking my good friend Senator LAMAR ALEXANDER for his nearly two decades in the Senate and his longstanding devotion to improving our country. His dedication to the people of Tennessee and Americans throughout our Nation has been unwavering. We have served together in the Senate for 18 years, but he has been in public service for much longer than that.

I remember when Senator ALEXANDER was first elected to the Senate in 2002. He was no stranger to his new colleagues. LAMAR had already served as the Governor of Tennessee, the president of the University of Tennessee, and the Secretary of Education under George H.W. Bush. He also ran for President in 1996 and again in 2000.

We should have seen the writing on the wall then—that Senator ALEXANDER would be one of the smartest and most ambitious Members we would serve with throughout our careers.

Born in Maryville, TN, LAMAR graduated Phi Beta Kappa from Vanderbilt University and went on to receive his juris doctor from the New York University, NYU, School of Law. He then clerked on the Fifth Circuit for Judge John Minor Wisdom in New Orleans.

Following his time in Louisiana, he moved to Washington to work as a legislative assistant for then-Senator Howard Baker of Tennessee. This is when he met his beloved wife Honey. They married and had four children, and his remarkable career took off.

During LAMAR's time as a U.S. Senator, he served as the chairman of the

Senate Republican conference, an important leadership position in which he influenced his fellow Members to accomplish conservative achievements by working in unison.

Currently, LAMAR is the chairman of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, HELP, Committee—a “Class A” committee in the Senate. In 2016, he was instrumental in passing the overwhelmingly bipartisan 21st Century Cures Act—landmark legislation that has brought new innovations and advances to patients who need them faster and more efficiently.

I have been blessed to serve with LAMAR on the Senate Rules Committee and the Senate Appropriations Committee, where he is the current chairman of the Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development.

In this role on Appropriations, he has supported and advanced a host of important national priorities, such as nuclear security, critical infrastructure projects across the country, and the science and research taking place at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. I, along with my colleagues on the committee, am grateful for his hard work to craft many years of significant and influential funding measures.

LAMAR came to the Senate as a staffer and is leaving as chairman of one of the largest and most significant committees in the Senate. His impact and leadership during his time here has been both substantial and constructive.

LAMAR and I have travelled the world together. Annette and I have enjoyed the many hours spent with Honey and him. He will be missed in the Senate. I thank Senator ALEXANDER for his dedication to the betterment of our country and wish him all the best in his next chapter of life.

HONORING AMERICA'S FALLEN SERVICEMEMBERS

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, as we approach the end of another year, I rise to remember all those American men and women who have given what President Lincoln called “the last full measure of devotion” in service to this great Nation.

I often reflect on the Gettysburg Address when thinking about our servicemembers and veterans. We all know this short, somber speech has reverberated throughout our history with an influence that vastly exceeds the few minutes for which Lincoln actually spoke. In November 1863, President Lincoln stood on the battlefield in Gettysburg to bless those brave soldiers who had fallen in what was the bloodiest battle in U.S. history up to that point. The “last full measure of devotion” remark has always resonated with me, as it does with so many Americans, but Lincoln had another message in his address. He said, “It is for us the living, rather, to be dedicated here to the unfinished work which they who fought here have thus far so nobly advanced.”

Even in moments of mourning, Lincoln charged those still standing to rededicate themselves to the hard work of preserving our Nation's integrity, protecting our fundamental freedoms, and building our families' security and prosperity. In a time of great division, this was no small task, and it today remains one of our urgent and most solemn tasks.

The year after the Gettysburg Address, the women of Boalsburg, PA, began a tradition that would carry on for generations. They gathered together to decorate the gravestones of their loved ones who had gone to God. They wanted to reach beyond the pain to show their fathers, husbands, brothers, and sons that their memories endure in the hearts and minds of the living. Honoring the spirit and sacrifice of our military and veterans isn't just about isolated days of commemoration on Veterans Day and Memorial Day each year, it is about the work we do every day to be worthy of their valor, as my father used to say.

As we discuss a potential U.S. withdrawal from Afghanistan, combat the resurgence of ISIS in the Middle East, exercise oversight over other U.S. military engagements overseas, and look to resolve increasingly complicated global crises, we must not forget those who have given the ultimate sacrifice in service to our country, particularly in the most recent wars. The numbers are important: 7034 Americans have lost their lives in the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, with an additional 7 killed in African Command operations since 2001. This includes 300 servicemembers from Pennsylvania—the fifth highest toll of any State. Over 53,000 have been wounded, including more than 2,000 from Pennsylvania. Although this administration refuses to be transparent in its deployment tracking, press reports indicate that approximately 7,500 Americans are currently serving in Iraq and Afghanistan, with an additional 65,000 serving in the Persian Gulf and Saudi Arabia.

This year, for the first time in nearly two decades, Pennsylvania did not lose any servicemembers abroad. While I am grateful Pennsylvania did not suffer losses this year, this milestone only serves as a reminder of the grim toll that the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have taken on the Commonwealth and the Nation. As families of fallen servicemembers continue to mourn, we must reconsider the nature of our military commitments overseas and recommit to ensuring those who serve are taken care of.

These fighting men and women were born into families, not into divisions and brigades. They are sons and daughters, husbands and wives, fathers and mothers. Their love for their families is matched only by their devotion to our country.

But many more bear the scars of war. Some families have a loved one who served in Iraq or Afghanistan and returned home but was one of the 53,250

wounded. And we must not overlook the unusually high percentage of Iraq and Afghanistan veterans who have died since returning home, whether from drug overdose or suicide. Thousands of American families continue to pay a terrible price for the courage and dedication of their family members who gave life and limb for this country.

As we look to a new year and a new Congress, I am reminded of the moments when policymakers reached across the aisle, putting partisan differences aside to implement ideas that best served the American people. We can think about the establishment of the first system of State veterans homes after the Civil War, enactment of the GI bill after World War II, creation of the modern, Cabinet-level Department of Veterans Affairs under President Reagan, all required foresight and courage to see come to fruition. The year ahead provides an opportunity for further bipartisan cooperation in service of our veterans and our men and women in uniform.

Each of us, those who have served and those who haven't, must be a part of the effort toward healing. We can meet today's challenges with the spirit of the women in Boalsburg so many years ago, to remember our past and keep working towards our future. We can continue our work here in Washington, in Pennsylvania, and across the Nation, to ensure we are worthy of the valor of the men and women we commemorate today. I call on my colleagues in the Senate to reflect every day on the sacrifice of those who came before us.

As another year comes to a close, we remember and honor those who have laid down their lives for this great Nation. God bless them.

TRIBUTE TO SENATOR DOUG JONES' STAFF

Mr. JONES. Mr. President, as I mentioned in my farewell speech last week, I also wanted to spend a few final moments here on the Senate floor honoring my incredible staff. As the first Democrat elected to the Senate from Alabama in two decades, I had no idea how many brilliant young folks would want to come work in my offices, but we were absolutely overwhelmed with the talent my election attracted—and not just from Alabama—we had applicants from all over the country. We of course tried to give priority to folks from Alabama, but we did have a few from other States who brought special expertise and who, after just a short time with us, became honorary Alabamians.

Some of my staff came from other Senate or House offices where they had been biding their time, hoping 1 day to work for an Alabama Democratic Senator. Their experience was critical. Some staff members brought no legislative experience at all, but they were quick and eager learners and got up to speed unbelievably fast. What they all

had in common was a deep and sincere commitment to doing the right thing for the right reasons.

There were very few shortcuts and very few easy decisions, but we all worked hard to understand the issues backwards and forwards and to do our best for the people of Alabama and for our country. Although each member of the staff was acutely aware that I would have to stand for reelection in just 3 short years, they were as committed as I was to not let political considerations enter into our deliberations. Instead we focused on the needs of our constituents, big and small, and doing all we could to make sure those needs were met.

Over the past 3 years, we have become a family, and we have had some fun to boot. Equally important, we have gotten things done. We led or coled over 20 bipartisan bills that were signed into law. We mustered enough support to finally repeal the Widow's Tax. We secured permanent Federal funding for HBCUs and minority-serving institutions. We made things easier for folks applying for Federal student loans. We secured authorizations and appropriations for hundreds of millions of dollars in funding for defense-related projects in Alabama. We set up a process to collect and make available to the public the Federal records from unsolved civil rights crimes. We completed 2,094 requests for casework help and responded to hundreds of thousands of calls, letters, and emails from Alabama constituents. We submitted 624 letters in support of Alabamians' grant applications, resulting in \$270,973,411 in awards for municipalities, nonprofits, colleges and universities, rural fire departments, health centers, and others. Out of the outstanding high school seniors who I nominated to our military service academies, 44 have received appointments, and that is in just our first 2 years alone; we won't know the results for 2020 until next spring. We did more than 1,000 interviews, speeches, town-halls, and events across the State of Alabama and in DC. None of these things, absolutely none of them, would have been possible without the hard work and unflagging dedication of my staff.

My time in the U.S. Senate was enhanced immeasurably by the tangible and intangible contributions of my staff. As I said earlier, we knew we only had 3 years guaranteed, and we made the most of it. From our amazing record of legislative accomplishments, to our warm and welcoming office culture, to our emphasis on bipartisanship and collegiality, we made a positive difference, and I am immensely proud to have served with each of them.

Although it is impossible to go through the contributions of each I do want to single out a few who really made the office click or were responsible in some way for a major accomplishment.

First is my chief of staff Dana Gresham. Dana came to me by way of the

House and then the Department of Transportation. He has always been a leader, and for me, I was so proud to have him as the first African-American chief of staff for a Democratic Senator. He was instrumental in building the staff and guiding the delicate nature of Beltway and Alabama politics.

My legislative director Mark Libell brought a level of experience and direction to our legislative team that I think is unmatched in the Senate. He brought a level of skill to the legislative process that is largely responsible for the many successes we had.

Katie Campbell doubled as the deputy legislative director and legislative assistant on our healthcare related matters. She brought a tremendous amount of knowledge and was thus a tremendous resource for our healthcare agenda, which was always one of my top priorities.

On the Banking Committee, I was fortunate to have Mike Berman for a couple of years before he moved into the private sector. Mike began the painstaking process of revising the country's money laundering laws which his successor on the staff, Lauren Oppenheimer, picked up. Thanks to their combined efforts and working with colleagues and various stakeholders and regulators, Congress passed as part of the NDAA an update to our money laundering laws for the first time in decades.

My friend of 20 years Cissy Jackson came to Washington after practicing law with me in Birmingham. She had no legislative experience but soon became a rockstar among the Senate staff on both sides of the aisle, first with the Homeland Security Committee and then as my MLA on the Armed Services Committee. So much of what we were able to secure for the people of Alabama in the NDAA is a direct result of Cissy's tireless and persistent efforts.

Rebecca Howard handled education policy for me. Through her efforts, we secured additional and permanent funding for HBCUs, as well as the success in simplifying the FAFSA form, which will allow so many kids in Alabama to receive a college education. She also coordinated the annual HBCU symposiums that we conducted each year.

The director of my State staff was Brantley Fry. Brantley made sure that I stayed incredibly busy when I was at home. We traveled from one end of the State to the other. We visited businesses, military installations, schools, and farms. She coordinated the town-halls and roundtables, all with an eye to the important issues facing the State.

Heather Fluit was my communications director and she did tremendous work keeping the people of Alabama up to speed on all the good work that we were doing in Washington and around the State. This could be a difficult job at times on weedy issues like money laundering, but Heather excelled.

I could not conclude these remarks, however, without once again noting what was one of my proudest moments in the Senate when we successfully eliminated the Military Widow's Tax. It took a tremendous amount of effort and persistence in making that legislation a reality, and so much of the credit goes to Sarah Kate Sullivan in my office. Like me and my colleague Senator COLLINS, Sarah Kate never gave up, and today, so many military families will benefit from her efforts.

My wife Louise and I thank each of these individuals listed below from the bottom of our hearts. We love them all like family, and we wish them all the very best in their future careers:

Katie Campbell, Deputy Legislative Director.

Olivia Chartier, Deputy Scheduler.

Sam Coleman, Press Secretary.

Jordan Cozby, Staff Assistant.

Gregory Early, Military Fellow.

Elise Fink, Fellow.

Mike Flint, Fellow.

Heather Fluit, Communications Director.

Jasmine Goodman, Staff Assistant.

Dana Gresham, Chief of Staff.

Rebecca Howard, Legislative Assistant.

Cissy Jackson, Counsel and National Security Advisor.

Roger Johnson, Staff Assistant.

Darren Kinnaird, Systems Administrator.

Smriti Krishnan, Heflin Fellow.

Mark Libell, Deputy Chief of Staff / Legislative Director.

Leila Mohaideen, Digital Director / Deputy Press Secretary.

Becca Murdoch, Legislative Correspondent.

Lacy Nelson, Digital Assistant / Deputy Press Secretary.

Koby Noel, Mailroom Director.

Lauren Oppenheimer, Senior Economic Policy Advisor.

Avery Phillip, Press Assistant.

Judy Rainey, Administrative Director.

Zoe Scott, Staff Assistant.

Abby Selden, Counsel.

Laura Sherrod, Legislative Aide.

Jack Spalding, Research Director.

Jessica Spence, Legislative Correspondent.

Garrett Stephens, Legislative Correspondent.

Caroline Stonecipher, Press Secretary.

Brenda Strickland, Director of Scheduling.

Sarah Kate Sullivan, Projects Director.

Ted Trippi, Legislative Correspondent.

Emma Turner, Scheduling Assistant.

Calvin Willborn, Special Assistant.

Kern Williams, Legislative Correspondent.

Michael Williams, Legislative Correspondent.

ALABAMA

Anna Bern, Field Representative.

Bonnie Beviacqua, Staff Assistant.

Beau Bowden, Field Representative.

Ricky Chapman, Staff Assistant.

Eden Cockrell, Staff Assistant.

Paula Cox, Staff Assistant.

Donald Dees, Field Representative.

Sam Duff, Staff Assistant.

Jason Fisher, Field Representative.

Brantley Fry, State Director.

April Hodges, Field Representative.

Sandra Jackson, Regional Director.

Shanderla McMillian, Caseworker.

Kate Messervy, Field Representative.

Jim Perdue, Regional Director.

Jose Perry Jr, Regional Director.

June Reeves-Weir, Caseworker.

Liz Rutledge, Field Representative.

Amber Selman-Lynn, Field Representative.

Ron Sparks, Senior Advisor.

Al Stokes, Regional Director.
Rick Tate, Staff Assistant.
Susan Thompson, Caseworker and Grants Coordinator.
Zandra Wilson, Caseworker.
Violeta Yanez, Community Outreach Coordinator.

Thank you.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

REMEMBERING RICHARD RONALD KNOCK

• Mr. PAUL. Mr. President, I rise to honor the passing of a great Kentuckian, Richard Ronald Knock, or Dick, as he was known to his friends and family. He died December 8, 2020, at the age of 82.

Dick was born June 28, 1938 in Cold Spring, KY. Dick's name was always mentioned with high praise. He was valued in his community, as he was known to lend a helping hand when needed and provided a thoughtful ear when spoken to. Mr. Knock came from a humble upbringing, but through his own deeds, he rose to the pinnacle of his profession, being a respected member of many institutions, including as CEO of Knock Investments; CEO of Omaha Enterprises; through his service on the Board of Trustees at University of the Cumberlands; and as chairman of Board of Commissioners for the Boone County Water District.

Dick was also an active political pundit within his community. His commitment to freedom was unmatched, and he often proved to be a great source of wisdom for elected leaders such as myself. Dick worked tirelessly in bringing Republicans throughout the Commonwealth together in the name of freedom. I can recall during my first election he opened his house for an event that featured my predecessor, Senator Jim Bunning, that helped unify Republicans in a critical area of our State. A picture from that event hangs in my Washington office to this day. With his passing, we have lost one of our brightest and loudest freedom fighters, but, as Dick would want, the voices of liberty will remain loud and clear.

While we share in the great sadness of his passing, it with great joy we look back at his life, his many accomplishments, and the positive impact he had on his community and Kentuckians across the Commonwealth. We honor Dick and his family, and may he rest in peace.●

50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ACADEMY OF ART MINISTRIES

• Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina. Mr. President, today I would like to take a moment to recognize a milestone achievement for a ministry in my State. The Academy of Arts Ministries is celebrating its 50th anniversary in South Carolina on January 8, 2021. This ministry has trained thousands of students in the arts and sent them out in

their local communities to share the Good News about God through their art.

Its founder, Dr. Nicky Chavers, has dedicated the last 50 years to giving young people a safe avenue to be creative through the Logos Theatre, nation-wide traveling ministries, a professional film company and more. The young people in my great state of South Carolina have truly benefited from the sacrifices of Dr. Chavers and the entire team at the Academy of Art Ministries.●

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT

ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION SIGNED

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 3, 2019, the Secretary of the Senate, on December 20, 2020, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the Speaker had signed the following enrolled joint resolution:

H.J. Res. 110. Joint resolution making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021, and for other purposes.

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 3, 2019, the enrolled joint resolution was signed on December 20, 2020, during the adjournment of the Senate, by the Acting President pro tempore (Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina).

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

At 5:07 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bills:

S. 979. An act to amend the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 to incorporate the recommendations made by the Government Accountability Office relating to advance contracts, and for other purposes.

S. 1694. An act to require the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to add recommendations and inform other relevant agencies of information relating to the principle of due regard and the limitation of harmful interference with Apollo landing site artifacts, and for other purposes.

S. 2174. An act to the extent provided in advance in appropriations Act, the Attorney General is authorized to use funds appropriated for the operationalization, maintenance, and expansion of the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) for the purpose of carrying out this Act.

S. 2683. An act to establish a task force to assist States in implementing hiring requirements for child care staff members to improve child safety.

S. 2730. An act to establish and ensure an inclusive and transparent Drone Advisory Committee.

S. 3312. An act to establish a crisis stabilization and community reentry grant program, and for other purposes.

S. 3418. An act to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to allow the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency to provide capitalization grants to States to

establish revolving funds to provide hazard mitigation assistance to reduce risks from disasters and natural hazards, and other related environmental harm.

S. 3989. An act to amend the United States Semiquincentennial Commission Act of 2016 to modify certain membership and other requirements of the United States Semiquincentennial Commission, and for other purposes.

S. 5036. An act to amend the Overtime Pay for Protective Services Act of 2016 to extend the Secret Service overtime pay exception through 2023, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1966. An act to direct the Comptroller General of the United States to complete a study on barriers to participation in federally funded cancer clinical trials by populations that have been traditionally underrepresented in such trials.

H.R. 5023. An act to name the Department of Veterans Affairs community-based outpatient clinic in Youngstown, Ohio, as the "Carl Nunziato VA Clinic".

H.R. 5459. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to correct a land ownership error within the boundary of Rocky Mountain National Park, and for other purposes.

H.R. 6237. An act to amend the Indian Health Care Improvement Act to clarify the requirement of the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Defense to reimburse the Indian Health Service for certain health care services.

H.R. 7898. An act to amend the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act to require the Secretary of Health and Human Services to consider certain recognized security practices of covered entities and business associates when making certain determinations, and for other purposes.

H.R. 8906. An act to amend title XXIX of the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize the program under such title relating to lifespan respite care.

The enrolled bills were subsequently signed by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

At 9:34 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has agreed to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1520) to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological products, and for other purposes, with an amendment, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate.

The message further announced that the House has agreed to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 133) to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico, with an amendment, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate.

The message also announced that the House has agreed to the following concurrent resolutions, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H. Con. Res. 128. Directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1520.

H. Con. Res. 127. Directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 133.

At 10:22 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, without amendment:

S. 4996. An act to ensure funding of the United States trustees, extend temporary bankruptcy judgeships, and for other purposes.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

At 11:30 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. BEYER) has signed the following enrolled bill: H.R. 1520. An act making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021, and for other purposes.

The enrolled bill was subsequently signed by the Acting President pro tempore (Mr. THUNE).

ENROLLED BILLS PRESENTED

The Secretary of the Senate reported that on today, December 21, 2020, she had presented to the President of the United States the following enrolled bills:

S. 212. An act to amend the Native American Business Development, Trade Promotion, and Tourism Act of 2000, the Buy Indian Act, and the Native American Programs Act of 1974 to provide industry and economic development opportunities to Indian communities.

S. 461. An act to strengthen the capacity and competitiveness of historically Black colleges and universities through robust public-sector, private-sector, and community partnerships and engagement, and for other purposes.

S. 900. An act to designate the community-based outpatient clinic of the Department of Veterans Affairs in Bozeman, Montana, as the Travis W. Atkins Department of Veterans Affairs Clinic.

S. 906. An act to improve the management of driftnet fishing.

S. 914. An act to reauthorize the Integrated Coastal and Ocean Observation System Act of 2009, to clarify the authority of the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration with respect to post-storm assessments, and to require the establishment of a National Water Center, and for other purposes.

S. 979. An act to amend the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 to incorporate the recommendations made by the Government Accountability Office relating to advance contracts, and for other purposes.

S. 1130. An act to amend the Public Health Service Act to improve the health of children and help better understand and enhance awareness about unexpected sudden death in early life.

S. 1342. An act to require the Under Secretary for Oceans and Atmosphere to update periodically the environmental sensitivity index products of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for each coastal area of the Great Lakes, and for other purposes.

S. 1694. An act to require the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to add recommendations and inform other relevant agencies of information relating to the principle of due regard and the limitation of harmful interference with Apollo landing site artifacts, and for other purposes.

S. 1869. An act to require the disclosure of ownership of high-security space leased to accommodate a Federal agency, and for other purposes.

S. 2174. An act to the extent provided in advance in appropriations Act, the Attorney General is authorized to use funds appropriated for the operationalization, maintenance, and expansion of the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) for the purpose of carrying out this Act.

S. 2216. An act to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to formally recognize caregivers of veterans, notify veterans and caregivers of clinical determinations relating to eligibility for the family caregiver program, and temporarily extend benefits for veterans who are determined ineligible for the family caregiver program, and for other purposes.

S. 2472. An act to redesignate the NASA John H. Glenn Research Center at Plum Brook Station, Ohio, as the NASA John H. Glenn Research Center at the Neil A. Armstrong Test Facility.

S. 2683. An act to establish a task force to assist States in implementing hiring requirements for child care staff members to improve child safety.

S. 2730. An act to establish and ensure an inclusive and transparent Drone Advisory Committee.

S. 3257. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 311 West Wisconsin Avenue in Tomahawk, Wisconsin, as the "Einar 'Sarge' H. Ingman, Jr. Post Office Building".

S. 3312. An act to establish a crisis stabilization and community reentry grant program, and for other purposes.

S. 3418. An act to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to allow the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency to provide capitalization grants to States to establish revolving funds to provide hazard mitigation assistance to reduce risks from disasters and natural hazards, and other related environmental harm.

S. 3461. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2600 Wesley Street in Greenville, Texas, as the "Audie Murphy Post Office Building".

S. 3462. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 909 West Holiday Drive in Fate, Texas, as the "Ralph Hall Post Office".

S. 3989. An act to amend the United States Semiquincentennial Commission Act of 2016 to modify certain membership and other requirements of the United States Semiquincentennial Commission, and for other purposes.

S. 4126. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 104 East Main Street in Port Washington, Wisconsin, as the "Joseph G. Demler Post Office".

S. 4684. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 440 Arapahoe Street in Thermopolis, Wyoming, as the "Robert L. Brown Post Office".

S. 5036. An act to amend the Overtime Pay for Protective Services Act of 2016 to extend the Secret Service overtime pay exception through 2023, and for other purposes.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. CASSIDY (for himself, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mr. TESTER):

S. 5078. A bill to amend the CARES Act to extend the temporary relief from troubled debt restructurings; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

By Mr. CASEY:

S. 5079. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to repeal the suspension of eligibility for grants, loans, and work assist-

ance for drug-related offenses; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. REED, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. DURBIN, and Mrs. GILLIBRAND):

S. 5080. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to require oil polluters to pay the full cost of oil spills, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. REED, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. DURBIN, and Mrs. GILLIBRAND):

S. 5081. A bill to amend the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 to require oil polluters to pay the full cost of oil spills, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

By Ms. WARREN:

S. 5082. A bill to provide Federal support for COVID-19 testing, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. YOUNG:

S. 5083. A bill to establish within the Department of Veterans Affairs a pay-for-results pilot program to assist veterans, members of the Armed Forces on active duty, and their family members at risk of social isolation and loneliness, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. BRAUN:

S. 5084. A bill to increase transparency and access to group health plan and health insurance issuer reporting, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 1088

At the request of Mr. WARNER, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 1088, a bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to require the President to set a minimum annual goal for the number of refugees to be admitted, and for other purposes.

S. 1827

At the request of Mr. HEINRICH, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 1827, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude corporations operating prisons from the definition of taxable REIT subsidiary.

S. 2390

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the names of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. MERKLEY), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) were added as cosponsors of S. 2390, a bill to prohibit the imposition of the death penalty for any violation of Federal law, and for other purposes.

S. 2773

At the request of Mr. HEINRICH, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 2773, a bill to require non-Federal prison, correctional, and detention facilities holding Federal prisoners or detainees under a contract with the Federal Government to make the same information available to the public that Federal prisons and correctional facilities are required to make available.

S. 3103

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Mr.

KELLY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3103, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to restore State authority to waive for certain facilities the 35-mile rule for designating critical access hospitals under the Medicare program.

S. 3206

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3206, a bill to amend the Help America Vote Act of 2002 to increase voting accessibility for individuals with disabilities and older individuals, and for other purposes.

S. 4055

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. SMITH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4055, a bill to address health workforce shortages and disparities highlighted by the COVID-19 pandemic through additional funding for the National Health Service Corps and the Nurse Corps, and to establish a National Health Service Corps Emergency Service demonstration project.

S. 4461

At the request of Mr. LANKFORD, the names of the Senator from Alaska (Ms. MURKOWSKI) and the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. SASSE) were added as cosponsors of S. 4461, a bill to provide for a period of continuing appropriations in the event of a lapse in appropriations under the normal appropriations process, and establish procedures and consequences in the event of a failure to enact appropriations.

S. 4742

At the request of Mr. BROWN, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 4742, a bill to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to promote access to life-saving therapies for Medicaid enrollees by ensuring coverage of routine patient costs for items and services furnished in connection with participation in qualifying clinical trials, and for other purposes.

S. 4757

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the names of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. KAINE), the Senator from Hawaii (Ms. HIRONO) and the Senator from Colorado (Mr. BENNET) were added as cosponsors of S. 4757, a bill to amend the Animal Welfare Act to establish additional requirements for dealers, and for other purposes.

S. 4867

At the request of Mr. COONS, the names of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. LEAHY) and the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN) were added as cosponsors of S. 4867, a bill to direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to support research on, and expanded access to, investigational drugs for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and for other purposes.

S. 4898

At the request of Ms. MURKOWSKI, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Mr.

KELLY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4898, a bill to amend title VI of the Social Security Act to extend the period during which States, Indian Tribes, and local governments may use Coronavirus Relief Fund payments.

S. 4967

At the request of Mr. BLUNT, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. 4967, a bill to amend the CARES Act to extend the excise tax holiday period for aviation taxes.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2726. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 625, affirming the benefits of "Buying American".

SA 2727. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 625, *supra*.

SA 2728. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 625, *supra*.

SA 2729. Mr. CORNYN (for Mrs. FISCHER) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 371, to provide regulatory relief to charitable organizations that provide housing assistance, and for other purposes.

SA 2730. Mr. CORNYN (for Mr. RUBIO (for himself and Mr. SCHATZ)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2429, to reauthorize the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 and to establish the United States Coral Reef Task Force, and for other purposes.

SA 2731. Mr. WARNER (for Mr. ROUNDS (for himself and Mr. WARNER)) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. Warner to the bill H.R. 133, to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2732. Mr. BOOZMAN (for Mr. WICKER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 3153, to direct the Director of the National Science Foundation to support research on opioid addiction, and for other purposes.

SA 2733. Mr. BOOZMAN proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 774, honoring the United Nations World Food Programme on the occasion of being awarded the 2020 Nobel Peace Prize.

SA 2734. Mr. BOOZMAN proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 774, *supra*.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 2726. Mr. SCOTT of Florida proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 625, affirming the benefits of "Buying American"; as follows:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following: "That—

(1) it is the policy of the United States Government to "Buy American" products for public use when fiscally and reasonably possible, in accordance with the Buy American Act of 1933 (41 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.); and

(2) the Senate supports American manufacturing and strengthening our American manufacturing base.

SA 2727. Mr. SCOTT of Florida proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 625, affirming the benefits of "Buying American"; as follows:

Strike the preamble and insert the following:

Whereas, on July 15, 2019, President Donald J. Trump signed Executive Order 13881 titled

"Maximizing Use of American-Made Goods, Products, and Materials" (84 Fed. Reg. 34257), which would enforce the Buy American Act of 1933 (41 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.) to the greatest extent permitted by law;

Whereas, the Buy American Act of 1933 requires Federal agencies to procure materials and products domestically—

(1) when the materials are intended for public use within the United States; and

(2) when the materials to be procured (or the materials from which they are manufactured) are present in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available commercial quantities of a satisfactory quality;

Whereas American-made products are developed under United States' regulations and undergo testing to ensure their compliance with United States' safety standards, which are among the highest standards in the world;

Whereas American-made products are produced by workers earning competitive wages and working in safe working conditions;

Whereas purchasing American-made products supports the producers of those products and their communities;

Whereas, according to the Manufacturing Institute, each dollar spent in sales of manufactured products supports \$1.33 in output from other sectors of the economy;

Whereas, according to the Manufacturers Alliance for Productivity and Innovation Foundation, for every full-time job in manufacturing, there are 3.4 full-time equivalent jobs created in non-manufacturing industries;

Whereas, according to a 2017 poll conducted by Reuters and Ipsos, when buying products, nearly 70 percent of Americans find it important that the products they buy were made in the United States;

Whereas strengthening American manufacturing supports employment in the United States, produces innovation and the seeds for future industries, and supports the global competitiveness of the United States;

Whereas a strong American manufacturing base is an important component in helping to revitalize the United States economy as it recovers from the global COVID-19 pandemic;

Whereas a strong domestic supply chain for certain goods and close cooperation with trusted allies can support national security and public safety;

Whereas the global COVID-19 pandemic presented significant challenges for the globally interconnected supply chain of medical products and has heightened the need for supply chain security of a variety of critical materials and products; and

Whereas, regarding the manufacturing of critical medical supplies and products essential to national security, national stockpiles and a healthy domestic industrial base would help handle any future surge in need for these supplies: Now, therefore, be it

SA 2728. Mr. SCOTT of Florida proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 625, affirming the benefits of "Buying American"; as follows:

Amend the title so as to read: "A resolution affirming the benefits of 'Buying American'".

SA 2729. Mr. CORNYN (for Mrs. FISCHER) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 371, to provide regulatory relief to charitable organizations that provide housing assistance, and for other purposes; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Building Up Independent Lives and Dreams Act” or the “BUILD Act”.

SEC. 2. MORTGAGE LOAN TRANSACTION DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.

(a) TILA AMENDMENT.—Section 105 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1604) is amended by inserting after subsection (d) the following:

“(e) DISCLOSURE FOR CHARITABLE MORTGAGE LOAN TRANSACTIONS.—With respect to a mortgage loan transaction involving a residential mortgage loan offered at 0 percent interest with only bonafide and reasonable fees and that is primarily for charitable purposes by an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code, forms HUD-1 and GFE (as defined under section 1024.2(b) of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations) together with a disclosure substantially in the form of the Loan Model Form H-2 (as depicted in Appendix H to part 1026 of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations) shall, collectively, be an appropriate model form for purposes of subsection (b) of this section.”.

(b) RESPA AMENDMENT.—Section 4 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act of 1974 (12 U.S.C. 2603) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) DISCLOSURE FOR CHARITABLE MORTGAGE LOAN TRANSACTIONS.—With respect to a mortgage loan transaction involving a residential mortgage loan offered at 0 percent interest with only bonafide and reasonable fees and that is primarily for charitable purposes, an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code may use forms HUD-1 and GFE (as defined under section 1024.2(b) of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations) together with a disclosure substantially in the form of the Loan Model Form H-2 (as depicted in Appendix H to part 1026 of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations), collectively, in lieu of the disclosure published under subsection (a) of this section.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SA 2730. Mr. CORNYN (for Mr. RUBIO (for himself and Mr. SCHATZ)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2429, to reauthorize the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 and to establish the United States Coral Reef Task Force, and for other purposes; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Restoring Resilient Reefs Act of 2020”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—REAUTHORIZATION OF CORAL REEF CONSERVATION ACT OF 2000

Sec. 101. Reauthorization of Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000.

Sec. 102. Modification to section 204 of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6403).

TITLE II—UNITED STATES CORAL REEF TASK FORCE

Sec. 201. Establishment.

Sec. 202. Duties.

Sec. 203. Membership.

Sec. 204. Responsibilities of Federal agency members.

Sec. 205. Working groups.

Sec. 206. Definitions.

TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR CORAL REEF AUTHORITIES

Sec. 301. Coral reef conservation and restoration assistance.

TITLE IV—SUSAN L. WILLIAMS NATIONAL CORAL REEF MANAGEMENT FELLOWSHIP

Sec. 401. Short title.

Sec. 402. Definitions.

Sec. 403. Establishment of fellowship program.

Sec. 404. Fellowship awards.

Sec. 405. Matching requirement.

TITLE I—REAUTHORIZATION OF CORAL REEF CONSERVATION ACT OF 2000**SEC. 101. REAUTHORIZATION OF CORAL REEF CONSERVATION ACT OF 2000.**

(a) PURPOSES; FEDERAL CORAL REEF MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION ACTIVITIES.—The Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6401 et seq.) is amended by striking sections 202 and 203 and inserting the following:

“SEC. 202. PURPOSES.

“The purposes of this title are—

“(1) to preserve, sustain, and restore the condition of United States coral reef ecosystems challenged by natural and human-accelerated changes, including increasing ocean temperatures, ocean acidification, coral bleaching, coral diseases, water quality degradation, invasive species, and illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing;

“(2) to promote the science-based management and sustainable use of coral reef ecosystems to benefit local communities and the Nation, including through improved integration and cooperation among Federal, State, and locally managed jurisdictions with coral reef equities;

“(3) to develop sound scientific information on the condition of coral reef ecosystems, continuing and emerging threats to such ecosystems, and the efficacy of innovative tools, technologies, and strategies to mitigate stressors and restore such ecosystems, including evaluation criteria to determine the effectiveness of management interventions, and accurate mapping for coral reef restoration;

“(4) to assist in the preservation of coral reefs by supporting science-based, consensus-driven State, Tribal, and community-based coral reef management, including conservation and restoration projects that empower local communities, small businesses, and nongovernmental organizations;

“(5) to provide financial resources, technical assistance, and scientific expertise to supplement and strengthen State and community-based management programs and conservation and restoration projects;

“(6) to establish a formal mechanism for collecting and allocating monetary donations from the private sector to be used for coral reef conservation and restoration projects;

“(7) to support the rapid and effective, science-based assessment and response to emergencies that imminently threaten coral reefs, such as coral disease outbreaks, invasive species, hurricanes, marine heat waves, coral bleaching, and other natural disasters, vessel groundings or chemical spills, and other exigent circumstances; and

“(8) to serve as a model for advancing similar international efforts to preserve, sustain, and restore coral reef ecosystems in the jurisdictions of United States allies and trading partners.

“SEC. 203. FEDERAL CORAL REEF MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION ACTIVITIES.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator or the Secretary of the Interior may conduct activities described in subsection (b) to conserve and restore coral reefs and coral reef ecosystems that are consistent with—

“(1) all applicable laws governing resource management in Federal and State waters, including this Act;

“(2) the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A;

“(3) coral reef action plans in effect under section 205, as applicable; and

“(4) coral reef emergency plans in effect under section 209, as applicable.

“(b) ACTIVITIES DESCRIBED.—Activities described in this subsection are activities to conserve, research, monitor, assess, and restore coral reefs and coral reef ecosystems in waters managed under the jurisdiction of a Federal agency specified in subsection (c) or in coordination with a State in waters managed under the jurisdiction of such State, including—

“(1) developing, including through the collection of requisite data, high-quality and digitized maps reflecting—

“(A) current and historical live coral cover data;

“(B) coral reef habitat quality data;

“(C) priority areas for coral reef conservation to maintain biodiversity and ecosystem structure and function that benefit coastal communities and living marine resources;

“(D) priority areas for coral reef restoration to enhance biodiversity and ecosystem structure and function to benefit coastal communities and living marine resources; and

“(E) areas of concern that may require enhanced monitoring of coral health and cover.

“(2) enhancing compliance with Federal laws that prohibit or regulate—

“(A) the taking of coral products or species associated with coral reefs; or

“(B) the use and management of coral reef ecosystems;

“(3) long-term ecological monitoring of coral reef ecosystems;

“(4) implementing species-specific recovery plans for listed coral species consistent with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);

“(5) restoring degraded coral reef ecosystems;

“(6) promoting ecologically sound navigation and anchorages, including mooring buoy systems to promote enhanced recreational access, near coral reefs;

“(7) monitoring and responding to severe bleaching or mortality events, disease outbreaks, invasive species outbreaks, and significant maritime accidents, including chemical spill cleanup and the removal of grounded vessels;

“(8) conducting scientific research that contributes to the understanding, sustainable use, and long-term conservation of coral reefs;

“(9) enhancing public awareness, understanding, and appreciation of coral reefs and coral reef ecosystems;

“(10) preventing or minimizing the likelihood of vessel impacts or other physical damage to coral reefs through navigational aids and expansion of reef-safe anchorages; and

“(11) centrally archiving, managing, and distributing data sets and coral reef ecosystem assessments and publishing such information on publicly available internet websites of—

“(A) the Coral Reef Conservation Program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and

“(B) the Task Force.

“(c) FEDERAL AGENCIES SPECIFIED.—A Federal agency specified in this subsection is one of the following:

“(1) The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

“(2) The National Park Service.

“(3) The United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

“(4) The Office of Insular Affairs.

“(d) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of appropriations and at the discretion of the Secretary of Commerce, the Administrator may enter into cooperative agreements with States to fund coral reef conservation and restoration activities in waters managed under the jurisdiction of such States that are consistent with the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A.

“(2) LIMITATION.—The Administrator may not provide more than \$500,000 in total funding under paragraph (1) to any one State in any fiscal year.”

(b) ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS.—The Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6401 et seq.) is amended by striking sections 205 through 210 and inserting the following:

“SEC. 204A. NATIONAL CORAL REEF RESILIENCE STRATEGY.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall—

“(1) develop a national coral reef resilience strategy; and

“(2) periodically, but not less frequently than every 15 years, review and revise the strategy.

“(b) ELEMENTS.—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

“(1) A discussion addressing—

“(A) continuing and emerging threats to the resilience of United States coral reef ecosystems;

“(B) remaining gaps in coral reef ecosystem research, monitoring, and assessment;

“(C) the status of management cooperation and integration among Federal, State, Tribal, and locally managed jurisdictions with coral reef equities;

“(D) the status of efforts to manage and disseminate critical information, and enhance interjurisdictional data sharing, related to research, reports, datasets, and maps;

“(E) areas of special focus, which may include—

“(i) improving natural coral recruitment;

“(ii) preventing avoidable losses of corals and their habitat;

“(iii) enhancing the resilience of coral populations;

“(iv) supporting a resilience-based management approach;

“(v) developing, coordinating, and implementing watershed management plans;

“(vi) building and sustaining watershed management capacity at the local level;

“(vii) providing data essential for coral reef fisheries management;

“(viii) building capacity for coral reef fisheries management;

“(ix) increasing understanding of coral reef ecosystem services;

“(x) educating the public on the importance of coral reefs, threats and solutions; and

“(xi) evaluating intervention efficacy;

“(F) the status of conservation efforts, including the use of marine protected areas to serve as replenishment zones developed consistent with local practices and traditions and in cooperation with, and with respect for the scientific, technical, and management expertise and responsibilities of, State fish and wildlife management agencies; and

“(G) science-based adaptive management and restoration efforts.

“(2) A statement of national goals and objectives designed to guide—

“(A) future Federal coral reef management and restoration activities authorized under section 203;

“(B) conservation and restoration priorities for grants awarded under section 213; and

“(C) research priorities for the cooperative institutes established under section 215(c).

“(3) General templates for use by covered reef managers to guide the development of—

“(A) coral reef action plans under section 205; and

“(B) coral reef emergency plans under section 209.

“(c) CONSULTATIONS.—In developing all elements of the strategy required by subsection (a), the Administrator shall—

“(1) consult with the Secretary of the Interior, the Task Force, covered States, and Tribal organizations;

“(2) engage stakeholders, including coral reef stewardship partnerships, coral reef institutes and research centers described in section 215(c), and coral reef conservation grant awardees; and

“(3) solicit public review and comment regarding scoping and the draft strategy.

“(d) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS; PUBLICATION.—The Administrator shall—

“(1) submit the strategy required by subsection (a) and any revisions to the strategy to the appropriate congressional committees; and

“(2) publish the strategy and any such revisions on publicly available internet websites of—

“(A) the Coral Reef Conservation Program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and

“(B) the Task Force.

“(e) TRANSITION RULE.—On and after the date of the enactment of the Restoring Resilient Reefs Act of 2020, the 2018 Coral Reef Conservation Program Strategic Plan of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration shall be considered to be the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under this section until the earlier of—

“(1) September 30, 2033; or

“(2) the date on which the Administrator develops a national coral reef resilience strategy under this section.

“SEC. 205. CORAL REEF ACTION PLANS.

“(a) CORAL REEF ACTION PLANS.—Except as provided in subsection (h), not later than 3 years after the date of the enactment of the Restoring Resilient Reefs Act of 2020, and not later than 2 years after the publication of a revised national coral reef resilience strategy under section 204A, each covered reef manager shall prepare and submit to the Task Force a coral reef action plan to guide management and restoration activities to be undertaken within the responsibilities and jurisdiction of the manager.

“(b) REQUIREMENTS.—A covered reef manager preparing a coral reef action plan under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) ensure that the plan is consistent with all elements of the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect; and

“(2) revise the plan not less frequently than once every 5 years.

“(c) PLAN ELEMENTS.—A coral reef action plan under subsection (a) shall include a discussion of the following elements:

“(1) Short- and mid-term coral reef conservation and restoration objectives within the applicable jurisdiction.

“(2) An updated adaptive management framework to inform research, monitoring, and assessment needs.

“(3) The status of any coral reef emergency plans in effect under section 209 covering coral reef ecosystems within the applicable jurisdiction.

“(4) Tools, strategies, and partnerships necessary to identify, monitor, and redress pollution and water quality impacts to coral reef ecosystems within the applicable jurisdiction.

“(5) The status of efforts to improve coral reef ecosystem management cooperation and

integration among neighboring Federal, State, Tribal, or locally managed jurisdictions, including the identification of existing research and monitoring activities that can be leveraged for coral reef status and trends assessments within the applicable jurisdiction.

“(6) An accounting of annual expenditures on coral reef management and restoration activities within the applicable jurisdiction while the preceding action plan, if any, was in effect.

“(7) Estimated budgetary and resource considerations necessary to carry out the proposed action plan.

“(d) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Administrator and the Task Force shall make all reasonable efforts to provide technical assistance upon request by a covered reef manager developing a coral reef action plan under subsection (a).

“(e) ADOPTION OF CORAL REEF ACTION PLANS.—A covered reef manager may adopt a coral reef action plan developed by another covered reef manager, in full or in part, as relevant to the adopting manager's applicable jurisdiction.

“(f) PUBLIC REVIEW.—The development of a coral reef action plan by a covered reef manager under subsection (a), and the adoption of a plan under subsection (e), shall be subject to public review and comment.

“(g) PUBLICATION.—The Administrator shall publish each coral reef action plan prepared and submitted to the Task Force under this section on publicly available internet websites of—

“(1) the Coral Reef Conservation Program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and

“(2) the Task Force.

“(h) APPLICABILITY TO COVERED STATES AND CORAL REEF STEWARDSHIP PARTNERSHIPS.—A covered State or non-Federal coral reef stewardship partnership is not required to develop a coral reef action plan under subsection (a), but may do so in its own discretion. In developing a coral reef action plan, a covered State or non-Federal coral reef stewardship partnership is encouraged, but not mandated, to comply with the requirements of this section.

“(i) PLAN IN EFFECT.—A coral reef action plan shall be deemed to be in effect if the plan was submitted to the Task Force under this section during the preceding 6 years.

“SEC. 206. CORAL REEF STEWARDSHIP PARTNERSHIPS.

“(a) CORAL REEF STEWARDSHIP PARTNERSHIPS.—The Administrator shall establish standards for the formation of partnerships among government and community members for the stewardship of coral reefs (in this title referred to as ‘coral reef stewardship partnerships’) in accordance with this section, including guidance for preparation and submission of coral reef action plans under section 205.

“(b) IDENTIFICATION OF REEFS.—Each coral reef stewardship partnership shall identify with particularity the coral reef or ecologically significant component of a coral reef that will be the subject of its stewardship activities.

“(c) MEMBERSHIP FOR FEDERAL REEFS.—A coral reef stewardship partnership that has identified, as the subject of its stewardship activities, a coral reef or ecologically significant component of a coral reef that is fully or partially under the management jurisdiction of any Federal agency specified in section 203(c) shall, at a minimum, include the following:

“(1) That Federal agency, a representative of which shall serve as chair of the coral reef stewardship partnership.

“(2) A State, county, or Tribal organization's resource management agency.

“(3) A coral reef research center described in section 215(c)(4) or another institution of higher education.

“(4) A nongovernmental organization.

“(5) Such other members as the partnership considers appropriate, such as interested stakeholder groups.

“(d) MEMBERSHIP FOR NON-FEDERAL REEFS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A coral reef stewardship partnership that has identified, as the subject of its stewardship activities, a coral reef or ecologically significant component of a coral reef that is not under the management jurisdiction of any Federal agency specified in section 203(c) shall, at a minimum, include the following:

“(A) A State, county, or Tribal organization’s resource management agency, a representative of which shall serve as the chair of the coral reef stewardship partnership.

“(B) A coral reef research center described in section 215(c)(4) or another institution of higher education.

“(C) A nongovernmental organization.

“(D) Such other members as the partnership considers appropriate, such as interested stakeholder groups.

“(2) ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), a coral reef stewardship partnership described in paragraph (1) may also include representatives of one or more Federal agencies that have management responsibility in the reef that is the subject of the partnership’s stewardship activities.

“(B) REQUESTS; APPROVAL.—A representative of a Federal agency described in subparagraph (A) may become a member of a coral reef stewardship partnership described in paragraph (1) if—

“(i) the representative submits a request to become a member to the chair of the partnership referred to in paragraph (1)(A); and

“(ii) the chair consents to the request.

“(e) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to coral reef stewardship partnerships.

“SEC. 207. STATE BLOCK GRANTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall provide block grants of financial assistance to covered States to support management and restoration activities and further the implementation of coral reef action plans in effect under section 205 by covered States and non-Federal coral reef stewardship partnerships.

“(b) ELIGIBILITY FOR ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS.—A covered State shall qualify for and receive additional grant amounts beyond the base award specified in subsection (c)(1) if there is at least one coral reef action plan in effect within the jurisdiction of the covered State developed by that covered State or a non-Federal coral reef stewardship partnership.

“(c) FUNDING FORMULA.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the amount of each block grant awarded to a covered State under this section shall be the sum of—

“(1) a base award of \$100,000; and

“(2) if the State is eligible under subsection (b)—

“(A) an amount that is equal to non-Federal expenditures of up to \$3,000,000 on coral reef management and restoration activities within the jurisdiction of the State, as reported within the previous fiscal year; and

“(B) an additional amount, from any funds appropriated for block grants under this section that remain after distribution under subparagraph (A) and paragraph (1), based on the proportion of the State’s share of total non-Federal expenditures on coral reef management and restoration activities, as reported within the previous fiscal year, in ex-

cess of \$3,000,000, relative to other covered States.

“(d) EXCLUSIONS.—For the purposes of calculating block grant amounts under subsection (c), Federal funds provided to a covered State or non-Federal coral reef stewardship partnership shall not be considered as qualifying non-Federal expenditures, but non-Federal matching funds used to leverage Federal awards may be considered as qualifying non-Federal expenditures.

“(e) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator is responsible for—

“(1) providing guidance on qualifying non-Federal expenditures and the proper documentation of such expenditures;

“(2) issuing annual solicitations to covered States for additional awards under this section; and

“(3) determining the appropriate allocation of additional amounts among covered States in accordance with this section.

“(f) RESPONSIBILITIES OF COVERED STATES.—Each covered State is responsible for documenting non-Federal expenditures within the jurisdiction of the State and formally reporting those expenditures for review in response to annual solicitations by the Administrator under subsection (e).

“(g) UNEXPENDED AMOUNTS.—Any amounts available for block grants under this section that are not expended shall be transferred to the Coral Reef Stewardship Fund under section 208(b).

“(h) WAIVERS OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.—The Administrator may waive the eligibility requirements under subsection (b) through fiscal year 2023.

“SEC. 208. CORAL REEF STEWARDSHIP FUND.

“(a) AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS.—The Administrator may enter into an agreement with the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (in this section referred to as the ‘Foundation’), authorizing the Foundation to receive, hold, and administer funds received under this section.

“(b) FUND.—The Foundation shall invest, reinvest, and otherwise administer the funds received under this section and maintain such funds and any interest or revenues earned in a separate interest-bearing account, to be known as the ‘Coral Reef Stewardship Fund’ (in this section referred to as the ‘Fund’), and known before the date of the enactment of the Restoring Resilient Reefs Act of 2020 as the Coral Reef Conservation Fund administered through a public-private partnership with the Foundation), established by the Foundation solely to support coral reef stewardship partnership activities that—

“(1) further the purposes of this title; and

“(2) are consistent with—

“(A) the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A; and

“(B) coral reef action plans in effect, if any, under section 205 covering a coral reef or ecologically significant component of a coral reef to be impacted by such activities, if applicable.

“(c) AUTHORIZATION TO SOLICIT DONATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to an agreement entered into under subsection (a), the Foundation may accept, receive, solicit, hold, administer, and use any gift (including, notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31, United States Code, donations of services) to further the purposes of this title.

“(2) DEPOSITS IN FUND.—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code, any funds received as a gift shall be deposited and maintained in the Fund.

“(3) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days after funds are deposited in the Fund under paragraph (2), the Foundation

shall notify the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives of the source and amount of such funds.

“(d) REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE.—The Administrator shall conduct a continuing review of all deposits into, and disbursements from, the Fund. Each review shall include a written assessment concerning the extent to which the Foundation has implemented the goals and requirements of—

“(1) this section; and

“(2) the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A.

“(e) ADMINISTRATION.—Under an agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a), and subject to the availability of appropriations, the Administrator may transfer funds appropriated to carry out this title to the Foundation under this subsection may be used for matching, in whole or in part, contributions (whether in money, services, or property) made to the Foundation by private persons, State or local government agencies, or Tribal organizations.

“SEC. 209. CORAL REEF EMERGENCY PLANS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—A covered reef manager may develop and periodically update a plan (in this title referred to as a ‘coral reef emergency plan’) consistent with the template described in section 204A(b)(3) to guide the rapid and effective response to circumstances that pose an urgent and immediate threat to the coral reef ecosystems within the manager’s responsibilities and jurisdictions, and consistent with any applicable coral reef action plan.

“(b) CORAL REEF EMERGENCIES.—The Administrator shall develop a list of, and criteria for, circumstances that pose an urgent and immediate threat to coral reefs (in this title referred to as ‘coral reef emergencies’), including—

“(1) new and ongoing outbreaks of disease;

“(2) new and ongoing outbreaks of invasive or nuisance species;

“(3) new and ongoing coral bleaching events;

“(4) natural disasters;

“(5) man-made disasters, including vessel groundings, hazardous spills, or coastal construction accidents; and

“(6) other exigent circumstances.

“(c) BEST RESPONSE PRACTICES.—The Administrator shall develop guidance on best practices to respond to coral reef emergencies that can be adopted within coral reef emergency plans. Such best practices shall be—

“(1) based on the best available science and integrated with evolving innovative technologies; and

“(2) revised not less frequently than once every 5 years.

“(d) PLAN ELEMENTS.—A coral reef emergency plan shall include the following elements:

“(1) A description of particular threats, and the proposed responses, consistent with the best practices developed under subsection (d).

“(2) A delineation of roles and responsibilities for executing the plan.

“(3) Evidence of engagement with interested stakeholder groups, as applicable, in the development of the plan.

“(4) Any other information the Administrator considers to be necessary for the plan.

“(e) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Administrator and the Task Force shall make all reasonable efforts to provide technical assistance upon request by a covered reef manager developing a coral reef emergency plan under subsection (a).

“(f) ADOPTION OF CORAL REEF EMERGENCY PLANS.—A covered reef manager may adopt a

coral reef emergency plan developed by another covered reef manager, in full or in part, as relevant to the adopting manager's applicable jurisdiction.

“(g) **PUBLIC REVIEW.**—The development of a coral reef action plan by a covered reef manager under subsection (a), and the adoption of a plan under subsection (f), shall be subject to public review and comment.

“(h) **PUBLICATION.**—The Administrator shall publish each coral reef emergency plan prepared and submitted to the Task Force under this section on publicly available internet websites of—

“(1) the Coral Reef Conservation Program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and

“(2) the Task Force.

“(i) **PLAN IN EFFECT.**—A coral reef emergency plan shall be deemed to be in effect if the plan was submitted to the Task Force under this section during the preceding 6 years.

“SEC. 210. CORAL REEF EMERGENCY FUND.

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF FUND.**—There is established in the Treasury an interest-bearing fund to be known as the ‘Coral Reef Emergency Fund’, which shall consist of amounts deposited into the Fund under subsection (c).

“(b) **USES.**—Amounts in the Fund—

“(1) shall be available only for use by the Secretary to compensate covered coral reef managers to implement a coral reef emergency plan in effect under sections 210 and 212; and

“(2) shall remain available until expended.

“(c) **DEPOSITS INTO THE FUND.**—Subject to the availability of appropriations, there shall be deposited into the Fund—

“(1) amounts appropriated for the Fund; and

“(2) other amounts appropriated to the Secretary for use with respect to coral reef emergencies.

“(d) **ACCEPTANCE OF DONATIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of carrying out this title, the Secretary may accept, receive, solicit, hold, administer, and use any gift (including, notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31, United States Code, donations of services).

“(2) **DEPOSITS IN FUND.**—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code, any funds received as a gift shall be deposited and maintained in the Fund.

“SEC. 211. EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE.

“(a) **CORAL REEF EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS.**—

“(1) **SUA SPONTE DECLARATION.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may determine and declare a coral reef emergency, including at the recommendation of the Secretary of the Interior.

“(B) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In declaring a coral reef emergency under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall—

“(i) certify that an emergency has occurred that is ecologically significant and harmful to coral reefs; and

“(ii) submit to the appropriate congressional committees findings and analysis to justify the declaration.

“(2) **PETITIONS.**—If a covered State or non-Federal coral reef stewardship partnership believes that a coral reef emergency has occurred, and is impacting coral reefs or ecologically significant components of coral reefs subject to the responsibilities or jurisdiction of the State or partnership, the State or partnership may petition the Secretary for a declaration of a coral reef emergency.

“(3) **EVALUATION AND ACTION.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after receiving a petition under paragraph (2) (except as provided in subparagraph (B)), the Secretary shall—

“(i) evaluate the petition to determine whether a coral reef emergency has occurred; and

“(ii) declare a coral reef emergency or deny the petition.

“(B) **EXTENSION.**—The Secretary may extend the deadline provided for under subparagraph (A) by not more than 15 days.

“(4) **APPEAL.**—If the Secretary denies a petition for an emergency declaration submitted under paragraph (2), the State or partnership that submitted the petition may, not later than 15 days after receiving notice of the denial, appeal the denial to the Secretary. Not later than 15 days after receiving an appeal under this paragraph, the Secretary shall grant or deny the appeal.

“(5) **REVOCATION.**—The Secretary may revoke any declaration of a coral reef emergency in whole or in part after determining that circumstances no longer require an emergency response.

“(6) **RECOVERY OF EMERGENCY FUNDING.**—The Administrator may seek compensation from negligent parties to recover emergency funds expended in excess of \$500,000 under this section as a result of an emergency declaration arising from direct impacts to coral reefs from man-made disasters or accidents.

“(b) **GRANT AUTHORITY.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the availability of appropriations, upon the declaration of a coral reef emergency under subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide grants to carry out proposals that meet the requirements of paragraph (2) to implement coral reef emergency plans in effect under section 209.

“(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—A proposal for a grant under this subsection to implement a coral reef emergency plan in effect under section 209 shall include—

“(A) the name of the entity submitting the proposal;

“(B) a copy of the coral reef emergency plan;

“(C) a description of the qualifications of the individuals and entities who will implement the plan;

“(D) an estimate of the funds and time required to complete the implementation of the plan; and

“(E) any other information the Secretary considers to be necessary for evaluating the eligibility of the proposal for a grant under this subsection.

“(3) **REVIEW.**—Not later than 30 days after receiving a proposal for a grant under this subsection, the Secretary shall review the proposal and determine if the proposal meets the requirements of paragraph (2).

“(4) **CONCURRENT REVIEW.**—An entity seeking a grant under this subsection may submit a proposal under paragraph (2) to the Secretary at any time following the submission of a petition for an emergency declaration under subsection (a)(2) that is applicable to coral reefs or ecologically significant components of coral reefs subject to the responsibilities or jurisdiction of the entity.

“SEC. 212. VESSEL GROUNDING INVENTORY.

“The Administrator, in coordination with the heads of other Federal agencies, shall establish and maintain an inventory of all vessel grounding incidents involving United States coral reefs, including a description of—

“(1) the impacts of each such incident to coral reefs and related natural resources;

“(2) vessel and ownership information relating to each such incident, if available;

“(3) the estimated cost of removal of the vessel, mitigation, or restoration relating to each such incident;

“(4) the response actions taken by the owner of the vessel, the Administrator, the Commandant of the Coast Guard, or representatives of other Federal or State agencies;

“(5) the status of the response actions, including the dates of—

“(A) vessel removal;

“(B) mitigation or restoration activities, including whether a coral reef emergency plan was implemented; and

“(C) any actions taken to prevent future grounding incidents; and

“(6) recommendations for additional navigational aids or other mechanisms for preventing future grounding incidents.

“SEC. 213. RUTH D. GATES CORAL REEF CONSERVATION GRANT PROGRAM.

“(a) **GRANTS.**—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Administrator shall establish a program (to be known as the ‘Ruth D. Gates Coral Reef Conservation Grant Program’) to provide grants for projects for the conservation and restoration of coral reef ecosystems (in this section referred to as ‘coral reef projects’) pursuant to proposals approved by the Administrator in accordance with this section.

“(b) **ELIGIBILITY.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—An entity described in paragraph (2) may submit to the Administrator a proposal for a coral reef project.

“(2) **ENTITIES DESCRIBED.**—An entity described in this paragraph is—

“(A) a natural resource management authority of a State or local government or Tribal organization—

“(i) with responsibility for coral reef management; or

“(ii) the activities of which directly or indirectly affect coral reefs or coral reef ecosystems;

“(B) a regional fishery management council established under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.);

“(C) a coral reef stewardship partnership seeking to implement a coral reef action plan in effect under section 205;

“(D) a coral reef research center designated under section 215(c)(4); or

“(E) another nongovernmental organization or research institution with demonstrated expertise in the conservation or restoration of coral reefs in practice or through significant contributions to the body of existing scientific research on coral reefs.

“(c) **PROJECT PROPOSALS.**—Each proposal for a grant under this section for a coral reef project shall include the following:

“(1) The name of the individual or entity responsible for conducting the project.

“(2) A description of the qualifications of the individual or entity.

“(3) A succinct statement of the purposes of the project.

“(4) An estimate of the funds and time required to complete the project.

“(5) Evidence of support for the project by appropriate representatives of States or other government jurisdictions in which the project will be conducted.

“(6) Information regarding the source and amount of matching funding available to the applicant.

“(7) A description of how the project meets one or more of the criteria under subsection (e)(2).

“(8) In the case of a proposal submitted by a coral reef stewardship partnership, a description of how the project aligns with the applicable coral reef action plan in effect under section 205.

“(9) Any other information the Administrator considers to be necessary for evaluating the eligibility of the project for a grant under this subsection.

“(d) **PROJECT REVIEW AND APPROVAL.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator shall review each coral reef project proposal submitted under this section to determine if the project meets the criteria set forth in subsection (e).

“(2) **PRIORITIZATION OF CONSERVATION PROJECTS.**—The Administrator shall prioritize the awarding of grants for projects that meet the criteria for approval under subparagraphs (A) through (G) of subsection (e)(2) that are proposed to be conducted within priority areas identified for coral reef conservation by the Administrator and consistent with the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A.

“(3) **PRIORITIZATION OF RESTORATION PROJECTS.**—The Administrator shall prioritize the awarding of grants for projects that meet the criteria for approval under subparagraphs (E) through (L) of subsection (e)(2) that are proposed to be conducted within priority areas identified for coral reef restoration by the Administrator and consistent with the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A.

“(4) **REVIEW; APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL.**—Not later than 180 days after receiving a proposal for a coral reef project under this section, the Administrator shall—

“(A) request and consider written comments on the proposal from each Federal agency, State government, Tribal organization, or other government jurisdiction, including the relevant regional fishery management councils established under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), or any National Marine Sanctuary or Marine National Monument, with jurisdiction or management authority over coral reef ecosystems in the area where the project is to be conducted, including the extent to which the project is consistent with locally established priorities, unless such entities were directly involved in the development of the project proposal;

“(B) provide for the merit-based peer review of the proposal and require standardized documentation of that peer review;

“(C) after considering any written comments and recommendations based on the reviews under subparagraphs (A) and (B), approve or disapprove the proposal; and

“(D) provide written notification of that approval or disapproval, with summaries of all written comments, recommendations, and peer-reviews, to the entity that submitted the proposal, and each of those States, Tribal organizations, and other government jurisdictions that provided comments under subparagraph (A).

“(e) **CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL.**—The Administrator may not approve a proposal for a coral reef project under this section unless the project—

“(1) is consistent with—

“(A) the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A; and

“(B) any Federal or non-Federal coral reef action plans in effect under section 205 covering a coral reef or ecologically significant component of a coral reef to be affected by the project; and

“(2) will enhance the conservation and restoration of coral reefs by—

“(A) addressing conflicts arising from the use of environments near coral reefs or from the use of corals, species associated with coral reefs, and coral products, including supporting consensus-driven, community-based planning and management initiatives for the protection of coral reef ecosystems;

“(B) improving compliance with laws that prohibit or regulate the taking of coral products or species associated with coral reefs or regulate the use and management of coral reef ecosystems;

“(C) designing and implementing networks of real-time water quality monitoring along coral reefs, including data collection related to turbidity, nutrient availability, harmful algal blooms, and plankton assemblages,

with an emphasis on coral reefs impacted by agriculture and urban development;

“(D) promoting ecologically sound navigation and anchorages, including mooring buoy systems to promote enhanced recreational access, near coral reefs;

“(E) furthering the goals and objectives of coral reef action plans in effect under section 205 and coral reef emergency plans in effect under section 209;

“(F) mapping the location and distribution of coral reefs and potential coral reef habitat;

“(G) stimulating innovation to advance the ability of the United States to understand, research, or monitor coral reef ecosystems, or to develop management or adaptation options to preserve, sustain, and restore coral reef ecosystems;

“(H) implementing research to ensure the population viability of listed coral species in United States waters as detailed in the population-based recovery criteria included in species-specific recovery plans consistent with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);

“(I) developing and implementing cost-effective methods to restore degraded coral reef ecosystems or to create geographically appropriate coral reef ecosystems in suitable waters, including by improving habitat or promoting success of keystone species, with an emphasis on novel restoration strategies and techniques to advance coral reef recovery and growth near population centers threatened by rising sea levels and storm surge;

“(J) translating and applying coral genetics research to coral reef ecosystem restoration, including research related to traits that promote resilience to increasing ocean temperatures, ocean acidification, coral bleaching, coral diseases, and invasive species;

“(K) developing and maintaining in situ native coral propagation sites; or

“(L) developing and maintaining ex situ coral propagation nurseries and land-based coral gene banks to—

“(i) conserve or augment genetic diversity of native coral populations;

“(ii) support captive breeding of rare coral species; or

“(iii) enhance resilience of native coral populations to increasing ocean temperatures, ocean acidification, coral bleaching, and coral diseases through selective breeding, conditioning, or other approaches that target genes, gene expression, phenotypic traits, or phenotypic plasticity.

“(f) **FUNDING REQUIREMENTS.**—To the extent practicable based upon proposals for coral reef projects submitted to the Administrator, the Administrator shall ensure that funding for grants awarded under this section during a fiscal year is distributed as follows:

“(1) Not less than 40 percent of funds available shall be awarded for projects in the Pacific Ocean within the maritime areas and zones subject to the jurisdiction or control of the United States.

“(2) Not less than 40 percent of the funds available shall be awarded for projects in the Atlantic Ocean, the Gulf of Mexico, or the Caribbean Sea within the maritime areas and zones subject to the jurisdiction or control of the United States.

“(3) Not more than 67 percent of funds distributed in each region in accordance with paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be made exclusively available to projects that are—

“(A) submitted by a coral reef stewardship partnership; and

“(B) consistent with the coral reef action plan in effect under section 205 by such a partnership.

“(4) Of the funds distributed to support projects in accordance with paragraph (3), not less than 20 percent and not more than 33 percent shall be awarded for projects submitted by a Federal coral reef stewardship partnership.

“(g) **PROJECT REPORTING.**—Each entity receiving a grant under this section shall submit to the Administrator such reports at such times and containing such information for evaluating project performance as the Administrator may require.

“(h) **TASK FORCE.**—The Administrator may consult with the Secretary of the Interior and the Task Force to obtain guidance in establishing priorities and evaluating proposals for coral reef projects under this section.

“(i) **UNEXPENDED AMOUNTS.**—Any amounts available for grants under this section that are not expended shall be transferred to the Coral Reef Stewardship Fund under section 208(b).

“SEC. 214. REPORTS ON ADMINISTRATION.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of the Restoring Resilient Reefs Act of 2020, and every 2 years thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to the committees specified in subsection (b) a report on the administration of this title during the 2-year period preceding submission of the report, including—

“(1) a description of all activities undertaken to implement the most recent national coral reef resilience strategy under section 204A;

“(2) a statement of all funds obligated under the authorities of this title; and

“(3) a summary, disaggregated by State, of Federal and non-Federal contributions toward the costs of each project or activity funded, in full or in part, under the authorities of this title.

“(b) **COMMITTEES SPECIFIED.**—The committees specified in this subsection are—

“(1) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

“(2) the Committee on Natural Resources and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

“SEC. 215. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator may enter into and perform such contracts, leases, grants, or cooperative agreements as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this title.

“(b) **FUNDING.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Under an agreement entered into under subsection (a), the Administrator may reimburse or provide funds authorized to be appropriated by section 216 to, and may receive funds or reimbursements from, individuals and entities described in paragraph (2) to carry out activities authorized by this title.

“(2) **INDIVIDUALS AND ENTITIES DESCRIBED.**—Individuals and entities described in this paragraph are the following:

“(A) Federal agencies, instrumentalities, and laboratories.

“(B) State and local governments.

“(C) Indian Tribes and Tribal organizations.

“(D) International organizations.

“(E) Foreign governments not subject to economic sanctions imposed by the United States.

“(F) Institutions of higher education, research centers, and other educational institutions.

“(G) Nonprofit organizations.

“(H) Commercial organizations.

“(I) Other public or private individuals or entities.

“(c) **COOPERATIVE INSTITUTES.**—

“(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary shall establish 2 cooperative institutes for the purpose of advancing and sustaining essential capabilities in coral reef research, to be known as the ‘Atlantic Coral Reef Institute’ and the ‘Pacific Coral Reef Institute’.

“(2) **MEMBERSHIP.**—Each institute established under paragraph (1) shall be housed within a single coral reef research center designated by the Administrator under paragraph (4) in the Atlantic and Pacific basins, respectively, and may contract with other coral reef research centers within the same basin to support each institute’s capacity and reach.

“(3) **FUNCTIONS.**—The institutes established under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) conduct federally directed research to fill national and regional coral reef ecosystem research gaps and improve understanding of, and responses to, continuing and emerging threats to the resilience of United States coral reef ecosystems consistent with the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A;

“(B) support ecological research and monitoring to study the effects of conservation and restoration activities funded by this title on promoting more effective coral reef management and restoration; and

“(C) through agreements—

“(i) collaborate directly with governmental resource management agencies, coral reef stewardship partnerships, nonprofit organizations, and other coral reef research centers designated under paragraph (4);

“(ii) assist in the development and implementation of—

“(I) the national coral reef resilience strategy under section 204A;

“(II) coral reef action plans under section 205; and

“(III) coral reef emergency plans under section 209;

“(iii) build capacity within governmental resource management agencies to establish research priorities and translate and apply research findings to management and restoration practices; and

“(iv) conduct public education and awareness programs for policymakers, resource managers, and the general public on—

“(I) coral reefs and coral reef ecosystems;

“(II) best practices for coral reef ecosystem management and restoration;

“(III) the value of coral reefs; and

“(IV) the threats to the sustainability of coral reef ecosystems.

“(4) **CORAL REEF RESEARCH CENTERS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator shall periodically solicit applications and designate all qualifying institutions in a covered State as coral reef research centers.

“(B) **CRITERIA.**—An institution qualifies for designation as a coral reef research center under subparagraph (A) if the Administrator determines that the institution—

“(i) is operated by an institution of higher education or nonprofit marine research organization;

“(ii) has established management-driven national or regional coral reef research or restoration programs;

“(iii) has demonstrated abilities to coordinate closely with appropriate Federal and State agencies, as well as other academic and nonprofit organizations; and

“(iv) maintains significant local community engagement and outreach programs related to coral reef ecosystems.

“(d) **MULTIYEAR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—The Administrator may enter into multiyear cooperative agreements with the heads of other Federal agencies, States, Indian Tribes or Tribal organizations, local governments, the coral reef cooperative institutes established under subsection (c), and other institutions of higher education, non-

profit research organizations, and non-governmental organizations to carry out activities authorized under this title.

“(e) **USE OF RESOURCES OF OTHER AGENCIES.**—The Administrator may use, with consent and with or without reimbursement, the land, services, equipment, personnel, and facilities of any agency or instrumentality of—

“(1) the United States;

“(2) any State or local government;

“(3) any Indian Tribe; or

“(4) any foreign government not subject to economic sanctions imposed by the United States.

“SEC. 216. CORAL REEF PRIZE COMPETITIONS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The head of any Federal agency with a representative serving on the U.S. Coral Reef Task Force established by Executive Order 13089 (16 U.S.C. 6401 note; relating to coral reef protection), may, individually or in cooperation with one or more agencies, carry out a program to award prizes competitively under section 24 of the Stevenson-Wylder Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3719).

“(b) **PURPOSES.**—Any program carried out under this section shall be for the purpose of stimulating innovation to advance the ability of the United States to understand, research, or monitor coral reef ecosystems, or to develop management or adaptation options to preserve, sustain, and restore coral reef ecosystems.

“(c) **PRIORITY PROGRAMS.**—Priority shall be given to establishing programs under this section that address communities, environments, or industries that are in distress as a result of the decline or degradation of coral reef ecosystems, including—

“(1) scientific research and monitoring that furthers the understanding of causes behind coral reef decline and degradation and the generally slow recovery following disturbances, including ocean acidification and its impacts on coral reproduction;

“(2) the development of monitoring or management options for communities or industries that are experiencing significant financial hardship;

“(3) the development of adaptation options to alleviate economic harm and job loss caused by damage to coral reef ecosystems;

“(4) the development of measures to help vulnerable communities or industries, with an emphasis on rural communities and businesses; and

“(5) the development of adaptation and management options for impacted tourism industries.

“SEC. 217. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out this title the following amounts, which shall remain available until expended:

“(1) \$31,000,000 for fiscal year 2021.

“(2) \$32,500,000 for fiscal year 2022.

“(3) \$34,000,000 for fiscal year 2023.

“(4) \$35,500,000 for fiscal year 2024.

“(5) \$37,000,000 for fiscal year 2025.

“(b) **ADMINISTRATION.**—Of the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations under subsection (a), not more than the lesser of \$1,500,000 or 10 percent may be used for program administration or for overhead costs incurred by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or the Department of Commerce and assessed as an administrative charge.

“(c) **CORAL REEF MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION ACTIVITIES.**—From the amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), there shall be made available to the Secretary not less than the following amounts for authorized activities under sections 203 and 207:

“(1) \$23,000,000 for fiscal year 2021, of which not less than \$8,000,000 shall be made avail-

able to the Secretary for the provision State block grants under section 207.

“(2) \$24,500,000 for fiscal year 2022, of which not less than \$8,500,000 shall be made available to the Secretary for the provision State block grants under section 207.

“(3) \$26,000,000 for fiscal year 2023, of which not less than \$9,000,000 shall be made available to the Secretary for the provision State block grants under section 207.

“(4) \$27,500,000 for fiscal year 2024, of which not less than \$10,000,000 shall be made available to the Secretary for the provision State block grants under section 207.

“(5) \$29,000,000 for fiscal year 2025, of which not less than \$11,000,000 shall be made available to the Secretary for the provision State block grants under section 207.

“(d) **FEDERALLY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND CORAL REEF CONSERVATION PROGRAM GRANTS.**—From the amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), there shall be made available to the Secretary not less than \$8,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025 to support purposes consistent with this title, of which—

“(1) not less than \$3,500,000 shall be made available for each such fiscal year for authorized activities under section 213; and

“(2) not less than \$4,500,000 shall be made available for each such fiscal year through cooperative agreements with the cooperative institutes established under section 215(c).

“SEC. 218. DEFINITIONS.

“In this title:

“(1) **ADMINISTRATOR.**—The term ‘Administrator’ means the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

“(2) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—The term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

“(3) **CONSERVATION.**—The term ‘conservation’ means the use of methods and procedures necessary to preserve or sustain native corals and associated species as diverse, viable, and self-perpetuating coral reef ecosystems with minimal impacts from invasive species, including—

“(A) all activities associated with resource management, such as monitoring, assessment, protection, restoration, sustainable use, management of habitat, and maintenance or augmentation of genetic diversity;

“(B) mapping;

“(C) scientific expertise and technical assistance in the development and implementation of management strategies for marine protected areas and marine resources consistent with the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 U.S.C. 1431 et seq.) and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.);

“(D) law enforcement;

“(E) conflict resolution initiatives;

“(F) community outreach and education; and

“(G) promotion of safe and ecologically sound navigation and anchoring.

“(4) **CORAL.**—The term ‘coral’ means species of the phylum Cnidaria, including—

“(A) all species of the orders Antipatharia (black corals), Scleractinia (stony corals), Alcyonacea (soft corals, organ pipe corals, gorgonians), and Helioporacea (blue coral), of the class Anthozoa; and

“(B) all species of the order Anthothecata (fire corals and other hydrocorals) of the class Hydrozoa.

“(5) **CORAL REEF.**—The term ‘coral reef’ means limestone structures in the form of a reef or shoal, composed in whole or in part by living coral, skeletal remains of coral, crustose coralline algae, and other associated sessile marine plants and animals.

“(6) CORAL REEF ECOSYSTEM.—The term ‘coral reef ecosystem’ means—

“(A) corals and other geographically and ecologically associated marine communities of other reef organisms (including reef plants and animals) associated with coral reef habitat; and

“(B) the biotic and abiotic factors and processes that control coral calcification rates, tissue growth, reproduction, recruitment, abundance, coral-algal symbiosis, and biodiversity in such habitat.

“(7) CORAL PRODUCTS.—The term ‘coral products’ means any living or dead specimens, parts, or derivatives, or any product containing specimens, parts, or derivatives, of any species referred to in paragraph (4).

“(8) COVERED REEF MANAGER.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘covered reef manager’ means a management unit of a Federal agency specified in subparagraph (B) with jurisdiction over a coral reef ecosystem, covered State, or coral reef stewardship partnership.

“(B) FEDERAL AGENCIES SPECIFIED.—A Federal agency specified in this subparagraph is one of the following:

“(i) The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

“(ii) The National Park Service.

“(iii) The United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

“(iv) The Office of Insular Affairs.

“(9) COVERED STATE.—The term ‘covered State’ means Florida, Hawaii, and the territories of American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands.

“(10) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term ‘Indian Tribe’ has the meaning given that term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

“(11) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—The term ‘institution of higher education’ has the meaning given that term in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

“(12) INTERESTED STAKEHOLDER GROUPS.—The term ‘interested stakeholder groups’ includes community members such as businesses, commercial and recreational fishermen, other recreationalists, Federal, State, Tribal, and local government units with related jurisdiction, institutions of higher education, and nongovernmental organizations.

“(13) NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘nonprofit organization’ means an organization that is described in section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code.

“(14) RESTORATION.—The term ‘restoration’ means the use of methods and procedures necessary to enhance, rehabilitate, recreate, or create a functioning coral reef or coral reef ecosystem, in whole or in part, within suitable waters of the historical geographic range of such ecosystems, to provide ecological, economic, cultural, or coastal resiliency services associated with healthy coral reefs and benefit native populations of coral reef organisms.

“(15) RESILIENCE.—The term ‘resilience’ means the capacity for corals within their native range, coral reefs, or coral reef ecosystems to recover from natural and human disturbances as determined by clearly identifiable, measurable, and science-based standards.

“(16) SECRETARY.—The term ‘Secretary’ means the Secretary of Commerce.

“(17) STATE.—The term ‘State’ means—

“(A) any State of the United States that contains a coral reef ecosystem within its seaward boundaries;

“(B) American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam,

Puerto Rico, or the United States Virgin Islands; or

“(C) any other territory or possession of the United States or separate sovereign in free association with the United States that contains a coral reef ecosystem within its seaward boundaries.

“(18) STEWARDSHIP.—The term ‘stewardship’, with respect to a coral reef, includes conservation, restoration, and public outreach and education.

“(19) TASK FORCE.—The term ‘Task Force’ means the United States Coral Reef Task Force established under section 201 of the Restoring Resilient Reefs Act of 2020.

“(20) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘Tribal organization’ has the meaning given the term ‘tribal organization’ in section 3765 of title 38, United States Code.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO NATIONAL OCEANS AND COASTAL SECURITY ACT.—Section 905(a) of the National Oceans and Coastal Security Act (16 U.S.C. 7504(a)) is amended by striking “and coastal infrastructure” and inserting “, coastal infrastructure, and ecosystem services provided by natural systems such as coral reefs”.

SEC. 102. MODIFICATION TO SECTION 204 OF THE CORAL REEF CONSERVATION ACT OF 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6403).

Section 204 of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6403) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “this section” and inserting “section 213”;

(2) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of paragraph (1), block grant funds awarded to the territories of American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Puerto Rico, or the United States Virgin Islands under section 207 shall qualify as the non-Federal share of project costs.”; and

(3) by striking subsections (c) through (j).

TITLE II—UNITED STATES CORAL REEF TASK FORCE

SEC. 201. ESTABLISHMENT.

There is established a task force to lead, coordinate, and strengthen Federal Government actions to better preserve, conserve, and restore coral reef ecosystems, to be known as the “United States Coral Reef Task Force” (in this title referred to as the “Task Force”).

SEC. 202. DUTIES.

The duties of the Task Force shall be—

(1) to coordinate, in cooperation with State, Tribal, and local government partners, coral reef research centers designated under section 215(c) of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 (as amended by section 101), and other nongovernmental and academic partners as appropriate, activities regarding the mapping, monitoring, research, conservation, mitigation, and restoration of coral reefs and coral reef ecosystems;

(2) to monitor and advise regarding implementation of the policy and Federal agency responsibilities set forth in—

(A) Executive Order 13089 (63 Fed. Reg. 32701; relating to coral reef protection); and

(B) the national coral reef resilience strategy developed under section 204A of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101;

(3) to work with the Secretary of State and the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, and in coordination with the other members of the Task Force—

(A) to assess the United States role in international trade and protection of coral species;

(B) to encourage implementation of appropriate strategies and actions to promote conservation and sustainable use of coral reef resources worldwide; and

(C) to collaborate with international communities successful in managing coral reefs;

(4) to provide technical assistance for the development and implementation, as appropriate, of—

(A) the national coral reef resilience strategy under section 204A of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101;

(B) coral reef action plans under section 205 of that Act; and

(C) coral reef emergency plans under section 209 of that Act; and

(5) to produce a report each year, for submission to the appropriate congressional committees and publication on a publicly available internet website of the Task Force, highlighting the status of the coral reef equities of a covered State on a rotating basis, including—

(A) a summary of recent coral reef management and restoration activities undertaken in that State; and

(B) updated estimates of the direct and indirect economic activity supported by, and other benefits associated with, those coral reef equities.

SEC. 203. MEMBERSHIP.

(a) VOTING MEMBERSHIP.—The Task Force shall have the following voting members:

(1) The Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the Secretary of the Interior, who shall be co-chairs of the Task Force.

(2) The Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development.

(3) The Secretary of Agriculture.

(4) The Secretary of Defense.

(5) The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works.

(6) The Secretary of Homeland Security, acting through the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

(7) The Commandant of the Coast Guard.

(8) The Attorney General.

(9) The Secretary of State.

(10) The Secretary of Transportation.

(11) The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

(12) The Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(13) The Director of the National Science Foundation.

(14) The Governor, or a representative of the Governor, of each covered State.

(b) NONVOTING MEMBERS.—The Task Force shall have the following nonvoting members:

(1) A member of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council who is designated by the Governor of Florida under section 302(b)(1) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1852(b)(1)).

(2) A member of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council who is designated by the Governor of Florida under such section.

(3) A member of the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council who is designated under such section and selected as follows:

(A) For the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31 of the calendar year during which such date of enactment occurs, the member shall be selected jointly by the governors of Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(B) For each calendar year thereafter, the governors of Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands shall, on a rotating basis, take turns selecting the member.

(4) A member of the Caribbean Fishery Management Council who is designated under such section and selected as follows:

(A) For the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31 of the calendar year during which such date of enactment occurs, the member shall be selected jointly by the governors of Puerto Rico and the United States Virgin Islands.

(B) For each calendar year thereafter, the governors of Puerto Rico and the United States Virgin Islands shall, on an alternating basis, take turns selecting the member.

(5) A member appointed by the President of the Federated States of Micronesia.

(6) A member appointed by the President of the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

(7) A member appointed by the President of the Republic of Palau.

SEC. 204. RESPONSIBILITIES OF FEDERAL AGENCY MEMBERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—A member of the Task Force specified in paragraphs (1) through (14) of section 203(a) shall—

(1) identify the actions of the agency that member represents that may affect coral reef ecosystems;

(2) utilize the programs and authorities of that agency to protect and enhance the conditions of such ecosystems, including through the promotion of basic and applied scientific research;

(3) collaborate with the Task Force to appropriately reflect budgetary needs for coral reef conservation and restoration activities in all agency budget planning and justification documents and processes; and

(4) engage in any other coordinated efforts approved by the Task Force.

(b) CO-CHAIRS.—In addition to their responsibilities under subsection (a), the co-chairs of the Task Force shall administer performance of the functions of the Task Force and facilitate the coordination of the members of the Task Force specified in paragraphs (1) through (14) of section 203(a).

SEC. 205. WORKING GROUPS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The co-chairs of the Task Force may establish working groups as necessary to meet the goals and carry out the duties of the Task Force.

(b) REQUESTS FROM MEMBERS.—The members of the Task Force may request that the co-chairs establish a working group under subsection (a).

(c) PARTICIPATION BY NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS.—The co-chairs may allow nongovernmental organizations as appropriate, including academic institutions, conservation groups, and commercial and recreational fishing associations, to participate in a working group established under subsection (a).

(d) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to working groups established under this section.

SEC. 206. DEFINITIONS.

In this title:

(1) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(2) **CONSERVATION, CORAL, CORAL REEF, ETC.**—The terms “conservation”, “coral”, “coral reef”, “coral reef ecosystem”, “covered State”, “restoration”, “resilience”, and “State” have the meaning given those terms in section 218 of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101.

TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR CORAL REEF AUTHORITIES **SEC. 301. CORAL REEF CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION ASSISTANCE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior may provide scientific expertise and

technical assistance, and subject to the availability of appropriations, financial assistance for the conservation and restoration of coral reefs consistent with all applicable laws governing resource management in Federal, State, and Tribal waters, including—

(1) the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101;

(2) coral reef action plans in effect under section 205 of that Act, as applicable; and

(3) coral reef emergency plans in effect under section 209 of that Act, as applicable.

(b) **OFFICE OF INSULAR AFFAIRS CORAL REEF INITIATIVE.**—The Secretary may establish within the Office of Insular Affairs a Coral Reef Initiative Program—

(1) to provide grant funding to support local management, conservation, and protection of coral reef ecosystems in—

(A) insular areas of covered States; and

(B) Freely Associated States;

(2) to complement the other conservation and assistance activities conducted under this Act; and

(3) to provide other technical, scientific, and financial assistance and conduct conservation activities that advance the purpose of this Act.

(c) **CONSULTATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.**—The Secretary of the Interior may consult with the Secretary of Commerce regarding the conduct of any activities to conserve and restore coral reefs and coral reef ecosystems in waters managed under the jurisdiction of the Federal agencies specified in paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 203(c) of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101.

(d) **COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Secretary of the Interior may enter into cooperative agreements with covered reef managers to fund coral reef conservation and restoration activities in waters managed under the jurisdiction of such managers that—

(1) are consistent with the national coral reef resilience strategy in effect under section 204A of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101; and

(2) support and enhance the success of—

(A) coral reef action plans in effect under section 205 of that Act; and

(B) coral reef emergency plans in effect under section 209 of that Act.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section, the terms “conservation”, “coral reef”, “covered reef manager”, “covered State”, “restoration”, and “State” have the meaning given those terms in section 218 of the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000, as amended by section 101.

TITLE IV—SUSAN L. WILLIAMS NATIONAL CORAL REEF MANAGEMENT FELLOWSHIP

SEC. 401. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Susan L. Williams National Coral Reef Management Fellowship Act of 2020”.

SEC. 402. DEFINITIONS.

In this title:

(1) **FELLOW.**—The term “fellow” means a National Coral Reef Management Fellow.

(2) **FELLOWSHIP.**—The term “fellowship” means the National Coral Reef Management Fellowship established in section 403.

(3) **INDIAN TRIBE; TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.**—The terms “Indian Tribe” and “Tribal organization” have the meanings given those terms in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

(4) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Commerce.

SEC. 403. ESTABLISHMENT OF FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established a National Coral Reef Management Fellowship Program.

(b) **PURPOSES.**—The purposes of the fellowship are—

(1) to encourage future leaders of the United States to develop additional coral reef management capacity in States and local communities with coral reefs;

(2) to provide management agencies of States, Tribal organizations, and Freely Associated States with highly qualified candidates whose education and work experience meet the specific needs of each State, Indian Tribe, and Freely Associated State; and

(3) to provide fellows with professional experience in management of coastal and coral reef resources.

SEC. 404. FELLOWSHIP AWARDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall award the fellowship in accordance with this section.

(b) **TERM OF FELLOWSHIP.**—A fellowship awarded under this section shall be for a term of not more than 24 months.

(c) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The Secretary shall award the fellowship to individuals who have demonstrated—

(1) an intent to pursue a career in marine services and outstanding potential for such a career;

(2) leadership potential, actual leadership experience, or both;

(3) a college or graduate degree in biological science, experience that correlates with aptitude and interest for marine management, or both;

(4) proficient writing and speaking skills; and

(5) such other attributes as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 405. MATCHING REQUIREMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the non-Federal share of the costs of a fellowship under this section shall be 25 percent of such costs.

(b) **WAIVER OF REQUIREMENTS.**—The Secretary may waive the application of subsection (a) if the Secretary finds that such waiver is necessary to support a project that the Secretary has identified as a high priority.

SA 2731. Mr. WARNER (for Mr. ROUNDS (for himself and Mr. WARNER)) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. Warner to the bill H.R. 133, to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ . ENTERPRISE REGULATORY CAPITAL FRAMEWORK RULE.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section—

(1) the term “enterprise” means—

(A) the Federal National Mortgage Association; and

(B) the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation; and

(2) the term “final rule” means the final rule adopted by the Federal Housing Finance Agency entitled “Enterprise Regulatory Capital Framework”.

(b) **STUDY AND REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of a study regarding the effect that the final rule would have on the following:

(1) With respect to the mortgage finance system of the United States—

(A) the stability and resiliency of that system;

(B) the liquidity of investment with respect to that system; and

(C) the relationship of that system with private capital.

(2) The taxpayers of the United States.

(3) The counter-cyclical role played by the enterprises.

(4) The cost and availability of mortgage credit for the purchase of single-family and multi-family residences.

(5) Interested parties, including—

(A) potential sources of private capital supporting mortgage finance;

(B) investors in mortgage-backed securities and insurance markets;

(C) market participants, including originators of mortgage loans, servicers of mortgage loans, and sources of alternative funding with respect to mortgage finance; and

(D) purchasers of homes, including first-time and historically underserved borrowers.

(6) The enterprises, including the effect that the final rule would have on the enterprises—

(A) while the enterprises are in conservatorship;

(B) if the enterprises were no longer in conservatorship; and

(C) during a transition between the states described in subparagraphs (A) and (B).

(c) EFFECT OF RULE.—The final rule shall not take effect until the date that is 180 days after the date on which the Comptroller General of the United States submits the report required under subsection (b).

SA 2732. Mr. BOOZMAN (for Mr. WICKER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 3153, to direct the Director of the National Science Foundation to support research on opioid addiction, and for other purposes; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; FINDINGS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Expanding Findings for Federal Opioid Research and Treatment Act” or the “EF-PORT Act”.

(b) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) research gaps currently exist in the prevention and treatment of opioid addiction;

(2) the National Science Foundation’s research on opioid addiction has increased understanding of the neuroscience of addiction, substance abuse intervention, the role of illicit supply networks, the secondary effects on families, the use of technology to address the opioid epidemic, and options for alternative, non-addictive therapeutics for pain; and

(3) the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health have recognized that fundamental questions in basic, clinical, and translational research would benefit greatly from multidisciplinary approaches and collaboration.

SEC. 2. NSF SUPPORT OF RESEARCH ON OPIOID ADDICTION.

The Director of the National Science Foundation, in consultation with the Director of the National Institutes of Health, shall support merit-reviewed and competitively awarded research on the science of opioid addiction.

SA 2733. Mr. BOOZMAN proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 774, honoring the United Nations World Food Programme on the occasion of being awarded the 2020 Nobel Peace Prize; as follows:

On page 2, lines 10 and 11, strike “staff worldwide;” and insert “staff, who work tirelessly, and often at great personal risk, to combat hunger and save lives around the world;”.

On page 3, line 3, strike “nutrition” and insert “nutrition, including”.

SA 2734. Mr. BOOZMAN proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 774, honoring the United Nations World Food Programme on the occasion of being awarded the 2020 Nobel Peace Prize; as follows:

Beginning in the second whereas clause of the preamble, strike “Whereas the WFP” and all that follows through the semicolon in the fifth whereas clause and insert the following:

Whereas the WFP is the largest international humanitarian organization that addresses hunger, promotes food security, and saves lives, including in response to many of the most dangerous and complex crises in the world;

Whereas, in 2019, an estimated 135,000,000 people around the world suffered from acute hunger and the WFP provided nutrition assistance to nearly 100,000,000 people in 88 countries;

Whereas the 2020 coronavirus pandemic has contributed to a significant increase in hunger around the world, and the WFP has surged its capacity in order to meet that compounded need;

Whereas the United States played an integral role in the founding of the WFP, remains its strongest supporter, and provides, as of the date of adoption of this resolution, more than 40 percent of its annual resources;

In the seventh whereas clause of the preamble, strike “Price” and insert “Prize”.

APPOINTMENTS

Mr. BOOZMAN. The Chair, on behalf of the President pro tempore, upon the recommendation of the Majority Leader, pursuant to Public Law 116-113, and in consultation with the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Finance, appoints the following individuals to the Independent Mexico Labor Expert Board: Kyle Fortson of the District of Columbia and Charlotte Ponticelli of Maryland.

ORDERS FOR THURSDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2020, THROUGH TUESDAY, DECEMBER 29, 2020

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn to then convene for pro forma sessions only, with no business being conducted, on the following dates and times, and that following each pro forma session, the Senate adjourn for the next pro forma session: Thursday, December 24, at 10 a.m. and Monday, December 28, at 10 a.m. I further ask that when the Senate adjourns on Monday, December 28, it next convene at 12 noon on Tuesday, December 29; further, that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two lead-

ers be reserved for their use later in the day; finally, that following leader remarks, the Senate proceed to morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL THURSDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2020, AT 10 A.M.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 1:47 a.m., adjourned until Thursday, December 24, 2020, at 10 a.m.

DISCHARGED NOMINATIONS

The Senate Committee on Foreign Relations was discharged from further consideration of the following nominations by unanimous consent and the nominations were confirmed:

C. KEVIN BLACKSTONE, OF VIRGINIA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF TIMOR-LESTE.

CYNTHIA KIERSCHT, OF MINNESOTA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF MAURITANIA.

GEETA PASI, OF NEW YORK, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF CAREER MINISTER, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA.

DAVID REIMER, OF OHIO, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF SIERRA LEONE.

BRIAN D. MCFEETERS, OF VIRGINIA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO MALAYSIA.

CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate December 21, 2020:

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ERIC J. SOSKIN, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

C. KEVIN BLACKSTONE, OF VIRGINIA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF TIMOR-LESTE.

CYNTHIA KIERSCHT, OF MINNESOTA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF MAURITANIA.

GEETA PASI, OF NEW YORK, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF CAREER MINISTER, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF ETHIOPIA.

DAVID REIMER, OF OHIO, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF SIERRA LEONE.

BRIAN D. MCFEETERS, OF VIRGINIA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO MALAYSIA.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

IN RECOGNITION OF RALEIGH BALDERSON'S 90TH BIRTHDAY

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. WITTMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Raleigh Gilbert Balderson, in honor of his 90th Birthday on December 19, 2020. I would like to take this time to recognize the many achievements of Mr. Balderson, as well as commemorate this special occasion in his life.

Mr. Raleigh Balderson is a resident of my hometown, Montross, Virginia. He is a very well-respected citizen and barber in the town of Montross, and he is known and loved by the entire community. He has served as a deacon and trustee at Nomini Baptist Church and is currently a deacon emeritus, a testament to his strong involvement in the community and care for those he knows and loves.

This year marks a second major milestone for Mr. Balderson, as he retired from his own barber business on March 21, 2020. Mr. Balderson started his own business in Montross, Virginia, and recently retired after 62 years of being in business. Mr. Balderson's barbershop in Montross is well loved by the entire community and is known for a place of good company and great conversations.

Therefore, Madam Speaker, I ask that you rise with me today to honor and celebrate Mr. Raleigh Gilbert Balderson's 90th Birthday on December 19, 2020. I would like to also recognize and remember the late Bonnie Jean Owen Balderson, Mr. Balderson's beloved wife of 59 years. I wish Mr. Balderson a happy 90th birthday, and I hope this time is filled with celebration and God's continued blessings.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. A. DREW FERGUSON IV

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. FERGUSON. Madam Speaker, I missed the vote on H.R. 3384, the MORE Act on December 4, 2020 to leave to care for a sick family member. If I had been present, I would have voted "Nay" on H.R. 3384, the MORE Act, Roll Call No. 235.

IN RECOGNITION OF GARY JOHNSON

HON. MICHAEL C. BURGESS

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Gary Johnson, Assistant Manager for the City of Roanoke, Texas,

as he retires from a career spanning almost four decades in public service.

Prior to his work for the City of Roanoke in 2003, Chief Johnson served the City of Watauga's Department of Public Safety for more than two decades, from 1982 to 2003. His tenure at Watauga DPS included several positions including Detective, Paramedic, Fire Fighter, SWAT Team Leader, Patrol Commander, and Deputy Chief. During this period, the agency was a totally integrated public safety department with all personnel cross-trained in the areas of law enforcement, fire suppression, and emergency medical services.

Chief Johnson joined Roanoke's Police Department as Chief in 2003. Under his leadership, the Roanoke Police Department became one of the best in the state, achieving the Texas Police Chief's Best Practices Recognition in 2011, a highly respected accomplishment requiring meeting 168 standards. This recognition has been repeated every four years since and is one of many achievements that led to the addition of his role as Assistant City Manager in 2016, with responsibility over Police and Fire Services and special projects.

In 2018 Mr. Johnson transitioned to assume full responsibility as an Assistant City Manager, providing invaluable administrative input on operations, budgets, personnel policies and other support critical to the City Manager's Office. He also carried responsibility for many major City initiatives, including the role of Project Manager for the New City Hall facility, the implementation of the Roanoke Citizen's Academy and many others. His departmental direct reports included the Police Department, Fire Department, Informational Technology, Special Projects, Solid Waste Services, and City Hall facilities.

A graduate of both Dallas Baptist University and having completed a Master of Public Administration from the University of North Texas, Denton, Chief Johnson is also a graduate of the F.B.I. National Academy; 207th Session. Beyond his duties with the City of Roanoke, he was also active within his profession as a member of the F.B.I. National Academy Associates, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, and the Texas Police Chiefs Association, which he served as President in 2018.

Through his decades of service in Public Safety and City Management, Gary Johnson's effort and leadership have created a legacy represented in the quality of life citizens of Roanoke and the other communities enjoy in the communities he has served. I wish him and his wife, Sherrill, his daughters and family, all the joy and satisfaction deserved in a well-earned retirement from a life of public service.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE LEGACY OF SHERIFF MICHAEL J. ASHE, JR.

HON. RICHARD E. NEAL

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. NEAL. Madam Speaker, it is with great satisfaction that I rise today to recognize the remarkable career of retired Hampden County Sheriff Michael J. Ashe, Jr. Sheriff Ashe, in a career spanning over four decades, has created the model of rehabilitating inmates across the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and the country. A native of my hometown of Springfield, Massachusetts, Sheriff Ashe has spent his life embodying the fundamental principle that all who are willing to work for a second chance, ought to receive one. His signature philosophy of "strength reinforced with decency; firmness dignified in fairness" led to countless lives being changed for the better, while resulting in one of the lowest reincarceration rates in the United States.

Originally a social worker, Sheriff Ashe sought to provide long-term care and housing to the youth who were most in need of it. Serving as the first Assistant Director of Downey Side homes for the youth, Mike Ashe displayed remarkable leadership by being the first to welcome to his home battered or at-risk children, a reminder that no member of the community shall be forgotten. His commitment to those who are often forgotten in society led to his candidacy for Sheriff of Hampden County. Since his initial election in 1974, Ashe was re-elected every 6 years without contest until he announced his retirement in 2016.

Anyone who has gotten to know Sheriff Ashe will affirm that he had never been in the business of incarceration, rather his focus was on corrections. His doctrine on correctional supervision was guided by the simple principle that inmates should be held accountable and be positive and productive. The Sheriff long understood that if he were to adequately rehabilitate his inmates, he would need to put together a competent coalition of staff and volunteers who could command the situation, while exemplifying the upmost professionalism.

Sheriff Ashe instituted an inmate Basic Intensive Regimen in which all inmates are required to undergo during their first 5 weeks of incarceration. During this critical time, inmates are required to participate in programs that focus on substance abuse, anger management, cognitive thinking, victim impact, and more. In Hampden County, it is excepted that inmates work on assignments or some sort of productive activity, for at least 40 hours per week. With over 140 programs available, inmates are encouraged to famously "answer the bell" for a productive day, just like they will have to do if they are to be productive citizens.

Under his leadership, Hampden County was home to the nation's first Day Reporting Center, the first program of its kind to offer support

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

for inmates close to re-entering society. A model that has been replicated throughout the country. Similarly, Sheriff Ashe was responsible for the nation's first Incarceration Support Systems, offering assistance to those newly freed from incarceration. And if that wasn't enough, Sheriff Ashe helped construct the Western Massachusetts Correctional Addictions Center, one of the nation's original correctional facilities dedicated to substance abuse treatment.

Throughout his career, Sheriff Ashe's tireless work has made our community a safer, more just, caring place. During his tenure, Sheriff Ashe witnessed over 4,600 inmates graduate his educational programs, earning a GED or a high school equivalent diploma. While Mike Ashe oversaw his inmates contribute over 1 million hours of community service to Hampden County communities. While heading the Massachusetts Sheriffs Association, Ashe was able to present his successful philosophy for community corrections to the rest of the Commonwealth, further promoting an emphasis on rehabilitation rather than punishment. A recipient of the White House "Champions of Change" Award, Sheriff Ashe has made the Commonwealth proud while illustrating through his work, that the United States is indeed a nation of second chances.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. DEBBIE LESKO

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mrs. LESKO. Madam Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 244.

DALE SCHMITZ

HON. ED PERLMUTTER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. Dale Schmitz, WWII Veteran who served with the 115th Cavalry. Mr. Schmitz not only served his country honorably; he is a grandfather and a man of integrity that has committed to serve his community. On December 22nd, Mr. Schmitz will turn 100 years old. On this day, Adams County will proclaim, "Dale Schmitz Day", honoring his six years of service to our country and commitment to his family and community.

After his service with the 115th Cavalry in World War II, Mr. Schmitz took a job with Eaton Metals in Denver, CO, staying with the company until the 1980's. During this time, Mr. Schmitz continued his service to the community by helping the Guardian Angels Catholic School in the Berkley neighborhood with maintenance work. Mr. Schmitz was an active volunteer for Habitat for Humanity until the age of 95 and continues to give back to Veterans through organizations like the Wounded Warrior Project. At the age of 97, Mr. Schmitz flew to Washington, D.C. by himself with the, "Rocky Mountain Honor Flight Program", visiting the memorials and landmarks that were dedicated to the service of WWII Veterans.

Mr. Schmitz has been recognized by his community and has helped every neighbor through acts of service by shoveling their snow, mowing their grass, outdoor repairs, and becoming a favorite with the neighborhood dogs by providing them with hot dogs. Mr. Schmitz was called to service when his country needed him, and then continued his service to his fellow neighbors and community. I want to extend my deepest appreciation and thanks to Mr. Schmitz for his contribution and service.

IN RECOGNITION OF BENNY NAPOLEON

HON. RASHIDA TLAIB

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Ms. TLAIB. Madam Speaker, I rise today to acknowledge the work of public servant Wayne County Sheriff Benny Napoleon as we honor his memory.

Born and raised in Detroit, Michigan, Benny Napoleon began his law enforcement career working in Detroit's police department in the 1975. He worked his way up the ranks to serve as chief of police in 1998 before retiring in 2001. Napoleon became assistant Wayne County executive in 2004. In 2009, he was appointed Sheriff of Wayne County, the role he continued to serve in until his recent death.

Benny Napoleon's tenure as Wayne County Sheriff was marked by his work to reduce inmate populations, by utilizing alternatives to incarceration and employing electronic tethering. Napoleon was well-known for his affable personality and big-heartedness. He is a true son of Detroit. His absence will be felt by the communities of Wayne County.

Please join me as we recognize Wayne County Sheriff Benny Napoleon for his many contributions to Wayne County and the 13th Congressional District and honor his memory.

HONORING THERESA SCHUTA

HON. BRIAN HIGGINS

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. HIGGINS of New York. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the significant contributions of Theresa "Terri" Schuta, one of my closest friends as well as a true leader within the Buffalo Public Schools and the South Buffalo community she has so diligently served, on the occasion of her retirement as Principal of South Park High School.

A proud product of the Buffalo Public Schools, Terri returned to the halls of the schools we walked together as classmates throughout the 1970's as the Principal of Southside Elementary School for seven years until her appointment as South Park High School Principal in 2009. Rising through the administrative ranks, she also gained experience as the assistant principal at Lorraine Elementary.

In the profile of Mrs. Schuta following her appointment, The Buffalo News headline got it just right when it said "Community roots anchor new principal to South Park," Calling her-

self a neighborhood kid, Terri said, "Someone needs to lead South Park who loves South Park. I think that is going to resonate with the kids."

As Principal, Mrs. Schuta has more than resonated—she initiated, innovated and when necessary, intimidated, to deliver quality educational opportunities for her students, their families, her faculty and staff. She introduced new programs into her school, fought for more resources, stood up for her students and demanded more from them as well as herself to better prepare her students to achieve and succeed.

Terri brought the same energy, enthusiasm, tenacity and work ethic I saw in her as our 1978 class president with her every day as South Park High School Principal. She led the way in building partnerships with local businesses and non-profits and now as a designated Community School, South Park students and their families benefit from enhanced learning opportunities, parent engagement and healthy living experiences. She has been a source of positive change in the city's education system as a whole and has impacted the lives of both her students and colleagues.

Terri's enormous contribution to South Park High School has not gone unnoticed. In fact, she has been recognized for fostering accountability in the high school, which resulted in a 10 percent increase in its graduation rate over the past several years. She also pioneered the Bright Lights of South Park program. This project highlights successful South Park graduates as a source of inspiration for current students. Students can then use that inspiration as motivation for their academic work and to live up to their full potential. She oversaw the well-received and extensive building renovation project, the successful 100th Anniversary Celebration including the 2016 release of "Our Fallen Warriors, Remembered," a timeline of more than 150 of our bravest during their experiences as South Park students through their loss of life in service to our country.

Amazingly, Terri has always found time for others as she is an active and passionate community volunteer. Terri was awarded the organization's Campaign Volunteer of the Year award in 2019 in recognition of outstanding commitment to the United Way. Her professional achievements and personal contributions earned her well-deserved recognition as one of 42 extraordinary women featured in the book, "Women in The City of Good Neighbors."

Her work with United Way, local charities and families in need has positively impacted and inspired so many individuals as she personifies the values of faith, friendship and service others instilled in her as a member of the Drilling Family of Lilac Street. She and her husband, Michael Schuta, a retired Buffalo Police Officer, continue that tradition of service with their daughter, Katie, who has followed in Terri's footsteps as the principal of the Buffalo Public Schools' school of culinary arts and management. Katie and husband, Jonathon Whiteside, have recently had a son, Joseph Michael Whiteside, adding proud grandmother to Terri's many titles. Their son, Michael, holds an MBA and works as a senior accountant at PCB Piezotronics in Cheektowaga, New York.

Madam Speaker, I am so proud of all Terri Schuta has accomplished, the indelible mark she has made in uplifting the lives of her

South Park High School family and the legacy she has left for others to follow in school and in the community. Her spirit, steady leadership and unwavering belief in the value of "doing your life's work where you live," is her legacy and an example for others to follow. I look forward to years of continued friendship and wish Terri much happiness and fulfillment in her retirement. I have no doubt that she will find that and more with her loving family and friends in the years ahead.

RECOGNIZING THE REPUBLICAN STUDY COMMITTEE NATIONAL SECURITY AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS TASK FORCE AND OMAR HOSSINO

HON. JOE WILSON

OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, I rise today to express my gratitude to serve as the Chairman of the Republican Study Committee's National Security and Foreign Affairs Task Force this Congress. In June, the Task Force issued its National Security Strategy report after convening a number of meetings with top policy experts and engaging in complex discussions on the foreign policy challenges we face. The RSC's National Security Strategy spans over 120 pages and includes over 100 detailed policy recommendations on tackling the Chinese Communist Party, Russia, Iran, and the Salafijihadi movement, and for standing up for democracy and human rights. The report boldly stood up for a foreign policy of American leadership through the principle of peace through strength. It was also noticed and condemned by adversaries such as the Chinese Communist Party, the Kremlin, and the Chief of Staff of the President of the Iranian regime. In only a few months a number of the report's ideas have already been implemented by the Trump Administration, or have been introduced as legislation. For example just last week Secretary of State Mike Pompeo announced that the United Front Work Department of the Chinese Communist Party would be sanctioned, something first proposed by the RSC Task Force report. This month also, RSC members introduced six bills taking on China for its IP theft, Rep. JACK BERGMAN introduced a bill to audit U.S. contributions to the United Nations, and I was grateful to introduce the Badr Organization Designation Act, and the Stop the Killing in Syria Act, both based upon the National Security Strategy report.

I am grateful for the leadership of my colleagues on the Task Force including RSC Chairman Rep. MIKE JOHNSON, Rep. ROB WHITMAN, Rep. DON BACON, Rep. ANN WAGNER, Rep. DAN CRENSHAW, Rep. RALPH NORMAN, Rep. BRYAN STEIL, Rep. ALEX MOONEY, Rep. JACK BERGMAN, Rep. NEAL DUNN, Rep. CLAY HIGGINS, and Rep. MICHAEL WALTZ.

I appreciate the hard work of Omar Hossino of the RSC staff in managing the Task Force's meetings, developing policy recommendations, and writing the report. I am grateful for Omar Hossino's dedication and service to the Task Force. Omar Hossino has been a key advisor and invaluable asset on foreign policy issues

not only to members of the Task Force but also to the broader RSC. His vast knowledge of the intricacies of both complex foreign policy issues as well as the intricacies of legislation, especially economic sanctions has been invaluable to me and Task Force members. Omar Hossino's passion and tireless work ethic has also been a driving force behind turning the report into legislative initiatives. Finally, I would like to recognize my Legislative Director Oren Adaki of my staff for his deep dedication and important contributions to this project as well.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. SUSAN W. BROOKS

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Madam Speaker, I was not present for the following roll call votes. Had I been present for them, I would have voted as follows: Roll Call 247—H.J. Res. 107, Further Additional Continuing Appropriations Act, 2021 YAY.

HONORING JUDITH PAXTON

HON. H. MORGAN GRIFFITH

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. GRIFFITH. Madam Speaker, I offer these remarks in honor of Judith Junkin Brown Paxton of Salem, Virginia, who passed away on December 7, 2020 at the age of 90. She was a pillar of the Salem community who served her fellow citizens as a volunteer and good neighbor.

Judy Brown was born on September 9, 1930 in Roanoke, Virginia, to Elijah Brazelton Brown and Martha Judith Junkin Brown. She graduated from Andrew Lewis High School in 1948.

Her family, church, and community were the objects of her activity and energy. As a member of St. Paul's Episcopal Church, she sang in the choir for 70 years, directed the children's choir, served on the Vestry, read to the children at St. Anne's Day School, and performed numerous other services for the congregation. She also volunteered at the office for the Episcopal Diocese of Southwest Virginia. Her compassion and faith inspired her work as a coordinator for the St. Paul's volunteers who serve lunches for the Roanoke Area Ministries.

As her friends and neighbors, the people of Salem enjoyed Judy's goodwill and hospitality. She cooked chili for the crews of the Street Department as they cleared snow, organized decorating of lampposts for Christmas, and put up flags for Independence Day. From progressive dinners to bridge games, the activities of Salem were brightened by Judy's friendliness and generosity.

Judy was married to the late William Joseph Paxton Jr., who served as Salem's City Manager. She is survived by sons W. David Paxton and his wife Vicki of Roanoke, Joseph Paxton and his wife Annette of Broadway, Virginia, and James Paxton and his wife Hilda of Salem; daughter Martha Paxton Nowlin and

her husband Melvin of Big Island, Virginia; brother William O'Brien and his wife Ellie of Kitty Hawk, North Carolina; and sister-in-law June Newman Paxton of Roanoke. She is also survived by ten grandchildren and their spouses Corey (Danielle) Paxton, Traci (Matt) Rowe, Jessica (Scott) Mackaro, Katie (Marc) Palmieri, Jennifer Paxton, Sara (Greg) Hall, William (Brittany) Paxton, Theresa (Michael) Peregoff, Kimberly (Lacy) Burnette, and Robert (Courtney) Paxton, as well as ten great-grandchildren, Hannah, Shiloah, Norah, Paige Judith, Tyler, Victoria, Evelyn, Ava, Anna Grace, and Joey.

HONORING CHEN LOK LEE

HON. DWIGHT EVANS

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. EVANS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor an influential and dedicated man, Chen Lok Lee. Mr. Lee was a devoted educator and prominent printmaker and painter based in Philadelphia, PA. For over twenty years, he was a Professor of Printmaking, Silkscreen and Lithography at Moore College of Art and Design in Philadelphia, which is the first and only visual arts college for women in the United States. Additionally, he taught Chinese calligraphy and painting classes to the community through the Community College of Philadelphia and other private venues.

Mr. Lee was a political refugee from China who escaped narrowly with his life from communist forces in 1950 and was forced to leave his family behind. When his life was in jeopardy, his family helped him escape, and he swam towards Hong Kong and was rescued by a boat and eventually taken to the shores of Hong Kong. He stayed in Hong Kong for eight years, studying at the prestigious Hong Kong University, and then went on to enter the United States as a political refugee in 1959. He settled in New York City's Chinatown and worked his way through the Chinese restaurant business to support himself as a burgeoning artist and to learn enough English to establish footing in his new country. He also began to study under some very prominent and contemporary artists. While at the Arts Students' League in New York, he worked with George Grosz, Stephen Greene and Robert Hale, along with New York painter Hans Hoffman.

From 1967 to 1970 Chen Lok Lee studied abroad at the Rome Academy in Italy and earned an MFA at the Tyler School of Fine Arts as a printmaker, painter and lithography under Romas Viesulas and Richard Callner. In 1973, he was awarded a prestigious fellowship from the Ford Foundation to study at Tamarind Lithographies in New Mexico. He was recognized at an international exhibition in Hong Kong, as invited by Her Majesty's Service in 1982. In his new adopted hometown of Philadelphia, he was selected to participate in the Mayor's Commission for Cultural Exchange between Philadelphia and sister city Tianjin, China, from 1986 to 1987.

Mr. Lee was a loyal and dedicated husband to Linda, whom he met while studying in Rome. Linda, also an artist and educator, brought Chen home to her family in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, and then married him

in 1973. They moved to New Mexico, and then Chicago, before settling back in Pennsylvania where Professor Lee was hired at Moore College of Art and Design.

He was the loving and devoted father to Romana (Cliff), whom they named after the city of Rome where he and Linda first met, son Raymond, and doting grandfather of Hanalee, a naturally talented artist. He raised his children with every devotion and detail, ensuring they had resources, support, guidance and care to grow up well. He sacrificed much to give them a good life and the promise of futures they would be proud of. He was very proud of them all.

Chen Lok Lee passed away on December 13, 2020. Professor Lee leaves behind a legacy of strength, courage, honor and devotion, and touched the lives of all who knew and loved him.

On behalf of the 3rd Congressional District of Pennsylvania and the city of Philadelphia I extend gratitude to the late Chen Lok Lee for his dedicated support and service to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

HONORING LIEUTENANT VEDA FLEETON

HON. NORMA J. TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mrs. TORRES of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Lieutenant Veda Fleeton of the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for her 25 years of service. The calling to be a peace officer is one of the highest vocations of public service. An individual who accepts this calling is worthy of the highest respect and honor from their community, the State, and our nation.

Lieutenant Fleeton's career with the California Highway Patrol began on November 6, 1995, and after successfully completing her Academy training, she reported to the East Los Angeles Area as a CHP officer. She was later assigned to the Southern Division, where she excelled in connecting with the public in her many roles. As part of the Southern Division Recruitment Unit, she was dedicated to recruiting the best candidates to protect and serve our communities. While at Southern Division, she also contributed to the Public Information Unit through her interactions with the news media and to numerous Dignitary Protection details where she provided security for top-level dignitaries from around the world. Lieutenant Fleeton also dedicated her time and skills to the Southern Division Background Investigative Unit, a temporary assignment that occurred during her road patrol tenure at Baldwin Park that began in 2003. Within this unit, Lieutenant Fleeton conducted thorough and comprehensive backgrounds for hundreds of applicants who were later chosen to begin their careers with the State highway patrol. Because of her deep engagement with the community in these assignments, Lieutenant Fleeton received multiple accolades for her hard work.

Lieutenant Fleeton was promoted to Sergeant on August 1, 2007 and was assigned to the Morongo Basin Area, later transferring to Rancho Cucamonga Area. As Sergeant, she focused on providing her field officers with in-

valuable direction and guidance. On July 1, 2013, Lieutenant Fleeton was promoted to Lieutenant and was assigned to the Santa Fe Springs Area for two years before transferring to the San Geronio Area. In March 2016, Lieutenant Fleeton was appointed Lieutenant Commander of the CHP's Inland Communications Center in Fontana, where she provided unwavering leadership and guidance to over 75 dispatch and Transportation Management Center (TMC) personnel, including the Riverside and San Bernardino County Freeway Service Patrol programs. Lieutenant Fleeton's unequivocal passion to mentor and develop field officers shined throughout all these roles.

Lieutenant Fleeton officially retired from her service with California Highway Patrol on November 17, 2020. For her remarkable accomplishments and 25 years of commitment to public service as a peace officer in the greater Inland Empire, it is my honor to recognize Lieutenant Veda Fleeton on this day.

HONORING KATHRIN (KATE) SEARS

HON. JARED HUFFMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. HUFFMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of Kathrin (Kate) Sears for her outstanding career in public service as Marin County's District 3 Supervisor.

Kate has been a resident of Southern Marin for most of her life, and it is the place where she developed the principled values that have guided her private and public careers.

She earned a bachelor's degree in Asian studies at Carleton College in Minnesota, a master's degree in Chinese studies at the University of Washington, a doctorate in political science from the University of Michigan, and a law degree from Harvard University. In 2005, after working as an attorney in a private practice for 16 years, Kate joined the Consumer Law Section of the California Attorney General's Office. In 2011 Governor Jerry Brown appointed Kate to the Marin County Board of Supervisors after the heartbreaking death of Charles McGlashan. She was reelected in 2012 and 2016.

Throughout her tenure on the Board of Supervisors, Kate represented the residents of Marin, its renowned public lands and the environment with passion and skill. She worked to improve public transportation, strengthen services for older adults, and increase affordable housing opportunities. Kate has helped to plan and implement key Marin initiatives including Bay WAVE and Drawdown: Marin. As Chair of Marin Clean Energy, Kate worked to develop energy efficiency programs and expanded electric vehicle charging stations in Marin.

From Angel Island to Muir Woods, Kate has also fought for Marin's parks and open spaces. I am proud to have worked with her to find compromise in resolving community issues, including through the Muir Woods Parking Reservation System.

Kate is a champion for the people and places of Marin County and leaves an appreciable legacy as she retires from the Board of Supervisors. Madam Speaker, I respectfully ask that you join me in honoring Kate for an accomplished tenure, and extend to her best wishes in her future endeavors.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. WITTMAN. Madam Speaker, I was not present for the following roll call vote. Had I been present, I would have voted NAY on Roll Call No. 248.

REINTRODUCTION OF H.R. 8768, THE "KEEPING AMERICA'S ENERGY RESOURCES MOVING ACT"

HON. SYLVIA R. GARCIA

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Ms. GARCIA of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to echo the words of my Texas friend and colleague, Mr. WEBER, to support the reintroduction of H.R. 768, the "Keeping America's Energy Resources Moving Act". This is vital legislation needed to support a vital industry, the U.S. lightering industry. In turn, this legislation will support our domestic refining industry and its workers and help support U.S. energy security and independence. It is a small technical fix to the law that will allow seafarers aboard lightering vessels to continue to do the vital work they do—import and export crude oil and natural gas to and from our refineries in Texas and the Gulf Coast, Delaware and Southern California. We have bipartisan support for the legislation and the approval of the agencies who will administer and implement this legislation when it becomes law. As my colleague Mr. WEBER has said, we simply ran out of time to move the bill—but we will continue our efforts and press forward in the next Congress. I thank my colleagues for their support of this legislation and look forward to enacting this important technical fix in the next Congress.

HONORING HYNDMAN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT CHIEF RONALD ALLEN SPIKER

HON. JOHN JOYCE

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise to honor the life and legacy of Hyndman Volunteer Fire Department Chief Ronald Allen Spiker, who passed away on December 1, 2020.

Chief Spiker selflessly served the Hyndman Volunteer Fire Department for 30 years. He also was an EMR for the Hyndman Rescue Squad. For this work, he gained a reputation as a man who was always willing to offer help to anyone who needed it. Chief Spiker also generously invested time in teaching and mentoring younger firefighters.

In addition to his service as fire chief, Chief Spiker was a truck driver for his entire professional life and worked at Croner Inc., New Enterprise Stone and Lime, and Rice Tire. He was also a member of the Kennells Mill Sportsmen's Club and the National Rifle Association.

I extend my deepest sympathies to Chief Spiker's parents Ron and Jessie, his loving wife of 31 years Anita, and his son Tyler. On behalf of Pennsylvania's 13th Congressional District, it is an honor to recognize Chief Ronald Spiker's legacy of service to the John Hyndman community.

HONORING THE 65TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE CHARLES HOUSTON BAR ASSOCIATION

HON. BARBARA LEE

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Ms. LEE of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the Charles Houston Bar Association (CHBA) and their 65 years of service throughout California's 13th congressional district and the country.

CHBA was originally founded in 1955 as the Charles Houston Law Club and was named in honor of the groundbreaking lawyer and educator, Charles Hamilton Houston. Bearing his name, CHBA continues to uphold his legacy by fighting for racial equality and social change.

Located in Oakland, California, The Charles Houston Bar Association has been a driving force promoting diversity in the legal profession and advocating against racial injustice.

In 1975, The California State Bar's Board of Governors recognized the Charles Houston Bar Association as an official state bar association. The CHBA is also an affiliate member of the National Bar Association (NBA) and the California Association of Black Lawyers (CABL).

The CHBA has been a continuous source of legal advocacy in the African American community. Their focus on protecting the legal rights of the people has kept them on the precipice of change.

During the early 1980's CHBA partnered with the California Association of Black Lawyers to criminalize the KKK. Through their efforts California passed legislation that can still be found in the penal code today.

The Charles Houston Bar Association has always fought to protect civil rights and advocated for equality. These efforts led to the CHBA earning recognition from the National Bar Association as one of its Most Outstanding Affiliates in 1977, 1986, 2002, and 2004.

The CHBA's values and mission are reflected in accomplishments and legacies of its members. From California's first African American Supreme Court Justice Wiley Manuel to the First African American Vice President-elect KAMALA HARRIS, The Charles Houston Bar Association and its members continue to dedicate themselves to creating and being the engineers of social change.

On behalf of California's 13th Congressional District, I want to extend my sincere congratulations on this important milestone. I thank the Charles Houston Bar Association for being a tireless advocate for an inclusive community. I wish them continued success in training and organizing future generations of leaders to address the issue of diversity in the legal profession and the challenges of racial inequality.

RON WAGONER

HON. ED PERLMUTTER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Ron Wagoner with the City of Lakewood for his long tenure with the City and his countless contributions to our community.

Ron began work with the City of Lakewood on December 28, 1970 and, after 50 years of service, plans to retire in January 2021. Throughout his time with the City, he has had many different responsibilities and roles—from Laborer to Maintenance Supervisor—but he is best known for his work ethic and delightful nature.

Through 50 winters, he has ensured snow removal and ice control for the City of Lakewood and its residents. He actively participated in the Colorado Chapter of the American Public Works Association (APWA), particularly related to snow, ice and pavement, and chaired the APWA Snow and Ice committee from 1985 to 2013.

During his service, he also managed pavement quality for 1,345 lane-miles of city streets. This meant selecting, coordinating and directing suppliers of multiple maintenance materials including pavement, sewer, waterline, sand, and salt as well as evolving equipment purchases to support maintenance of streets, sewers, waterlines and storm water facilities. Ron also helped lead and participate in emergency responses to infrastructure failures, storm damage, police responses and fire responses, and helped hire and supervise untold numbers of employees with varied backgrounds.

Ron's work ethic and leadership earned him Lakewood's Employee of the Year in 2016. Outside of work, Ron is a dedicated father and grandfather. I want to extend my deepest appreciation for Ron's long career in public service and countless contributions to our community. I wish him the best in retirement and future endeavors.

HONORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF JOYCE HABER SCHUCK

HON. DOUG LAMBORN

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. LAMBORN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Mrs. Joyce Haber Schuck, cherished wife, mother, grandmother, patriot, community activist, and dear friend.

Joyce was born December 9, 1937, to loving parents, Frank and Florence Haber, in Long Island, New York. She met the love of her life, Steve, while at a party hosted by a mutual friend. They married in 1958, following his college graduation. As newlyweds, Steve and Joyce lived and worked in Syracuse and New York City until they accomplished their dream of starting a family and moving to Colorado Springs. They had three beloved children: William David (1959), Thomas Allen (1961), and Ann Elizabeth (1968).

Joyce lived an extraordinary life by all measures. Her husband, Steve, describes her as "beautiful at many levels, and bordering on being renaissance." Joyce embraced the popular role of being a homemaker to her family, but her efforts and passions didn't end there. Joyce returned to college in her 40s and graduated with a degree in social work. Her passion was always in the well-being of those less fortunate.

Joyce blazed historic trails in her community. She co-founded Community Transitions, a non-profit organization that served homeless families, established a volunteer program for the District Attorney's office, and built the DA's 'Shape Up' initiative. She was appointed by Governor Bill Ritter to the board of the Charter School Institute and served on the boards of the League of Charter School and the Youth Transformation Center. Additionally, she co-founded and chaired the board of Colorado's first military charter school, the Colorado Military Academy.

The Schuck's had an unwavering commitment to children, particularly to those who are disadvantaged. They founded Parents Challenge, a nationwide nonprofit to empower low-income parents with financial and informational resources so that they may choose the schools best suited for their children's needs. Parents Challenge has been carrying out its mission of serving parents and children across our nation for over 21 years.

In a move that took her out of her comfort zone, Joyce embraced the campaign life when Steve sought the Republican nomination for Governor of Colorado in 1986. Not so secretly, many expressed that they wished she was the candidate because she excelled at building relationships and communicating with people from all walks of life. Unbeknownst to Steve, Joyce kept a diary of her experience on the campaign, which she converted into a trail-blazing book titled, "Political Wives, Veiled Lives."

Joyce had many passions in her life, but none more than her family. Joyce was preceded in death by her parents Frank and Florence, and sister Rolene. Joyce is survived by her loving husband Steve, children: Bill (Dede), Tom, and Ann; her grandchildren: Emily, Molly, Hillary, Whitney, Gabriel, Conner, Colton, and Bryce; great-grandchildren: Madyson, Emyrson, Grayson, Hudson, and Liam.

Joyce leaves behind a legacy of grace, kindness, compassion, and generosity. She lived her life with enthusiasm and purpose, and her commitment to those in need will benefit generations to come.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. DEBBIE LESKO

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mrs. LESKO. Madam Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted YEA on Roll Call No. 246, and YEA on Roll Call No. 247.

CELEBRATING THE LIFE OF
FRANK J. MCGUIRE

HON. BRIAN HIGGINS

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. HIGGINS of New York. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of Mr. Frank J. McGuire. Mr. McGuire passed away on July 7, 2020 at the age of 92, after a lifetime of business and community leadership, philanthropy and service to Buffalo and Western New York.

His contributions are so many in so many arenas, including economic, civic, cultural and charitable that even his son found it difficult to describe so he let the quote that guided his father's work speak to how Frank McGuire lived his life. "A ship is safe in the harbor, but that is not what ships were built for." As reported in the Buffalo News, this well respected and well-known leader, "was not afraid of risk or challenge, and always described as brilliant, tough, honest and fair."

Frank McGuire was a job creator and a generator of opportunity; he was an entrepreneur for decades before that term became more widely embraced and celebrated. Still working into his nineties as chairman of the McGuire Group, Frank McGuire never acquired titles, he built companies—30 of them—giving 1,700 people employment and careers as an industry leader in the fields of construction, health care, commercial and industrial real estate development.

His roots were anchored into the South Buffalo community as the oldest of five sons of Frank J. McGuire Sr. and the former Mary Kelly, and a graduate of Holy Family School and South Park High School. Sergeant McGuire served his country honorably from 1946 to 1948 having joined the U.S. Army after becoming an electrician.

His ability to lead was recognized by his fellow classmates as he was president of the School of Engineering of the University at Buffalo Class of 1953. His work as an electrician during his college days took him to new heights as he personally climbed to install the aircraft beacon light at the top of a local radio tower. If there was a challenge to be met, Frank McGuire was the man to step up to get it done.

His innate skill set was more fully on display as an employee of General Electric Company where at the age of 26, he became one of the youngest managers and contributed to the design and development of the nuclear reactors used in the first atomic submarines. Those experiences formed the foundation on which this pioneer took his limited savings and with the support of his parents, who mortgaged their home, founded his first company, Industrial Power & Lighting Corporation. This electrical engineering and construction organization became a powerhouse completing more than \$200 million in projects throughout upstate New York, Florida, Michigan, Ohio, Colorado and Alaska and became the first American company of its kind to work in the People's Republic of China in 1981. Real estate development in Western New York and Florida would follow as well and expansion into health care operating nursing and rehabilitation facilities in Western New York, Long Island and Michigan.

While expanding his businesses locally, nationally and internationally, he always gave back to his community as he led the Chamber of Commerce in the 1970s with a focus on bringing back jobs. Even an unsuccessful run for political office never steered him from public service as he served as a trustee of the New York State Urban Development Corporation and was chairman of the Western New York Economic Development Corporation. His efforts as a member of the New York Sportsplex Committee secured state funding for the Buffalo Bisons baseball stadium and as a major player on the Business Backs the Bills Committee in the late 1990s, he certainly contributed to our beloved football team still calling Buffalo its home.

I, like many others, sought his counsel and can attest to his direct and no-nonsense way the exchange of ideas, priorities and strategies were debated. You knew where you stood with Frank McGuire as he knew the value of family, faith, friendship and loyalty. He knew the value of a job well done and always kept his focus on creating and expanding employment opportunities for the community that gave him his start.

Though never seeking the spotlight, his generosity and significant contributions to numerous charities were deservedly recognized. These included University at Buffalo School of Management Niagara Frontier Executive award in 2001, the United Way Tocqueville Society Philanthropist of the year in 2007, and Business First's Lifetime Achievement Award in 2013.

Madam Speaker, I honor the trailblazing legacy of Frank J. McGuire. He embodied the passion, energy, and commitment to cause that makes Buffalo unique. We will forever be grateful for his outstanding service and leadership. We extend our deepest sympathy to his wife, Donna, his children, grandchildren and the friends and family of the McGuire Group.

THE UNFINISHED BUSINESS OF CONGRESS

HON. KWANZA HALL

OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. HALL. I rise today to include in the RECORD a statement on behalf of my predecessor John Lewis and constituents of Georgia's Fifth Congressional District. We have some unfinished business, and I call on Congress to:

1. expunge all records for nonviolent offenders impacted by the war on drugs;
2. permit those individuals who were previously incarcerated to vote and end the practice of disenfranchisement on these bases;
3. make it more difficult for police to escape accountability when the rights of law-abiding Americans are violated;
4. pass legislation that once and for all bans the box and prevents employment discrimination against those previously incarcerated individuals;
5. establish the John Lewis Institute with an allocation of money from Congress to support this endeavor;
6. support the Prince Hall Masons Building;
7. support the renovation and the restoration of Dr. King's office; and

8. support funding for the John Lewis TOD loop which can be a national Pilot and model similar to our esteemed Beltline, without displacing long-time residents.

I challenge my colleagues in this body to pass these pieces of legislation and deliver on the unfinished business of Georgia's Fifth Congressional District.

I would also like to take a moment to thank leadership in the House and their staff for welcoming me with open arms but more importantly for their leadership in these very challenging times. Their commitment to getting results on behalf of American people is laudable, and the work over the last few years to keep this giant ship on course and to get it back on normal course.

We also need to adapt to the changing times. The post-World War II economy is not coming back. The gig economy is here to stay.

The gig economy is upon us and to remain competitive we have to invest in and reinvest in strategies of empowering people at all stations in life to be their best selves and own their own futures.

As more Americans choose independent and flexible work, this coverage gap will only get bigger, which is why our lawmakers need to update our safety net as soon as possible.

That is why I am proud that today's Coronavirus relief bill delivers \$900 billion in much-needed aid but this is not enough. We need to pass another round of stimulus checks and we need to ensure that state and local governments—which are at the forefront and bearing the impact of paying for this pandemic—are reimbursed.

And, I would like to close on a point of personal privilege.

I have a picture of a man who was a straight-A student at George Washington Carver High School in Montgomery, Alabama who was pushed out of the educational system because he chose to stand up for justice and equality for all in the 1960s.

He was a peaceful and law-abiding student who organized hundreds of other students to participate in the Selma to Montgomery March. It was only a few years ago that I found out who he was. I was reflecting with my mother on her photo collection from the civil rights movement one day, and to my astonishment she stated that the peaceful protestor being dragged on the ground by a police officer in 1965 was my father.

His name was Leon Hall and he was the youngest staff member of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, and aide to Dr. Martin Luther King, from 1963 to 1968. He was a foot soldier in the fight for justice along with Hosea Williams, who stood on the Edmund Pettus bridge next my predecessor John Lewis. All three of them were beaten, verbally abused, and illegally incarcerated countless times, just as we see today with Eric Garner, Breonna Taylor, George Floyd and others. This has been an unfortunate reality in the U.S. and around the world for millions of black and brown people for far too long—injustice and unlawful treatment by those responsible for upholding the law has to end and it has to end now.

While I have seen this picture for my entire life, before that conversation with my mother I never realized that the individual in it was my father.

The picture was taken in 1974 and showed my father. Leon Hall, a board member of the

Southern Regional Council, testifying before this very body about the issues related to education and students being pushed out of education systems, much as he was. Our Majority Whip Representative CLYBURN and Representative BENNIE THOMPSON were also board members of the SRC at that time.

The body of work they established at that time is the scholarship we now refer to as the school to prison pipeline. Some of America's greatest talents, our most brilliant minds—capable of creating inventions, innovations, or great companies that can employ thousands and provide cures to ailments—are languishing in prison due to an unjust legal system. Many of them were brutalized on a traffic stop or walking innocently down the street.

I rise to bring attention to the need for justice reform and to explain the import of why I support justice reform projects, like the last prisoner project, the innocence project, banning the box for reentry to work. I support the overall evolution of the way we work, who works, and how we contribute and provide value to a future society that truly is just for all.

Young people who fill prisons should be empowered to create and build our companies as knowledgebased workers with or without a college degree doing jobs that require new tech skills that they have, and those in jails for non-violent offenses should be released and given training to do the same. That's why I support the MOREs act and SAFE act. But the critical component of this is the categorical expungement of records and wholesale investment in the creation of companies with, for, and by citizens reentering the economy—companies that will pay taxes and keep people off the streets. The SAFE Act should have minority- and women-led financial institutions at the center of the transition that we need to move our country forward in a uniformed fashion.

We need a comprehensive solution to end these injustices. I believe in second chances and the power of redemption. People returning from incarceration should have opportunities and pathways to succeed and contribute to society in a meaningful way without stigma from the wrongs they've done in the past. This same principle is applicable to Congress too.

I would end on the following observation. The country was built on the backs of African descendants of slaves, indigenous people and other immigrants including Chinese, Irish, Italians, Mexican and Central Americans, those from South Asia and people from all over the world. We need a country that functions without regard to where your ancestors come from. We need opportunity mindful of that. We need a justice system mindful of that. Only then can we begin to end the divisions of our country and begin to unite.

Tough times bring out the worse or the best in people and we need to standup and ensure that we not let the COVID crisis continue to bring out the worse but rather take the next few weeks of this administration and first 100 days to 6 months to get something right in this country and get the country back on course.

SUPPORT FOR S. 1310 (ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES LEGISLATIVE ENGAGEMENT ACT OF 2020)

HON. MICHAEL T. McCAUL

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Mr. McCAUL. Madam Speaker, I want to express my support for the Organization of American States Legislative Engagement Act.

The Organization of American States (OAS) brings together the nations of the Western Hemisphere to promote democracy, human rights, security, and economic development.

This bill directs the Department of State to develop a strategy to support efforts in the OAS to strengthen critical anti-corruption efforts and promote human rights.

It also requires a report detailing the organization's progress toward advancing human rights, combating impunity, and supporting the participation of democratically elected legislators in OAS activities.

Finally, this bill encourages democratically elected legislators from member states to discuss the most important issues facing the region—including defending human rights, promoting democracy, and supporting anti-corruption efforts.

As co-chair of the US-Mexico Interparliamentary Group, I recognize the important role that legislators can play in advancing shared foreign policy interests. However, the United States must take all necessary measures to ensure that any interparliamentary forum created under this legislation provides a platform for substantive discourse to address serious issues impacting the region, including countering threats to democracy and human rights, transnational crime, impunity, and systemic corruption in accordance with the Inter-American Democratic Charter.

The United States must also make all efforts to ensure that interparliamentary forums are not coopted by actors who aim to undermine U.S. policy interests in the region by promoting socialism and embracing malign actors like the Chinese Communist Party (CCP).

This legislation includes critical language ensuring that only democratically elected legislators participate in any newly-created forum. A "democratically elected legislator" under this legislation should be strictly defined as an individual who was elected as a result of periodic, free and fair elections. Further, any legislator who is known to be convicted for or engaged in corruption or transnational criminal activities, including trafficking of people, goods, or illicit narcotics, moneylaundering, terrorist financing, acts of terrorism, campaign finance violations, bribery, extortion, human rights violations, or undermining democracy, should be barred from participation.

The U.S. must also work with the OAS to ensure that any forum or action taken under this legislation is feasible and cost effective, keeping in mind the ongoing budgetary constraints of the OAS and the importance of advancing the objectives outlined under the Organization of American States Revitalization and Reform Act of 2013, including the implementation of a results-based budgeting process in order to strategically prioritize, and where appropriate, reduce current and future mandates.

The State Department should also maintain communication with the Committee on Foreign Relations in the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs in the House of Representatives through regular briefings on the progress of any forum that is created under Section 4 of this legislation and its implementation.

With the Western Hemisphere facing serious threats from authoritarian regimes and malign actors, it has never been more important for the U.S. and our partners to promote values of freedom in the region.

I commend Senator CARDIN and Senator WICKER for their leadership on this issue, and I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

THE 2020 CHRISTMAS TREE BILL:
"MONEY VERSUS WEALTH"

HON. MARCY KAPTUR

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, December 21, 2020

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Speaker, Americans should wonder why Pennsylvania Senator PAT TOOMEY—a Harvard educated, former Wall Street foreign currency swap trader—held up this relief bill so necessary to help people in our pandemic-plagued nation. He was wrong to hold up the bill, but he is right on putting a spotlight on the Federal Reserve. Bottom line: How will our nation pay the \$2 trillion bill for this necessary relief to the American people, and our escalating debt? First, let me begin with this basic lesson President Franklin Roosevelt's generation taught mine: There is a difference between "money" and "wealth." Senator TOOMEY appears to be concerned about America's deteriorating financial position. So am I.

Wealth = tangible assets that produce real products (agricultural land, a factory, a donut shop, a forest, etc. . . . real products. Money is but an ephemeral accounting transaction. As Congress debates this \$2 trillion-dollar vital bill, of one thing we can be certain: it is not paid for. The U.S. has gone from the world's largest creditor (+\$360 billion) in 1980, to its largest debtor (−\$97 trillion) in 2019. The percent of household debt equals 68 percent of the GDP, an astounding figure—(\$14.35 trillion of a GDP of \$21.16 trillion). The Federal Reserve soon will gather its member banks to figure out how to finance this debt. The money to pay for it will be borrowed as onerous taxation at this time would harm the recovery. But the American people will have to pay back lenders for years to come, including increasing payments to foreign creditors that are financing America—China, Japan, and Brazil, among others. Senator TOOMEY is shining a laser beam on the Fed as it will be the supreme negotiator in these "money" transactions that will impact our nation's future "wealth". Our nation has been accumulating more and more debt and not been paying down principal for a very long time due to wars, huge tax cuts to the wealthy, and a haughty attitude among some that the U.S. is invulnerable to the selling off of productive assets. During the 1990's, to ease the interest payments on our accumulating U.S. debt, the U.S. financial system was turned inside out to inflate and leverage globally Americans' wealth in the housing sector. Wall Street, with

the Fed looking on, ushered in the horrific 2008 housing crisis with millions of transactions that failed. Individual American families are still recovering from that hard body blow to their real wealth achieved through home ownership, their primary source of savings. Yes, Wall Street feverishly booked paper money losses while big securities firms ate one another, leaving about 4 giant firms that now transact the majority of money trades in our country. Incidentally, their CEO's took away more money and bonuses than before the crash. They transferred their losses to homeowners in every hamlet in our nation as well as booked losses to many lenders that had less money power.

Senator PAT TOOMEY is a former Wall Street refugee as the bank at which he worked was gobbled up by Germany's Deutsche Bank. He holds a rigid fealty to free markets as a Club for Growth endorsed Senator. He and I hold vastly differing views about many issues, but we come from manufacturing states deeply harmed by the current Wall Street-Fed economic model, where every real asset is disposable. We both share a deep concern about rising U.S. debt levels and the role of the Federal Reserve as an agent of national decline. He has focused on America's terrible "money vs. wealth" predicament whether one chooses to listen to him or not. Our nation's capital markets are now dependent on foreign investment to prop them up. Our nation is not financially independent. The question is which sector of our economy will next fall victim to Wall Street's financial roulette with the Federal Reserve's acquiescence—will the wealth of our states and municipalities be compromised or emptied out as was our housing sector? That is a deep concern in view of what Wall Street orchestrated as the housing bubble burst.

For foreign investors buying U.S. debt, U.S. taxpayers annually now shell out over \$130 billion annually in interest payments to China, Japan, and Brazil to name three. Since the 1980's the share of foreign ownership of US debt has risen from less than 4 percent to well over 40 percent. This is an astounding indict-

ment on our unfortunate inability to live within our means. Frankly, to be a strong and independent nation, with wealth creation here at home, these are bills we should aim to pay ourselves. That requires real leadership.

The Fed's Chair, an unelected position, is now the second most important office in Washington, DC. 99 percent of the public doesn't think much about the Fed. But it becomes the key lynchpin in the ability of our nation to float economically. Its growing, subterranean financial control over daily life can come at a terrible price. The Fed's money and debt penchant for our nation is like consuming a tad of arsenic at a time. Rather, to reverse the cycle of middle-class downward mobility, America needs real wealth creation at home, not just money supply manipulation again. For example, why shouldn't seniors be able to earn fair interest again on their accumulated savings? They loan their precious dollars to banks and are paid nothing in return. The aspiring generation should not have to be pawned through heavy education debt loads from which the financial sector benefits. If Congress fails to pay attention, real assets of local municipalities and states could well be leveraged by the Fed to pay more American interest to foreign bond holders. Heedless borrowing continues to fund America's inability to grow the real economy here at home. The significant diminishment of manufacturing as a robust sector of our economy that creates broad economic uplift of the middle class cannot be matched by the "financial services" sector nor the new "tech industry" which produce very lopsided economies, with a few very rich but many more poor.

Record credit card debt and the indebting of the aspiring generation—all are signs of a creeping financialization of our society that takes power and control away from ordinary citizens. Is it any wonder Americans are in backlash mode? The amount of interest taxpayers are paying foreign creditors has been on an ascending curve since the 1980s. It cannot continue if our nation is to remain free. With this "debt bomb" hanging over our

heads, in the future any bill Congress passes must protect the real value of our municipal and state assets—water and sewage systems, bus systems, parks, hospitals and universities, roads, equipment, etc. They should not be leveraged through international borrowing that requires growing interest payments over time that in turn indebts our communities in perpetuity as has occurred already with student debt loans and the housing melt down.

A truly strong America requires we look ourselves in the mirror and design a "Build Back Better Recovery" that is not financialized but is truly "made in America", not borrowed. The American people deserve no less for what they have been enduring during this Pandemic and with the accelerating economic washout of the middle class since the 1980's. There is a huge difference between money and wealth.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate of February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, December 22, 2020 may be found in the Daily Digest of today's RECORD.

Daily Digest

HIGHLIGHTS

Senate agreed to the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 133, Consolidated Appropriations Act.

Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S7887–S7949

Measures Introduced: Seven bills were introduced, as follows: S. 5078–5084. **Page S7939**

Measures Passed:

Buying American: Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 625, affirming the benefits of “Buying American”, and the resolution was then agreed to, after agreeing to the following amendments proposed thereto: **Page S7899**

Scott (FL) Amendment No. 2726, in the nature of a substitute. **Page S7899**

Scott (FL) Amendment No. 2727, to amend the preamble. **Page S7899**

Scott (FL) Amendment No. 2728, to amend the title. **Page S7899**

BUILD Act: Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs was discharged from further consideration of S. 371, to provide regulatory relief to charitable organizations that provide housing assistance, and the bill was then passed, after agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto: **Pages S7901–02**

Cornyn (for Fischer) Amendment No. 2729, in the nature of a substitute. **Pages S7901–02**

Restoring Resilient Reefs Act: Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation was discharged from further consideration of S. 2429, to reauthorize the Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 and to establish the United States Coral Reef Task Force, and the bill was then passed, after agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto: **Page S7902**

Cornyn (for Rubio/Schatz) Amendment No. 2730, in the nature of a substitute. **Page S7902**

Julius Rosenwald and the Rosenwald Schools Act: Senate passed H.R. 3250, to require the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a special resource study of the sites associated with the life and legacy of the noted American philanthropist and business executive Julius Rosenwald, with a special focus on the Rosenwald Schools. **Page S7902**

Jimmy Carter National Historical Park Redesignation Act: Senate passed H.R. 5472, to redesignate the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site as the “Jimmy Carter National Historical Park”. **Page S7902**

DESCEND Act: Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 5126, to require individuals fishing for Gulf reef fish to use certain descending devices, and the bill was then passed. **Page S7902**

Enrollment Correction: Senate agreed to H. Con. Res. 128, directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1520. **Pages S7924–28**

United Nations World Food Programme: Committee on Foreign Relations was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 774, honoring the United Nations World Food Programme on the occasion of being awarded the 2020 Nobel Peace Prize, and the resolution was then agreed to, after agreeing to the following amendments proposed thereto: **Page S7928**

Boozman Amendment No. 2733, to amend the resolving clause. **Page S7928**

Boozman Amendment No. 2734, to amend the preamble. **Page S7928**

Port of Beirut: Committee on Foreign Relations was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 682, recognizing the devastating explosion that rocked the Port of Beirut on August 4, 2020, and expressing solidarity with the Lebanese people, and the resolution was then agreed to. **Page S7928**

EFFORT Act: Senate passed H.R. 3153, to direct the Director of the National Science Foundation to support research on opioid addiction, after withdrawing the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, and agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto: **Page S7929**

Boozman (for Wicker) Amendment No. 2732, in the nature of a substitute. **Page S7929**

Transparency in Federal Buildings Projects Act: Committee on Environment and Public Works was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 2502, to amend title 40, United States Code, to require certain prospectuses for public buildings to be made publicly available, and the bill was then passed. **Page S7929**

Competitive Health Insurance Reform Act: Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 1418, to restore the application of the Federal antitrust laws to the business of health insurance to protect competition and consumers, and the bill was then passed. **Page S7929**

Yucca House National Monument Expansion Act: Senate passed H.R. 1492, to update the map of, and modify the maximum acreage available for inclusion in, the Yucca House National Monument. **Page S7929**

Enrollment Correction: Senate agreed to H. Con. Res. 127, directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 133. **Pages S7922–30**

House Messages:

Further Extension of Continuing Appropriations Act: Senate agreed to the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 1520, to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological products. **Page S7923**

Consolidated Appropriations Act: By 92 yeas to 6 nays (Vote No. 289), Senate agreed to the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 133, making consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2021, by the order of the Senate of Monday, December 21, 2020, 60 Senators having voted in the affirmative. **Pages S7922–28**

Appointments:

Independent Mexico Labor Expert Board: The Chair, on behalf of the President pro tempore, upon the recommendation of the Majority Leader, pursuant to Public Law 116–113, and in consultation with the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Finance, appointed the following individuals to the Independent Mexico Labor Expert Board: Kyle

Fortson of the District of Columbia, and Charlotte Ponticelli of Maryland. **Page S7949**

Signing Authority—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that Senators Thune, Roberts, and Blunt be authorized to sign duly enrolled bills or joint resolutions from Monday, December 21, 2020 through Sunday, January 3, 2021. **Page S7928**

Pro Forma Sessions—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that the Senate adjourn, to then convene for pro forma sessions only, with no business being conducted on the following dates and times, and that following each pro forma session, the Senate adjourn until the next pro forma session: Thursday, December 24, 2020, at 10 a.m.; and Monday, December 28, 2020, at 10 a.m.; and that when the Senate adjourns on Monday, December 28, 2020, it next convene at 12 noon, on Tuesday, December 29, 2020. **Page S7949**

Nominations Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nominations:

By 48 yeas to 47 nays (Vote No. EX. 288), Eric J. Soskin, of Virginia, to be Inspector General, Department of Transportation. **Pages S7910, S7921–22**

During consideration of this nomination today, Senate also took the following action:

By 48 yeas to 46 nays (Vote No. 285), Senate agreed to the motion to proceed to the motion to reconsider the vote by which cloture was not invoked on Friday, December 19, 2020. **Page S7910**

By 48 yeas to 46 nays (Vote No. EX. 286), Senate agreed to the motion to reconsider the vote by which cloture was not invoked on Friday, December 19, 2020. **Page S7910**

By 48 yeas to 44 nays (Vote No. EX. 287), Senate upon reconsideration agreed to the motion to close further debate on the nomination. **Pages S7910–11**

C. Kevin Blackstone, of Virginia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador to the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste.

Cynthia Kierscht, of Minnesota, to be Ambassador to the Islamic Republic of Mauritania.

Brian D. McFeeters, of Virginia, to be Ambassador to Malaysia.

David Reimer, of Ohio, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Counselor, to be Ambassador to the Republic of Sierra Leone.

Geeta Pasi, of New York, to be Ambassador to the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. **Page S7930**

Messages from the House:

Pages S7938–39

Enrolled Bills Presented:

Page S7939

Additional Cosponsors:

Pages S7939–40

Additional Statements: Page S7938
Amendments Submitted: Pages S7940–49
Record Votes: Five record votes were taken today. (Total—289) Pages S7910–11, S7921–22, S7927–28
Adjournment: Senate convened at 12 noon on Monday, December 21, 2020 and adjourned at 1:47 a.m. on Tuesday, December 22, 2020, until 12 noon on

Thursday, December 24, 2020. (For Senate's program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today's Record on page S7949.)

Committee Meetings

(Committees not listed did not meet)

No committee meetings were held.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 7 public bills, H.R. 9039–9045; and 2 resolutions, H. Con. Res. 127–128, were introduced Page H7322

Additional Cosponsors: Page H7322

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

H. Res. 1271, providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 133) to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico; providing for disposition of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 1520) to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the publication of a list of licensed biological products, and for other purposes; and for other purposes (H. Rept. 116–679);

H.R. 2245, to amend the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to prohibit import and export of any species listed or proposed to be listed under such Act as a threatened species or endangered species, and for other purposes, with amendments (H. Rept. 116–680, Part 1);

H.R. 1108, to provide for funding from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund for all Federal Aviation Administration activities in the event of a Government shutdown, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 116–681, Part 1);

H.R. 4545, to provide for the discharge of a private education loan in the case of death or total and permanent disability of a student obligor, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 116–682, Part 1);

H.R. 4782, to establish a national commission on online platforms and homeland security, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 116–683, Part 1); and

H.R. 865, to provide for the long-term improvement of public school facilities, and for other purposes, with amendments (H. Rept. 116–684, Part 1). Pages H7321–22

Recess: The House recessed at 9:35 a.m. and reconvened at 4:04 p.m. Page H7290

Directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 133: The House agreed to H. Con. Res. 127, directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 133. Page H7300

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “Making consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2021, providing coronavirus emergency response and relief, and for other purposes.”. Page H7300

Directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1520: The House agreed to H. Con. Res. 128, directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1520. Pages H7300–01

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “Making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021, and for other purposes.”. Page H7301

United States-Mexico Economic Partnership Act: The House concurred in the Senate amendment to H.R. 133, to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico, with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116–68, with the first portion of the question comprising Divisions B, C, E, and F, by a yea-and-nay vote of 327 yeas to 85 nays, Roll No. 250. Pages H7301–14

H. Res. 1271, the rule providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 133) was agreed to by a yea-and-nay vote of 227 yeas to 180 nays, Roll No. 249, after the previous question was ordered without objection. Pursuant to section 2 of H. Res. 1271, the House shall be considered to have taken from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1520) with the Senate amendment thereto, and to have

concurred in the Senate amendment with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116–69.

Pages H7290–H7300

United States-Mexico Economic Partnership Act:

The House concurred in the Senate amendment to H.R. 133, to promote economic partnership and cooperation between the United States and Mexico, with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116–68, with the second portion of the question except Divisions B, C, E, and F, by a yea-and-nay vote of 359 yeas to 53 nays, Roll No. 251.

Pages H7314–15

H. Res. 1271, the rule providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 133) was agreed to by a yea-and-nay vote of 227 yeas to 180 nays, Roll No. 249, after the previous question was ordered without objection. Pursuant to section 2 of H. Res. 1271, the House shall be considered to have taken from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1520) with the Senate amendment thereto, and to have concurred in the Senate amendment with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116–69.

Pages H7290–H7300

Bankruptcy Administration Improvement Act of 2020: The House agreed to take from the Speaker's table and pass S. 4996, to ensure funding of the United States trustees, and extend temporary bankruptcy judgeships.

Pages H7315–17

Senate Referrals: S. 371 was held at the desk. S. 2204 was held at the desk. S. 2346 was held at the desk. S. 2353 was held at the desk. S. 2716 was held at the desk. S. 2800 was held at the desk. S. 2827 was held at the desk. S. 3099 was held at the desk. S. 3100 was held at the desk. S. 3948 was held at the desk. S. 3952 was held at the desk. S. 4079 was held at the desk. S. 4222 was held at the desk. S. 4556 was held at the desk. S. 5076 was held at the desk.

Senate Messages: Message received from the Senate today and messages received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appear on pages H7290 and H7300.

Quorum Calls—Votes: Three yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H7299, H7313, and H7314.

Adjournment: The House met at 9 a.m. and adjourned at 9:13 p.m.

Committee Meetings

SENATE AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES-MEXICO ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP ACT

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on the Senate Amendment to H.R. 133, the “United States-Mexico Economic Partnership Act” [Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021]. The Committee granted, by record vote of 8–4, a rule providing for the consideration of the Senate amendment to H.R. 133, the “Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021”. The rule makes in order a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or her designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116–68. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the motion. The rule provides that the Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The rule provides one hour of debate on the motion equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. The rule provides that the question of adoption of the motion shall be divided for a separate vote on the matter proposed to be inserted as divisions B, C, E, and F, and the Chair shall first put the question on such portion of the divided question. The rule provides that if either portion of the divided question fails of adoption, then the motion shall immediately be considered to have failed of adoption. Section two of the rule provides that upon adoption of the rule, the House shall be considered to have concurred in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1520 with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116–69. The rule provides that the Clerk shall be authorized to make necessary technical and conforming changes in the engrossment of the House amendments specified in the first two sections of the resolution. The rule provides that if a veto message is laid before the House on H.R. 6395, then after the message is read, further consideration of the veto message and the bill shall be postponed until the legislative day of Monday, December 28, 2020; and on that legislative day, the House shall proceed to reconsideration and dispose of such question without intervening motion. The rule provides that the chair of the Committee on Appropriations and the chair of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence may insert in the Congressional Record not later than December 28, 2020, such material as they may deem explanatory of the Senate amendment and the motion specified in the first section of the rule. Testimony was heard from Chairman Lowey and Representative Granger.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

NEW PUBLIC LAWS

(For last listing of Public Laws, see DAILY DIGEST, p. D1110)

S. 910, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act. Signed on December 18, 2020. (Public Law 116–221)

S. 945, to amend the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to require certain issuers to disclose to the Securities and Exchange Commission information regarding foreign jurisdictions that prevent the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board from performing inspections under that Act. Signed on December 18, 2020. (Public Law 116–222)

S. 1069, to require the Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, to establish a constituent-driven program to provide a digital information platform capable of efficiently integrating coastal data with decision-support tools, training, and best practices and to support collection

of priority coastal geospatial data to inform and improve local, State, regional, and Federal capacities to manage the coastal region. Signed on December 18, 2020. (Public Law 116–223)

S. 1982, to improve efforts to combat marine debris. Signed on December 18, 2020. (Public Law 116–224)

H.J. Res. 107, making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021. Signed on December 18, 2020. (Public Law 116–225)

H.J. Res. 110, making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2021. Signed on December 20, 2020. (Public Law 116–226)

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THURSDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2020

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

No meetings/hearings scheduled.

House

No hearings are scheduled.

Next Meeting of the SENATE

10 a.m., Thursday, December 24

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

9 a.m., Thursday, December 24

Senate Chamber

Program for Thursday: Senate will meet in a pro forma session.

House Chamber

Program for Thursday: House will meet in Pro Forma session at 9 a.m.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

HOUSE

Brooks, Susan W., Ind., E1193
Burgess, Michael C., Tex., E1191
Evans, Dwight, Pa., E1193
Ferguson, A. Drew, IV, Ga., E1191
Garcia, Sylvia R., Tex., E1194
Griffith, H. Morgan, Va., E1193

Hall, Kwanza, Ga., E1196
Higgins, Brian, N.Y., E1192, E1196
Huffman, Jared, Calif., E1194
Joyce, John, Pa., E1194
Kaptur, Marcy, Ohio, E1197
Lamborn, Doug, Colo., E1195
Lee, Barbara, Calif., E1195
Lesko, Debbie, Ariz., E1192, E1195

McCaul, Michael T., Tex., E1197
Neal, Richard E., Mass., E1191
Perlmutter, Ed, Colo., E1192, E1195
Tlaib, Rashida, Mich., E1192
Torres, Norma J., Calif., E1194
Wilson, Joe, S.C., E1193
Wittman, Robert J., Va., E1191, E1194



Congressional Record

printed pursuant to directions of the Joint Committee on Printing as authorized by appropriate provisions of Title 44, United States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, excepting very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. ¶Public access to the *Congressional Record* is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at www.govinfo.gov, free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the *Congressional Record* is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800, or 866-512-1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, contactcenter@gpo.gov. ¶To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: bookstore.gpo.gov. Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000, or phone orders to 866-512-1800 (toll-free), 202-512-1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202-512-2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. ¶Following each session of Congress, the daily *Congressional Record* is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. ¶With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the *Congressional Record*.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, *Congressional Record*, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.