

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
FORT MYERS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Case No. 2:23-cr-13-TPB-NPM

AL CLINT LAROCHE

**UNITED STATES' MOTION  
FOR AN ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and Rule 32.2(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United States of America moves for an order of forfeiture against the defendant in the amount of \$1,078,652.50, representing the amount of proceeds he personally obtained as the result the bank fraud offense charged in Counts One and Two of the Information.

In accordance with Rule 32.2(b)(4) and the defendant's plea agreement (Doc. 15 at 6), the United States asks that the order of forfeiture be made final as to the defendant at the time it is entered.

In support, the United States submits the following memorandum of law.

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

**I. Statement of Facts**

**A. Allegations Against the Defendant**

1. On or about January 27, 2023, the United States filed an Information charging the defendant with two counts of bank fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344. Doc. 13.

2. The forfeiture allegations notified the defendant that, under 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A), the United States intended to forfeit any property constituting, or derived from proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such violation, including, but not limited to, an order of forfeiture in the amount of \$1,078,652.50. *Id.* at 8-9.

**B. Findings of Guilt and Admissions of Fact**

3. On January 30, 2023, the defendant pleaded guilty to Counts One and Two of the Information pursuant to a written plea agreement and United States Magistrate Judge Nicholas P. Mizelle recommended that his plea be accepted. Docs. 22, 24. On February 16, 2023, United States District Judge Thomas P. Barber accepted his plea and adjudicated him guilty. Doc. 26. The defendant's sentencing is currently scheduled for May 19, 2023. *Id.*

4. In his plea agreement (Doc. 15 at 14-17), the defendant admitted that he personally obtained \$1,078,652.50 in proceeds from the bank fraud scheme charged in Counts One and Two of the Information.

**C. Admissions Related to Forfeiture**

5. In his plea agreement, the defendant admitted and agreed that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A), the United States was entitled to an order of forfeiture in the amount of \$1,078,652.50, representing the amount of proceeds the defendant personally obtained as a result of the bank fraud scheme as charged in Counts One and Two. Doc. 15 at 4. Moreover, the defendant agreed that the United States is

entitled to forfeit any of the defendant's property as substitute assets to satisfy the Order of Forfeiture and that the order of forfeiture would be final upon entry. The defendant also agreed that since the criminal proceeds have been transferred to third parties and cannot be located by the United States upon the exercise of due diligence, the preliminary and final orders of forfeiture should authorize the United States Attorney's Office to conduct discovery (including depositions, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, and the issuance of subpoenas), pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to help identify, locate, and forfeit substitute assets. *Id.* at 5.

## **II. Applicable Law**

### **A. Forfeiture Statute**

Criminal forfeiture of property for bank fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344, is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2) which provides for the forfeiture of any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of such violations. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2).

### **B. Court's Determination of Forfeiture**

Rule 32.2, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, governs the criminal forfeiture of property based on a defendant's conviction for the offense giving rise to the forfeiture. Rule 32.2(b)(1) requires that as soon as practical after a verdict or finding of guilty, or after a plea of guilty is accepted, the Court must determine what property is subject to forfeiture under the applicable statute. Fed. R. Crim. P.

32.2(b)(1)(A). The Court's determination may be based on evidence already in the record, or any additional evidence submitted by the parties and accepted by the Court as relevant and reliable. Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(1)(B).

Because the United States could not locate any of the specific property traceable to the defendant's wire fraud offense, the United States seeks an order of forfeiture against the defendant in the amount of \$1,078,652.50, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(2). As the defendant has agreed, he personally obtained \$1,078,652.50 in proceeds as a result of the bank fraud offense. If the Court finds that the defendant obtained at least \$1,078,652.50 as a result of his participation in the bank fraud and that the defendant has dissipated those proceeds, then it is appropriate for the Court to enter an order of forfeiture against the defendant in that amount pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(2).

### **III. Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the United States requests that the Court, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and Rule 32.2(b)(2), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, enter an Order of Forfeiture against the defendant in the amount of \$1,078,652.50.

As required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(4)(B), the United States requests that the Court include the forfeiture when orally pronouncing the sentence and include the forfeiture order, directly or by reference, in the judgment. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) and (B).

Finally, the United States further requests that the Court retain jurisdiction to address any third-party claim that may be asserted in these proceedings, to enter any further order necessary for the forfeiture and disposition of such property, and to order any substitute assets forfeited to the United States up to the amount of the order of forfeiture.

The United States requests that the Court retain jurisdiction to address any third-party interest that may be asserted and to complete the forfeiture and disposition of the property.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROGER B, HANDBERG  
United States Attorney

By: s/James A. Muench  
JAMES A. MUENCH  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Florida Bar Number 472867  
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 3200  
Tampa, Florida 33602  
(813) 274-6000 – telephone  
E-mail: [james.muench2@usdoj.gov](mailto:james.muench2@usdoj.gov)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 24, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to counsel of record.

*s/James A. Muench*  
JAMES A. MUENCH  
Assistant United States Attorney