

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Case No. 8:21-cr-370-CEH-JSS

BRIDGITTE KEIM

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court upon the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture (Doc. 20), filed on March 10, 2022, against the Defendant in the amount of \$7,500.

Being fully advised of the relevant facts, the Court hereby finds that at least \$7,500 was obtained by the Defendant as a result of her bank fraud scheme, for which she has pleaded guilty.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that for good cause shown, the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture (Doc. 20) is GRANTED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and Rule 32.2(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Defendant shall be held liable for an order of forfeiture in the amount of \$7,500.


It is FURTHER ORDERED that, because the \$7,500 in proceeds was dissipated by the Defendant, the United States may seek, as a substitute asset, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1), forfeiture

of any of the Defendant's property up to the value of \$7,500.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, upon entry, this order shall become a final order of forfeiture as to the Defendant.

The Court retains jurisdiction to address any third party claim that may be asserted in these proceedings, to enter any further order necessary for the forfeiture and disposition of such property, and for any substitute assets that the United States may be entitled to seek up to the amount of the order of forfeiture.

DONE and ORDERED in Tampa, Florida, this 28th day of March, 2022.


Charlene Edwards Honeywell
United States District Judge

Copies to:
Suzanne C. Nebesky, AUSA
Counsel of Record