UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT MYERS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. Case No. 2:21-cr-74-TPB-MRM

AMBER REWIS BRUEY

ORDER OF FORFEITURE AND PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE FOR DIRECT ASSET

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court upon the United States of America's motion for:

1. An order of forfeiture against the defendant in the amount of $881,058.35; and

2. A preliminary order of forfeiture for the following asset:

Real property located at 114 Kemp Lane, Hertford, North Carolina 27944, including all improvements thereon and appurtenances thereto, the legal description for which is as follows:

BEGINNING AT A POINT on the southern side of the Perquimans River, said point being at the northeastern comer of the Skinner property, thence in a southwestern direction along a fence, being the Skinner line, 295 feet to a chopped oak, thence in a southeasterly direction, a line parallel with said Perquimans River, 178 feet to a chopped oak; thence in a northerly direction, a straight line to a chopped gum, said gum being at the break of a swamp; and thence continuing on in a straight line from said oak and gum, to the Perquimans River; thence along the run of said river in a northwesterly direction back to the POINT OF BEGINNING. For reference and chain of title see Deed Book 109 Page 330 of the Perquimans County Registry.

ALSO CONVEYED HEREBY is a right of way to said above described property, across property of now or formerly R.E. Mathews,

> the same being 30 foot wide right of way and running from property of said Mathews, hitting the outer edge of the swamp located on the southeastern side of said above described property.
>
> Designated Map No. 2-D061-0017-HB (PIN No. 7868-24-9352) in the Perquimans County Tax Office,
>
> (the Real Property).

Being fully advised of the relevant facts, the Court hereby finds that at least $881,058.35 was obtained by the defendant as a result of her participation in (1) the wire fraud conspiracy charged in Count One of the Indictment, (2) the wire fraud scheme charged in Counts Two through Eleven, and/or was obtained and laundered by the defendant as a result of her participation in (3) the money laundering conspiracy charged in Count Fourteen, and/or (4) the illegal monetary transactions charged in Counts Fifteen through Eighteen, for which she has pled guilty.

The Court further finds that the Real Property identified above constitutes or was derived from proceeds traceable to the wire fraud conspiracy and/or the wire fraud scheme, and was involved in the money laundering conspiracy and in an illegal monetary transaction, for which the defendant has pled guilty.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that for good cause shown, the United States= motion is GRANTED.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(1), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and Rule 32.2(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the defendant shall be held liable for an order of forfeiture in the amount of $881,058.35. The United States shall not collect more than $881,058.35, in total, from the defendant and her co-defendant sentenced for the same offense in this case.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, because the $881,058.35 in proceeds was dissipated by the defendant, the United States may seek, as a substitute asset, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), forfeiture of any of the defendant's property up to the value of $881,058.35.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(1), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and Rule 32.2(b)(2), the Real Property identified on pages one and two, above, is hereby forfeited to the United States for disposition according to law. The net proceeds from the forfeiture and sale of this asset shall be credited to and reduce the amount the United States shall be entitled to forfeit as substitute assets.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that this order shall become a final order of forfeiture as to the defendant at sentencing.

The Court retains jurisdiction to address any third-party claim that may be asserted in these proceedings, to enter any further order necessary for the forfeiture and disposition of such property, and for any substitute assets that the United States may be entitled to seek up to the amount of the order of forfeiture.

DONE and ORDERED in Fort Myers, Florida, this 27th day of May, 2022.

THOMAS P. BARBER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to:
Suzanne C. Nebesky, AUSA
Counsel of Record