

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAISHA SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 24-00029-01-CR-W-BP

**MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES FOR A PRELIMINARY ORDER
OF FORFEITURE, WITH SUPPORTING SUGGESTIONS**

The United States of America respectfully moves this Court for a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture as to the defendant, Daisha Sanders, for the reasons set forth in the following supporting suggestions. A proposed order is submitted with this motion.

SUPPORTING SUGGESTIONS

1. On February 6, 2024, the defendant, Daisha Sanders, was charged in a 13-count Indictment. (D.E. 1.) Relevant to this motion, the defendant was charged with conspiracy to commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349 (Count One); and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343 (Counts Two through Twelve). *Id.*

2. The Forfeiture Allegation of the Indictment sought forfeiture of all property, real and personal, constituting or derived from proceeds traceable to the offenses alleged in Counts One through Twelve of the Indictment, including but not limited to a personal money judgment against the defendant. *Id.*

3. On November 21, 2024, the defendant entered into a plea agreement with the United States pursuant to which she agreed to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment. The

defendant further consented to the entry of a money judgment in the amount of at least \$40,832.50, representing proceeds she personally obtained in connection with the scheme alleged in Count One. (D.E. 189.)

4. The Court's jurisdiction in this matter is founded upon Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), which provides that the following property is subject to forfeiture:

Any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of . . . of this title, or any offense constituting "specified unlawful activity" (as defined in section 1956(c)(7) of this title), or a conspiracy to commit such offense.

The Court's jurisdiction is further founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), which provides that:

If a person is charged in a criminal case with a violation of Act of Congress for which the civil or criminal forfeiture of property is authorized, the Government may include notice of the forfeiture in the indictment or information pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. If the defendant is convicted of the offense giving rise to the forfeiture, the court shall order the forfeiture of the property as part of the sentence in the criminal case pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and section 3554 of Title 18 United States Code. The procedures in section 413 of the Controlled Substance Act (21 U.S.C. § 853) apply to all stages of a criminal forfeiture proceedings, except that subsection (d) of such section applies only in cases in which the defendant is convicted of a violation of such Act.

5. The United States has not, as of this date, identified specific assets that were derived from the offenses for which the defendant has been convicted. Nor has the United States identified any property of the defendant that could be forfeited as a substitute asset in accordance with Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

6. Accordingly, the United States seeks the entry of an Order of Forfeiture consisting of a personal money judgment against the defendant pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(2)(C) in the amount of at least \$40,832.50, to be ultimately determined by the Court at sentencing.

7. The United States requests that the Court orally announce the final calculated amount of the money judgment at the time of sentencing and that the Court include the final calculated amount of the money judgment in its Judgment and Commitment Order. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(B).

8. The entry of an Order of Forfeiture in the form of a personal money judgment is specifically authorized by Rule 32.2(b)(1) and (c)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Forfeiture money judgments are authorized by Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(o) and (p). *See United States v. Johnson*, 956 F.3d 510, 518 n.5 (8th Cir. 2020) (affirming money judgment based on proceeds of offense). Once the Order of Forfeiture is entered, the Government may move at any time, pursuant to Rule 32.2(e)(1)(B), to amend the Order to forfeit specific property of the defendant, having a value up to the amount of the money judgment, as substitute assets.

9. In accordance with the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) and Rule 32.2(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United States requests that it be permitted to undertake whatever discovery is necessary to identify, locate, or dispose of property subject to forfeiture, or substitute assets for such property.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court enter an order directing a money judgment against the defendant, Daisha Sanders, individually, in the amount of at least \$40,832.50, representing proceeds she personally obtained in connection with the scheme alleged in Count One of the Indictment.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFREY P. RAY
Acting United States Attorney

By

/s/ Paul S. Becker

Paul S. Becker
Assistant United States Attorney
400 E. 9th Street, Fifth Floor
Kansas City, Missouri 64106
Telephone: (816) 426-3122

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 4, 2025, the foregoing motion was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, for electronic delivery to all counsel of record.

/s/ Paul S. Becker

Paul S. Becker
Assistant United States Attorney