

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
United States Attorney
2 CHRISTINA T. SHAY
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Criminal Division
ANDREW BROWN (Cal. Bar No. 172009)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
Major Frauds Section
5 1100 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
6 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-0102
7 Facsimile: (213) 894-6269
E-mail: andrew.brown@usdoj.gov
8

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ABIOLA FEMI QUADRI,

17 Defendant.
18

No. 2:24-CR-617-GW

GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION

Sentencing: July 10, 2025
8:00am

19 **I. DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS ARE LATE AND SHOULD BE DISREGARDED**

20 The Presentence Report (PSR) was disclosed on March 20, 2025.
21 (ECF 30.) Under Rule 32, defendant's objections were due within
22 fourteen days. Defendant failed to file his objections, however,
23 until April 23 (ECF 34), 20 days late. Cf., Local Criminal Rule 32-
24 3.2 ("Counsel are required to observe strictly the requirements of
25 F.R.Crim.P. 32(f) regarding objections to presentence reports")
26 (emphasis added). Although the court "may, for good cause shown,
27 allow a party to make a new objection at any time before sentence is
28 imposed," Rule 32(i)(1)(D), defendant has not even alleged good

1 cause for his delay, let alone shown it. Accordingly, the court
2 should disregard as untimely defendant's objections which, as
3 described below, are ineffective as bare denials in any event.

4 **II. DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS FAIL LEGALLY AND ARE UNSUPPORTED BY**
5 **FACTS**

6 Defendant offers a series of vague objections without
7 addressing either the facts or the law. None of them is effective.

8 **Loss:** While defendant does not dispute that that "fraudulent
9 funds contemplated by the conspiracy were in the amount of
10 \$7,996,182.54, the Defense will contend Mr. Quadri is not
11 responsible for this total amount." (ECF 30, page 1.) Defendant
12 offers no facts to support his contention, nor does he indicate what
13 portion of the loss he is "not responsible for," so it is impossible
14 for Probation or the government even to understand defendant's
15 position, let alone respond to it. (Cf., ECF 35, Addendum to the
16 PSR, rejecting defendant's objection because he did not offer any
17 "new facts or previously unconsidered authority".)

18 **Sophisticated Means:** Defendant similarly "objects" to the
19 Probation Office's finding that he employed sophisticated means in
20 carrying out his fraud. Again, defendant offers no facts or legal
21 support for his position, unhelpfully stating: "Mr. Quadri did not
22 employ sophisticated means." (ECF 30, page 2.) While defendant
23 does concede that he withdrew stolen funds from ATMs, he asserts
24 that is the "only" action he performed in the conspiracy, which is
25 so at odds with the facts that it can only be seen as rhetorical.
26 Again, defendant's decision not even to identify the facts that he
27 disputes prevents Probation or the government from responding,
28 discussed in more detail in the next section. (Cf., ECF 35, Addendum

1 to the PSR, rejecting defendant's objection because, "Conduct such
2 as hiding assets or transactions, or both, using fictitious entities
3 also ordinarily indicates sophisticated means [and] This is exactly
4 the conduct in which Quadri engaged".)

5 **Section USSG § 2B1.1(b)(11) Enhancement:** Defendant concedes
6 that he did, in fact, use the stolen means of identification of his
7 victims, such as their social security numbers and names, to obtain
8 another means of identification, such as EDD debit cards in their
9 identities and so qualifies for that enhancement under section
10 2B1.1(b)(11), but contends that the Court cannot apply the
11 enhancement because it is "duplicative" or double counting of the
12 enhancement in paragraph 48(c) of the Presentence Report for
13 defendant victimizing more than 10 persons pursuant to section
14 2B1.1(b)(2)(A). (ECF 34, page 2.) The Probation Office properly
15 rejected defendant's position, which is unsupported by law or facts.
16 (Cf., ECF 35, Addendum to the PSR: "application of one section does
17 not preclude application of the other and is not duplicative.")

18 "Impermissible double counting occurs *only* when one part of the
19 Guidelines is applied to increase a defendant's punishment on
20 account of a kind of harm that has already been fully accounted for
21 by application of another part of the Guidelines." United States v.
22 Alexander, 48 F.3d 1477, 1492 (9th Cir. 1995) (citations and
23 quotations omitted). Plainly, that did not happen here. Defendant
24 would have qualified for the 2B1.1(b)(11) enhancement for having
25 using a single victim's means of identification to apply for a new
26 means of identification, such as an EDD debit card. It is clearly
27 worse that he did so for 107 victims. (PSR ¶ 48(c).) Indeed,
28 because the enhancement for number of victims only requires 10 of

1 his victims, there is a good argument that the combined enhancements
2 fail to take into account all of defendant's misconduct. Further,
3 the Section 2B1.1(b)(11) enhancement was independently justified
4 because the offense involved "the possession or use of any
5 . . . authentication feature," such as those found on the
6 counterfeit passport in a victim's name but bearing defendant's
7 photograph. (USSG § 2B1.1(b)(11)(A); PSR ¶ 30.)

8 **Role:** Defendant objects that his role was not of "medium
9 culpability." (ECN 34, page 2.) Again, defendant neither disputes
10 any facts in the Presentence Report, nor offers any new ones. As
11 described in more detail in the section below, such empty objections
12 fail to trigger the disputed fact-finding obligation of Rule 32.
13 (Cf., ECF 35, Addendum to the PSR, rejecting defendant's role
14 objection because he did not offer any "new facts or previously
15 unconsidered authority".)

16 **Abusing Defendant's Position of Trust:** Defendant concedes that
17 he "used his position as the owner of a daycare facility to obtain
18 the information of his minor patient to commit fraud," but contends
19 that the Court cannot apply the abuse of position of trust
20 enhancement because it is "duplicative" of paragraph 51 of
21 Presentence Report, which applied a vulnerable victim enhancement
22 for defendant's have victimized developmentally disabled children.
23 (ECN 34, page 2.) (Cf., ECF 35, Addendum to the PSR: "application
24 of one section does not preclude application of the other and is not
25 duplicative").

26 Again, defendant ignores Ninth Circuit law which holds that,
27 "Impermissible double counting occurs *only* when one part of the
28 Guidelines is applied to increase a defendant's punishment on

1 account of a kind of harm that has already been fully accounted for
 2 by application of another part of the Guidelines.” Alexander, 48
 3 F.3d at 1492. Clearly that did not happen here. Defendant could
 4 have used his position as the owner of a daycare facility to steal
 5 the identities of his own employees, or those of adults, in which
 6 case he would have avoided the vulnerable victim enhancement.
 7 Similarly, he could have stolen the identities of disabled children
 8 from the dark web—or any other source other than his daycare
 9 business—and he would have avoided the abuse of position of trust
 10 enhancement. But it is truly egregious that defendant, in the guise
 11 of someone caring for disabled children, used his access to their
 12 personal identifying information to commit frauds in their names,
 13 knowing that their age and disabilities would prevent them for
 14 detecting his crime.

15 **A. DEFENDANT’S OBJECTIONS, WHICH NEITHER DISPUTE FACTS**
 16 **CONTAINED IN THE PSR NOR OFFER ADDITIONAL FACTS, DO NOT**
 17 **TRIGGER THE DISPUTED FACT-FINDING OBLIGATION OF RULE 32**

18 Defendant apparently thinks that his bare denial of certain
 19 enhancements, such as the loss found by the Probation Office, is
 20 sufficient to dispute them. Defendant is mistaken. The PSR has
 21 already established the propriety of those enhancements. Once the
 22 Probation Office has made such findings, it becomes the defendant’s
 23 obligation to introduce evidence to the contrary, or at least to
 24 identify the facts that he contends contradict that finding:

25 Rule 32[] imposes certain requirements upon the defendant
 26 [including] that where factual inaccuracy [in the
 27 PSR] is alleged, the defendant has the burden of
 28 introducing, or at least proffering, evidence to show the
 inaccuracy.

29 United States v. Roberson, 896 F.2d 388, 391 (9th Cir. 1990)

(rejecting defendant’s claim that the district court failed to

1 resolve disputed facts when defendant "failed to proffer or to
2 present . . . a factual scenario at odds with that set forth in the
3 pre-sentence report").

4 Every circuit to consider the issue has held that non-specific
5 objections to the PSR, like defendant's, are ineffective, and fail
6 to trigger the fact-finding obligation in Rule 32 regarding disputed
7 facts. E.g., United States v. Brown, 314 F.3d 1216, 1221 (10th Cir.
8 2003) (explaining that unless "objections involve non-perfunctory
9 specific allegations of factual inaccuracy, no controverted matter
10 exists, and the district court's fact-finding obligation under Rule
11 32[] is not implicated") (internal quotation marks and citation
12 omitted), cert. denied, 537 U.S. 1223, 123 S.Ct. 1338, 154 L.Ed.2d
13 1083 (2003); United States v. Davis, 583 F.3d 1081, 1095-96 (8th Cir.
14 2009) ("We require that objections to the PSR be made with
15 specificity and clarity before a district court is precluded from
16 relying on the factual statements contained in the PSR. The reason
17 we require specific objections is to put the Government on notice of
18 the challenged facts which the government will need to prove at the
19 sentencing hearing.") (quotations and citations omitted) (rejecting
20 the claim that the district court failed to resolved disputed facts
21 when defendant made only a "vague, blanket objection"); United
22 States v. Waseta, 647 F.3d 980, 989 n.6 (10th Cir. 2011) ("Though
23 Mr. Waseta made a general, unspecified objection to 'allegations in
24 the [PSR] that have been suggested as [grounds for] a possible
25 upward variance' . . . this sort of general objection is inadequate
26 to trigger a district court's factfinding obligation under Rule 32."
27 (alterations in original)); United States v. Sumner, 325 F.3d 884,
28 890 (7th Cir. 2003) ("A defendant has the burden of producing at

1 least some evidence that the PSR is unreliable or inaccurate beyond
2 a bare denial, if the facts set forth in a PSR bear sufficient
3 indicia of reliability to support [their] probable accuracy.")
4 (citations and quotations omitted); United States v. Hughey, 147
5 F.3d 423, 437-38 (5th Cir. 1998) ("Although Hughey lodged general
6 objections that the PSR overestimated the loss, Hughey did not file
7 evidence capable of rebutting the detailed evidence presented in
8 support of the PSR calculations."); United States v. Cheal, 389 F.3d
9 35, 37 n.1 (1st Cir. 2004) ("Cheal objected generally to the PSR's
10 description of the facts, but she offered no specifics to counter
11 that description. If the defendant's objections are merely
12 rhetorical and unsupported by countervailing proof, the district
13 court is entitled to rely on the facts in the PSR.") (citations and
14 quotations omitted).

15 Presumably, defendant has chosen not to challenge any of the
16 factual findings of the PSR specifically because he knows that a
17 "defendant who falsely denies, or frivolously contests, relevant
18 conduct that the court determines to be true has acted in a manner
19 inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility," and he does not
20 wish to jeopardize that reduction. USSG 3E1.1, app. n. 1(A).
21 Defendant's attempt to have it both ways - "objecting" to the
22 enhancements while failing to even identify a claimed factual error
23 - fails:

24 When a defendant has within his capacity the means to
25 dispute the information presented by the government to the
26 probation officer conducting a presentence investigation
27 and chooses to remain silent, he cannot demand that the
28 trial court disregard the government's version of the
facts.

1 United States v. Miller, 588 F.2d 1256, 1266-7 (9th Cir. 1978)
2 (affirming the district court's adoption of the presentence report
3 when defendant's objections consisted only of "his counsel's bare
4 assertion . . . that the information [in the presentence report] is
5 incorrect.").

6 "Only specific factual objections trigger Rule 32(i)(3)(B)."
7 United States v. Stoterau, 524 F.3d 988, 1011 (9th Cir. 2008). In
8 that case, the Ninth Circuit affirmed the district court's decision
9 to rely on the PSR despite defendant's objection to it, and without
10 further fact-finding:

11 In his sentencing position memorandum, Stoterau challenged
12 several paragraphs of his PSR on the ground that the
13 information gathered from police reports contained
14 multiple levels of unreliable hearsay. Stoterau did not
15 deny that the police reports contained the information
16 alleged in the PSR or that the information was factually
17 inaccurate. Instead, he argued that law enforcement
18 reports are not generally a reliable source of accurate
19 information.

20 Because Stoterau failed to dispute particular facts in the PSR, the
21 district court was entitled to rely on the PSR without more. Here,
22 too, defendant has failed to make specific factual objections.

23 **III. BECAUSE DEFENDANT WILL LIVE IN LUXURY ON THE PROCEEDS FROM HIS**
24 **CRIME AFTER HE IS RELEASED, HIS SENTENCE MUST BE LONG ENOUGH TO**
25 **OUTWEIGH HIS STOLEN RICHES**

26 Not only did defendant steal millions from programs designed to
27 help the needy, but he sent it abroad where it is beyond the reach
28 of U.S. authorities, and declined to provide an accounting for that
money. (PSR ¶ 114.) Worse, he attempted to deceive the Court and
the Probation Office by failing to disclose the great wealth he
returned to Nigeria—and which he will be able to enjoy once he is
released from prison.

1 As described in the Government's Objections to the Presentence
2 Report (dkt. 31), defendant's efforts at deception are both an
3 attempted obstruction of justice, and a demonstration that defendant
4 has emphatically not accepted responsibility for his crimes; he
5 wants to keep his fraudulent profits.

6 While the Probation Office acknowledged in its Addendum that
7 "Quadri's lack of disclosure of a Nigerian hotel and other
8 properties he may own" is "concerning" as evidenced by his many
9 "text messages" regarding its construction, financing, and
10 operation, it failed to recommend either an attempted obstruction of
11 justice enhancement, or the elimination of an acceptance of
12 responsibility reduction because "the Probation Officer could not
13 locate sufficient evidence." (Dkt. 35, page 1.) The Court need
14 only find guideline enhancements by a preponderance of the evidence,
15 USSG § 6A1.3 commentary, and here there is far more than that.

16 First defendant's wife stated that "Quadri owns numerous
17 properties in Nigeria, including malls," that "his father was a
18 king," and that he was "raised in royalty" and "lived in a palace
19 with numerous servants." (PSR ¶ 116.) This is corroborated by
20 evidence found on defendant's digital devices, including a
21 photograph of a giant poster celebrating Quadri's coronation as
22 prince (exh. 14), as well as innumerable photographs and messages
23 regarding Quadri's directing the construction and operation of a
24 luxury 120-room hotel which includes a mall, lounge, club, pool, and
25 more, as discussed in more detail below. (PSR ¶¶ 70, 115.)

26 Second, Nigerian corporate records show that defendant is the
27 primary shareholder of Oyins International Limited, the company that
28 owns the hotel and mall, Oyins Resorts, as well as its director.

1 The other listed shareholders have the same last name as defendant,
2 and so are presumably his family members. (Exh. 1-2.)

3 Third, defendant had on his digital devices incontrovertible
4 evidence that he controlled Oyins International Limited, ranging
5 from the architectural drawings for the construction of the resort
6 (e.g., exh. 3), progress reports from the construction site
7 supervisor during the period of defendant's fraud (e.g., exh. 4-5),
8 commands from defendant to the construction engineer (e.g., exh. 6:
9 "move the gym to the back and the 3 bed to the front"; exh. 8:
10 "Send me the video of the lift let me see what they do and the
11 remaining place to work on the correction"), defendant's
12 exhortations to speed construction (exh. 8: "I just need them to be
13 fast so we can cast [concrete] tomorrow[;] we have stay[ed] on this
14 floor to[o] long"), defendant's admission that he was paying for the
15 construction of the hotel (exh. 7: "50K I will be paying every stage
16 [of the construction] Engineer"), and periodic photographs of the
17 construction of the hotel (exh. 9). Defendant also directed the
18 accountant for Oyins International to provide him with the revenues
19 for the resort. (PSR ¶ 70.)

20 Fourth, Oyins International is a going concern with a
21 substantial web presence. You can book its rooms through Expedia,
22 Booking.com, hotwire.com, and other well-known websites. More
23 detail can be found on its own website: oyinsinternational.com.

24 In combination, this is far more than a preponderance of the
25 evidence to show that defendant personally financed the construction
26 of the hotel during his fraud, is the largest shareholder and
27 director of the corporation, oversaw the hotel's construction
28 including directing the engineer to make changes to the plans, and

1 tracked its profits until he was arrested in this case—but failed to
2 disclose to the Probation Office that he had any stake in the
3 business at all, even though he was punctilious about disclosing
4 even \$200 of cryptocurrency. (PSR ¶ 111.) Defendant believed he
5 could hide from the Court his assets abroad and wanted to keep his
6 fraud proceeds—now in the form of a luxury resort—to enjoy when he
7 got out of prison. In essence, defendant wanted to defraud his
8 victims a second time by preventing them from recovering their
9 losses from defendant.

10 Conclusion

11 If the Court applies the obstruction of justice enhancement and
12 eliminates the acceptance of responsibility reduction as described
13 in the Government's Objections to the Presentence Report (dkt. 35),
14 defendant's final offense level would be 39, which with defendant's
15 criminal history category of I yields a guideline sentencing range
16 of 262 to 327 months in prison. While defendant has done nothing to
17 warrant leniency, the government nevertheless recommends a low-end
18 sentence of 262 months in prison only because defendant's guideline
19 range is exceptionally high.

20 Dated: July 3, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

21 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
United States Attorney

22 CHRISTINA T. SHAY
23 Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division

24 Andrew Brown
25 ANDREW BROWN
Assistant United States Attorney

26 Attorneys for Plaintiff
27 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
28

Registration number (RC)	!!!! RC-1718162
Registry number	1718162
Incorporation Date	04 Oct 2020 (4 years ago) / 2245 companies with the same date
Paid share capital	10000000 NGN
Value of shares	1000000 NGN
Shares issued	10
Legal form	Private company limited by shares
Type of activity	Primary: #199119 - Oil and Gas Services

Contact details	
Legal address	8 ASAFA STREET, IJEBU ODE, OGUN STATE
Email	qua*****
People	Abi*** Fem* Qua*** +23***** qua*****

Officers	
 Quadri Abiola Femi Shareholder	
Type of shares	ORDINARY
Number of shares	5
Country of residence	NIGERIA
 Quadri Nelson Omofolawe Shareholder	
Type of shares	ORDINARY
Number of shares	2
Country of residence	NIGERIA

Show 1 more

 **Quadri Ishola Moshudi**
Presenter

Country of residenceNIGERIA

 **Quadri Abiola Femi**
Director

Country of residenceNIGERIA

Show 1 more

 **Quadri Abiola Femi**
Deponent

Country of residenceNIGERIA

 **Quadri Ishola Moshudi**
Secretary

Country of residenceNIGERIA

Get ahead with complete company information - register now.

Join the 50,000+ businesses already using B2BHint.


Unlock data

Sign up →

Frequently asked questions

Where is OYINS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED's headquarters? +

What is OYINS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED's industry? +



site report dated 7th february 2022

Week expectations

complete the casting

starting the deck work on the restaurant section

parking of [block on the club section

completion of all block work on the club section

Note only the lift shaft was not done this week as expected.

details of work done are as follows between monday 31stjan to 6th feb.

31st- completion of casting on the club section

1st- getting the materials for the carpenters such as the bamboo

parking of blocks to the club section

2nd carpenter started work on the deck over the restaurant section

block work started

3rd- carpentry work continued

iron works on lintel was completed

block work continued

4th - casting of the lintel and setting of block work on the restaurant was done

carpentry work rolled on

block work continued

5th block work continued

carpentry work continued

6th - carpentry work was done

observation and corrections

pick of the level for the carpenter was done

and checking the block level as corrected on the club section deck

percentage of worked achievement in the week

93percent of expected job the week was done

Reasons

carpenter did not finish his work to time due to delay in starting the work

iron work did not commeces as schedule

job expected to be done in 2nd week includes

complete the deck work

start the casting on the resturant section

deck work on the club section wood work and iron work will be completed and ready for casting

thanks

ARC. Balogun O. ademola

site supervisor

lugz projects ent.

↩ Reply

From: 2348023654595@s.whatsapp.net Prince Engineer

(owner)

To: 2348023654595@s.whatsapp.net Prince Engineer

Call me to talk abt this when u are chance

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
2348023654595@s.w atsapp.net Prince Engineer	11/19/2021 11:30:33 AM(UTC-8)		

Status: Delivered

Platform: Mobile

11/19/2021 10:27:12 AM(UTC-8)

Platform: Mobile

Label: Reply

11/19/2021 11:47:30 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0x2DBB18 (Table:
message; Size: 511107072 bytes)
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\wa.db : 0xB5A3A (Table:
wa_contacts; Size: 2080768 bytes)

(owner)

To: 2348023654595@s.whatsapp.net Prince Engineer

Good day engineer let move the gym to the back and move the 3 bed to the front I want to do it in pant house

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
2348023654595@s.whatsapp.net Prince Engineer	11/21/2021 10:24:59 AM(UTC-8)		

Status: Delivered

Platform: Mobile

11/21/2021 8:42:29 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0x2F7548 (Table: message, receipt_user; Size: 511107072 bytes)
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\wa.db : 0xB5A3A (Table: wa_contacts; Size: 2080768 bytes)

From: System Message System Message

Incoming call from Engineer Demola (2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net)

Label: System

3/3/2022 8:57:55 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0xDCE7F66 (Table: call_log; Size: 511107072 bytes)

↩ Reply

(owner)

To: 2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola

From: 2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola

Ok help do 30k sir

Platform: Mobile

3/3/2022 8:49:02 AM(UTC-8)

Done

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola	3/3/2022 9:03:53 AM(UTC-8)		

Status: Delivered

Platform: Mobile

Label: Reply

3/3/2022 9:03:50 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0x838FEC (Table: message_receipt_user; Size: 511107072 bytes)
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\wa.db : 0xB9524 (Table: wa_contacts; Size: 2080768 bytes)

(owner)

To: 2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola

But naa 50k I will be paying for every stage Engineer

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola	3/3/2022 9:04:14 AM(UTC-8)		

Status: Delivered

Platform: Mobile

3/3/2022 9:04:14 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0x838F6C (Table: message_receipt_user; Size: 511107072 bytes)
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\wa.db : 0xB9524 (Table: wa_contacts; Size: 2080768 bytes)

(owner)
To: 2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola

Correct I just need them to be fast so we can cast tomorrow we have stay to long on this floor

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola	3/3/2022 9:17:15 AM(UTC-8)		

Status: Delivered

Platform: Mobile

3/3/2022 9:17:14 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0x83896D (Table: message, receipt_user; Size: 511107072 bytes)
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\wa.db : 0xB9524 (Table: wa_contacts; Size: 2080768 bytes)

(owner)
To: 2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola

Send me the video of the lift let me see what they do and the remaining place to work on the correction

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
2348140621637@s.whatsapp.net Engineer Demola	3/3/2022 9:26:40 AM(UTC-8)		

Status: Delivered

Platform: Mobile

3/3/2022 9:17:49 AM(UTC-8)

Source Extraction:
File System
Source Info:
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\msgstore.db : 0x8388B9 (Table: message, receipt_user; Size: 511107072 bytes)
EXTRACTION_FFS.zip\data\data\com.whatsapp\databases\wa.db : 0xB9524 (Table: wa_contacts; Size: 2080768 bytes)





THE HOTEL

Oyins Resort


Welcome to Oyins Resort, a serene haven nestled in the heart of breathtaking landscapes, offering an unparalleled hospitality experience. Our resort is a sanctuary of luxury and comfort, designed to provide an unforgettable stay for every guest.

At Oyins Resort, we pride ourselves on our exquisite selection of accommodations, each tailored to meet the diverse needs and preferences of our visitors.

set the stage for unforgettable celebrations.

From intimate gatherings to grand affairs, experience impeccable service, stunning landscapes, and exquisite settings. Let us transform your special moments into timeless memories, making every occasion extraordinary.

BOOK SPACE



Super Standard Suite

From ₦65,000 Night

Elevate your stay with our Super Standard Suites, where luxury is in every corner. With enhanced space and premium furniture, setting the stage for a truly opulent experience. For those who appreciate finer comforts, each suite merges contemporary elegance with modern amenities, ensuring a seamless blend of style and convenience. Ideal for discerning guests seeking the extraordinary, our Super Standard Suites offer a sanctuary of sophisticated comfort.

Book Now!





King Suite

From ₦100,000 Night

A realm of unmatched luxury and grandeur awaits in our King Suites. Tailored for royalty, these expansive suites feature sumptuous furnishings and bespoke amenities, promising an unparalleled stay. Experience the pinnacle of comfort and elegance, with every detail crafted to perfection. Ideal for those seeking to indulge in the ultimate in sophistication and privacy, the King Suite offers a lavish escape into a world of exquisite opulence and serene beauty.

Book Now!

Event's Place

Occasions to remember

At Ovins Resort, every event becomes an occasion to remember. Our luxurious venues, tailored to your unique needs.
<https://oyinsinternational.com>

Exhibit page 013



VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT OF ROBERT M FOX AND ISABELLE FOX

This is our victim impact statement to be sent to the Court regarding the theft of the \$24,000+ refund check mailed by the IRS. I (Robert M Fox) am 100 and my wife (Isabelle Fox) is 98. We are the victims. We were very stressed by this theft and it took about 10 months for us to convince the IRS that our refund was stolen and to issue a new refund. I am a lawyer (retired/inactive) in Calif. and despite my legal background it was very difficult and time consuming to find and compose the many IRS forms and requests, letters of explanation, etc and send all that material with complete copies, to at least 5 or 6 IRS offices in different cities throughout the country. I had to consume many hours puzzling out telephone numbers to call, speaking to many-many IRS personnel. And, I did it all myself (as I am typing this out on my computer)-without any help from anyone.

I have trouble estimating the number of hours-or days- that were consumed to finally get our refund. At least 50 to 100 pages of forms, requests, etc were required doing the immense amount of work required in addition to the many miserable hours of waiting on the telephone, typing, explaining to IRS personnel, and keeping it all in order, In short, not what a hundred year old should have to do.

Hopefully, our impact statement will help the Court to decide a proper sentence.

ROBERT M FOX (AND ISABELLE FOX -who has reviewed and approves this statement). It was composed March 21, 2025. RMF

I Brandee, had my son J [REDACTED] in the care of Rock of peace. During his time there my son was still under my custody I held all legal parental rights. My son J [REDACTED] received two cards with supplemental funds that were never given to me or my son for his personal purchasing of food we could have used it to purchase food when my son would come over on weekends. Cards were found in employee's home in question. I feel really uncomfortable now knowing this so I'm left wondering what else was used without my knowledge.

To whom it may concern,

My husband and I were victims of a crime when a government check, we were relying on to help us with medical care for my husband, was stolen. We spend precious time trying to track it down as well as finding another way to take care of paying our caregivers.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth and Michael Vittes