

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

CHARLESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:24-cr-00192

KISHA SUTTON -01,
SHAMIESE WRIGHT -02,

Defendants.

ORDER

The Court has reviewed *Defendant Shamise Wright's Second Motion to Continue Pretrial and Trial Dates* (Document 209) filed in this matter. The Court has also reviewed the *Government's Response in Opposition to Defendant Shamise Wright's Second Motion to Continue Pretrial and Trial Dates* (Document 210) wherein the United States sets forth opposition to the continuance, and *Defendant Kisha Sutton's Response to Defendant Shamise Wright's Second Motion to Continue Pre-Trial and Trial Dates* (Document 215) wherein Defendant Sutton advises that she has no objection to the requested trial continuance.

In her motion, Defendant Wright moves for a continuance of the trial on the grounds that additional time is needed for the review of voluminous discovery and for attorney-client consultation in advance of trial. The requested delay is not attributable to lack of diligent

preparation or failure to obtain evidence or witnesses on the part of the United States, or to congestion of the Court's calendar.

WHEREFORE, finding that the interest of the public and the Defendants in a speedy trial is outweighed by the ends of justice served by granting a continuance, the Court, for good cause shown as set forth in Defendant Wright's motion, does hereby **ORDER** that *Defendant Shamise Wright's Second Motion to Continue Pretrial and Trial Dates* (Document 209) be **GRANTED** and that the trial, previously scheduled for June 9, 2025, be **CONTINUED** to **July 14, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.**, in Charleston, West Virginia. Given that the Court has previously continued the matter, the Court finds that a trial date of July 14, 2025, should be more than sufficient time for counsel to "thoroughly review all of the documents with Ms. Wright." Counsel should be prepared to select a jury **on the preceding Friday** if so ordered by the Court. The Court **ORDERS** the deadline for filing superseding indictments, if any, shall be thirty days prior to the trial date and that the parties submit their respective witness lists and any proposed voir dire and jury instructions (which shall be numbered) no later than **June 30, 2025**.

The Court **ORDERS** that any motions in limine or motions to suppress shall be filed no later than **June 23, 2025**.

Lastly, the Court **ORDERS** that the parties notify the Court of any proposed plea agreement in sufficient time such that a plea hearing may be scheduled by the Court no later than a week before trial. Furthermore, the parties are put on notice that, should a scheduled plea hearing not be completed, the Court will not consider the same to be good cause to support a continuance of the trial.

The Court **FINDS** that the time between June 9, 2025, and July 14, 2025, is excludable from the computation of time within which trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to the Defendants and their respective counsel, to the United States Attorney, to the United States Probation Office, and to the Office of the United States Marshal.

ENTER: June 2, 2025



IRENE C. BERGER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA