MINUTE ORDER

CASE NUMBER: 1:21-cr-00061-LEK-1

CASE NAME: United States of America v. Martin Kao

JUDGE: Leslie E. Kobayashi DATE: 2/25/2026

COURT ACTION: EO: ORDER DENYING MOVANT'S REQUEST FOR A HEARING AND ORDERING MOVANT TO FILE ITS RENEWED REQUEST

On February 18, 2026, Movant PacMar Technologies LLC, formerly known as Martin Defense Group, LLC and as Navatek LLC ("PacMar"), filed a letter "request[ing] that the Court schedule a hearing . . . to hear and determine the amount of restitution owed to PacMar based on a forthcoming renewed request for restitution which will be promptly filed with the Court upon the scheduling of the hearing." [Dkt. no. 185 at 1.]

The Court DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE PacMar's request to schedule a hearing. PacMar is ORDERED to file its renewed request for restitution ("Renewed Request"). The Renewed Request should be filed as a motion with a memorandum in support of the motion. The memorandum should, at a minimum, explain why the Court retains jurisdiction to order restitution after the conclusion of sentencing. See Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case, filed 2/24/25 (dkt. no. 153), at 7 (ordering restitution of $12,841,490 to the U.S. Small Business Administration). After it receives PacMar's Renewed Request, the Court will set a briefing schedule. Once the Renewed Request is fully briefed, the Court will determine whether to hold a hearing. If the Court determines that a hearing is not necessary, it will take the matter under advisement and will issue a written order that resolves the Renewed Request.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Submitted by: Carla Cortez, Courtroom Manager