

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

NOV 17 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CAT BROOKS; RASHEED SHABAZZ,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated.,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

THOMSON REUTERS CORPORATION,

Defendant-Petitioner.

No. 23-80070

D.C. No. 3:21-cv-01418-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

Before: CHRISTEN and H.A. THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

The motion for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae in support of the petition (Docket Entry No. 9) is granted.

The motion for leave to file a reply (Docket Entry No. 11) is granted. The reply has been filed.

The petition for permission to appeal is denied. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(f); *Chamberlan v. Ford Motor Co.*, 402 F.3d 952, 959-60 (9th Cir. 2005) (describing factors this court considers in analyzing a Rule 23(f) petition).