EXHIBIT 60

(Redacted)

Susan D. Fahringer, Bar No. 21567
SFahringer@perkinscoie.com
Nicola C. Menaldo, *pro hac vice*
NMenaldo@perkinscoie.com
Erin K. Earl, *pro hac vice*
EEarl@perkinscoie.com
Anna M. Thompson, *pro hac vice*
AnnaThompson@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Telephone: 206.359.8000
Facsimile: 206.359.9000

Gabriella Gallego, Bar No. 324226
GGallego@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
Telephone: 650.838.4300
Facsimile: 650.838.4350

Attorneys for Defendant
Thomson Reuters Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CAT BROOKS and RASHEED SHABAZZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THOMSON REUTERS CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:21-cv-01418-EMC

DEFENDANT THOMSON REUTERS CORPORATION'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiffs Cat Brooks and Rasheed Shabazz

RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant Thomson Reuters Corporation

SET NO: One

Thomson Reuters Corporation ("Thomson Reuters"), by its counsel, pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure hereby provides the following First Supplemental Answers and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant ("Interrogatories"). These Supplemental Answers and Objections necessarily incorporate and add to Thomson Reuters' original Answers and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories. Thomson Reuters' combined answers and objections ("Answers") are based on information currently available to Thomson Reuters as discovery is not complete and Thomson Reuters' investigation is ongoing. Thomson Reuters reserves the right to further supplement or amend these Answers should additional information become available through the discovery process or otherwise.

ANSWERS AND OBJECTIONS

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

For each fiscal quarter between Q1 2015 and the present, state the gross and net revenues You have generated from CLEAR, delineated by each of the ways that You collect revenue from CLEAR (for example, revenue attributable to per-search charges, revenue attributable to subscription plans, revenue attributable to custom pricing packages, revenue attributable to standard package arrangements including CLEAR, or any other means by which CLEAR generates revenue).

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Thomson Reuters understands this Interrogatory to seek revenue and operating income (EBITDA or earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization) for CLEAR since 2015. Thomson Reuters further understands that Plaintiffs seek that revenue data broken down based on CLEAR pricing models.

Subject to that interpretation and without waiving the objections set forth below, in answer to this Interrogatory, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d), Thomson Reuters refers Plaintiffs to TR-BROOKS017074, which provides financial data for CLEAR on an annual basis. To the extent that this Interrogatory seeks this information broken down by fiscal quarter, Thomson Reuters objects to this Interrogatory as seeking information that is not relevant to any

party's claim or defense such that this request is unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the case. To the extent this Interrogatory seeks revenue broken down based on each and every "means" by which CLEAR generates revenue, Thomson Reuters objects to this Interrogatory as seeking information that is not relevant to any party's claim or defense such that this request is unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the case.

Should Plaintiffs continue to seek additional financial data, Thomson Reuters invites Plaintiffs to meet and confer on that subject.

FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Thomson Reuters maintains its previously asserted answers and objections to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories and to Interrogatory No. 16.

Thomson Reuters adds to those answers and objections that it does not charge a fee for the dissemination of information about people; rather, it charges for use of its research platform, CLEAR. Some customers pay a flat subscription fee for use of CLEAR without any limit to the number of search queries they may perform. Other customers pay a flat subscription fee in return for the ability to conduct up to a maximum number of search queries. Thomson Reuters refers to the revenue attributed to these customers' subscription fees as "recurring" revenue. Other customers (including customers that exceed their maximum number of queries) pay for each search query they conduct within CLEAR. Thomson Reuters refers to the revenue attributed to such fees as "transactional" revenue. Some customers pay for some features on a "recurring" basis and other features on a "transactional" basis.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

For fiscal years ("FY") 2015-2021, Thomson Reuters attributed the following revenues to CLEAR (numbers in USD millions):

YEAR	Recurring Revenue Attributed to CLEAR	Transactional Revenue Attributed to CLEAR
2015	[redacted]	[redacted]
2016	[redacted]	[redacted]
2017	[redacted]	[redacted]
2018	[redacted]	[redacted]
2019	[redacted]	[redacted]
2020	[redacted]	[redacted]
2021	[redacted]	[redacted]

Dated: May 24, 2022

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: */s/ Susan D. Fahringer*
Susan D. Fahringer, Bar No. 21567
SFahringer@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant
Thomson Reuters Corporation

VERIFICATION OF INTERROGATORY ANSWERS

I, David Dixon, verify that I have read the First Supplemental Answer to Interrogatory 16 of Defendant Thomson Reuters Corporation's Answers and Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant, and that the answers contained therein, based upon my personal knowledge and experiences, are true and accurate to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 24, 2022

David Dixon

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Anna Mouw Thompson, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Seattle, Washington. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 1201 Third Avenue, Ste. 4900, Seattle, WA 98110. On May 24, 2022, I served a copy of the within document(s):

DEFENDANT THOMSON REUTERS CORPORATION'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT

☒ by transmitting via my e-mail address (AnnaThompson@perkinscoie.com) the document listed above to the persons at the e-mail addresses set forth below.

Andre M. Mura
David Stein
Mark Troutman
Jeffrey B. Kosbie
Ezekiel S. Wald
GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP
505 14th Street, Suite 1110
Oakland, CA 94612
amm@classlawgroup.com
ds@classlawgroup.com
mht@classlawgroup.com
jbk@classlawgroup.com
zsw@classlawgroup.com
service@classlawgroup.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on May 24, 2022, at Bainbridge Island, WA.

Anna Mouw Thompson