

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: BANK OF AMERICA  
CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT  
BENEFITS LITIGATION,

Case No.: 21MD2992-GPC(MSB)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT  
TESTIMONY OF VICTOR STANGO  
AND CARL PRY WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE**

**[Dkt. Nos. 571, 574.]**

On October 17, 2025, Plaintiff filed a motion to exclude certain testimony of Victor Stango, (Dkt. No. 571), and a motion to exclude testimony of Carl Pry. (Dkt. No. 574.) Because both *Daubert* motions do not appear to be related to the pending motion for partial summary judgment,<sup>1</sup> the Court DENIES Plaintiff's motions to exclude the

///

///

///


---

<sup>1</sup> This Court's scheduling orders generally provide that, "All other pretrial motions, including those addressing Daubert issues related to dispositive motions must be filed" by the pretrial motions deadline. The Court recognizes that the original scheduling order was based on Judge Burns' practices which does not include the same practice as this Court.

1 testimony of Victor Stango and Carl Pray without prejudice to refiling at the motion in  
2 limine stage.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: December 9, 2025

  
5 Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel  
6 United States District Judge  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28