

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: BANK OF AMERICA CALIFORNIA
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS LITIGATION

Case No.: 21md2992-LAB (MSB)

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF LINDSAY
MCCLURE'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY
PAPERS [ECF NO. 227]**


On February 14, 2024, Plaintiff Lindsay McClure ("Plaintiff") filed an Ex Parte Motion seeking an order compelling non-party TTEC Government Solutions, LLC ("TTEC") to comply with a subpoena. (ECF No. 220.) The following day, the Court issued a briefing schedule for the motion. (ECF No. 221.) TTEC timely filed its Response on February 23, 2024. (ECF No. 222.) Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Papers ("Unopposed Motion"). (See ECF No. 227.) Plaintiff explains that briefing has led to meet and confer discussions between counsel, which "seem likely to lead to at least a partial, and perhaps a complete, resolution of the present discovery dispute." (Id. at 2.) Accordingly, Plaintiff requests that the current deadline to file a Reply be continued from March 1, 2024, to March 11, 2024. (Id.) TTEC does not oppose this request. (Id.)

///

1 Finding good cause, the Court **GRANTS** the Unopposed Motion. The deadline for
2 Plaintiff to file a Reply is **CONTINUED** from March 1, 2024, to **March 11, 2024**. Plaintiff
3 is **ORDERED** to serve TTEC with a copy of this Order.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: March 4, 2024

6 
7 Honorable Michael S. Berg
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28