

1 JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (SBN 36324)
2 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com

3 BRIAN DANITZ (SBN 247403)
4 bdanitz@cpmlegal.com

5 KARIN B. SWOPE (Pro Hac Vice)
6 kswope@cpmlegal.com

7 ANDREW F. KIRTLEY (SBN 328023)
8 akirtley@cpmlegal.com

9 **COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP**

840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200

Burlingame, CA 94010

Telephone: (650) 697-6000

Fax: (650) 697-0577

MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 80618)
mrubin@altber.com

STACEY M. LEYTON (SBN 203827)
sleyton@altber.com

MATTHEW MURRAY (SBN 271461)
mmurray@altber.com

CONNIE K. CHAN (SBN 284230)
cchan@altber.com

ALTSHULER BERZON LLP

177 Post Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, CA 94108

Telephone: (415) 421-7151

Fax: (415) 362-8064

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE BANK OF AMERICA
CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT
BENEFITS LITIGATION

Case No. 3:21-md-02992-LAB-MSB

DECLARATION OF CONNIE K.
CHAN IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
COMPEL ADDITIONAL ESI
CUSTODIANS

This Document Relates to All Actions

Judge: Hon. Larry Alan Burns

DECLARATION OF CONNIE K. CHAN

I, Connie K. Chan, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Altshuler Berzon LLP, co-lead counsel for Plaintiffs and the putative class in this action. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Additional ESI Custodians. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and if called as a witness in this action, I could and would testify competently to these facts.

2. An **Index of Exhibits** to this declaration is attached to this declaration.

3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bank of America ("Defendant" or the "Bank") in this action Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00106092-94.

4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00106573.

5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00118366.

6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00118379-81.

7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00141860.

8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00188970-72.

9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 7** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00293818-21.

10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 8** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00376191-201.

11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 9** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00389408-09.

1 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 10** is a true and correct copy of a document
2 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00516000.

3 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 11** is a true and correct copy of a document
4 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00516010-11.

5 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 12** is a true and correct copy of a document
6 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00516058.

7 15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 13** is a true and correct copy of a document
8 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00571044.

9 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 14** is a true and correct copy of a document
10 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00171972-73.

11 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 15** is a true and correct copy of a document
12 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00105556.

13 18. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 16** is a true and correct copy of a document
14 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00090683-86.

15 19. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 17** is a true and correct copy of a document
16 produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00018423-28.

17 20. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 18** is a true and correct copy of Bank of
18 America's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories 11-13, and
19 Verification.

20 21. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 19** is a true and correct copy of a production
21 cover letter from the Bank's counsel dated October 20, 2023, describing the
22 documents Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00057837-78.

23 22. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 20** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of
24 a document produced by Defendant Bates-stamped BANA_EDD_MDL-00057837-
25 78.

26 23. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 21** is a true and correct copy of Defendant's
27 Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Requests for Production of Documents (Set
28

1 Three), RFPs 76-79, which Plaintiffs served August 15, 2023 and which seek
2 communications and other documents exchanged “with or among any of your
3 executive officers,” or with the Bank’s Board, regarding enumerated topics relevant
4 to the issues in this case.

5 24. Since the Court’s order on the Bank’s motion to dismiss Plaintiffs’
6 Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint, Plaintiffs have been diligently
7 pursuing discovery and seeking to obtain documents from the Bank’s relevant ESI
8 custodians.

9 25. Plaintiffs served their Second Set of Requests for Production of
10 Documents on June 12, 2023. The parties entered into a Stipulated Discovery &
11 Search Protocol (“ESI Protocol”), dated June 16, 2023. Among other things, Section
12 E.1(a) of the ESI Protocol provides that, “[w]ithin 45 days of stipulating to the ESI
13 Protocol, the Parties agree to exchange in writing ... [a] list of custodians (including
14 current and former executives, employees, and any other individuals) likely to have
15 relevant information, including job title and a brief description of job responsibilities
16 for each individual” The ESI Protocol further provides that “[t]he parties shall
17 meet and confer regarding the list of custodians. The receiving party reserves the
18 right to request additional custodians after reviewing documents produced.”

19 26. On July 31, 2023, the parties exchanged ESI disclosures pursuant to the
20 ESI Protocol. In the Bank’s ESI disclosures, the Bank identified two service e-mail
21 boxes and only five individual custodians: Robert Chestnut, Sabrina Clark, Kevin
22 Condon, Dawn Haddock, and John Lawlor. The Bank described all five of these
23 individuals as having documents relevant to the Bank’s contract and
24 communications with EDD.

25 27. The parties met and conferred on August 3, 2023 regarding, *inter alia*,
26 the Bank’s ESI disclosures, during which Plaintiffs explained why the Bank’s ESI
27 Disclosures were deficient and proposed additional custodians. Plaintiffs’ counsel
28

1 sent a letter on August 4 memorializing the parties’ discussion regarding ESI
2 custodians. In that letter, Plaintiffs again identified additional custodian and
3 reiterated the Bank’s obligation under FRCP 26(a) and the ESI Protocol to identify
4 custodians relating to the following five general categories of topics relevant to this
5 litigation: (1) “the Bank’s negotiation and servicing of the EDD-Bank Contract, and
6 communications with EDD”; (2) “EDD debit card and account security (including
7 the lack of an EMV chip and decisions around adding EMV chips, risk of skimming
8 and transaction fraud, risk of data security breaches (including by employee and
9 third-party vendor breaches), etc.)”; (3) the Bank’s policies and practices for
10 handling EFTA claims made by EDD debit card and account holders, including
11 development and application of the ‘Claim Fraud Filter’”; (4) “the Bank’s policies
12 and practices for freezing and blocking EDD debit cardholders’ accounts, including
13 development and application of the ‘Claim Fraud Filter’”; and (5) “customer service
14 for EDD debit card and account holders” Plaintiffs proposed adding: (a) 12
15 individuals listed in the Bank’s Rule 26(a) initial disclosures (Faiz Ahmad, William
16 Fox, Michael Letson, Jennifer Ehresman, William Golden, Melissa Gargagliano,
17 Shane Daniels, Holly O’Neill, Paul Simpson, Brad Garfield, Katie Smith, and Doris
18 Dixon); (b) five individuals likely to have information related to the Bank’s EDD
19 contract based on publicly available information (Brian Putler, Jim Hackett,
20 Jonathan Millard, Brian Grech, and Louise Hennessy); (c) CEO Brian T. Moynihan
21 and Thomas M. Scrivener, based on their roles as signatories to the OCC Consent
22 Order and CFPB Stipulation, respectively; and (d) all other Bank Board Members,
23 based on their role as signatories to the OCC Consent Order.

24 28. On August 7, 2023, the Bank responded to Plaintiffs’ August 4 letter,
25 agreeing “to collect and search the remaining 12 individuals identified in BANA’s
26 Initial Disclosures and the five individuals that Plaintiffs assert have information
27 related to the EDD-Bank Contract” The Bank objected to Plaintiffs’ request to
28

1 include any officers, directors, or board members as custodians, including based on
2 its assertion that “none of those individuals are likely to have relevant, non-
3 privileged communications”

4 29. On August 10, 2023, Plaintiffs proposed another 15 potential
5 custodians (for all RFPs) and an additional seven custodians for issue-specific RFPs,
6 based on Plaintiffs’ review of the limited documents produced by the Bank as of that
7 time. Plaintiffs also served RFPs (Set Three, RFPs 80-81) seeking the Bank’s
8 relevant organizational charts. During the parties’ August 18 meet-and-confer, and
9 as memorialized in the Bank’s letter dated August 23, the Bank agreed to add two of
10 the proposed custodians (William Martin and Louise Nail), bringing the total
11 number of agreed-upon custodians to 24. The Bank agreed that both Martin and Nail
12 “are likely to possess responsive, relevant, non-duplicative documents,” yet neither
13 had been disclosed in either the Bank’s Rule 26(a) initial disclosures or the Bank’s
14 July 31 ESI disclosures. This reinforced Plaintiffs’ concerns that the Bank was not
15 disclosing all relevant ESI custodians. The parties agreed to defer further negotiation
16 of additional “Round 2” ESI custodians until after the Bank satisfactorily produced
17 the relevant org charts.

18 30. Plaintiffs requested an Informal Discovery Conference (IDC) regarding
19 the Bank’s refusal to include *any* senior executives as ESI custodians, which
20 Magistrate Judge Berg conducted on September 7, 2023. Although the Court was
21 not inclined at the September 7, 2023 IDC to compel the Bank to add high-level
22 executives as ESI custodians at that time given the early state of discovery, the
23 Court stated it would reconsider Plaintiffs’ request at a later time if documents
24 obtained from lower-level custodians showed that executives were likely to have
25 relevant information. In the interest of moving the ESI production process forward,
26 particularly given the compressed discovery schedule in the case, Plaintiffs elected
27 not to request formal briefing on the matter at that time, agreeing instead to proceed
28

1 with negotiating search terms for the 24 agreed-upon “Round 1” custodians while
2 reserving their right to renew their request to compel executive ESI custodians after
3 having an opportunity to review the “Round 1” documents.

4 31. Other disputes regarding search terms delayed the Bank’s production of
5 ESI from the 24 agreed-upon custodians. Accordingly, in an effort to jump start the
6 production of ESI, Plaintiffs on or about September 22, 2023 offered to remove four
7 custodians (Jim Hackett, Jonathan Millard, Louise Hennessy, and Kevin Condon)
8 from the list of “Round 1” custodians, and to instead discuss them along with other
9 potential additional custodians during the parties’ “Round 2” negotiations.

10 32. The Bank subsequently produced documents from the remaining 20
11 “Round 1” custodians. To date, the Bank has produced approximately 200,000
12 documents total, the overwhelming majority of which were produced between
13 October 23 and December 4, 2023.

14 33. After having an opportunity to begin reviewing the Bank’s production,
15 Plaintiffs requested to meet and confer about (1) the Bank’s responses to Plaintiffs’
16 RFPs 76-79 (seeking executive communications) and (2) additional “Round 2”
17 custodians for RFPs Sets Two and Three. In a letter dated November 29, 2023,
18 Plaintiffs’ counsel requested that the Bank produce documents from eight executives
19 (Brian Moynihan, Thomas Montag, Catherine Bessant, Dean Athanasia, Christine
20 Channels, Geoffrey Greener, Paul Donofrio, and Thomas Scrivener), citing specific
21 bases for their request. In that same letter, Plaintiffs also proposed 17 additional ESI
22 custodians, narrowly tailored to topic-specific RFPs (eight relating to development
23 of the Claim Fraud Filter, three relating to claims processing, three relating to card
24 security, and four relating to call centers).

25 34. On December 8, 2023, the Bank’s counsel provided its counterproposal
26 for additional ESI collection, identifying Christine Channels, Jennifer Boussuge,
27 Renee Johnson, and Scott Robbins as custodians “most likely to possess non-
28

1 duplicative, relevant ESI pertaining to the issues remaining in the case.” A true and
2 correct copy of the Bank’s counsel’s December 8 e-mail is attached hereto as
3 **Exhibit 22.**

4 35. After the parties further met and conferred, the Bank sent a “revised
5 counterproposal for additional ESI collection” on December 15, 2023, this time
6 proposing eight total additional custodians (including Rocco Blasi). A true and
7 correct copy of the Bank’s counsel’s December 15 e-mail is attached hereto as
8 **Exhibit 23.**

9 36. On December 22, 2023, Plaintiffs’ counsel sent a counterproposal to
10 the Bank’s revised counterproposal, agreeing to limit their request to only eight
11 additional custodians and agreeing to four of the Bank’s offered custodians, while
12 proposing to exchange the other four lower-level custodians (Anne Holt, Ruchira
13 Ghosh, John Denning, and Scott Robbins) for four executive custodians (Brian
14 Moynihan, Thomas Montag, Catherine Bessant, and Dean Athanasia).

15 37. On December 29, 2023, the Bank rejected Plaintiffs’ December 22
16 compromise proposal. The parties submitted informal letter briefs to Magistrate
17 Judge Berg, who conducted an IDC on January 23, 2024 and tentatively ruled that
18 the Bank should be ordered to add three executive custodians of the Plaintiffs’
19 choosing.

20 38. The Bank reported over \$94 billion in annual revenue and over \$3
21 trillion in consolidated assets in its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December
22 31, 2022, pages 28 and 33, available at
23 [https://investor.bankofamerica.com/regulatory-and-other-filings/annual-](https://investor.bankofamerica.com/regulatory-and-other-filings/annual-reports/content/0001140361-23-013653/0001140361-23-013653.pdf)
24 [reports/content/0001140361-23-013653/0001140361-23-013653.pdf](https://investor.bankofamerica.com/regulatory-and-other-filings/annual-reports/content/0001140361-23-013653/0001140361-23-013653.pdf).

25 39. The Bank reported that its CEO’s compensation was more than \$30
26 million in 2022, according to the Bank’s 2023 Proxy Statement, page 68, available
27 at <https://investor.bankofamerica.com/2023-proxy-statement>.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury that that the foregoing is true and correct.
2 Executed this 23rd day of January, 2024 in Burlingame, California.

3 /s/ Connie K. Chan

4 Connie K. Chan
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28