

1 JAMES W. MCGARRY (admitted pro hac vice)
2 *JMcGarry@goodwinlaw.com*

3 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**

4 100 Northern Avenue

5 Boston, MA 02210

6 Tel.: +1 617 570 1000

7 Fax: +1 617 523 1231

8 YVONNE W. CHAN (admitted pro hac vice)

9 *YChan@jonesday.com*

10 **JONES DAY**

11 100 High Street

12 Boston, MA 02110

13 Tel.: +1 617 960 3939

14 Fax: +1 617 449 6999

15 Attorneys for Defendant
16 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

17 *[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED IN SIGNATURE BLOCK]*

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
20 **SAN DIEGO DIVISION**

21 IN RE: BANK OF AMERICA
22 CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT
23 BENEFITS LITIGATION

Case No. 21-MD-02992-LAB-MSB

24 **DECLARATION OF JAMES W.**
25 **MCGARRY IN SUPPORT OF**
26 **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO**
27 **PRECLUDE ADDITIONAL ESI**
28 **DISCOVERY**

Filed/Lodged Concurrently with:

1. Mem. of Points and Auth. ISO
Motion to Preclude Additional
ESI Discovery
2. Declaration of Dustin Anderson
3. [Proposed] Order

1 I, James W. McGarry, state and declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Goodwin Procter LLP, and counsel
3 of record for Defendant Bank of America, N.A. (“BANA”) in the above-captioned
4 lawsuit.

5 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and
6 if called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.

7 3. I make this declaration in support of BANA’s Motion to Preclude
8 Additional ESI Discovery.

9 4. With the Court’s assistance, the parties previously agreed that BANA
10 would collect ESI from 24 custodians, and review and produce ESI from 20 of those
11 custodians. Those custodians spanned 9 functional groups within BANA, and
12 included the key decision makers on each of Plaintiffs’ allegations, including the
13 fraud filter and its use to decision error claims and freeze and/or block accounts (at
14 least 9 custodians: Faiz Ahmad, Kevin Condon, Jennifer Ehresman, William Fox,
15 Brad Garfield, Melissa Gargagliano, Michael Letson, Holly O’Neill, and Paul
16 Simpson), the use of EMV technology for EDD prepaid cards (at least 8 custodians:
17 Robert Chestnut, Sabrina Clark, Kevin Condon, Dawn Haddock, Louise Hennessy,
18 John Lawlor, William (Matt) Martin, and Katie Smith) and customer service and
19 claims processing for EDD prepaid cards (at least 4 custodians: Shane Daniels, Doris
20 Dixon, William Golden, and Louise Nail). Many of these custodians were also key
21 decision makers on more than one of the fraud filter, EMV, and customer service
22 issues.

23 5. In connection with the parties’ previous agreement regarding ESI
24 discovery and the Court’s direction, BANA reviewed more than 765,000 documents
25 and produced nearly 200,000 documents over the course of two months, from
26 October 2023 to December 2023, and has produced more than 200,000 documents to
27 date.

1 6. The search terms Plaintiffs demanded BANA use for this ESI review
2 were overbroad. Even with the use of a TAR algorithm, designed to prioritize the
3 documents most likely to be relevant for review, BANA was forced to review more
4 than 765,000 of the approximately 1 million documents in the revised search
5 population. Of the documents in the review population that contained Plaintiffs'
6 search terms, only 24% of them were responsive.

7 7. On November 20, 2023, Plaintiffs served BANA with Interrogatory
8 No. 24, asking BANA to, among other things, "IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS" in its
9 productions "that reference, reflect, or otherwise evidence any actions" or that
10 "expressly reference" BANA's "failure to take any actions . . . to test, ascertain,
11 measure, or estimate the actual or likely accuracy, inaccuracy, effectiveness, or
12 ineffectiveness of the CLAIM FRAUD FILTER and/or any [of] its criteria."
13 Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Interrogatory
14 No. 24.

15 8. The additional ESI discovery Plaintiffs now seek includes ESI from five
16 of BANA's top executives: Brian Moynihan (CEO), Thomas Montag (President of
17 Global Banking and Markets and COO until December 2021), Catherine Bessant
18 (Chief Operations and Technology Officer until 2021), Dean Athanasia (President of
19 Regional Banking), and Christine Channels (Head of Client Services and Credit
20 Assistance).

21 9. In an effort at compromise, and before BANA understood the full cost
22 of the ESI discovery incurred to date, BANA offered eight additional ESI custodians
23 that were identified in BANA's interrogatory responses as involved in the fraud filter
24 and other relevant issues, including Christine Channels. Plaintiffs rejected BANA's
25 compromise proposal, and continued to insist on ESI collection from all five top
26 executives.

27 10. During the late summer and fall of 2023, the Court held informal
28

1 discovery conferences (“IDCs”) at which Plaintiffs’ request for top executive ESI
2 discovery was discussed. During one of those IDCs, Plaintiffs’ counsel told the Court
3 that the top executive emails were necessary because “they resolve cases. They settle
4 cases.”

5 11. The Court held another IDC on January 3, 2024. The parties submitted
6 letters in advance of that IDC explaining their respective positions as to whether
7 additional ESI discovery should be permitted. BANA is not including Plaintiffs’ IDC
8 Letter, Index of Exhibits, and Exhibits thereto here in an effort to make this
9 submission less cumbersome, because the Court already has these materials in
10 connection with the IDC process, and because the Exhibits were designated
11 CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to the applicable Protective Order, Dkt. 82, as they
12 reflect BANA’s internal fraud processes, customer claims, and proprietary business
13 information. If it would be helpful for the Court to have these previously-lodged
14 materials attached to this submission, BANA would be happy to re-submit this
15 Declaration, along with a motion to file the designated materials under seal.

16 12. During the January 3, 2024 IDC, Plaintiffs argued that there were
17 “MTM meetings” among the top executives that did not include existing custodians.
18 That is incorrect. Existing custodian Holly O’Neill is a member of the MTM group.
19 See [https://newsroom.bankofamerica.com/biographies?cm_re=EBZ-](https://newsroom.bankofamerica.com/biographies?cm_re=EBZ-Corp_SocialResponsibility--About_Us--EI38LT000B_About_Us_Biography)
20 [Corp_SocialResponsibility--About_Us--EI38LT000B_About_Us_Biography](https://newsroom.bankofamerica.com/biographies?cm_re=EBZ-Corp_SocialResponsibility--About_Us--EI38LT000B_About_Us_Biography)
21 (identifying MTM group).

22 13. Based on my review of documents produced by BANA, I understand
23 that other existing custodians also often presented at MTM meetings, including Paul
24 Simpson and Faiz Ahmad.

25 14. Also based on my review of documents produced by BANA, I
26 understand that BANA has also produced MTM presentations showing what was
27 discussed at those meetings.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the
2 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 23, 2024, in Boston,
3 Massachusetts.

4
5
6 By: /s/ James W. McGarry

7 JAMES W. MCGARRY (pro hac vice)
8 *JMcGarry@goodwinlaw.com*
9 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**
10 100 Northern Avenue
11 Boston, MA 02210
12 Tel.: +1 617 570 1000
13 Fax: +1 617 523 1231

14
15
16 Attorneys for Defendant
17 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (SBN 36324)
jcotchett@cpmlegal.com

BRIAN DANITZ (SBN 247403)
bdanitz@cpmlegal.com

KARIN B. SWOPE (PRO HAC VICE)
kswope@cpmlegal.com

ANDREW F. KIRTLEY (SBN 328023)
akirtley@cpmlegal.com

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200

Burlingame, CA 94010

Telephone: (650) 697-6000

Fax: (650) 697-0577

*Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
and the Proposed Class*

MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 080618)
mrubin@altber.com

STACEY M. LEYTON (SBN 203827)
sleyton@altber.com

MATTHEW MURRAY (SBN 271461)
mmurray@altber.com

CONNIE K. CHAN (SBN 284230)
cchan@altber.com

JAMES BALTZER

jbaltzer@altber.com (SBN 332232)

ALTSHULER BERZON LLP

177 Post Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, CA 94108

Telephone: (415) 421-7151

Fax: (415) 362-8064

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN RE BANK OF AMERICA CALIFORNIA
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS
LITIGATION**

Case No.: 3:21-md-02992-LAB-MSB

**PLAINTIFF YICK'S THIRD SET OF
INTERROGATORIES**

This Document Relates to All Actions

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff Jennifer Yick

RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

SET NUMBER: Three

Highlighted portions of these Interrogatories contain information designated by Defendant as

CONFIDENTIAL (highlighted yellow) or **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES**

ONLY (highlighted green) under the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this action.

Plaintiff Yick's Third Set of Interrogatories
Case No. 3:21-MD-02992-LAB-MSB

Contains information designated CONFIDENTIAL and HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – AEO

1 otherwise develop the criteria used by the CLAIM FRAUD FILTER, including but not limited to
2 the dates when such processes occurred; the IDENTITY of all PERSONS involved in the process
3 (including but not limited to YOUR employees and agents, third-party consultants, and any law
4 enforcement agents); the name of any third-party product that was a source of any criteria or any
5 part of any criteria of the CLAIM FRAUD FILTER; a description of all potential alternative anti-
6 fraud strategies or CLAIM FRAUD FILTER criteria that YOU considered but ultimately did not
7 use; and all of YOUR reasons at the time for deciding not to use those potential alternatives.

8 **INTERROGATORY NO. 24**

9 IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS that reference, reflect, or otherwise evidence any actions
10 that YOU took or caused to be taken, or that expressly reference YOUR failure to take any
11 actions, at any time from March 1, 2020 to the present to test, ascertain, measure, or estimate the
12 actual or likely accuracy, inaccuracy, effectiveness, or ineffectiveness of the CLAIM FRAUD
13 FILTER and/or any its criteria (including but not limited to any of its three “Indicators” and
14 Indicator 3 “Features” as described in BANA_EDD_MDL-00005524) in correctly or incorrectly
15 decisioning CLAIMS, including but not limited to all DOCUMENTS that reflect any calculation
16 YOU made or caused to be made of the actual, estimated, or likely “false positive” rate or ratio
17 (however YOU use those terms in the ordinary course of business) of the CLAIM FRAUD
18 FILTER and/or any of its criteria.

19 **INTERROGATORY NO. 25**

20 If YOU contend that YOUR use of the CLAIM FRAUD FILTER as the sole basis for
21 making ADVERSE CLAIM DECISIONS constituted a “good faith investigation” of such
22 CLAIMS under EFTA, including but not limited to under 15 U.S.C. §1693f(e)(1)(A), state all
23 facts, IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS, and IDENTIFY all witnesses and testimony that YOU
24 contend support that contention.

25 **INTERROGATORY NO. 26**

26 If YOU contend that a CLAIM meeting the criteria of the CLAIM FRAUD FILTER’s
27 “Indicator 1” (as described in BANA_EDD_MDL-00005524) provided YOU, on its own, a
28