

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

AUSTIN M. SIAMPWIZI,

Defendant.

$$\begin{array}{c}) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \end{array}$$

No. 1:23-CR-246-WMR-RDC

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Continue Pretrial Motion Deadline and Pretrial Conference [Doc. ____].

For good cause shown, Defendant's motion is hereby GRANTED. Pretrial motions will be due no later than _____, and the pretrial conference will occur at _____ on _____, 2023.

The delay between the original and rescheduled pretrial conference shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because the Court finds that the reason for the delay is for good cause and will allow defense counsel time to review the voluminous discovery and meet with her client. The Court also finds that the delay caused by the requested continuance should be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because the additional time is needed for adequate

preparation and to prevent a miscarriage of justice and the ends of justice are served by granting this continuance, in that they outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) & (iv).

The clerk is DIRECTED to exclude this time from calculation under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) & (iv).

SO ORDERED, this _____ day of August, 2023.

HON. REGINA D. CANNON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE