

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD AYVAZIAN,
MARIETTA TERABELIAN,
ARTUR AYVAZIAN, and
VAHE DADYAN,

Defendants.

No. CR 20-00579(A)-SVW

VERDICT FORM FOR DEFENDANT
RICHARD AYVAZIAN

As to the following counts charged against defendant
RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

COUNT ONE

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud and Bank Fraud

1.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously
find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
§ 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding
Indictment, by conspiring to commit Wire Fraud, in violation of
18 U.S.C. § 1343.

1.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously
find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
§ 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding
Indictment, by conspiring to commit Bank Fraud, in violation of
18 U.S.C. § 1344(2).

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWO

Wire Fraud (S. Construction PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Two of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$182,637 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender H, sent by means of an interstate wire, into S. Construction Bank 5 Account, on or about May 1, 2020.

COUNT THREE

Wire Fraud (Allstate Towing PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Three of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$124,000 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender C, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Allstate Towing Bank 4 Account, on or about May 5, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT FOUR

Wire Fraud (Top Quality Contracting PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Four of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$130,000 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into TQC Bank 3 Account, on or about May 8, 2020.

COUNT FIVE

Wire Fraud (Secureline Realty PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Five of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$137,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender E, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Secureline Realty Bank 6 Account, on or about May 11, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT SIX

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Six of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$130,187 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, on or about May 11, 2020.

COUNT SEVEN

Wire Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Seven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$157,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Voyage Limo Bank 3 Account, on or about May 20, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHT

Wire Fraud (G&A Diamonds EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eight of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into G&A Diamonds Bank 4 Account, on or about June 16, 2020.

COUNT NINE

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Nine of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$150,000 in EIDL loan proceeds from the Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, sent by means of an interstate wire, to Terabelian Bank 2 Account, on or about June 17, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TEN

Wire Fraud (Timeline Transport EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Ten of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Timeline Transport Bank 1 Account, on or about June 22, 2020.

COUNT ELEVEN

Wire Fraud (Mod Interiors PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eleven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$384,150 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender F, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Mod Interiors Bank 7 Account, on or about July 31, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWELVE

Wire Fraud (A.D. PPP Loan Wire Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Twelve of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender F in the name of A.D., sent by means of an interstate wire, on or about August 13, 2020.

COUNT THIRTEEN

Bank Fraud (H. Construction PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Thirteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender H in the name of H. Construction, on or about April 18, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT FOURTEEN

Bank Fraud (Top Quality Contracting PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Fourteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Top Quality Contracting, on or about April 30, 2020.

COUNT FIFTEEN

Bank Fraud (Allstate Towing PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Fifteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender C in the name of Allstate Towing, on or about May 2, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT SIXTEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Collision PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Sixteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Collision, on or about May 7, 2020.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

Bank Fraud (Secureline Realty PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Seventeen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Secureline Realty, on or about May 9, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

Bank Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Eighteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Voyage Limo, on or about May 18, 2020.

COUNT NINETEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Mechanics PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Nineteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Mechanics, on or about June 25, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY

Bank Fraud (Runyan Tax Service PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Twenty of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender A in the name of Runyan Tax Service, on or about July 13, 2020.

COUNT TWENTY-ONE

Aggravated Identity Theft

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Aggravated Identity Theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028A(a)(1) and 2(b), as it relates to the name of M.Z., as charged in Count Twenty-One of the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-TWO

Aggravated Identity Theft

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Aggravated Identity Theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
§§ 1028A(a)(1), 2(a), and 2(b), as it relates to the name and
California driver's license number of N.T., as charged in Count
Twenty-Two of the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering

26.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to commit Money
Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

26.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to Engage in Unlawful
Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Bank and Wire
Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT

Money Laundering

28.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
§§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2(b), as charged in Count Twenty-Eight
of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the
transfer of \$47,000 from Turing Info Bank 5 Account to Zhadko
Bank 5 Account on or about December 21, 2020.

28.b. Please address the following only if you have found
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN guilty in question 28.a above:

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of committing the offense of Money Laundering in Count Twenty-
Eight while on pretrial release in the above-captioned case, in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3147, as charged in Count Twenty-Eight
of the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-NINE

Money Laundering

29.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
§§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2(b), as charged in Count Twenty-Nine of
the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer
of \$86,000 from Turing Info Bank 5 Account to Zhadko Bank 5
Account on or about December 22, 2020.

29.b. Please address the following only if you have found
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZYAN guilty in question 29.a above:

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of committing the offense of Money Laundering in Count Twenty-
Nine while on pretrial release in the above-captioned case, in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3147, as charged in Count Twenty-Nine
of the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT THIRTY

Money Laundering

30.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

§§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2(b), as charged in Count Thirty of the
First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of
\$50,000 from Zhadko Bank 5 Account to Online Broker account
ending -3630, which was opened on December 21, 2020 in the name
of Iuliia Zhadko, on or about December 23, 2020.

30.b. Please address the following only if you have found
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN guilty in question 30.a above:

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of committing the offense of Money Laundering in Count Thirty
while on pretrial release in the above-captioned case, in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3147, as charged in Count Thirty of the
First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT THIRTY-ONE

Money Laundering

31.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
§§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2(b), as charged in Count Thirty-One of
the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer
of \$75,000 from Zhadko Bank 5 Account to Online Broker account
ending -3630, which was opened on December 21, 2020 in the name
of Iuliia Zhadko, on or about December 24, 2020.

31.b. Please address the following only if you have found
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN guilty in question 31.a above:

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of committing the offense of Money Laundering in Count Thirty-
One while on pretrial release in the above-captioned case, in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3147, as charged in Count Thirty-One of
the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT THIRTY-TWO

Money Laundering

32.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

§§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2(b), as charged in Count Thirty-Two of
the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer
of \$22,000 from Turing Info Bank 5 Account to Digital Currency
Exchange account ending -8efe, which was opened on January 7,
2021 in the name of Iuliia Zhadko, on or about January 13, 2021.

32.b. Please address the following only if you have found
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN guilty in question 32.a above:

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant RICHARD AYVAZIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of committing the offense of Money Laundering in Count Thirty-
Two while on pretrial release in the above-captioned case, in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3147, as charged in Count Thirty-Two of
the First Superseding Indictment.

The foreperson should now sign and date this verdict form.

DATED

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD AYVAZYAN,
MARIETTA TERABELIAN,
ARTUR AYVAZYAN, and
VAHE DADYAN,

Defendants.

No. CR 20-00579(A)-SVW

VERDICT FORM FOR DEFENDANT
MARIETTA TERABELIAN

1 As to the following counts charged against defendant
2 MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

3 **COUNT ONE**

4 **Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud and Bank Fraud**

5 1.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously
6 find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

7 _____ GUILTY

8 _____ NOT GUILTY

9 of Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
10 § 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding
11 Indictment, by conspiring to commit Wire Fraud, in violation of
12 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

13
14 1.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously
15 find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

16 _____ GUILTY

17 _____ NOT GUILTY

18 of Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
19 § 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding
20 Indictment, by conspiring to commit Bank Fraud, in violation of
21 18 U.S.C. § 1344(2).

22
23 *Continue to next page.*
24
25
26
27
~ ~

COUNT TWO

Wire Fraud (S. Construction PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Two of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$182,637 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender H, sent by means of an interstate wire, into S. Construction Bank 5 Account, on or about May 1, 2020.

COUNT THREE

Wire Fraud (Allstate Towing PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Three of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$124,000 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender C, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Allstate Towing Bank 4 Account, on or about May 5, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT FOUR

Wire Fraud (Top Quality Contracting PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Four of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$130,000 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into TQC Bank 3 Account, on or about May 8, 2020.

COUNT FIVE

Wire Fraud (Secureline Realty PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Five of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$137,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender E, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Secureline Realty Bank 6 Account, on or about May 11, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT SIX

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Six of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$130,187 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, on or about May 11, 2020.

COUNT SEVEN

Wire Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Seven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$157,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Voyage Limo Bank 3 Account, on or about May 20, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHT

Wire Fraud (G&A Diamonds EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eight of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into G&A Diamonds Bank 4 Account, on or about June 16, 2020.

COUNT NINE

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Nine of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$150,000 in EIDL loan proceeds from the Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, sent by means of an interstate wire, to Terabelian Bank 2 Account, on or about June 17, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TEN

Wire Fraud (Timeline Transport EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Ten of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Timeline Transport Bank 1 Account, on or about June 22, 2020.

COUNT ELEVEN

Wire Fraud (Mod Interiors PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eleven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$384,150 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender F, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Mod Interiors Bank 7 Account, on or about July 31, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWELVE

Wire Fraud (A.D. PPP Loan Wire Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Twelve of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender F in the name of A.D., sent by means of an interstate wire, on or about August 13, 2020.

COUNT THIRTEEN

Bank Fraud (H. Construction PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Thirteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender H in the name of H. Construction, on or about April 18, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT FOURTEEN

Bank Fraud (Top Quality Contracting PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Fourteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Top Quality Contracting, on or about April 30, 2020.

COUNT FIFTEEN

Bank Fraud (Allstate Towing PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Fifteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender C in the name of Allstate Towing, on or about May 2, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT SIXTEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Collision PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Sixteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Collision, on or about May 7, 2020.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

Bank Fraud (Secureline Realty PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Seventeen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Secureline Realty, on or about May 9, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

Bank Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Eighteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Voyage Limo, on or about May 18, 2020.

COUNT NINETEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Mechanics PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Nineteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Mechanics, on or about June 25, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY

Bank Fraud (Runyan Tax Service PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Twenty of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender A in the name of Runyan Tax Service, on or about July 13, 2020.

COUNT TWENTY-TWO

Aggravated Identity Theft

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Aggravated Identity Theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028A(a)(1), 2(a), and 2(b), as it relates to the name and California driver's license number of N.T., as charged in Count Twenty-Two of the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering

26.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to commit Money
Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

26.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant MARIETTA TERABELIAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to Engage in Unlawful
Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Bank and Wire
Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957.

The foreperson should now sign and date this verdict form.

DATED

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD AYVAZYAN,
MARIETTA TERABELIAN,
ARTUR AYVAZYAN, and
VAHE DADYAN,

Defendants.

No. CR 20-00579(A)-SVW

VERDICT FORM FOR DEFENDANT
ARTUR AYVAZYAN

1 As to the following counts charged against defendant ARTUR
2 AYVAZYAN:

3 COUNT ONE

4 Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud and Bank Fraud

5 1.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously
6 find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

7 _____ GUILTY

8 _____ NOT GUILTY

9 of Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
10 § 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding
11 Indictment, by conspiring to commit Wire Fraud, in violation of
12 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

13
14 1.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously
15 find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

16 _____ GUILTY

17 _____ NOT GUILTY

18 of Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
19 § 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding
20 Indictment, by conspiring to commit Bank Fraud, in violation of
21 18 U.S.C. § 1344(2).

22
23 *Continue to next page.*
24
25
26
27
^^

COUNT TWO

Wire Fraud (S. Construction PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Two of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$182,637 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender H, sent by means of an interstate wire, into S. Construction Bank 5 Account, on or about May 1, 2020.

COUNT THREE

Wire Fraud (Allstate Towing PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Three of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$124,000 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender C, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Allstate Towing Bank 4 Account, on or about May 5, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT FOUR

Wire Fraud (Top Quality Contracting PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Four of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$130,000 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into TQC Bank 3 Account, on or about May 8, 2020.

COUNT FIVE

Wire Fraud (Secureline Realty PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Five of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$137,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender E, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Secureline Realty Bank 6 Account, on or about May 11, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT SIX

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Six of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$130,187 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, on or about May 11, 2020.

COUNT SEVEN

Wire Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Seven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$157,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Voyage Limo Bank 3 Account, on or about May 20, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHT

Wire Fraud (G&A Diamonds EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eight of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into G&A Diamonds Bank 4 Account, on or about June 16, 2020.

COUNT NINE

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Nine of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$150,000 in EIDL loan proceeds from the Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, sent by means of an interstate wire, to Terabelian Bank 2 Account, on or about June 17, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TEN

Wire Fraud (Timeline Transport EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Ten of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Timeline Transport Bank 1 Account, on or about June 22, 2020.

COUNT ELEVEN

Wire Fraud (Mod Interiors PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eleven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$384,150 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender F, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Mod Interiors Bank 7 Account, on or about July 31, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWELVE

Wire Fraud (A.D. PPP Loan Wire Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Twelve of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender F in the name of A.D., sent by means of an interstate wire, on or about August 13, 2020.

COUNT THIRTEEN

Bank Fraud (H. Construction PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Thirteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender H in the name of H. Construction, on or about April 18, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT FOURTEEN

Bank Fraud (Top Quality Contracting PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Fourteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Top Quality Contracting, on or about April 30, 2020.

COUNT FIFTEEN

Bank Fraud (Allstate Towing PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Fifteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender C in the name of Allstate Towing, on or about May 2, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT SIXTEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Collision PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Sixteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Collision, on or about May 7, 2020.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

Bank Fraud (Secureline Realty PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Seventeen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Secureline Realty, on or about May 9, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

Bank Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Eighteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Voyage Limo, on or about May 18, 2020.

COUNT NINETEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Mechanics PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Nineteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Mechanics, on or about June 25, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY

Bank Fraud (Runyan Tax Service PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Twenty of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender A in the name of Runyan Tax Service, on or about July 13, 2020.

COUNT TWENTY-FOUR

Aggravated Identity Theft

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Aggravated Identity Theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028A(a)(1), 2(a), and 2(b), as it relates to the name and date of birth of A.D., as charged in Count Twenty-Four of the First Superseding Indictment.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering

26.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to commit Money
Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

26.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant ARTUR AYVAZYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to Engage in Unlawful
Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Bank and Wire
Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957.

The foreperson should now sign and date this verdict form.

DATED

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD AYVAZIAN,
MARIETTA TERABELIAN,
ARTUR AYVAZIAN, and
VAHE DADYAN,

Defendants.

No. CR 20-00579(A)-SVW

VERDICT FORM FOR DEFENDANT VAHE
DADYAN

As to the following counts charged against defendant VAHE DADYAN:

COUNT ONE

Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud and Bank Fraud

1.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to commit Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

1.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, as charged in Count One of the First Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to commit Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344(2).

Continue to next page.

COUNT SEVEN

Wire Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Seven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$157,500 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender B, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Voyage Limo Bank 3 Account, on or about May 20, 2020.

COUNT EIGHT

Wire Fraud (G&A Diamonds EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eight of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into G&A Diamonds Bank 4 Account, on or about June 16, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT NINE

Wire Fraud (Redline Auto Collision EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Nine of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$150,000 in EIDL loan proceeds from the Redline Auto Collision Bank 5 Account, sent by means of an interstate wire, to Terabelian Bank 2 Account, on or about June 17, 2020.

COUNT TEN

Wire Fraud (Timeline Transport EIDL Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Ten of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$149,900 in EIDL loan proceeds from the SBA, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Timeline Transport Bank 1 Account, on or about June 22, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT ELEVEN

Wire Fraud (Mod Interiors PPP Loan Wire Transfer)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Eleven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of approximately \$384,150 in PPP loan proceeds from Lender F, sent by means of an interstate wire, into Mod Interiors Bank 7 Account, on or about July 31, 2020.

COUNT TWELVE

Wire Fraud (A.D. PPP Loan Wire Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2(a), as charged in Count Twelve of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender F in the name of A.D., sent by means of an interstate wire, on or about August 13, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT EIGHTEEN

Bank Fraud (Voyage Limo PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Eighteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender E in the name of Voyage Limo, on or about May 18, 2020.

COUNT NINETEEN

Bank Fraud (Redline Auto Mechanics PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and 2(b), as charged in Count Nineteen of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for PPP loan to Lender B in the name of Redline Auto Mechanics, on or about June 25, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY

Bank Fraud (Runyan Tax Service PPP Loan Submission)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find
the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(2), 2(a), and
2(b), as charged in Count Twenty of the First Superseding
Indictment, with respect to the submission of application for
PPP loan to Lender A in the name of Runyan Tax Service, on or
about July 13, 2020.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-SIX

Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering

26.a. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to commit Money
Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

26.b. We, the jury in the above-captioned case,
unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18
U.S.C. § 1956(h), as charged in Count Twenty-Six of the First
Superseding Indictment, by conspiring to Engage in Unlawful
Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Bank and Wire
Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957.

Continue to next page.

COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN

Money Laundering

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find the defendant VAHE DADYAN:

_____ GUILTY

_____ NOT GUILTY

of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2(b), as charged in Count Twenty-Seven of the First Superseding Indictment, with respect to the transfer of \$155,000 from Voyage Limo Bank 3 Account to Runyan Tax Bank 2 Account, on or about July 3, 2020.

The foreperson should now sign and date this verdict form.

DATED

FOREPERSON