

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.: 23-CR-60170-SCOLA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

vs.

ALEXANDRA ACOSTA,

Defendant.

**UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO
THE STANDING DISCOVERY ORDER**

The United States files this response to the Standing Discovery Order. This response also complies with Local Rule 88.10 and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16.

- A.
1. There are no recorded statements made by the defendant.
 2. There is no written record containing the substance of any oral statement made by the defendant before or after arrest in response to interrogation by any person then known to the defendant to be a government agent.
 3. The defendant did not testify before the Grand Jury.
 4. The defendant's prior criminal record is attached.
 5. Books, papers, documents, data, photographs, tangible objects, buildings or places, within the government's possession, custody or control, which are material to the preparation of the defendant's defense, or which the government intends to use as evidence at trial to prove its case in chief, or which were obtained from or belong to the defendant, may be inspected at a mutually convenient time at: the Office of the United States Attorney, 500 E. Broward Blvd., Fort Lauderdale, Florida, Suite 700. Please call the undersigned to set up a date and time that is convenient to both parties. The undersigned will tentatively set the date for November 3, 2023, at 2:00 p.m. Please call the undersigned with 48 hours' notice if you intend to review the evidence at this date and time.

The attachments to this discovery response are not necessarily copies of all the books, papers, documents, data, etc., that the government may intend to introduce at trial.

6. There were no physical or mental examinations or scientific tests or experiments made in connection with this case.
- B. DEMAND FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY: Pursuant to the Standing Discovery Order, the United States requests the disclosure and production of materials listed in Section (b) of Local Rule 88.10. This request is also made pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
- C. The government will disclose any information or material which may be favorable on the issues of guilt or punishment within the scope of Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).
- D. The government will disclose any payments, promises of immunity, leniency, preferential treatment, or other inducements made to prospective government witnesses, within the scope of Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), or Napue v. Illinois, 360 U.S. 264 (1959).
- E. The government will disclose any prior convictions of any alleged co-conspirator, accomplice or informant who will testify for the government at trial.
- F. The defendant was not identified in a lineup, show up, photo array or similar identification proceedings.
- G. The government has advised its agents and officers involved in this case to preserve all rough notes.
- H. The government will timely advise the defendant of its intent, if any, to introduce at trial extrinsic act evidence pursuant to F.R.E. 404(b). Pursuant to Local Rule 88.10, the notice will be provided regardless of whether the evidence may be used in the case-in-chief, for impeachment or possible rebuttal, and will include the general nature of the evidence.

You are hereby on notice that all evidence made available to you for inspection, as well as all statements disclosed herein or in any future discovery letter, may be offered in the trial of this cause, under F.R.E. 404(b) or otherwise (including the inextricably-intertwined doctrine).
- I. The defendant is not an aggrieved person, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2510(11), of any relevant electronic surveillance that was authorized pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §2516 and 18 U.S.C §2518 and that has been unsealed in accordance with 18 U.S.C §2518.
- J. The government has ordered transcribed the Grand Jury testimony of all

witnesses who will testify for the government at the trial of this cause.

- K. No controlled substance is involved in this indictment.
- L. The government does not know of any automobile, vessel, or aircraft allegedly used in the commission of this offense that is in the government's possession.
- M. There are no fingerprints or fingerprint analysis associated with this matter.
- N. The government will make every possible effort in good faith to stipulate to all facts or points of law the truth and existence of which is not contested and the early resolution of which will expedite trial. These stipulations will be discussed at the discovery conference.

The government is aware of its continuing duty to disclose such newly discovered additional information required by the Standing Discovery Order, Rule 16(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Brady, Giglio, Napue, and the obligation to assure a fair trial.

In addition to the request made above by the government pursuant to the Standing Discovery Order, Local Rule 88.10(b), and Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, in accordance with Rule 12.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the government hereby demands Notice of Alibi defense; the approximate time, date, and place of the offense was:

Time: See Indictment
Date: See Indictment
Place: See Indictment

All discovery in this case, including but not limited to lender records, bank records, ISP records, and interview reports has been electronically shared with defense counsel via USAfx.

Respectfully submitted,

MARKENZY LAPOINTE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

BY: **Trevor C. Jones**
TREVOR C. JONES
Assistant United States Attorney
Fla. Bar No. 0092793
500 E. Broward Blvd., 7th Floor
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33394
Tel: (786) 564-9109
Trevor.Jones@usdoj.gov