

UNITED STATES NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL
MARITIME JURISDICTION

Docket No.24-2049
Case No. 2:23-cv-03041-TLN-KJN

Plaintiff(s))
(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint.)
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND
LANCE DELON ALVARADO

-v-)
ANGELIQUE RODRIGUEZ
SUNSET VALLEY
MERCY HOUSING
CALIFORNIA 63, L.P
VIRGINIA BLACK
CECILLIA BREDESON

) Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the) names
of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please) write "see
attached" in the space and attach an additional page)
with the full list of names.)

MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

The Parties to This motion A. The APPELLANT(s) **requesting**

Provide the information below for each APPELLANT named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AND
LANCE DELON ALVARADO

Street Address. 1553 CATALPA ST
City and County. Olivehurst County of Yuba
State and Zip Code. California 95961
Telephone Number. (775) 899-2632
E-mail Address. lance.alvarado@protonmail.com

RECEIVED

AUG 07 2024

CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY _____
DEPUTY CLERK

B.The Defendant(s) in INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Provide the information below for each DEFENDANT named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (*if known*). Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name. ANGELIQUE RODRIGUEZ
Job or title PROPERTY MANAGER
Street Address. 512 SPRUCE AVE
City and County. WHEATLAND County of YUBA
State and Zip Code. CALIFORNIA 95692
Telephone Number E-mail Address (*if known*)

Defendant No. 2.

Name SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63. LP ("LANDLORD")
Job or Title (*if known*) LANDLORD FOR VIRGINIA BLACK
Street Address 512 SPRUCE AVE
City and County WHEATLAND COUNTY YUBA
State and Zip Code CALIFORNIA 95692
Telephone Number E-mail Address (*if known*)

Defendant No. 3

Name VIRGINIA BLACK
Job or Title *TENANT*
Address 803 EVERGREEN #A
City and County WHEATLAND COUNTY OF YUBA
State and Zip Code CALIFORNIA 95692
Telephone Number E-mail Address (530) 641-7202 virginiasonestopbeauty.com

Defendant No. 4

Name CECILLIA BREDESON

Job or Title (if known) LANDLORD FOR LANCE ALVARADO

Street Address 1553 CATALPA

City and County OLIVEHURST County YUBA

State and Zip Code CALIFORNIA 95691

Telephone Number (530) 315-4680

E-mail Address (if known)

I. Basis for Jurisdiction and Venue

There are two types of interpleader actions, each brought under a different provision. Which type of interpleader action are you bringing? (check one)

' I am bringing this interpleader action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 22. (Fill out Section A below.)

' I am bringing this interpleader action under 28 U.S.C. § 1335. (Fill out Section B below.)

A. Interpleader Action Under Rule 22

1. Jurisdiction is proper because the action (check all that apply):

' arises under a federal statute, a federal treaty, and/or a provision of the United States Constitution (specify the relevant statutory, treaty, and/or constitutional provisions): FIRST AMENDMENT, PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE CFR 625.12, [15 USC 9021(a)(3)(A)

' meets the jurisdictional requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1332, under which no plaintiff may be a citizen of the same State as any defendant, and the amount at stake must exceed the sum or value of \$75,000:

a. The APPELLANT(s) is an individual named LANCE DELON ALVARADO and is a citizen of the State of NEVADA.

If the APPELLANT(S) is a corporation LANCE DELON ALVARADO, is incorporated under the laws of the State of. NEVADA , and have TEMPORARY Business in the State of CALIIFORNIA

(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)

b. The Defendant(s)

i. If the defendant is an individual

The defendant, *ANGELIQUE RODRIGUEZ*, is a citizen of the State of *CALIFORNIA*
Or is a citizen of *(foreign nation)*

ii. If the defendant is a

corporation The defendant is

SUNSET VALLEY MERCY

HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L..P.

incorporated under the laws of the

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

and has its principal place of

business in the State of *CALIFORNIA*.

Or is incorporated under the laws of

California , and has its principal

place of business in California

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

- b. The Amount in Controversy The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because *(explain)*: APPELLANT HAS GIVEN NOTICE TO THE PROPERTY

MANAGER NAMED ANGELIQUE RODRIGUEZ ON NUMEROUS OCCASSIONS OF THE JUDICIAL PRIVILAGED INFORMATION CONTAINED REGARDING APPELLANT'S BUISNESS WITHIN THE US DISTRICT COURT AND NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND A PUA COMPLAINT IN PROCESS WHERE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT IS SEEKING DAMAGES IN EXCESS OF \$75,000 FROM THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EDD, AND CUIAB

- c. THAT THE APPELLANT HAD INFORMED MS. RODRIGUEZ WHO IS FULLY AWARE THAT MR ALVARADO IS THE BIOLOGICAL SON OF THE TENANT VIRGINIA BLACK WHOSE UNDER A LEASE LOCATED AT 803 EVERGREEN # A WHEATLAND CA 95692 AND IS THE LOCATION WHERE THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT WAS DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE PANDEMIC KNOWN AS COVID AND WHERE MR. ALVARADO IS CURRENTLY WORKING ON PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S COMPLAINT AND APPEAL AFTER YET AGAIN RETURNING TO CALIFORNIA FROM NEVADA REGARDING THIS PUA COMPLAINT
- d. THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S WHEREABOUTS AND TIME SENSITIVE COMMUNICATION WITH COURT ARE PERTINENT TO THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT IN PROCESS.
- e. THAT MS.RODRIGUEZ MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P. CONSTANT INTERFERENCE AND INTRUSION INCLUDING ATTACKS AGAINST HIS CHARACTOR SEEM TO WISH TO DESTROY AND DISCREDIT PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S COMPLAINT WHILE EXERCISING HIS FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT FOR REDRESS.
- f. THAT PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT BRINGS QUESTIONS OF CONCERN REGARDING FEDERAL ALOTTED FUNDING UNDER INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO 20 CFR 625.6 AND THOSE WHOM PRY, THREATEN AND EXTORT INFORMATION FROM LITIGANTS AND WITNESSES INVOLVED IN CIVIL MATTERS THAT DO NOT CONCERN THEM 18 CFR 1501-1512, 18 USC 878, INCLUDING CA CIVIL CODE SEC 789.3
- g. THAT UPON EXAMINATION OF THE EXECUTIVE PROCESS ON RECORD IN THIS MATTER THE COURT WILL FIND THAT IN FACT MS. RODRIGUEZ WAS LISTED AS A WITNESS AFTER VERBALLY AGREEING TO GIVE TESTIMONY FOLLOWING A

REQUEST FROM HER TENANT VIRGINIA BLACK AND WAS GIVEN PRIOR NOTICE OF THE DATE AND TIME OF THE HEARING CONDUCTED BETWEEN MR ALVARADO AND THE CUIAB BUT FAILED TO GIVE TESTIMONY AFTER NUMEROUS ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT HER BY TELEPHONE DURING BUISNESS HOURS.

- h.* MR ALVARADO'S CUIAB HEARING CONTINUED WHERE MS. BLACK GAVE TESTIMONY CONFIRMING THE APPELLANT'S LOCATION ALONG WITH CECILLIA BREDESON. BOTH WERE DEPOSED BY THE MAGISTRATE CONDUCTING THE HEARING.
- i.* MR. ALVARADO HAS VIDEO FOOTAGE OF HIM WARNING MS. RODRIGUEZ WHOM OBVIOUSLY FAILED TO CONSIDER HER PRIOR INVOLVMENT REGARDING THE CUIAB HEARINGS WHERE SHE FAILED TO GIVE TESTIMONY THEN SENT NOTICE TO MS BLACK REGARDING A LEASE VIOLATION. STATING "WE NEED HIM TO MOVE OUT".
- j.* PLACING MS BLACK ON PROBATION FOR MR. ALVARADO BEING LOCATED ON THE PROPERTY WHERE HE WAS DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE PANDEMIC AND RETURNED NEVADA. RETURNED TO PETITION THE CUIAB THEN RETURNED TO NEVADA. RETURNED FOR THE CUIAB HEARING THEN RETURNED TO NEVADA. NOW HAS RETURNED TO PETITON HIS REDRESS IN THE US DISTRICT COURTS WHERE MR ALVARADO IS UNABLE TO AFFORD THE COSTS OF TRAVELLING BACK AND FORTH NOR THE COSTS OF CALIFORNIA'S SERVICE TO PARTIES AND SUMMONS COSTS WHILE PETIONING FOR REDRESS.
- k.* THE NOTICE MS. BLACK RECEIVED HAS NAMED SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P'S AS WELL AS THE PROPERTY MANAGER ANGELUQUE RODRIGUEZ ARE DEMANDING THAT MR. ALVARADO MOVE OUT FOR REFUSING TO SIGN A LEASE IN ORDER TO CONTINUE TO REMAIN AT MS. BLACK'S WHERE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT IS AIDING MS BLACK WHOM IS DISABLED WITH HER DAILY NEEDS AND UPKEEP OF HER HOME UNDER LEASE. WHILE LITIGATING THIS CASE
- l.* RATHER THAN MAKING AN EXCEPTION TO THEIR RULES AND ACCOMIDATE MS. BLACK BY MODIFYING THE GUEST RESTRICTION MS. RODRIGUEZ CLAIMS

THAT SHE JUST RECENTLY NOTICED MR. ALVARADO AS BEING ON THE PROPERTY.

- m.* THAT MS. RODRIGUEZ IS EITHER TAKING MATTERS INTO HER OWN HANDS REGARDING THE NOTICE SERVED TO MS. BLACK OR THAT SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P ARE CONSPIRING TOGETHER AND ARE THREATENING AND COERISING MS. BLACK UNDER FALSE PRETENSES THAT THEY NEED THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT TO MOVE FOR REFUSING TO BE MS. BLACK'S LIVE IN AID?
- n.* PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE THREATENING DEMANDS OF ANGELIQUE RODRIGUEZ SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, LL.P. AND THE DURESS MS. BLACK IS UNDER IS AWAITING A LEASE TO BE SIGNED WITH CECILLIA BREDESON WHOM AGREED TO HELP.
- o.* MS RODRIGUEZ HAS FAILED TO CONSIDER THE DAMAGES SHE IS CAUSING INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, AND MONITARY DAMAGES IF PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT SIGNS A LEASE WHILE PETITIONING THE COURTS.
- p.* A FAIR ESTIMATION OF THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WOULD BE THE AVERAGE PRICE OF A SECURITY DEPOSIT OF FIRST AND LAST RENT COMBINED AND THE MONTHLY RENT OF A FULLY FURNISHED ONE BEDROOM RENTAL INCLUDING THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S OWN CHOICE OF RENTERS AND HOME APPLIANCE INSURANCE WITHIN YUBA COUNTY TO INSURE THE RENTAL PROPERTY OF ANY DAMAGES OR LOSS. (I.E. AAA RENTERS AND AMERICAN HOME SHIELD COMBINED POLICIES).
- q.* THAT THOSE COSTS BE CONSIDERED AND AWARDED TO CECILLIA BREDESON FOR THE NEW LANDLORD'S NEED AND ASSURANCES UNDER A LEGAL BINDING LEASE AGREEMENT AND COSTS THAT MS. BREDESON SHOULD HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO BE INSURED AND PAID IN FULL AGAINST THE DEFENDANT(S) ANGELIQUE RODRIGUEZ SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P FOR THEIR NEED THAT THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT BE UNDER A LEASE, MOVE OUT AND PROVIDE PROOF OF WHERE HE MOVED TO.

- r. WHERE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT MOVED IS NONE OF MS. RODRIGUEZ OR SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA, L.P'S BUISNESS. ARE THEY STALKING MR. ALVARADO? DO THEY WISH TO CAUSE MORE HARM OTHER THAN THE FINANCIAL HARM A LEASE AND THE COSTS ASSOCIATED ARE CAUSING?
- s. BEING HOW MS. RODRIGUEZ NEEDS HIM TO SIGN A LEASE THAT COSTS MONEY AND SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P MUST BELIEVE MONEY GROWS ON TREES KNOWING THAT THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT IS A NEVADA RESIDENT AND CANT RETURN HOME BECAUSE HE DOESN'T HAVE ANY MONEY AND STILL AWAITS HIS PUA SETTLEMENT.
- t. DEMANDING A LEASE ON TOP OF AND INCLUDING A LEASE THAT IS IN GOOD STANDING AND RENT IS CURRENT AND WHERE MR. ALVARADO IS NOT BEING ANY NUISANCE OR CAUSING ANY TYPE OF TROUBLE.
- u. WHILE MS. RODRIGUEZ CONTINUES THREATENING A LITIGANT AND COERCING AN EXECUTIVE PROCESS WITNESS THAT WILL BE CALLED TO TESTIFY IN THIS US DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT/APEAL THAT IS PENDING STATING "WE NEED HIM TO MOVE OUT" IS CRIMINAL AS CRIMINAL CAN GET TO SAY THE LEAST.
- v. AS WELL AS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THE CONTINUED DISCRIMINATION OF THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S CHARACTER AND OBVIOUS ADMITION OF ANGELUQUE RODRIGUEZ BY SENDING MS. BLACK A NOTICE OF LEASE VIOLATION INCLUDING A VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INFORMATION PACKET ATTACHED. AFTER PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT VIDEO RECORDED HIM NOT GIVING CONSENT TO A BACKGROUND CHECK FOR HIS OWN PROTECTION WHILE BEING COERCED TO SIGN SAID RELEASE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION.
- w. THAT THE VIDEO WILL SHOW THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT PERFORMING AS A LITIGANT OFFICER OF THE COURT INFORMING MS. RODRIGUEZ THAT HE DID NOT CONSENT TO SIGNING AND THAT WHOMEVER PROCESSED THAT PAPERWORK WOULD DO SO AT THEIR OWN DETRIMENT. A VIDEO THAT THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT WILL BE HAPPY TO PROVIDE AS EVIDENCE. .

- x. SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P CONSTANT HARRASSMENT TOWARD THEIR TENANT WHOM HAS BEEN IN GOOD STANDING NEARLY 10YRS AND THE DISCRIMINATION OF MR. ALVARADO WHILE PETIONING FOR HIS PUA BENEFITS HAS FORCED PLAINTIFF_APPELLANT TO SEEK OUT A CHANGE OF ADDRESS AND DRAFT A LEASE AGREEMENT THAT IS READY TO BE SIGNED.
- y. MR. ALVARADO HAS INFORMED CECILLIA BREDESON OF HIS AND MS. BLACK BEING HARRASSED AND INTIMIDATED. WHEREAS MS. BREDESON THEN GAVE MR. ALVARADO PERMISSION TO TEMPORARILY UTILIZE HER ADDRESS TO CONTINUE TO RECEIVE HIS MAIL REGARDING THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S COMPLAINT IN APPEAL WHILE HE AND HIS MOTHER ARE UNDER THREAT.
- z. MR ALVARADO HAS DRAFTED A LEASE BETWEEN MS. BREDESON AND MR. ALVARADO IN COMPLIANCE TO THE DEMANDS OF MS. RODRIGUEZ SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P.
- aa. THAT DETRIMENT IS HOLDING MS. RODRIGUEZ SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P. LIABLE FOR THE ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED FOR THREATENING PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT WHOM IS ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN A CIVIL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S EDD AND CUIAB AND DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND AGAINST PARTIES THAT ARE STILL UNKNOWN DEFENDANT/APPELLEES AND HOLDING THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT HARMLESS.
- bb. THAT UPON FURTHER INVESTIGATION MR. ALVARADO CHANGED HIS ADDRESS AND A LEASE AGREEMENT IS AWAITING TO BE SIGNED AND THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT LEASE BE AWARDED TO CECILLIA BREDESON FOR RENDERING AID TO MR. ALVARADO AND MS. BLACK DURING THEIR TIME OF NEED.
- cc. MS. BREDESON HAS NOT THREATENED THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT AND THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT HAS NOT THREATENED MS. BREDESON. AND IN FACT ENCOURAGED MS. BREDESON TO SEEK OUT AN ATTORNEY BEFORE DECIDING TO SIGN THE LEASE THAT FULLY DISCLOSES THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S NEED FOR IT ENTIRELY.

. Or is incorporated under the laws of ,

(foreign nation)

business in (name) _____

and has its principal place of

c. The Property in Controversy

The property in controversy is

2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1397 because at least one defendant, **VIRGINIA BLACK** is a **CITIZEN of Washoe County** located in the State **NEVADA** and is residing in **YUBA COUNTY** Judicial district.

II. Statement of Interpleader Action

- A. Describe the property that is the subject of this interpleader action, and explain why you are in possession of the property. Explain why each of the defendants claims an entitlement to the property.
- B. DEFENDANT(S) CLAIM THAT MR. ALVARADO IS RESIDING AT MS. BLACK'S FOR REASONS OTHER THAN EXERCISING HIS FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT SEEKING REDRESS FOR PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE THAT PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT WAS FOUND ELIGIBLE BENEFITS PAYABLE BY THE CUIAB AND STILL HAS NOT RECEIVED HIS PROMICED PUA MONEY NOW IN PROCESS IN THE US 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS WITH A VALUE IN EXCESS OF \$75,000.00
- C. MS BLACK IS A NEVADA CITIZEN HOLDING A LEASE IN GOOD STANDING WITH SUNSET VALLEY/ MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P.
- D. THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT(S) DO NOT HAVE POSSESSION NOR CLAIM HAVING POSSESSION OF ANY PROPERTY OF THE DEFENDANT'S.
- E. THAT THE DEMANDS OF ANGELUQUE RODRIGUEZ SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P TO SIGN OR PRODUCE PROOF OF A LEASE AGREEMENT ARE KNOWINGLY CAUSING MONITARY DAMAGES TO THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT(S).THAT THE DEFENDANT(S) CECILLIA BREDESON HAS GIVEN PERMISSION TO UTILIZE HER ADDRESS AFTER LEARNING THAT THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT AND MS BLACK ARE BEING THREATENED.

F. THAT MS.BLACK WHO IS BEING DENIED HER FULL USE AND ENJOYMENT OF HER RENTAL HOME UNDER A LEASE IN GOOD STANDING WHERE THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT HAS BEEN EXPOSED TO DOUBLE LITIGATION BY SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P AND THEIR PROPERTY MANAGER MS. RODRIGUEZ.

G. APPELLANT has been approved for in forma pauper status for the Plaintiff/Appellant's COMPLAINT AND APPEAL

' deposited (*the property at issue*) _____ into the registry of the court

' given a bond payable to the clerk of court in the amount of \$ _____, which the court has deemed proper and which is conditioned upon compliance by the plaintiff with the future order or judgment of the court with respect to the subject matter of the controversy.

H. Explain why you are in great doubt as to which defendant(s) is/are entitled to the property subject to the interpleader action. Explain why you cannot determine which claim(s) is/are valid without exposing yourself to potential double litigation.

I. THAT SUNSET VALLEY MERCY HOUSING CALIFORNIA 63, L.P AND OTHER UNKNOWN DEFENDANT(S) OR PARTIES OR DEPARTMENTS WITHIN CALIFORNIA ARE CAUSING AND EXPOSING THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT TO DOUBLE LITIGATION WHILE INFRINGING AND CAUSING DELAY

J. THE PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT HAS BEEN PURSUING BECOMING A LAWYER. A PURSUIT PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT WAS WORKING ON PRIOR TO THE PANDEMIC. THAT DURING PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT'S EXECUTIVE PROCESS PUA LITIGATION PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT WAS FOUND ELIGIBLE PUA BENEFITS PAYABLE.

K. THAT WHILE PROVIDING AID TO HIS MOTHER WHOM IS ALSO A NEVADA CITIZEN AND RESIDES IN CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT IS UNABLE TO ACQUIRE WORK OR

CONTINUE PURSUING THE CAREER OF HIS CHOICE NOR AVAILABLE FOR WORK OTHER THAN THE AID PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT IS PROVIDING MS BLACK WHILE WORKING ON THIS US DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT AND APPEAL IN PROCESS.

III. Relief

The plaintiff requests that *(check all that apply)*:

- ☒ Each defendant be restrained from instituting any action against the plaintiff for recovery of the property or any part of it.
- ☒ The defendants be required to interplead and settle among themselves their rights to the property and that the plaintiff be discharged from all liability.
- ☒ The plaintiff recover all costs and attorney's fees.
- ☒ The court grant any further relief as may be just and proper under the circumstances of this case.

IV. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a non-frivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney


I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing:

7th Day of Aug 2024

Signature of Plaintiff

Printed Name of Plaintiff


Lena Delon Alvarado

B. For Attorneys

Date of signing:

Signature of Attorney

In Proper Person

Printed Name of Attorney

Bar Number

Name of Law Firm

Street Address

State and Zip Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address