




LANCE DELON ALVARADO
IN PROPER PERSON
SPECIAL APPEARANCE
803 EVERGREEN #A
CITY OF WHEATLAND
COUNTY OF YUBA
CALIFORNIA 95692
(775) 899-2632

delon.alvarado@gmail.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

INTRA STATE COMMERCE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AND

LANCE DELON ALVARADO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD

AND

CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT
DECISION

AND

ALL UNKNOWN INTRA STATE PARTIES

Defendant

Case No.: 2:23-CV-3041 TLN KJN (PS)

NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL
QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC
UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR
(PUA)

TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION

TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION

NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 1

1 COMES NOW LANCE DELON ALVARADO IN PROPER PERSON A CO PLAINTIFF WITH THE
2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA WHO HEREBY GIVES NOTICE OF AN APPEAL GRANTED UNDER FRCP 5.1
3
4 AND 3803(b)(1) of title 31 of the United States Code WITH A
5 RESTATEMENT REGARDING ARTIII SEC 2 AND THE CO PLAINTIFF LANCE
6
7 DELON ALVARADO'S CASE IN CONTROVERSY AND IS A
8
9 CASE THAT IS A JUSTICIABLE COMPLAINT AND AN ORDER DISMISSING THE CO-
10 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE FILED BY A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ON THE 11TH DAY OF
11 MARCH 2024
12
13 THE CO PLAINTIFF CHALLENGES THE ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE ON A FOUNDATION OF THE
14 APPELLANT LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND GRANTED BY THE FIRST
15 AMMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.
16
17 WITH THE QUESTION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT WISHES TO RECOUP
18 ANY OF THE LOSSES OF THE ALLOTTED PANDEMIC RELIEF FOR BREECH OF CONTRACT THRU THE
19 PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO BY WAY OF THE UNKNOWN DEFENDANT'S WHOM THE CO
20 PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO BELIEVES UNDER AUTHORITY FCR
21 title-5/chapter-I/subchapter-B/part-185 FOR EVERY SINGLE UNEMPLOYMENT COMPLAINT AND CLAIM
22 FOR THE DAMAGES SOUGHT BY UNITED STATE TAXPAYERS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES OF
23 AMERICA WHILE STILL HOLDING HARMLESS STATE GOVERNMENT CIVIL SERVANTS AND THEIR STAFFS
24 INCLUDING STATE EMPLOYEES THAT HAVE IMMUNITY.
25
26 THAT THE DAMAGES CAUSED BY THE PANDEMIC AND MIGHT JUST HINDER ANY FUTURE
27 PLOTS OF MASS FINANCIAL TERROR BESTOWED ONTO THE AMERICAN
28 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 2

1 **TAXPAYER BY THE INSURANCE CORPORATIONS (UNDER "COVERAGE" OF**
2
3 **LAW) ((PLEASE WISE UP!!)) IN THE FUTURE FOR THE AMERICAN CIVILIAN TAX PAYER'S SAKE!!!! THE**
4 **POPULATION ALSO KNOWN AS THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICAN TAXPAYERS ARE FINANCIALLY**
5 **DEVASTATED WITHIN THEIR OWN HOME STATES!!!!**

6 LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S LEGAL ARGUMENT IS THAT THE AMERICAN TAXPAYERS ALREADY HAVE
7 HEALTH INSURANCE AND INSURANCE THAT COVERS IMMUNE LEADERS THAT MAKE CRUCIAL DECISIONS
8 IN THE TIME OF DISASTERS AND ARE COVERED BY INSURANCE AND THAT GOVERNMENT MANDATED
9 INSURANCE AND PRIVATE HEALTH CARE PREMIUMS ALONG WITH UNITED STATES TAXES WOULD BE A
10 DOUBLE TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION!! (Government taxes) **HIGHER "INSURANCE**

11 **PREMIUMS")** WITH ONLY ONE ACTING IN TRUE PERFORMANCE THAT BEING THE UNITED STATES AND
12 **STATE GOVERNMENTS THAT ARE BEING HELD HOSTAGE BY CORPORATE INSURANCE!** The COVID PANDEMIC
13 ALLOWED FOR THE Biggest "heist" in American History **under color of law** QUITE POSSIBLY conducted by insurance corporations **PAYED FOR BY**
14 **the American taxpayers and the in forma paupers LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S** continuing expenses!

15 **IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND UNITED STATES TAXPAYERS SUFFER THE COSTS CAUSED BY STATE**
16 **AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WHO ISSUED MANDATED CLOSURES THAT ENDED UP BEING A MISTAKE**
17 **AND CAUSED DAMAGES. WHAT PRAY TELL WOULD STOP ANY TERRORIST VILLIAN'S FROM SPREADING**
18 **SUCH HEINOUS RUMORS AND STOP THIS TREACHORY FROM EVER HAPPENING AGAIN?**

19 **SHOULDN'T CONTRACTED INSURANCE CORPORATIONS COVER THOSE MADE MISTAKES BY WAY OF**
20 **THEIR OWN CONTRACTS WITH THEIR OWN STATE GOVERNMENTS?**

21 **THE TAXPAYERS COMPLAINED IN THE MASSES.**

22 **FILING COMPLAINTS UPON STATE LEADERS BY CLAIMING PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF AND**
23 **EVEN SOUGHT HEALTH CARE FACILITIES NATIONWIDE DURING AND FOLLOWING THE COVID PUBLIC**

24 **NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND**
25 **STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)**
26 **TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 3**

1 HEALTH CRISIS WHILE TAXPAYERS ALREADY PAY FOR THEIR OWN MANDATED HEALTH INSURANCE
2 COVERAGE.

3 LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS PREJUDICED BY A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE FOR UTILIZING LANCE DELON
4 ALVARADO'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH WITHOUT A FAIR ARGUMENT BEFORE THE COURT!

5 WHY? MERELY FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF HAVING THE CO PLAINTIFF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
6 ENTER THE DEBATE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IS INTERESTED IN
7 RECOUPING PUA FUNDING THAT WAS ALLOTTED FOLLOWING THE COVID PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS AND
8 THE BREECH OF CONTRACT WITH CALIFORNIA AS REFLECTED WITHIN THE CO PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON
9 ALVARADO'S COMPLAINT FOLLOWED BY AN OBJECTION.
10

11 THAT THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE MIS INTERPRETED THE OBJECTION LANCE DELON ALVARADO
12 FILED
13

14 FINDING THE AMOUNTS STATED AS FRIVOLOUS DUE TO THE MIS INTREPRETATION AND THEN DISMISSED
15 THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE.

16 ARGUMENT:

17 BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT ARE BURDENED WITH THE TASK OF MAKING KEY DECISIONS DURING
18 DISASTERS CRISIS.

19 DISASTERS THAT INADVERTANLY CAUSE DAMAGES WHILE IN PERFORMANCE OF OFFICIAL DUTIES.

20 NOW CALIFORNIA EDD AND THE CUIAB HAS SEIZED THE PLAINTIFF'S PUA ASSISTANCE AND REFUSES TO
21 RELEASE THE FEDERAL ALLOTMENT OF PUA FUNDS TO THE PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO!

22 A MASTER ONLY STATING AN AMOUNT ABOVE THE MINIMUM \$75,000 THAT IS A REQUIRED AMOUNT
23 TO FILE A CLAIM IN THE US DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT A HEARING NOR ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE
24 COMPLAINT FILED IS REGARDING THE COVID PUA UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE. A FEDERALLY FUNDED
25 PROGRAM.
26

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 4

1 THE RECOMMENDATION WAS WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WAS OBJECTED DUE TO THE PLAINTIFF'S
2 ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FILED WAS IN COMPLIANCE WITH CFR STATUTES LISTED BELOW AND AS SUCH
3 THE MINIMUM AMOUNT FOR A RECOVERED CLAIM ON AN INSURANCE POLICY OR CLAIM.

4 IN ARGUMENT THE CO PLAINTIFF WHO CAN SPEAK AND WRITE FREELY REGARDING HIS OPINIONS
5 AND/OR POSSIBLE PLANS REGARDING SETTLEMENT MONIES MUST HAVE OFFENDED OR
6 UNINTENTIONALLY SET BACK A DE NOVO PANEL AND DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WITH THE ENTIRE SCOPE
7 OF THE PLAINTIFF'S ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF OF THE MAGNITUDE OF JUST HOW MUCH
8 MONEY COULD BE AT STAKE

9 THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE FOLLOWED WITH A DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE.
10 A PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD COMPLAINT AGAINST THE CULPABLE
11 DEFENDANT(S) THAT THE UNITED STATES PROMICED TO PAY THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF FOR THE
12 PANDEMIC .
13

14 THE APPELLANT(S) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE ABOVE MENTIONED WAS MERELY DISMISSED
15 OUT OF MIS INTERPRETED INTENTION (ELITE AND UPPER CLASS v LOWER CLASS proper person who was just discriminated
16 against with dismissal) BETWEEN ALL PARTIES INVOLVED WITHIN THE US DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT FILED
17 DECEMBER 29TH 2023.
18

19 DUE TO LACK OF COMMUNICATION AND MEDIATION ATTEMPTS BEING MADE BY THE CO PLAINTIFF
20 WHO ALWAYS WAS AND IS ABROGATED FROM ANY LITIGATION OR MEDIATION DISCUSSIONS OF A **DE**
21 **NOVO PANEL** THAT INADVERTENTLY AND UNINTENTIONALLY **MIS INTERPRETED INTENT** AND NOW
22 UTILIZING A **DISTRICT COURT JUDGE IN PERFORMANCE** OF THEIR DUTY HAS DISMISSED THE
23 APPELLANT'S **valid with merit and strong foundation** DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT **WITH PREJUDICE**
24 DATED 11TH DAY OF MARCH 2024.
25

26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 5

1 THE PLAINTIFF'S PUA UNEMPLOYMENT CLAIM CAME CRASHING INTO NUMEROUS CULPABLE
2 DEFENDANT(S) ONE NAMED CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD AND WAS THE
3 ONLY NAMED DEFENDANT WITHIN THE ORDER DISMISSING THE ABOVE MENTIONED COMPLAINT AND
4 IS NOW BEING PREJUDICE DUE TO A **MIS INTERPRETED OBJECTION.**

5
6 MULTIPLE DEFENDANT(S) ARE CULPABLE BUT NOT LIABLE TO THE PROPER PERSON LITIGANT NOW
7 APPELLANT NAMED LANCE DELON ALVARAD AND MANY MORE ARE LIABLE TO THE UNITED STATES OF
8 AMERICA FOR THE PUA FUNDING AMERICANS ALREADY HAVE INSURANCE FOR!

9 NOR SHOULD THE CUIAB BE HELD TO ACCOUNT FOR ALL OF THE APPELLANT'S LOSSES.

10 NOR DID THE APPELLANT MAKE HIS INTENTIONS CLEAR ENOUGH FOR THE COURT WITHIN THE
11 COMPLAINT FILED DECEMBER 29TH 2023 INCLUDING THE APPELLANT'S OBJECTION TO THE MASTERS

12 RECOMMENDATION WHEREBY A **SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATION** OF THE COST TO SETTLE THE PUA

13 APPLICATION FILED WITHIN THE EDD IN CALIFORNIA WHERE THE APPELLANT WAS FOUND **ELIGIBLE BY**

14 **THE CUIAB FOR PUA BENEFITS PAYABLE** IS ONLY ONE OF MANY ISSUES TO SETTLE THIS PUA **MATTER**

15 **IN REM** FOR LANCE DELON ALVARADO WHILE THE UNITED STATES CONTINUES ARGUING WHETHER OR

16 NOT THE TAX PAYERS OR INSURANCE CORPORATIONS SHOULD PAY FOR FINANCIAL LOSSES TO OUR

17 GREAT COUNTRY THE USA. WHILE LANCE DELON ALVARADO CONTINUES TO BE HOMELESS!

18 WHEREBY THE **APPELLANT PRAYS FOR EXCUSED NEGLECT FOR THE MISCOMUNICATION.**

19 THE APPELLANT LANCE DELON ALVARADO ONLY **TRYING TO DO HIS BEST IN AN UNKNOWN FORUM**

20 **DID NOT INTEND TO OFFEND OR MIS LEAD ANY OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED AND HAS NEVER TAKEN**

21 **LEGAL ACTION AGAINST ANY ENTITY IN THE PAST.** THE CO PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S PUA

22 COMPLAINT FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

23
24
25
26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 6

1 INCLUDING THE OBJECTION TO THE MASTERS RECOMENDATION THAT LED TO THE ABOVE MENTIONED
2 ORDER TO DISMISS. FOR THAT I AM SORRY. PLEASE FORGIVE ME

3 CULPABLE DEFENDANT(S) ARE MENTIONED AND ARE FOR THE MOST PART "**FOR PROFIT INSURANCE**
4 **CORPORATIONS AND PRIVATE ENTITIES**" THAT ARE CONTRACTED TO COVER STATEWIDE LOSSES
5 HAVING JOINT CONTRACTS SIGNED BY INSURANCE CORPORATIONS AND STATE GOVERNMENT
6 OFFICIALS.

7
8 WITH THAT SAID STATE GOVENORS INADVERTANTLY CAUSED DAMAGES TO THE PUBLIC WHILE IN
9 PERFORMANCE OF THEIR DUTIES.

10 THE PANDEMIC KNOWN AS COVID.

11 A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS WHERE STATE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WORKING WITHIN THE EXECUTIVE,
12 LEGISLATURE AND JUDICIAL BRANCHES OF THEIR OWN STATE GOVERNMENTS ENFORCED MANDATES
13 THESE DECISIONS LED TO MAJOR SHUT DOWNS TO ALL INDUSTRIES NATIONWIDE EXCEPT THE
14 INSURANCE INDUSTRY WHO MADE OBSENE PROFITS **BY NOT HAVING TO PAY** FOR ANY HEALTH CARE
15 COVERAGES NOR WERE THEY HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE INDIVIDUAL STATE COMPLAINTS OR
16 UNEMPLOYMENT CLAIMS AS A RESULT OF THE DAMAGES CAUSED BY THEIR OWN STATE
17 GOVERNMENTS ACTING IN PERFORMANCE ACCORDINGLY YET OBVIOUSLY CAUSED DAMAGES.

18
19 **DAMAGES INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO** CORPORATE INDUSTRY LOSSES DUE TO SHUTDOWNS,
20 EMPLOYEES WAGES, LAYED OFF WORKERS WHO, FOLLOWING COVID WERE UNABLE TO AFFORD TO PAY
21 RENT OR FIND SUITABLE WORK TO PUT FOOD ON THEIR TABLES FOR THEIR FAMILIES, OR PAY
22 MORTGAGES INCLUDING THEIR HOME OWNERS INSURANCE POLICIES, RENT, HEALTH CARE COSTS,
23 SMALL BUISNESS CLOSURES LIFE INSURANCE POLICY PREMIUMS TGAT WERE VOIDED DUE TO
24 PREMIUMS NOT PAID! THE DAMAGES ARE EXTENSIVE!

25
26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 7

1 INDUSTRY SMALL BUISNESSES AND LARGE CORPORATIONS IS WHAT RUNS AMERICA! THE UNITED
2 STATES GIVERNMENT IS SWORN TO PROTECT AGAINST FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC ENEMIES OF AMERICA!
3 NOT TO PROTECT ONLY FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS PROFITS OR HAVE ONLY ATTORNIES
4 FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPIRATIONS ARGUE BEFORE THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT!

5 **STATE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS** INADVERTANLY FORGOT ALL AMERICANS ARE MANDATED TO HAVE
6 HEALTH INSURANCE. WHY DOES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TAXPAYERS HAVE TO EAT THE COSTS
7 TWICE TO PAY FOR EACH STATE CITIZENS HOSPITALIZATIONS AND EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS WHEN
8 AMERICANS HAVE HEALTH BENEFITS THROUGH THEIR **EMPLOYERS BENEFIT PACKAGES EMPLOYERS**
9 **WHO PAY FOR UNEMPLOYMENT ACCOUNTS INTO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT**
10 **SYSTEM** FOR PROTECTION! BY WAY OF INSURANCE!

11 THAT ALL CITIZENS WERE NOTIFIED BY THEIR STATE GOVERNMENTS TO STAY AT HOME AND NOT TO GO
12 TO WORK DUE TO PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS. STATE GOVERNMENTS INADVERTANLY CAUSE
13 ACCIDENTS AND INJURIES HAPPEN.

14 **MOST OF WHICH ARE CAUSED BY NEGLIGENCE ON THE JOB.**

15 DAMAGES CAN OCCUR DUE TO NEGLIGENCE ESPECIALLY DURING TIMES OF EMERGENCY.

16 NEVADA HAS A CORPORATE FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATION NAMED LIBERTY MUTUAL TO
17 COVER SUCH STATEWIDE LOSSES. WHAT FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATION COVERS CALIFORNIA
18 UNDER CONTRACT?

19 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS HAVE IMMUNITY FROM CIVIL LIABILITY FOR SUCH LOSSES AS WELL! THROUGH
20 THEIR OWN CONTRACTED INSURANCE!

21 CONTRACTED INSURANCE CORORATIONS WHOM SHOULD PAY THE MINIMUM AMOUNT FOR EACH
22 AND EVERY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPLAINT OR CLAIM AS WELL AS THE MANY SMALL BUISNESS LOSSES
23 INCLUDING SMALL BUISNESS'S THAT WENT UNDER!

24 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
25 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
26 TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 8

1 **ISNT THAT WHAT INSURANCE IS FOR?**

2 ARE THE TAX PAYING CITIZENS NOW BEING FORCED DOUBLE TAXATION WITH ONLY ONE PROPER
3 PERSON REPRESENTATION NAMED MR LANCE DELON ALVARADO WHO IS MAKING A STAND FOR THE
4 UNITED STATES "IN FORMA PAUPER TAXPAYERS OF AMERICA"!

5 **ARE PREMIUMS FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS DISGUISED AND MEANT TO MISLEAD? ARE**

6 **PREMIUMS ACTUALLY ONLY ANOTHER TAX UPON THE CITIZENS OF EVERY STATE IN THE UNION? OR**

7 **SHOULD THE DEFINITION OF INSURANCE PREMIUMS BE REDEFINED TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE**

8 **TWO? OR SHOULD THE PROPER PERSON APPELLANT GIVE THE DE NOVO PANELS AND DISTRICT COURT**

9 **JUDGE LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S OPINIONATED PROPOSED DEFINITION REVISION? *Insurance premium***

10 ***defined: (a) ONE OF MANY "CLEVER ELITE TACTICS" USED DURING THE NEVERENDING SOCIAL***

11 ***BATTLES IN SOCIAL WARFARE AND DEPLOYED BY THE ELITE CLASS OF SOCIETY.(b) Nothing more but a***

12 ***hidden for profit corporate tax on paper and meant to deceive the middle and lower tax paying***

13 ***classes signed into law by the upper class tax payers of society who were befriended into thinking***

14 ***"what if" and who continue to be defrauded for the sole purpose of protection in any way shape or***

15 ***form of any chance of the changing of hands or the redistribution of the elite class of societies and***

16 ***corporate shareholder wealth (hint within the proper person complaint filed the 29th day of December 2023)***

17 **SHOULD NOT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS PUT THEIR MONEY WHERE THEIR MOUTHS ARE AND PAY**

18 **FOR THE DAMAGES UNDER CONTRACTS WITH THEIR OWN STATES!**

19 **SHOULD THE RECOUPMENT OF THE PUA ASSISTANCE THAT THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PAID IN**

20 **RESPONSE TO THE PANDEMIC CONTINUE TO BE SWALLOWED BY THE TAX PAYERS OF AMERICA?**

21 **FOR THE MISTAKES MADE BY OUR FEARLESS LEADERS WHO HAVE THE RELENTLESS TASKS OF**

22 **GOVERNING?**

23 **NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND**
24 **STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)**
25 **TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 9**

1 SIMPLE SOLUTIONS AND WAYS TO COMBAT MASSIVE FINANCIAL COSTS AND TO LIMIT THOSE DAMAGES
2 FOR THE UNITED STATES TAXPAYING CITIZENS ABROAD AFTER THE RESULTS OF THE PANDEMIC AND
3 PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS ARE TO LEVY FINES AGAINST THE DAMAGES CAUSED.

4 FINES THAT TRICKLE DOWN TO THE FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS WHO CONTRACTS WITH
5 STATES TO PROTECT FROM STATE LOSSES FROM NEGLIGENCE THAT CAUSE DAMAGES!

6 THE TRUE MATTER IN RESTATEMENT III AS REFLECTED WITHIN THE PROPER PERSON COMPLAINT FILED
7 DECEMBER 29TH 2023 NOW COMING BEFORE THE UNITED STATES IS DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS.

8 THE APPELLANT WHO IS STILL IN FORMA PAUPEROUS STATUS DUE TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN AS
9 WELL AS HAVING FEES WAVED IS CONFUSED AS TO WHAT IS BEING DISCUSSED DURING THESE
10 ALLEDGED DE NOVO HEARING(S) HELD WITHOUT GIVING ANY NOTICE OF ANY SAID HEARING TO BE
11 HELD REGARDING THE PROPER PERSON COMPLAINT FILED DECEMBER 29 2023?
12

13 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO ASKS WHY A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14 PREJUDICES AGAINST LANCE DELON ALVARADO FOR PRESENTING FACT BASED EVIDENCE WHILE A US
15 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND A DE NOVO PANEL PRESUME ON ASSUMPTION BASED OPINION WITHIN
16 THE OBJECTION OF THE MASTERS RECOMMENDATION?
17

18 WHAT DISCUSSION?

19 THAT THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF ISNT INTELLIGENT ENOUGH TO HAVE AN OPINION THAT SHOULD
20 BE HEARD ON THE TRUE SUBJECT MATTER OF THESE DISCUSSIONS WITHIN A LEGAL SYSTEM WHOM IS
21 CLEARLY NOT FOCUSED ON THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND THE COSTS
22 ASSOCIATED WITH A UNITED STATES CONTRACT THAT WAS BREECHED BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
23 OF AMERICA AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA?
24

25
26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 10

1 A STATE THAT IN FACT CONTRACTED TO DISPURSE PUA FUNDS TO THOSE WHO WERE DIRECTLY
2 AFFECTED BY THE PANDEMIC AND WHO LOST THEIR JOBS DUE TO PANDEMIC RELATED EVENTS AND
3 WHO DOES OR DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR REGULAR UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE?
4 OR IS THE APPELLANT WRONG?
5
6 WRONG FOR BRINGING PART OF HIS ARGUMENT REGARDING COSTS THAT THE APPELLANT BELIEVES
7 SHOULD BE BEING DISCUSSRD WITHIN THE COMPLAINT FILED IN A US DISTRICT COURT THEN
8 FOLLOWED BY AN OBJECTION ON PAPER AND ON RECORD?
9 WHEREBY THE CO PLAINTIFF HAVING NO PRIOR NOTICE OF ANY HEARING(S) THAT WERE HELD, DID OF
10 COURSE NOT ATTEND SAID HEARING(S) TO PRESENT EVIDENCE IN FAVOR FOR THE CO PLAINTIFF THE
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
12
13 IN ADDITION TO AND INCLUDING EVIDENCE BY WAY OF THE APPELLANT(S) RECORD OF HOW BIG
14 CORPORATE INSURANCE CAN CONSPIRE AGAINST JUST ONE CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES WHO
15 HAPPENS TO BE LANCE DELON ALVARADO.
16
17 INSURANCE CORPORATIONS CONTINUE HOLDING STATE COURTS IN NEVADA AND IN EACH STATE OF
18 THE UNION HOSTAGE SOLELY OUT OF LIABILITY CONCERNS.
19 WHERE LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS CONSIDERED THAT LIABILITY!
20
21 WHILE STILL TRYING TO COVER UP THE "INSURANCE FRAUD" THE STATE COURTS OF NEVADA CONTINUE
22 TO TRY AND HIDE THEN HUNT THE SOON TO BE APPELLANT WHO IS CURRENTLY FORCED INTO EXILE
23 WITHIN CALIFORNIA!
24
25 FRAUD COMMITTED BY AN INSURANCE AGENT WORKING FOR THE PROVIDER LIBERTY MUTUAL
26 INSURANCE THE STATE OF NEVADA'S CONTRACTED INSURANCE CARRIER AND THE APPELLANT'S EX
27 WIFE'S FORMER EMPLOYER! (please FOR MY FAMILY continue to render JACQUELINE DAWN EVANS financial and legal immunity
28 FOR OUR CHILDRENS SAKE thank you)

NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 11

1 ALL BECAUSE OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH!

2 QUOTED WITHIN EXHIB C ON RECORD STATING "NO THREAT OF VIOLENCE" IN AN APPLICATION FOR
3 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE! (Victims of domestic violence federal funding Allotments)

4 THEN A FICTITIOUS INSURANCE POLICY STARTED WITHOUT THE PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S
5 KNOWLEDGE OR CONSENT!

6
7 TPO EXTENDED OVER A YEAR LATER ALL STARTED BECAUSE OF "FREEDOM OF SPEECH!" (INSURANCE FRAUD
8 ALONGSIDE EXTENDED TPO OVER A YEAR AFTER THE FIRST TPO WAS FILED AND EXPIRED THEN REOPENED all because FREEDOM OF SPEECH
9 ABROGATION BY WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT COURT MASTER'S AND JUDGE'S OPINIONS BASED ON ASSUMPTION WHERE AS THE COMPLAINT
10 FILED DECEMBER 29TH 2023 IS FACT BASED WITH EVIDENCE THAT PROVES BEYOND ANY REASONABLE DOUBT THROUGH ALL EXHIBITS TRUTH
11 OF THE MATTER IN COMPLAINT REMEDIES?? **PLEASE SEND A LETTER WITH AN OFFER OF A FAIR SETTLEMENT FOR EARLY RESOLUTION
PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE!)**

12 A FREEDOM LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT CONTINUES TO BE VIOLATED! AND
13 INFRINGED UPON THROUGH AN ORDER DISMISSING A US DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT WITH
14 PREJUDICE BECAUSE OF A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WHO IS TRYING TO SILENCE THE PROPER PERSON
15 PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO AND THE US DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT IN FAVOR FOR
16 INSURANCE! AND STILL REFUSES TO PAY THE PUA BARE MINIMUM AMOUNT?

17
18 FORGETTING THE COSTS THAT THE PANDEMIC PUA UNEMPLOYMENT LOST THE UNITED STATES
19 TAXPAYING CITIZENS BILLIONS OF UNITED STATES TAXPAYER MONEY WHEN UNITED STATES TAXPAYERS
20 ALREADY HAVE INSURANCE AND IMMUNITY COVERED BY INSURANCE!

21 LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS FOCUSED ON RECOUPING TAXPAYER MONEY AND TRYING TO BECOME
22 FINANCIALLY SECURE FOR LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S SAKE!

23 THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE IS FOCUSED ON SAVING INSURANCE CORPORATION PROFITS!

24 LANCE DELON ALVARADO WHO IS FOCUSED ON ISSUES LIKE FREEDOM OF SPEECH, TAXPAYERS,
25 PANDEMIC RELIEF AND RECOUPMENT, OUR GREAT COUNTRY THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, OUR
26

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 12

1 BRAVE MILITARY IN THIS DANGEROUS WORLD FOLLOWING 9/11, VETERANS OF FOREIGN AND
2 DOMESTIC SOCIAL WAR, STATE GOVERNMENT, LOCAL GOVERNMENT, NEIGHBORS, FRIENDS AND MOST
3 IMPORTANTLY FAMILY! ALL WHILE CONTINUING HIS LEGAL EDUCATION ON A SAMSUNG CELL PHONE
4 AND RENDERING CONSTANT CARE FOR LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S DISABLED MOTHER WHO NEEDS
5 CONSTANT CARE!
6

7 DOES THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ONLY GRANT US DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AND DE NOVO
8 PANELS FREEDOM OF SPEECH?

9 LANCE DELON ALVARADO SERIOUSLY DOUBTS FREEDOM OF SPEECH ACTUALLY EVEN EXISTS
10 FOLLOWING A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WHO DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE OVER A COMPLAINT
11 REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE DUE TO SPEECH WRITTEN EVEN WITHIN
12 THE PROPER PERSONS COMPLAINT HAVING THE STRONGEST FOUNDATION AND HOLDS MERIT ON
13 THE TRUE SUBJECT MATTER IN DEBATE! THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE INCLUDING THE
14 REASONS SET FORTH WHY THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS UNABLE TO
15 FIND SUITABLE WORK! FOLLOWED BY AN OBJECTION TO A MASTERS RECOMMENDATION ALL BECAUSE
16 THE PLAINTIFF STATED THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF PUA THE PLAINTIFF WAS ALLOTTED WHICH
17 AMMOUNTRD TO LESS THAN THE MINIMUM AMOUNT FOR A US DISTRICT COURT CASE TO BE FILED.
18 NEGLECTING THE OTHER CONSIDERATIONS ON THE COMPLAINT ITSELF! OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
19 BEING THE BILLIONS OF US TAXPAYER MONIES ALLOTTED FOR PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE
20 LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S IN ABILITY TO FIND SUITABLE WORK IS BECAUSE BACKGROUND CHECKS ARE
21 PERFORMED REFLECTING A RECORD THAT HISTORY PROVES FEDERAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FUNDING
22 AND INSURANCE FRAUD WAS IN FACT COMMITTED AGAINST LANCE DELON ALVARADO AND DONE
23 WITH WILLFUL DISREGARD TO THE SAFETY AND WELL BEING OF LANCE DELON ALVARADO
24 DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER MALUM UN SE AND CONTINUES TO BE DISREGARDED!
25 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
26 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
27 TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 13
28

1 AN INSURANCE CORPORATION THAT NOT ONLY COMMITTED FRAUD NOW CONTINUES TO BE STALKING
2 THE APPELLANT'S ABILITY TO VOICE ANY ARGUMENT IN ANY DISCUSSION ON ANY SUBJECT ENTIRELY!
3 INCLUDING A COMPLAINT FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WHEN THE SUBJECT MATTER
4 IS PUA UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE!

5
6 EVEN NOW IN THE PLAINTIFF'S OWN COMPLAINT EVEN WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AS A CO
7 PLAINTIFF!

8 THE SUBJECT IN THIS MATTER IS PUA UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE. IS IT NOT?

9 THE UNEMPLOYMENT CAUSED BY THE PANDEMIC PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN DISCUSSING KEY POINTS
10 AND AUTHORITIES WITH LIKE MINDED LEGAL PEERS WITH AN INCOME THAT IS FAIRLY BASED ON
11 TODAY'S ECONOMIC PRICE ADJUSTMENT IN ADDITION TO THE RISE OF THE COSTS OF LIVING AND
12 INFLATION!

13
14 THAT THE APPELLANT LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS ASKING IN ADDITION TO THE PUA FUNDING
15 PROMISED AND AS SUCH ASKS FOR AN AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES AS WELL AS THE MINIMUM
16 PUA RELIEF PROMISED TO HIS PERSON AND FOR THAT FAIR OFFER TO BE SENT FOR HIS APPROVAL
17 BEFORE ACCEPTANCE!

18 ASKING THE QUESTION WHO SHOULD BE CULPABLE FOR NOT ONLY LANCE DELON ALVARADO BUT TO
19 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND WHERE THESE COSTS CAN BE RECOUPED?

20
21 WHERE ARE THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S ATTORNEY GENERALS? TO TAKE THE LEAD IN A LEGAL
22 STANCE FOR EACH OF THEIR OWN STATE'S CIVILIAN POPULATIONS IN THIS ARGUMENT?

23 CIVILIANS WHO WERE FORCED TO STAY AT HOME AND THEN PROCEEDED TO SUBMIT UNEMPLOYMENT
24 COMPLAINTS ACCORDINGLY

25 THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT OFFERED UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE COMPENSATION AFTER
26 GOVERNORS DECLARED A STATE OF EMERGENCY. AN EMERGENCY THAT TURNED OUT TO BE A FALSE
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 14

1 CLAIM WHILE IN PERFORMANCE OF STATE GOVERNMENT DUTY! IN PERFORMANCE ACCIDENT THAT
2 CAUSED DAMAGES! IMUNITY FOR IN PERFORMANCE CRITICAL THINKING NEGLIGENCE BY TAKING MORE
3 TAX PAYER DOLLARS INSTEAD OF THE MINIMUM AMOUNTS PER COMPLAINT FILED IN UNEMPLOYMENT
4 CLAIMS LEVIED AGAINST FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS UNDER CONTRACT!

5 CIVILIANS NEED TO BE ABLE TO WORK AND FEED THEIR FAMILIES?

6
7 MUST A COURT MARSHAL ENSUE OR SHOULD ALL ATTORNEY GENERALS OF EACH STATE OF THE UNITED
8 STATES OF AMERICA SUBMIT RESIGNATIONS FOR MISTAKES MADE WHILE IN PERFORMANCE OF THEIR
9 DUTIES BY NOT SUING THE STATE INSURANCE CORPORATIONS FOR ALL THE UNEMPLOYMENT
10 COMPLAINTS AND/OR CLAIMS AFTER THE FACT!

11 ARE STATES NOT COVERED BY INSURANCE FOR ACTS THAT STATE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS DO IN
12 PERFORMANCE THAT CAUSE DAMAGES TO INNOCENT CITIZENS OR PERSONS ON ACCIDENT? OR ON
13 THE PANDEMIC CRISIS CAUSED DAMAGES BY AFFECTING THIS COUNTRIES ENTIRE POPULATION AND
14 THEIR ABILITIES TO FEED FAMILIES OR PAY FOR MANDATED HEALTH COVERAGE? A DEAL IS A DEAL ISNT
15 IT? CONTRACTS SEAL THE DEAL DONT THEY?

16
17 IS IT ONLY OBVIOUS TO ONLY LANCE DELON ALVARADO THAT AMERICANS ARE COVERED BY HEALTH
18 PLANS FOR HEALTH CONCERNS? AND OUR LEADERS ARE COVERED BY WAY OF IMUNITY FROM CIVIL
19 LIABILITY THROUGH INSURANCE WHEN DAMAGES ARE ASSESSED

20
21 IS OR IS NOT LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S FULL ASSESSMENT OF THE SITUATION IN ARGUMENT
22 PLAUSABLE?

23 STATE INSURANCE COVERS DAMAGES MADE BY THOSE STATE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES WHO CAUSED
24 DAMAGES IN PERFORMANCE OF THEIR POSITIONS!

25 IS THE DE NOVO PANEL SET BACK THAT THEY MAY HAVE MIS INTERPRETED THE PROPER PERSON
26 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT ENTIRELY?

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 15

1 ISNT THE COMPLAINT REGARDING PUA AND UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE!
2 THAT THE ABOVE MENTIONED COMPLAINT HAS GONE FROM A SIMPLE MEDIATION FOR EARLY
3 SETTLEMENT AND NOW IS FOR MISTAKES MADE BY ALL INVOLVED INCLUDING LANCE DELON
4 ALVARADO WHO MERELY OFFERS A HAND WITH A VALID LEGAL COMPLAINT AND ARGUMENT STILL
5 OVER FREEDOM OF SPEECH! WHERE HISTORY RECORDED THE INFRINGEMENT YEARS AGO AND IS STILL
6 BEING USED AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF EVEN REGARDING THE SUBJECT MATTER! WOW THE PROPER
7 PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO US APPAULED AND QUESTIONS IF LANCE DELON
8 ALVARADO RESIDES IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AT ALL?
9
10 DOES THE COURT WISH LANCE DELON ALVARADO TO PRESENT AN ENTIRE LECTURE REGARDING THE
11 SCOPE OF ECONOMICS WITHIN THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE WITHIN THE SUBJECT
12 MATTER BEING ARGUED? OR THE HISTORY OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
13 AND THE FOUNDING FATHERS OF OUR GREAT NATION? OR CUNDUCT AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE
14 SADITIOUS CRIMINAL ACTS OF OUR FOUNDING FATHERS AGAINST THE KING OF ENGLAND OR JUST
15 BACKGROUND CHECKS AND RECON?
16
17 OR IS LANCE DELON ALVARADO TO BE SILENCED FOR SIMPLY LOVING HIS COUNTRY IN A TIME OF
18 SOCIAL WARFARE THAT DEALS IN THE UNITED STATES ECONOMY ESPECIALLY REGARDING THE PUA
19 FEDERAL ALLOTMENTS PAYED BY TAXPAYERS?
20
21 BECAUSE OF PREJUDICE REGARDING LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S INTELLIGENCE IN FINANCIAL AFFAIRS
22 DOES THE DE NIVO PANEL SENTENCE LANCE DELON ALVARADO TO BE HANGED BY THE NECK UNTIL HE
23 IS DEAD? IN RESPONSE LANCE DELON ALVARADO WOULD MY REPLY FOR MY COUNTRY I WILL DO AS MY
24 COUNTRY WISHES ME TO DO! FOLLOWED BY I AM GLAD IM IN CALUFORNIA WHERE CAPITOL
25 PUNISHMENT IS BANNED!!!
26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 16

1 THAT THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND DE NOVO PANELS ARE DISCRIMINATING HIS PERSON AS
2 WELL AS DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE BECAUSE OF THE PLAINTIFF'S VALID LEGAL COMPLAINT WITH
3 MERIT AND BEYOND CONTESTATION ON MONIES PROMICED AND SEIZED THEN BECAUSE OF AN
4 OPINION ON THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF ECONOMICS INVOLVED IN THE DEBATE WHERE GOVERNMENT AND
5 US DISTRICT COURT OFFICIAL JUDGES AND DE NOVO PANELS WHO DILIGENTLY PERFORM THEIR DUTIES
6 DURING TIMES OF CRISIS TO THE BEST OF THEIR ABILITIES AND HAVE IMMUNITY FOR MISTAKES MADE
7 WHILE IN PERFORMANCE.

8
9 WHILE LANCE DELON ALVARADO CLAIMED THE MINIMUM COMPENSATION AT THE START OF THE
10 COMPLAINT NOW BEING APPEALED. BECAUSE TOO MUCH MONEY IS BROUGHT TO THE PUA COVID
11 PUBLIC HEALTH INSURANCE ARGUMENT? THAT LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS TRYING HIS BEST TO HELP
12 THE UNITED STATES RECOUPE STOLEN TAXPAYER FUNDING! STOLEN BY INSURANCE CORPORATE
13 PRIVATEERS! NOW SENTANCED YO BE HANGED BY THE NECK UNTIL PROVEN DEAD!

14
15 YEAH MAKES NO SENCE TO LANCE DELON ALVARADO EITHER?

16 THE MAJOR MISTAKE WAS PUTTING EVERY AMERICAN CITIZEN ABOVE THE AGE OF MAJORITY OUT OF
17 WORK! MINUS FIRST RESPONDING UNITS.

18 MISTAKES DUE TO THE PANDEMIC CLOSURES AND THE COSTS ASSOCIATED THAT THE UNITED STATES
19 TAXPAYERS PAID FOR WHEN FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS ALREADY CONTRACTED TO
20 COVER THE LOSSES OF EACH STATE! AND PUBLIC HEALTH CARE!

21 INADVERTENT MISTAKES? OR THE ABILITY TO FREELY MAKE ON THE SPOT DECISIONS WITHOUT FEER
22 OF BEING SUED WITH A POWER CALLED IMMUNITY **ABSALUTE POWER CORRUPTS ABSALUTLY!!!**

23 COVERED AT THE LOWEST SET RATE LOCATED WITHIN THE FEDERAL CODE OF REGULARIONS. OR DOES
24 IT COST TO MUCH TO HANG A MAN THESE DAYS???

25
26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 17

1 BUT NO MONEY FOR NOT EVEN WHEN PROMICED TO LANCE DELON ALVARADO BECAUSE LANCE
2 DELON ALVARADO WAS A "VICTIM OF INSURANCE FRAUD AND TRIED TO TALK ABOUT IT!
3 EVEN GOING SO FAR AS TO HELP THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FROM FALLING VICTIM
4 TO FUTURE INSURANCE FRAUD AS WELL!

6 THIS MATTER AND ARGUMENT HAPPENS TO BE REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT
7 ASSISTANCE AND TO PREVENT POSSIBLE FUTURE STATE OR **NATION WIDE FINANCIAL** DISASTERS
8 DURING THE SOCIAL CLASS WARFARE DEALING IN ECONOMIC CLASHES BETWEEN THE ELITE, UPPER,
9 MIDDLE AND LOWER CLASSES OF SOCIETY ITSELF! AND TO PREVENT CIVIL WAR FROM OCCURING IS IT
10 NOT?

11 THE APPELLANT(S) PRAYING THAT GOD FORBIT IT EVER HAPPENS AGAIN!

13 HAVING IMMUNITY IS NECESSARY AND THE PLAINTIFF(S) DO NOT CONTEST THAT IMMUNITY ONLY
14 THAT NEGLIGENCE UNFORTUNATLY OCCURS. STATES HAVE INSURANCE TO COVER LOSSES. THE UNITED
15 STATES OF AMERICA HAS TAXPAYERS AND SOLDIERS AROUND THE WORLD TO PROTECT AND INSURE
16 THE SAFTY OF MILITARY PERSONEL AND A DUTY TO LOWER THE NATIONAL DEFICIT AND RECOUPE THE
17 COSTS ASSOCIATED AND TO PROTECT THE UNITED STATES TAXPAYER!

19 PANDEMIC FUNDING PAID BY THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO EACH AND EVERY STATE. NOT TO
20 HAVE A JUDGE NOW PREJUDICE AN APPELLANT DUE TO AN OPINION OF THE PROPER PERSON
21 PLAINTIFF'S MIS INTERPRETED INTENT WHILE INFORMING THE COURT OF POSSIBLE WAYS TO PREVENT
22 OR TO RECOVER COSTS ASSOCIATED AT THE LOWEST RATE OR COSTS ASSOCIATED FOR THE FUTURE BY
23 LEARNING FROM MIS MANAGEMENT AND ABSALUTISM!

25 HOW POSSIBLE ACTIONS (legal war) IN LAW SHOULD BE WAGED AND HOW THESE COSTS COULD HAVE
26 BEEN LEVIED TO FUND CALIFORNIA'S UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD CORPORATION A

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 18

1 SINGLE KNOWN DEFENDANT WHO WAS THE ONLY BOARD TO RESTATE THE PROPER PERSON

2 PLAINTIFF'S UNEMPLOYMENT CLAIM PROPERLY.

3 WHO THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO WHO IS SOON TO BE AN APPELLANT

4 REALLY DOESN'T HOLD LIABLE FOR LOSSES EXCEEDING THE PROMISED RATE THE PUA PROGRAM

5 OFFERED. BUT DOES NOW!

6 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO WAS MERELY BEING HONEST ABOUT HIS

7 LOCATION AT THE TIME THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF BECAME UNEMPLOYED DUE TO THE PANDEMIC

8 WHILE APPLYING FOR PUA FUNDING.

9 THE APPELLANT CONTINUES TO ASK WHY ARE US TAXPAYING CITIZENS STILL BEING HELD LIABLE FOR

10 MISTAKES THAT THEIR CIVIL SERVANT LEADERS MADE AT THE COST OF THE TAXPAYER WHEN FOR

11 PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS CONTRACTED WITH STATE HELD CONTRACTS SHOULD HAVE

12 COVERED EVERY AMERICAN CITIZEN FOR COMPLAINING TO GOVERNORS OF EVERY STATE WHO WERE

13 ONLY DOING WHAT THEY THOUGHT WAS BEST FOR THEIR OWN STATE.

14 ARE STATE GOVERNMENTS GOING TO JUST SIT BY AND DO NOTHING UNTIL AMERICANS GO OUT

15 RIOTING AND STORMING GOVERNMENT FACILITIES BECAUSE STATE GOVERNMENTS DON'T TAKE LEGAL

16 ACTION FOR CITIZENS OF THEIR OWN STATES ON THE STATES BEHALF OR ARE CIVILIANS ONLY

17 LIABILITIES?

18 WELL STATE GOVERNMENTS MUST HAVE FORGOTTEN WHO PAYS STATE SALARIES BECAUSE IT IS THE

19 TAXPAYERS!

20 THE US GOVERNMENT WAS FORCED TO TAKE ACTION

21 MAKE ON THE SPOT DECISIONS TO APPEASE THE CROWDS AND AVOID POSSIBLE SEDITION BY HAVING

22 TO COVER THE COSTS THAT STATE OFFICIALS WERE LIED TO BY CDC OFFICIALS?

23 MISTAKES HAVE BEEN MADE.

24 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
25 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
26 TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 19

1 WHO MAY HAVE TERRORIZED THE PUBLIC?

2 INSURANCE CORPORATIONS???

3 WHY CONTINUE TO HIRE STATE OFFICIALS AND CIVIL SERVANTS WHO DON'T REALLY THINK THINGS

4 THROUGH AND ARE BOUGHT BY SPECIAL INTEREST CORPORATIONS NAMED INSURANCE!

5 THINK BEFORE SHUTTING DOWN THE COUNTRY IN THE FUTURE!

6 BY WAY OF SIMPLE A SOLUTION TO THE TRUE MAJOR DOMESTIC THREATS THE UNITED STATES IS

7 SWORN TO PROTECT THE UNITED STATES CITIZENS FROM FOREIGN OR DOMESTIC THREATS.

8 THOSE THREATS THAT SPREAD FEAR BY STRETEGICALLY PLANNED WIDE SPREAD PANIC AND CARRIED

9 OUT THROUGH PROPOGANDA AND FOCUS THEIR ATTACK ON THE AMERICAN TAX PAYER!

10 TERRORISTS TARGETING UNITED STATES TREASURY AND FEDERAL RESERVE FIDICUARIES.

11 THAT THREAT TRULLY STILL EXISTS THROUGH INSURANCE CORPORATE PROFIT MAKING!

12 WHAT BETTER WAY TO DRAIN FEDERAL FUNDING BUT TO SPREAD FEAR BY RUMOR OF A PANDEMIC?

13 THEN WALK AWAY WITH ALL THE PROFIT!!

14 MISTAKES WERE MADE INDEED!

15 BY ALL STATE GOVERNMENTS INVOLVED AND A POSSIBLE SIMPLE SOLUTION TO REPLACE NOT ALL

16 PANDEMIC MONIES LOST BUT POTENTIALLY ENORMOUS ENOUGH TO SCARE COROPRATE INSURANCE

17 FROM POSSIBLY SPREADING ANOTHER PANDEMIC RUMOR!

18 TO SKATE AWAY WITH UNTHINKABLE PROFITS THE NEXT TIME!

19 WHO SEEM TO BE OVER POWERING THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND COURTS THROUGH OUT

20 THE UNITED STATES BY HOLDING STATE GOVERNMENTS HOSTAGE AND DEEMING THE CITIZENS OF THE

21 UNITED STATES LIABILITIES.?

22 COULD IT BE FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS??

23 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
24 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
25 TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 20

1 DOES THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S FEDERAL TREASURY NEED A BAIL OUT??

2 IN MY OPINION OUR MILITARY SHOULD NOT BE PAYING FOR THEIR OWN MEALS!

3 I MET MANY VVETERANSAT THE VA HOSPITALS THAT NEED GREAT CAREGIVERS BY THEIR SIDES

4 IT IS SAD WHEN PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITIES NEED TO GO TO COURT TO GET MONEY FROM INSURANCE

5 CORPORATIONS TO GET PAID AFTER PERFORMING EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR A CITIZEN WHO PAYS

6 FOR INSURANCE TO COVER THEIR HEALTH CARE COSTS AND STILL GETS BILL FROM THE HOSPITAL

7 BECAUSE THE PATIENT WAS TAKEN BY AN AMBULANCE TO THE WRONG HOSPITAL UNCONSCIOUS!

8 ESPECIALLY WHEN EVERY STATE IS ALREADY COVERED UNDER STATE INSURANCE FOR JUST IN CASE

9 COVERAGES!!

10 WHERE THE STATES ARE GUILTY OF IN PERFORMANCE DUTIES THAT DID DAMAGE ON THEIR CITIZENS!

11 INSURANCE SHOULD COVER! TIME FOR INSURANCE CORPORATIONS TO PUT THEIR MONEY WHERE

12 THEIR MOUTHS HAVE BEEN ALL ALONG AND PAY FOR THE LAW THEY CREATED!!

13 ON A DAILY BASIS FOR PROFIT INSURANCE CORPORATIONS TAKE FROM THE LOWEST LOWER MIDFLE

14 AND UPPER CLASS OF SOCIETY FEDERAL TAX PAYERS!

15 INSURANCE CORPORATIONS DON'T SEEM TO HAVE LOST ANYTHING INCLUDING MAJOR PROFITS AFTER

16 THE PANDEMIC!

17 INSURANCE CORPORATIONS COLLECT PREMIUMS LIKE TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION!

18 THE TRUE PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN! FINANCIAL TERRORISM AT ITS BEST!

19 THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY HAVING GROSSED BILLIONS OF US DOLLARS IN PROFITS AT THE EXPENSE OF

20 THE UNITED STATES TAXPAYERS STILL AND ARE STILL GETTING AWAY BY HIDING UNDER COLOR OF LAW

21 AND ARE STILL TO GREEDY TO EVEN PAY THE PLAINTIFF'S PUA BENEFITS PROMICED TO HIS PERSON

22 WITHIN THE US DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT FILED DECEMBER 29TH 2023.

23 GO FIGURE THAT PRICE OUT!!!

24 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
25 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)

26 TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 21

1 SIMPLE SOLUTIONS THAT POINT DIRECTLY TO THE WAY TO FREE THE COURTS FROM THE ELITE CLASS OF
2 SOCIETY AND STATE CONTRACTED CORPORATE INSURANCE SHACKLES BY FEDERAL LAW ALREADY ON
3 THE BOOKS!

4 BY HOLDING INSURANCE CORPORATIONS ACCOUNTABLE FOR REPLACING PANDEMIC ALLOTTED FUNDS
5 WHO CONTRACTED TO EACH OF THE 50 STATES AND TERRITORIES TO COVER THOSE MISTAKES AT THE
6 MINIMUM COST PER CLAIM!

7 THE APPELLANT(S) ARGUE THAT

8 FOLLOWING A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS FALLING UNDER AUTHORITY ~~title-5/chapter-I/subchapter-B/part-~~

9 **185 SHOULD GIVE THE ATTORNY GENERALS THE AMMUNITION TO SUE CORPORATE STATE**

10 **CONTRACTED INSURANCE CORPORATIONS AND THE ELITE CLASS OF SOCIETY FOR THE RECOUPMENT**
11 **OF LOSSES SUSTAINED BY THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR PANDEMIC RELIEF. ALL 50 STATES**

12 **AND TERRITORIES. STATE GOVERNMENT MISTAKES THAT CAUSED DAMAGES TO MILLIONS OF UNITED**
13 **STATES CITIZENS BY STATE GOVENORS ACTING IN PERFORMANCE OF THEIR DUTY AND CDC OFFICIALS**

14 **ACTING IN THEIR FULL CAPASITY AND HAVE IMMUNITY FROM BEING HELD LIABLE PERSONALLY AND**
15 **COVERED BY INSURANCE CONTRACTS TO REPAY DAMAGES AT THE LOWEST POSSIBLE FIXED RATE**

16 **UNDER FEDERAL LAW!!**

17 **DAMAGE COMPLAINTS FILED IN THE FORM OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ON RECORD BY**

18 **WAY OF APPLICATIONS FOR PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT CLAIMS ARE FILED WITHIN CALIFORNIA'S**

19 **EDD FACILITIES AND EVERY STATE IN THE UNIONS UNEMPLOYMENT FILES THAT REFLECT THE**

20 **COMPLAINT OF COVID STATE CLOSURES!**

21 **THE FEAR OF THE COVID PANDEMIC SLOWLY ENCROACHES ON THE INSURANCE FOR PROFIT PROFITS!!!**

22 **THE UNITED STATES BAILED OUT EVERY STATE TO AVOID POPULATION CIVIL UNREST UNTIL ALL THE**
23 **PAPERWORK WAS FINALIZED THROUGH THE STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENTS!**

24 **NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND**
25 **STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)**
26 **TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 22**

1 AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NOW KNOWINGLY WANTS THOSE FUNDS BACK!!

2 AFTER ALLOTING OR LOANING PANDEMIC RELIEF THAT TURNED OUT TO BE AN UNKOWINGLY STATE
3 GOVERNMENT MISTAKE THAT THE STATES ARE NOW UNDER CONTRACT FOR REINBURSEMENT BY THE
4 INSURANCE CORPORATIONS THAT COVER STATE EMPLOYEE MISTAKES OR MISTAKEN FRAUD FOR NOT
5
6 UNDERSTANDING THE LAWS THAT GOVERN!

7 NOT UCC CORPORATE INSURANCE LAW!

8 THE TAXPAYERS PAY TO BE COVERED BY INSURANCE CORPORATIONS MANDATED BY GOVERNMENT
9
10 LAW! CORPORATE INSURANCE IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT!!!

11 NOR DO THE CULPABLE CORPORATE INSURANCE (DEFENDANT(S)) HAVE POWER
12
13 OVER GOVERNMENT OR THE COURTS!

14 WHY SHOULD SOCIETY AND THE MISREPRESENTATION OF A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS THAT TURNED OUT
15
16 TO BE JUST ANOTHER FLU YEAR CONTINUE TO HAUNT THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT FOR FAILURE OF
17 THE ATTORNEY GENERALS TO HOLD INSURANCE CORPORATIONS ACCOUNTABLE?

18 THEIR OWN WRITTEN POLICIES AND CONTRACTS WITH THE STATES THEY GOVERN!!

19 CORPORATE INSURANCE INDUSTRIES PERSONAL PROFITS IN THE HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS OF US DOLLARS
20
21 AT AMERICAN TAX PAYER EXPENSE!!!

22 IS ANYBODY GETTING THIS PAINTED PICTURE ON A FOUNDATION THAT THE UNITED STATES
23
24 CONSTITUTION WAS WRITTEN FOR!! WE THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES IN ORDER TO FORM A MORE
25 PERFECT UNION! FREEDOM OF SPEECH! MIGHTIER THAN THE PEN THAT IS MIGHTIER THAN THE
26 SWORD!

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 23

1 AS CLEARLY SHOWN WITHIN THE APPELLANT'S OBJECTION TO A MASTERS RECOMMENDATION OF
2 COURSE!

3 WHERE NO HEARING WAS SET!

4 LANCE DELON ALVARADO SIMPLY

5
6 STATED IN HIS OBJECTION THESE FACTS AND OF COURSE DOES NOT EXPECT BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN
7 THE COMPLAINT FILED. THAT WOULD BE INSANE.

8 WHAT WOULD EVEN BE MORE INSANE IS IF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DOES NOT CARE ABOUT
9 THE RECOUPMENT OF FEDERAL FUNDING BACK INTO THE TREASURY?!

10 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF WOULD CERTAINLY HOPE THAT THAT ISNT THE CASE!!

11 THE COSTS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW AND DE NOVO PANELS INCLUDING US DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AND
12 FEES AND COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH COMPLAINTS FILED IN THE US DISTRICT COURT NOW HAVING TO BE
13 FILED IN A US DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO SPECULATION AND NOT FACT! MUST BE
14 ASTOUNDING!

15
16 THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND DE NOVO PANEL MUST SUPPORT THE OBSENE PROFITS OF
17 INSURANCE CORPORATIONS WHO CONTINUE TO REEP BILLIONS OF US DOLLARS AT TAXPAYER EXPENSE!
18 THE APPELLANT IS STILL UNSURE OF THE US DISTRICT COURTS PEJUDICE REASONS AND LEGAL BASIS
19 OTHER THAN AN ASSUMPTION OF LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S TRUE INTENT ON ITS REASONING AS TO
20 DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE?

21
22 BY DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE THE CO PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S COMPLAINT CLEARLY
23 SHOWS THE US DISTRICT COURTS TRUE COLORS.

24 BUT ARE THEY RED WHITE AND BLUE?

25 LANCE DELON ALVARADO REMINDING THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND THE DE NOVO PANEL THAT
26 LANCE DELON ALVARADO WAS FOUND TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR PUA BENEFITS PAYABLE AFTER LOSING HIS
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 24

1 EMPLOYMENT AS A CAREGIVER FOR A RETIRED UNITED STATES VETERAN WHOM SERVED IN THE
2 VIETNAM WAR.

3 THAT LANCE DELON ALVARADO WAS EXPELLED FROM A PARALEGAL COURSE OF STUDY DUE TO
4 TUITION COSTS AS A RESULT OF THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF NOT BEING ABLE TO PAY THESE COSTS.
5 AFTER COVID PANDEMIC!

6
7 YET SEEMS TO BE THE ONLY LITIGANT IN THE PROPER PERSON COMPLAINT LEARNING FROM PAST
8 MISTAKES MADE BY HIS PERSON AS WELL AS FROM EVERY BODY AROUND HIM INCLUDING THE
9 DEFENDANT(S) AND THE LEGAL PROCESS.

10 WHEREBY THE CO PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO DOESN'T PREJUDICE THE US DISTRICT COURT
11 JUDGE FOR MAKING A LEGAL ERROR IN OPINION NOR DOES LANCE DELON ALVARADO HOLD THE DE
12 NOVO PANEL LIABLE OR PREJUDICES AGAINST OFFICIALS ACTING WITHIN THEIR PROFFESIONAL FORUM
13 OR CAPASITY FOR WHATEVER DISCUSSIONS HELD BEHIND CLOSED DOORS FOR THEY ARE IMMUNE TO
14 CIVIL REMEDIES UNDER THE LAW. WHILE BEING COVERED BY INSURANCE!

15
16 THE PROPER PERSON'S COMPLAINT INCLUDES JUDICIAL ECONOMY IN MIND MISTAKES MADE IN THE
17 PAST INCLUDING LITIGATIONS IN THE PRESENT FORM AND HOW FUTURE COSTS SHOULD BE
18 CONSIDERED FOR POSSIBLE FUTURE MASS LITIGATIONS. OR HOW TO PREVENT BEING HELD HOSTAGE
19 BY BIG INSURSNC E CORPORATION AND DIRTY LAWYER PROFITEERS!

20
21 LANCE DELON ALVARADO DOES IN FACT EXPECT CORPORATE INSURANCE CORPORATIONS TO FOLLOW
22 THE LAW BY PAYING FOR THE MISTAKES OF THOSE STATE ENTITIES AND CIVIL SERVANT'S BY WAY OF
23 STATE INSURANCE CORPORATIONS TO PAY FOR THEIR OWN STATES DAMAGES AND DAMAGES UPON
24 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF'S PERSON AS CONTRACTED WITHIN THE STATES WHERE THE PROPER
25 PERSON PLAINTIFF LIVED AND NOW BEING FORCED INTO EXILE!

26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 25

1 BUT DOES NOT EXPECT THIS INSURANCE PREJUDICE OPINION TO FOLLOW AND STALK HIM

2 EVERYWHERE HE DECIDES TO RESIDE!

3 THAT IF THE US DISTRICT COURT FOLLOWED ITS OWN RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND NOT ASSUME

4 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE ALVARADO'S INTENT, MR LANCE DELON ALVARADO WOULD

5 HAVE TESTIFIED AT A HEARING IF NOTICE WAS EVEN PROPERLY GIVEN!

6 YET THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF COMPREHENDS THAT THERE WAS NO NEED FOR SUCH A HEARING

7 WHEN THE COMPLAINT THAT PROVES BEYOND ANY REASONABLE DOUBT AS ACCURATE WITH ONLY

8 ONE DISCREPENCY?

9 HOW MUCH SHOULD THE CULPABLE DEFENDANT(S) PAY OUT OF THE CULPABLE DEFENDANT(S)

10 POCKETS? HOW MANY MISTAKES DID CIVIL SERVANTS AND STATE ENTITIES MAKE DURING THE COVID

11 PANDEMIC INCLUDING MISMANAGEMENT OF FEDERAL FUNDING AND COSTS ASSOCIATED

12 AND BY FEDERAL LAW UPON THE FACTS THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR COMPENSATION

13 PURPOSES.

14 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF IS CURIOUS AS TO THE AMOUNT IN QUESTION AS WELL?

15 THAT THE EVIDENCE MARKED AS EXHIBIT C IS ONLY A PREVIEW OF THE FULL SCOPE OF ABUSES BY

16 WAY OF MISTAKE

17 THAT EXHIBIT C ALSO PROVES BEYOND ANY REASONABLE DOUBT THAT LANCE DELON ALVARADO

18 SHOULD RECEIVE PUNITIVE DAMAGES FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT UNDER COLOR OF LAW FOR

19 DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER FOR INSURANCE COMMITTED FRAUD AGAINST HIS PERSON BY A

20 FICTITIOUS POLICY OPENED IN HIS NAME THAT REFLECTS THE TRUE NATURE OF EVENTS THAT

21 FOLLOWED IN THE WAKE OF AND LIMITS LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S ABILITY AT FINDING SUITABLE

22 EMPLOYMENT WITHIN A PAY RANGE THAT THE WASHOE COUNTY NEVADA DISTRICT COURTS FOUND

23 AN AMOUNT YEARS AGO (2011-2014) DURING LANCE ALVARADO'S DIVORCE AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

24 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
25 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)

26 TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 26
27
28

1 TPO AND ENSUEING SUPREME COURT OF NEVADAS OPINION AND MISREPRESENTATION BY REFUSING
2 TO FILE AN APPEAL REGARDING THE FEES AND COSTS AND ARE ONLY A FEW EXAMPLES OF THE PROPER
3 PERSON PLAINTIFF BEING LEGALLY ABUSED BY THE NEVADA STATE COURTS.

4 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF WHILE CONTINUING HIS EDUCATION TO COMBAT THOSE
5 ACCUSATIONS NOW COMBATTING AN ORDER DISMISSING A PUA COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE? WHAT
6 IS ACTUALLY BEING CONSIDERED IN THESE "CLOSED DOOR DE NOVO PANELS ANYWAY"?
7

8 THE PUA FUNDING OR PAST LITIGATION REGARDING PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT?

9 OR ONLY AN INVESTIGATION INTO LANCE DELON ALVARADO?

10 IS A DE NOVO PANEL NVESTIGATION FOR CORPORATE INSURANCE FRAUD TAKING PLACE ON LANCE
11 DELON ALVARADO'S BEHALF?

12 WHERE IS THE CONSIDERATION IN THE FACT THAT THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF HAS NEVER FILED A
13 COMPLAINT OR SUED FOR ANY DAMAGES AND THE REASONS THEREOF? LEARNING AS TIME GOES BY
14 ON THE APPELLANT'S OWN AND STILL UNABLE TO ESCAPE NEVADA'S TORTUOUS LEGAL MEANS DUE
15 TO INSURANCE FRAUD!
16

17 LANCE DELON ALVARADO EVEN SOUGHT LEGAL HELP FROM NUMEROUS ATTORNIES EVEN PRO BONO
18 ATTORNIES WHO CLAIM TO HELP THOSE WRONGED BY THE DEFENDANT(S) WHOM STILL TO THIS DAY
19 AND IN THIS MATTER REFUSE TO RENDER AID NOR PROVIDE ANY SUCH LEGAL ADVISE.
20

21 THAT THE ATTORNIES NEVADA LENT THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF WERE ACTING FOR THEIR OWN
22 JUDICIAL ECONOMY CONCERNS AND NOT PROVIDNG COMPETENT LITIGATION IN THE DEFENCE OF THE
23 PLAINTIFF WHOM EVEN PUBLIC DEFENDERS JUST GHOSTED AS RECENT AS JULY 2023!

24 THE FILED COMPLAINT, NOW A SOON TO BE US DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS PLEA FOLLOWING THIS
25 NOTICE OF APPEAL THAT THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF THOUGHT WAS IN THE PROPER JURISDICTION
26 FOR SUCH A COMPLAINT SHOULD BE IN MARITIME JURISDICTION BEING HOW TWO STATES AND CROSS
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 27

1 BOARDERS IF THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF IS NOT MISTAKEN WHILE WORKING ON THE APPELLANT'S
2 OWN CONTINUED EDUCATION.

3 HINTS TOWARD BEING HOW CULPIBLE LOSSES NOW ACCUMULATE WITHIN BOTH NEVADA NOW IN
4 CALIFORNIA CONTINUE TO INCURR!

5 WHERE THE PROPER PERSON LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S LOSSES EXCEED FAR AND WELL BEYOND
6 \$75000.00 US DOLLARS.

7 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF AGAIN ASKS WHAT AMOUNT IS A FAIR AMOUNT IN SUCH
8 CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE NO COUNTER OFFER WAS EVEN ATTEMPTED OR PRESENTED BY THIS SO
9 CALLED DE NOVO PANEL
10

11 NOR HAVE ANY OF THE DEFENDANT(S) MADE AN OFFER NOT EVEN EXCEEDING THE MINIMUM OFFER
12 MADE BY AND FOR LANCE DELON ALVARADO!

13 ONLY A MAGISTRATED OPINION THAT THE COMPLAINT WAS NOT FOR ENOUGH MONEY? TO EVEN
14 CONSIDER?
15

16 WHERE LANCE DELON ALVARADO CLEARLY WITHOUT A DOUBT CONTINUES TO BE LEGALLY BULLIED,
17 ABROGATED, WRONGFULLY ACCUSED WITH EXCESSIVE PROSECUTION EVEN PREJUDICED BY NEVADA
18 STATE COURTS REGARDING AN INSURANCE POLICY OPENED WITHOUT THE PLAINTIFF'S KNOWLEDGE OR
19 CONSENT? AND OBVIOUSLY CONTINUES TO BOIL OVER TO THE DEFENDANT(S) PUA UNEMPLOYMENT
20 INSURANCE CLAIM WITH PREJDICE AND DISMISSED BY A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AFTER LANCE
21 DELON ALVARADO HAVING BEEN FOUND ELIGIBLE FOR PUA BENEFITS PAYABLE BECAUSE OF TOO MUCH
22 INSURANCE MONEY WAS BROUGHT TO THE ARGUMENT??
23

24 HOW MANY MORE LEGAL LITIGATIONS AND PROCEEDINGS NEED TO TAKE PLACE BEFORE INSURANCE
25 STOPS BULLYING THE CO PLAINTIFF LITIGANT SOON TO BE APPELLANT AND LEAVE LANCE DELON
26 ALVARADO ALONE?

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 28

1 NOT ONLY HAS IT CAUSED LANCE DELON ALVARADO TO LIVE IN DESTITUTE BUT CONSTANTLY LIVING IN
2 FEAR OF ANY COURT ROOM OR LEGAL PROCEEDINGS ENTIRLY!

3 HOW MUCH WILL INSURANCE HAVE TO PAY FOR LANCE DELON ALVARADO TO BE ABLE TO LIVE IN
4 PEACE FAR AWAY FROM INSURANCE HARRASSMENT?

5 THE PROPER PERSON LITIGANT EVEN FOLLOWING AN OBJECTION AND PRAYING FOR MEDIATION
6 NOTIFICATION BY MAIL TO NEGOTIATE A "FAIR SETTLEMENT" FOR LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S IN
7 PROPER PERSON COMPLAINT CONTINUES TO BE IGNORED.

9 WHAT DOES THE DISTRICT COURT WANT OR NEED A DE NOVO PANEL TO DEFINE FACT BASED PROOF
10 OF, AND WHAT AMOUNT DOES THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND THE DE NOVO PANEL PRESUME
11 THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF DESERVES OR HAS EARNED FOR THESE CONSTANT LEGAL ATTROCITIES
12 COMMITTED UPON HIS PERSON?

14 DIRTY AND ROTTEN TACTICS UPON LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S PERSON THAT CAN ONLY BE DESCRIBED
15 AS THE TACTICS USED BY THE UNITED STATES CIA MK ULTRA PROGRAM.

16 BY STEPPING UP AND FILING A COMPLAINT OF SUCH MAGNATUDE THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND
17 THE US DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE THE DE NOVO PANEL, WHO NOW SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF
18 THEMSELVES FOR AGAIN PROVING TO THE WORLD THAT ALL THE COURTS AROUND AMERICA SEEM TO
19 ONLY CARE ABOUT IS MONEY AND NOT TRUE JUSTICE ONLY PROTECTING CORPORATE INSURANCE AND
20 THEIR PROFITS AT THE EXPENSE OF THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER RATHER THAN HOLDING THE
21 DEFENDANT(S) ACCOUNTABLE UNDER CONTRACT FOR CIVIL SERVANT'S AND MISTAKES CONSTANTLY
22 BEING MADE BY THOSE SWORN TO PROTECT AND UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS WITHIN
23 THEIR OWN STATES.

25 WHEN WILL JUSTICE BE SERVED?

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 29

1 BY CONTINUING TO LEGALLY BULLY LANCE DELON ALVARADO OVER PAST INSURANCE FRAUD NOW
2 PRESENT IN PUA PANDEMIC AND STILL AT TAX PAYERS EXPENSE AND UPON THE PROPER PERSON
3 PLAINTIFF WHO PRESENTED TO THE US DISTRICT COURT THESE FACTS SHOWS NOT THE COLORS OF THE
4 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FLAG BUT ONLY SEPERATES THE NEVADA AND CALIFORNIA FLAGS WHEN
5 ONE NATION UNDER GOD INDIVISABLE DOESN'T MEAN LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ONLY INSURANCE
6 CORPORATIONS.
7

8 WHY IS THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF PREJUDICED FOR ASKING THE SAME QUESTION? HOW MUCH
9 MONEY IS TO BE ALLOTTED TO LANCE DELON ALVARADO? A PLAIN, SIMPLE AND A FAIR QUESTION TO
10 ASK THE US DISTRICT COURT. AND A FAIR DEBATE TO BE ARGUED!

11 A FAIR OFFER THAT LANCE DELON ALVARADO SHOULD NOT BE FORCED TO MAKE ON A SECOND
12 COMPLAINT AFTER PLACING THE MINIMUM AMOUNT ON THE COMPLAINT ITSELF CONSIDERING THE
13 FACTS!
14

15 WOULD THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ALONG WITH THE DE NOVO PANEL AGREE TO THAT
16 ASSUMPTION?

17 YET INSTEAD OF USING REASON A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DIMISSES A COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST
18 INSURANCE THAT LITERALLY RECOUPS THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BILLIONS OF DOLLARS FOR
19 BREECH OF A UNITED STATES CONTRACT WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ONE OF 49 OTHER STATES
20 AND TERRITORIES.
21

22 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ASKS THE US DISTRICT COURT AND THE DE NOVO PANEL THESE
23 SIMPLE QUESTIONS:

- 24 1. FOR WHAT REASON OTHER THAN LANCE DELON ALVARADO'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND
25 MONEY DID THE DE NOVO PANEL AND A US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DISMISS LANCE DELON
26 ALVARADO'S COMPLAINT?

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 30

1 2. IS THE DE NOVO PANEL DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND ITS
2 TAXPAYERS OR FOR THE DEFENDANT(S) WHO JUST ASSUME?

3 3. DOES THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AS WELL AS THE DE NOVO PANEL BASE THEIR OPINION OF THE
4 ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE FOR JUST ONE DEFENDANT OR FOR PROFIT MAKING CULPIBLE
5 DEFENDANT(S) WHO ARE INSURANCE CORPORATIONS AND THEIR PAID ATTORNIES?
6

7 4(a). WHY DOES LANCE DELON ALVARADO HAVE TO ASSUME TAKING THE ROLL AND SPEAK FOR THE
8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ON ITS BEHALF?

9 (b) ISNT THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERALS JOB?

10 5. HOW LONG ARE ALL COURTS ACROSS AMERICA GOING TO CONTINUE BEING HELD HOSTSGE BY
11 INSURSNC E CORPORATIONS AND THEIR ATTORNIES?

12 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA REMINDS THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE AND THE DE NOVO PANEL
13 THAT THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CITIZENS INCLUDING LANCE DELON ALVARADO ARE ASSETS TO
14 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND NOT LIABILITIES! NOR WILL BIG CORPORATE INSURANCE BE
15 ALLOWED TO RAPE PILLAGE AND PLUNDER THE UNITED STATES ECONOMY NOR THE UNITED STATES
16 GOVERNMENT OR EVEN STATE GOVERNMENTS AND JUDICIARIES UNKNOWINGLY BEING HELD HOSTAGE
17 IN THE NAME OF LIABILITY AND THE UNITED STATES TAXPAYERS WITH ANY MORE FEAR TACTICT
18 GOVERNMENT SHUTDOWNS UTILIZING WIDE SPREAD PANIC TACTICS AND GET AWAY WITH IT WHILE
19 PRETENDING TO BE THE "GOOD CORPORATION OF INSURANCE INDUSTRY PROTECTORS WHEN
20 INSURANCE ARE ONLY PIRATING THE LEGAL SYSTEM!
21

22 IN CONCLUSION THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF MAKES ANOTHER STATEMENT BASED ON FACT
23 "THAT PRIDE AND PREJUDICE MUST GO HAND IN HAND, AND THAT THE US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE IS
24 FULL OF PREJUDICE. WHERE IS THE PRIDE OF THE UNITED STATES DE NOVO PANELS?
25

26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 31

1 THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS A CONTRACT A CONTRACT THAT CALIFORNIA AND ITS CORPORATE PROFIT
2 MAKING ENTITIES HAVE BREECHED! THE CFR IS MEANT TO PROTECT TAX PAYERS AND CIVIL SERVANTS
3 NOT FOR THE POCKETS OF COROPRATE INSURANCE PROFITEERS WHO CONTINUE TO WLLFULLY
4 MISREPRESENT THE FACTS OF THIS PUA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE COMPLAINT.

5
6 THAT IF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IS NOT INTERESTED IN RECOUPING PANDEMIC DISASTER
7 RELIEF FUNDING THEN THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO WILL SEIZE THE
8 OPPORTUNITY ON BEHALF OF THE CO PLAINTIFF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DURING MEDIATION
9 FOR SETTLEMENT! THE PROPER PERSON CO PLAINTIFF INFORMS THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO
10 NEVER FEAR AND THAT LANCE DELON ALVARADO HAS THE UNITED STATES COVERED!

11 ANOTHER REASON WHY UTILIZING CASE LAW IS INSIGNIFICANT IS BECAUSE A CASE LIKE THIS HAS
12 NEVER BEEN HEARD OF IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA! AND

13
14 THE HOMELESS JOBLESS PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO IS THANKFUL FOR SUCH
15 COMPETENT LEADERS ASSISTING HIM WITHIN HIS PLIGHT IN THE PROPER PERSON PLAINTIFF'S TRUE
16 TIME OF NEED.

17 THANK YOU DISTRICT COURT JUDGE I AM TRULLY SORRY AND WITH DEEPEST REGRET I HEREBY INFORM
18 THE US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNA THAT THE US DISTRICT COURT
19 JUDGE AND DENOVO PANEL ASSIGNED ALONG WITH THE COURTS OPINIONATED ASSUMPTION
20 DIRECTED AT THE CO PLAINTIFF LANCE DELON ALVARADO WAS IN FACT WRONG AND THAT LANCE
21 DELON ALVARADO HEREBY GIVES NOTICE OF AN UPCOMING APPEAL REGARDING THE PROPER PERSON
22 COMPLAINT FILED ON DECEMBER 29TH 2023 AND DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE DATED MARCH 11TH 2024
23 WILL BE APPEALED!

24
25 UNLESS A REASONABLE OFFER TO SETTLE THIS MATTER IS SENT TO LANCE DELON ALVRADO DURING
26 SUCH PROCESS!

27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 32

1 Dated this: March 29th 2024

2
3 Signed [Signature]

4 LANCE DELON ALVARADO

5 IN PROPER PERSON

6 AND FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

7
8 Signed: [Signature]

9 Lance Delon Alvarado

10 Special Appearance

11
12
13
14
15 [ATTORNEY NAME] [Signature]

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION OF "CASE IN CONTROVERSY" AND
28 STATEMENT REGARDING THE PANDEMIC UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OR (PUA)
TITLED: THE ABROGATION PROCLAMATION - 33